

ACP–EU Water Facility – Partnerships Initiative

Annex IV: Strategies to foster the impact of action

By

Emanuele Lobina and David Hall

PSIRU, Business School, University of Greenwich

e.lobina@gre.ac.uk; d.j.hall@gre.ac.uk

8 July 2012

This report was commissioned by the European Commission (DG AIDCO) under Service contract 2010/236-444, “Online database to facilitate Partnerships for Capacity Development in the ACP Water and Sanitation Sector”. For more information see www.acp-eu-waterpartnerships.org.

Table 1. Strategies adopted by ACP-EU Water Partnerships to produce a tangible impact.....	2
Table 2. Strategies adopted by ACP-EU Water Partnerships to maintain mandatory results as permanent assets of beneficiary partners	3
Table 3. Strategies adopted by ACP-EU Water Partnerships to produce a multiplier effect.....	4
Table 4. Strategies adopted by ACP-EU Water Partnerships for the implementation of internal and external monitoring and evaluation procedures	5

Introduction

This fourth annex to the study on “ACP-EU Water Facility – Partnerships Initiative” contains four tables in support of Section 6. ACP-EU Water Partnerships: Fostering the impact of action. Drawing on the successful project applications and the project summaries contained in Annex III, the tables illustrate the strategies adopted by the 32 ACP-EU Water Partnerships to achieve a variety of objectives. Table 1 outlines the strategies adopted to produce a tangible impact, and Table 2 illustrates the strategies adopted to ensure that mandatory results are maintained as permanent assets of the beneficiary partners. The strategies “Beneficiary partners involved in project design” and “Transfer of accessible and user-friendly technology” feature both in Table 1 and Table 2. In both cases, Table 2 indicates when the strategy has been specifically adopted to ensure that mandatory results are maintained as permanent assets of the beneficiary partners. Table 3 identifies the strategies adopted to produce a multiplier effect through replication and scaling up at national/regional level. Table 4 outlines the strategies adopted for the implementation of internal and external monitoring and evaluation procedures.

Table 1. Strategies adopted by ACP-EU Water Partnerships to produce a tangible impact

Project ID	Contribution to national policy and strategy	Synergy with other initiatives	Joint conduction of activities	Beneficiary partners involved in project design	Transfer of accessible and user-friendly technology	Knowledge-sharing, stakeholder-coordination platforms	Total number of approaches adopted by a single project
1	X		X	X			3
2	X	X		X		X	4
3	X						1
4	X	X					2
5	X		X				2
6	X	X	X		X		4
7	X	X	X	X			4
8	X	X	X		X	X	5
9	X	X	X				3
10	X		X			X	3
11	X	X	X				3
12	X	X	X		X	X	5
13	X	X	X	X			4
14	X	X	X	X			4
15	X	X	X		X		4
16	X	X	X	X	X		5
17	X	X	X				3
18	X		X				2
19	X	X	X	X		X	5
20	X	X	X				3
21	X		X			X	3
22	X	X	X				3
23	X	X	X			X	4
24	X	X	X	X		X	5
25	X		X	X			3
26	X		X				2
27	X	X	X			X	4
28	X	X	X			X	4
29	X			X			2

Project ID	Contribution to national policy and strategy	Synergy with other initiatives	Joint conduction of activities	Beneficiary partners involved in project design	Transfer of accessible and user-friendly technology	Knowledge-sharing, stakeholder-coordination platforms	Total number of approaches adopted by a single project
30	X	X	X		X		4
31	X	X	X				3
32	X	X	X	X			4
Total number of strategies	32	23	28	11	6	10	

Source: Annex III.

Table 2. Strategies adopted by ACP-EU Water Partnerships to maintain mandatory results as permanent assets of beneficiary partners

Project ID	Produced studies, methodologies, systems	Training-of-Trainers	Local university or training centre	Training of large numbers of staff	External support to institutionalisation of introduced changes	Supporting adequate levels of employment	Beneficiary partner's self-sufficiency as project result	Beneficiary partners involved in project design/execution	Highly relevant project results to beneficiary partner's needs	Transfer of accessible and user-friendly technology	Total number of approaches adopted by a single project
1	X		X	X		X	X				5
2	X	X			X			X			4
3	X										1
4	X	X	X		X	X					5
5	X				X		X				3
6	X								X		2
7									X		1
8	X								X		2
9	X	X	X								3
10	X				X						2
11	X	X			X		X				4
12	X	X		X							3
13	X	X		X				X			4
14		X		X				X			3
15	X							X		X	3
16	X				X						2
17	X	X									2
18	X	X	X		X		X				5
19	X							X			2
20	X		X			X		X			4
21	X						X	X			3
22	X					X	X				3
23	X						X				2
24	X		X		X			X			4
25	X							X			2
26	X							X			2

27	X	X			X			X			4
28	X								X		2
29	X							X	X		3
30	X		X					X		X	4
31	X	X		X	X			X			5
32	X	X						X			3
Total	30	12	7	5	10	4	7	15	5	2	

Source:

Annex III.

Table 3. Strategies adopted by ACP-EU Water Partnerships to produce a multiplier effect

Project ID	Replication/scaling-up as project results	Preparation for replication among project results	Training of trainers in support of replication	Training of large numbers of staff on a national scale	Dissemination and visibility of results as vehicles for replication and scaling-up	Involving other utilities and professional bodies to foster replication	Involving national government to foster replication	Involving local universities and training centres to foster replication	Knowledge-sharing, stakeholder-coordination platforms	Total number of approaches adopted by a single project
1	X		X					X		3
2					X		X			2
3					X		X			2
4	X				X					2
5		X								1
6		X								1
7		X				X				2
8							X			1
9								X		1
10		X			X					2
11		X			X	X	X			4
12					X	X			X	3
13	X	X								2
14		X	X	X	X	X	X			6
15		X	X	X	X					4
16	X			X	X	X				4
17	X		X		X	X				4
18		X								1
19		X					X			2
20	X				X					2
21					X					1
22				X	X	X	X			4
23			X			X			X	3
24							X	X	X	3
25	X									1
26		X								1
27	X		X						X	3
28		X				X			X	3
29		X					X			2
30		X					X			2
31					X		X			2
32		X			X			X		3
Total	8	15	6	4	15	9	11	4	5	

Source: Annex III.

Table 4. Strategies adopted by ACP-EU Water Partnerships for the implementation of internal and external monitoring and evaluation procedures

Project ID	Internal: periodic progress reviews	Internal: periodic meetings	Internal: participatory monitoring and evaluation	Internal: self-evaluation against set milestones	External: periodic reports to European Commission	External: evaluations by independent experts	External: participatory evaluation conducted by independent experts
1				X		X	
2	X						X
3					X		
4	X				X		
5		X					
6	X				X		
7		X				X	
8	X			X		X	
9	X	X			X	X	
10	X	X	X	X			X
11	X						X
12	X	X			X	X	
13	X	X		X	X		
14	X	X		X	X	X	
15	X	X		X	X	X	
16	X	X			X	X	
17	X	X		X	X	X	
18	X	X					X
19	X	X				X	
20	X	X				X	
21					X	X	
22	X					X	
23	X						X
24	X					X	
25	X						
26	X						
27	X					X	
28	X					X	
29	X						X
30	X	X					X
31	X					X	
32	X	X	X				
Total	27	15	2	7	11	17	7

Source: Annex III.