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Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this research was to determine which needs for incentives influence positive word-of-mouth (PWOM) among international tourists and how these needs differ based on the cultural orientation toward individualism and collectivism.

Design/methodology/approach - This research used online surveys to gather data from 959 Australian, U.S., U.K., Japanese, and Korean respondents who had visited Beijing. A random sampling method was employed, and data were analysed using SmartPLS 4.0. Adopting the Existence Relatedness Growth (ERG) theory, the findings explain how cultural orientation affects the impact of needs for incentives in generating PWOM.

Findings - Three hypothesized relationships were significant for Australia/U.K./U.S. and Japan/Korea - the effect of needs for incentives on motivation, the effect of motivation on PWOM, and the effects of needs for incentives on PWOM were significant and positive for Australia/U.K./U.S. and Japan/Korea. The effect of needs for incentive type on motivation varied across national populations, and the intensity of the effects of needs for incentive type on PWOM were also different. For Australian, U.K., and U.S. tourists, the honorary title was the most influential need to stimulate word-of-mouth (WOM) motivation. The need for cultural learning was the most influential for Japanese and Korean tourists.

Originality/value - This research contributes to the literature by exploring and comparing the needs for incentives that influence PWOM among tourists from the perspective of individualism and collectivism. The results also increase the understanding of the relationships among needs for incentives, motivation, and PWOM.
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1. Introduction

Word-of-mouth (WOM) is a powerful communication channel in the marketplace, and positive word-of-mouth (PWOM) is particularly beneficial for the tourism sector, especially during times of uncertainty and risk such as the COVID-19 pandemic (Šerić, Došen and Mikulić, 2023). PWOM can enhance the effectiveness and outcomes of communication
efforts by generating more awareness, interest, and desire among potential tourists (Khan et al., 2023). Additionally, PWOM can foster engagement, generate greater satisfaction and more recommendations, and increase loyalty (Litvin, Goldsmith, and Pan, 2008, 2018; Rasoolimanesh et al., 2022). Therefore, understanding the factors that affect WOM is critical for tourism managers.

Generating PWOM requires that people be willing to share their opinions and experiences online and offline with others. However, the question of what motivates individuals to engage in WOM remains an area of interest for researchers (Jiang and Chen, 2019). Previous studies have suggested that various incentives drive WOM, including social rewards, self-enhancement, altruism, economic benefits, and reciprocity (Yoo and Gretzel, 2011; Hennig-Thurau et al., 2004). Cultural factors are also thought to influence WOM, and previous studies have identified some cultural causes related to electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) (Choi and Kim, 2019; Lee and Choi, 2019). Prior research has shown that collectivist customers exhibit shared beliefs, values, and social norms, making them more homogeneous (Leonhardt, Pezzuti, and Namkoong, 2020). However, studies have yet to consider how cultural differences affect the types and functions of needs for incentives on PWOM motivation.

Based on prior research, incentives can be defined as one aspect of motivation and have a goal-oriented focus (Wang et al., 2022). In this research, the “needs for incentives” was viewed as the goal-oriented means to satisfy a need. This research aimed to contribute to the literature by exploring and comparing the needs for incentives that influence PWOM among tourists from the perspective of individualism and collectivism. This investigation focused on how a specific dimension of culture, from the perspective of individualism and collectivism, relates to tourist motivation and PWOM. The primary research purposes were to determine which needs for incentives influence PWOM among tourists and how these needs for incentives differ based on the cultural orientation of individuals toward individualism and collectivism. The research objectives were to (1) explore the types and functions of needs for WOM incentives and (2) determine which needs for incentive type matter most from the perspective of individualism and collectivism.
2. Conceptual background and hypotheses

2.1 Word-of-mouth in tourism

It is widely acknowledged that WOM is highly influential in tourism (Andersen, Engeset, and Nyhus, 2023; Confente, 2015; Jalilvand, 2017; Litvin, Goldsmith, and Pan, 2008; Pourfakhimi, Duncan, and Coetzee, 2020). Generally, there is an acceptance that WOM is more trusted and powerful in influencing potential travellers than traditional advertising and promotions (Confente, 2015). The impact of WOM has intensified with the greater use of social media platforms that produce electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) through user-generated content (Cantallops and Salvi, 2014; Jalilvand and Samiei, 2012; Litvin, Goldsmith, and Pan, 2018; Mukhopadhyay, Pandey and Rishi, 2023; Yadav, Verma and Chikhalkar, 2022).

The seemingly increasing market power of WOM is attracting more scholarly investigations of the phenomenon. A bibliometric analysis of 398 publications on eWOM in hospitality and tourism identified the three thematic areas of eWOM and behaviour, eWOM and social media, and eWOM as a marketing tool (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2023). An earlier review article identified 46 items on WOM and tourism up until 2013 and noted a steady upward trend in publications on the topic (Confente, 2015). The author suggested that “Future researchers might also enrich their findings via cross-cultural studies (Jalilvand & Samiei, 2012). Another issue that could be further developed is investigation into other characteristics, such as trip type, family life cycle, cultural differences and the travel motivation of different people” (Confente, 2015, p. 620). This research was designed to fill this identified gap in WOM and its relationship with cultural differences and motivations.

2.2 ERG theory, motivation, and PWOM

Consumer engagement in WOM communication is influenced by personal motivations such as the need to belong (Breazeale, 2009), the desire to help others (Hennig-Thurau, Gwinner, Walsh, and Gremler, 2004), and self-enhancement (Okazaki, Rubio, and Campo, 2014).

Alderfer’s ERG theory posits three categories of needs: existence (physiological and safety needs), relatedness (relationships), and growth (creativity, productivity, and personal...
achievement) (Alderfer, 1969). ERG theory has been used in various studies to investigate motivational factors in the workplace (Wiley, 1997), consumer satisfaction with mobile value-added services (Yang et al., 2011), and knowledge-sharing behaviours in gamers (Hau and Kim, 2011).

Existence needs refer to basic physiological and safety needs that must be met for survival. Based on previous research, existence needs are related to money and benefits (Arnolds and Boshoff, 2002). Coupons, souvenirs, and discounts are tangible rewards that can incentivize customer behaviour and fulfill these needs. For example, Suttikun and Meeprom (2021) explored how tourists perceive the quality of authentic souvenirs and how it affects satisfaction and loyalty, while Zhang et al. (2019) proposed a novel discount strategy called the influence-based discount (IBD) that is proportional to customers' influence in the WOM network. These incentives reflect motivation for fulfilling survival needs by providing customers with immediate benefits that can improve their quality of life.

Relatedness is the need to feel connected and belong to others (Kim and Drumwright, 2016). These needs reflect the motivation for building connections and feeling a sense of belonging within a community. Making friends and socializing is a travel motivation that influences preferences and satisfaction (Rita, Brochado and Dimova, 2019). Key opinion leaders (KOLs) strongly influence others' opinions and behaviours, playing a valuable role in shaping people's sense of relatedness by providing guidance and support (Valente and Pumpuang, 2007). KOLs influence the consumer decision-making process with digital technologies and social networks (Casaló, Flavián and Ibáñez-Sánchez, 2020). The personal environment, including social relationships and interactions, also affects tourist motivations, emotions,
and intentions (Pearce et al., 1983; Lin et al., 2020). The current research measures relatedness needs from friends, KOLs, and the interpersonal environment.

Growth needs refer to psychological needs associated with realizing an individual’s full potential and the need to self-actualize. Knowledge needs stem from a desire to grow and achieve one's full potential. Reputation motivates people to achieve higher levels of personal development and self-actualization (McLeod, 2007). Reputation can influence tourist motivation because individuals may seek life-changing experiences, personal growth and challenge, accomplishment and achievement, gratitude and mindfulness, or transformation through travel activities (Yousaf, Amin, and Santos, 2018). The previous literature has discussed reputation needs (such as status, self-fulfillment, and knowledge enhancement) and their influence on travel motivations (such as escape, leisure, adventure, and socializing) (Chi and Phuong, 2022; Maghrifani, Liu, and Sneddon, 2022). Missions refer to an individual's personal goals and aspirations. Wright (2007) proposed that organizational missions increase employee work motivation. Caillier (2014) posited that employees are motivated to perform well when attracted to their employing agency's mission. These needs reflect motivation for personal growth through learning new skills, gaining recognition, and accomplishing meaningful goals. By satisfying growth needs through incentives such as knowledge needs, reputation, and missions, companies encourage PWOM. Based on this framework, the following hypotheses were proposed:

H₁: Needs for incentives have a positive influence on WOM motivation.

H₂: Needs for incentives have a positive influence on PWOM.
2.3 Motivations and WOM

WOM communication is an essential and influential channel for consumers to share information about products and services. WOM can take various forms, including offline and online interactions (Lin, Doong, and Eisingerich, 2021). Research shows that consumers consider WOM more reliable than traditional media such as television, radio, and print advertisements (Confente, 2015). WOM is one of the most influential sources of information about products and services because users tend to trust other consumers more than sellers (Nieto, Hernández-Maestro and Muñoz-Gallego, 2014). As a result, WOM can influence many people and is seen as a consumer-dominated marketing channel where the senders are independent of the market, giving them credibility (Brown, Broderick, and Lee, 2007). In tourism, where products are intangible and difficult for customers to evaluate before purchasing, WOM is often the most critical source of information when making buying decisions (Litvin, Goldsmith, and Pan, 2008). Research on the relationship between WOM and motivation has identified cultural influences as a significant aspect (Parry et al., 2021). More recently, there have been publications that focus on triggering multiple types of tourist motivation to drive positive eWOM (Alarcón-del-Amo et al., 2023; Strickland and Williams, 2022; Huy et al., 2022).

Marketing managers recognize WOM’s vital role in their products’ success and strive to promote and maintain PWOM for their brands. Research has shown that WOM can increase customers’ intention to buy and willingness to pay (Ismagilova, Slade, Rana and Dwivedi, 2020; Phillips et al., 2013), build trust and loyalty (Gauri, Bhatnagar and Rao, 2008), increase sales (Babić Rosario, Sotgiu, De Valck and Bijmolt, 2016), enhance a company’s reputation and performance (Nisar, Prabhakar, Ilavarasan and Baabdullah, 2020), and attract new
customers (Trusov, Bucklin and Pauwels, 2009). Previous research has identified several factors that drive WOM from a customer perspective, and the psychological antecedents include self-enhancement, self-efficacy, altruism, the need for social interaction and support, and identity signaling (Hennig-Thurau, Gwinner, Walsh, and Gremler, 2004; De Angelis, Bonezzi, Peluso, Rucker and Costabile, 2012; Berger, 2014). Abubakar and Mavondo (2014) determined that people factors and emotions predicted customer satisfaction and PWOM. Thus, the following hypothesis was proposed:

\[ H_3: \text{Needs for incentives have a positive influence on PWOM in tourism.} \]

2.4 The influence of culture

The value of cultural influence has been highlighted and linked to eWOM communication in destination marketing studies (Izogo et al., 2022; Setiawan et al., 2021). Previous research has indicated that eWOM is valued differently across cultures (Hoz-Correa and Muñoz-Leiva, 2019). The importance of cultural orientation in eWOM cannot be overstated (Lee et al., 2018). Cultures differ in their level of collectivism and individualism, where individualism appears dominant in Western countries, such as the U.K. and Australia, and collectivism is stronger in Eastern countries, including Japan, Korea, and other Asian nations. Individualistic people value uniqueness, tend to present themselves as distinctive and self-reliant, and emphasize being direct in communication (Singelis, 1994). In contrast, consumers high in collectivism see themselves as highly embedded in their social groups. As a result, they value belonging to in-groups, interdependence, and relational harmony (Triandis and Gelfand, 1998).

Prior research has explored WOM in different countries by individualism and collectivism.
One study found that Chinese customers in Singapore shared more on the social networking site Renren than on Facebook (Qiu, Lin, and Leung, 2013). Chu and Choi (2011) found that Chinese undergraduates with higher collectivism ratings were likelier to share eWOM than U.S. undergraduates with lower collectivism ratings. Additionally, Lin and Kalwani (2018) found that Amazon Japan had fewer reviews than Amazon U.S.; the authors suggested that this difference may be related to the fact that Japanese consumers are more collectivist than their U.S. counterparts. Thus, the following hypothesis was proposed about the relationship between individualism, collectivism, and WOM:

\[ H_4: \text{The influence of needs for incentives on WOM motivation is different between Australia/U.K./U.S. and Japan/Korea consumers.} \]

The conceptual model for this research is presented in Figure 1.
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**Figure 1.** Conceptual research model.

**Source:** Figure created by authors

### 3. Methodology

Online surveys gathered data from Australian, U.S., U.K., Japanese, and Korean respondents who had visited Beijing. Participants were selected from the survey companies Qualtrics, Credamo, and Mturk from May 29th to July 26th in 2022. All items were adapted from existing scales in the literature to match the context of the study and translated to match the language of the survey respondents. The survey consisted of two parts. The first part
involved the motivations to recommend Beijing as a destination and incentives influencing recommendations and PWOM. Needs for incentives were adapted from Arnolds and Boshoff (2002). Based on the research of Berger (2014), WOM motivation was measured. PWOM was measured through a scale adapted from Zhang and Xu (2019). The second part was the respondent characteristics, including gender, age, country, education, occupation, marital status, income, and ethnicity. Five-point Likert-type was used to measure scales. The survey items were initially designed in English and translated into the language relevant to the surveyed country. The reverse translation method was used to verify that the meaning of the statements remained the same in the translation (Brislin, 1970). A random sampling method was employed and data were analysed using SmartPLS 4.0. To better understand the differences between the two groups of international tourists, the validity and reliability of two groups of data were analyzed. The reliability of the scales was assessed using multiple indicators, including factor loadings, average variance extracted (AVE), composite reliability (C.R.), and Cronbach’s α.

4. Results

4.1 Demographic profiles

A total of 959 tourists from four countries participated in the research (105 for Australia, 202 for the U.S., 110 for the U.K., 216 for Japan, and 326 for Korea). These countries were divided into two groups. Most respondents were female for the Australia/U.K./U.S. grouping (52.3%). The age group 31-40 years was the most numerous; 53.2% were university-educated; the majority of participants were employed (73.1%); more than 50% (51.1%) were married and had children; most had incomes (43.4%) above $70,000; and more than half were Caucasian (66.4%).
For the Japan/Korea group, most were male (57.9%), 21-50 years old, and 68.5% held undergraduate degrees. Like the first group, most were employed (71.6%); more than 50% were married and had children. Unlike the Australia/U.K./U.S. respondents, the Japan/Korea participants' income mainly was $50,000 - $69,999 (30.6%), and up to 90% were Asian (Table 1).

Table 1
Respondent profiles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristics</th>
<th>Australia/U.K./U.S. (n = 417)</th>
<th>Japan/Korea (n = 542)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>52.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-20 years old</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30 years old</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40 years old</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50 years old</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60 years old</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-80 years old</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;80 years old</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>53.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masters and higher</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>73.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homemaker</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married with children</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>51.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married without children</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In a relationship</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under $10,000</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000 - $19,999</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000 - $29,999</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,000 - $49,999</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 - $69,999</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$70,000 or more</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Caucasian  277  66.4  14  2.6  
African - American  34  8.2  1  0.2  
Asian  75  18  513  94.6  
Latino or Hispanic  17  4.1  2  0.4  
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander  1  0.2  2  0.4  
Other  13  3.1  10  1.8  

Source: Table created by authors

4.2 Measurement model

Measurements for the two models were tested using SmartPLS 4.0 software. A confirmatory composite analysis procedure was conducted to evaluate convergent and discriminant validity (Hair Jr, Howard and Nitzl, 2020). As a guideline, item loading, C.R., and AVE values must exceed 0.70, 0.70, and 0.50, respectively, to ensure item reliability, structural reliability, and convergent validity (Hair, Hollingsworth, Randolph, and Chong, 2017). Table 2 shows the item loadings for each construct of the two groups, and the factor loadings for both were higher than 0.7. The AVEs of every item were greater than 0.5, and the Cronbach’s alphas and CRs of every item were higher than 0.7 (Table 3). Thus, the hypothesized model was reliable and satisfied the requirements for convergent validity.

Table 2
Convergent validity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct/Reliability</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Australia/U.K./U.S.</th>
<th>Japan/Korea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>I will be given some shopping coupons.</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN1</td>
<td>I will be given some souvenirs about Beijing.</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>0.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN2</td>
<td>I will be offered discounts on my next trip to China.</td>
<td>0.723</td>
<td>0.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN3</td>
<td>KOLs (key opinion leaders) will keep me informed about Beijing.</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>0.766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN4</td>
<td>My recommendation will increase my friendship with my Chinese friends.</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>0.784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN5</td>
<td>People will talk to me about Beijing after my trip.</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>0.792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN6</td>
<td>I will have the chance to be regarded as the important role between my country and China.</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.771</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I will get free courses about Beijing, such as language and culture. 
I will be given an honorary title.  
I plan to recommend Beijing because I can exchange information with others.  
I plan to recommend Beijing because I can keep a close relationship with my friends.  
I plan to recommend Beijing because I can help others better understand China.  
I plan to recommend Beijing because it will give me enjoyment.  
I plan to recommend Beijing because I can gain respect from others.  
I will talk up Beijing to others.  
I will recommend Beijing to others.  
I will encourage others to visit Beijing.  

Note(s): IN: Incentive; MO: Motivation; PWOM: Positive word-of-mouth.

Source: Table created by authors

Table 3
Reliability statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Australia/U.K./U.S.</th>
<th>Japan/Korea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>α</td>
<td>CR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>0.831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWOM</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>0.840</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note(s): IN: Incentive; MO: Motivation; PWOM: Positive word-of-mouth.

Source: Table created by authors

The Fornell-Larcker Criterion and heterotrait-monotrait (HTMT) technique were used to analyze discriminant validity. Table 4 shows that the square root of the AVE for each construct was above 0.7 and greater than its correlations with other constructs (Hair et al., 2019). Table 5 shows that the HTMT values were less than 0.9 (Henseler, Ringle and Sarstedt, 2015). Therefore, the above PLS indicators supported the convergent and discriminant validity of the measurement models.

Table 4
Discriminant validity assessment (Fornell and Larcker).
Table 5  
Discriminant validity assessment (HTMT).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>IN</th>
<th>MO</th>
<th>PWOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Australia/U.K./U.S.</td>
<td>0.739</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Japan/Korea</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>0.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>Australia/U.K./U.S.</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Japan/Korea</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWOM</td>
<td>Australia/U.K./U.S.</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Japan/Korea</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note(s): The diagonal elements are the squared roots of AVE. IN: Incentive; MO: Motivation; PWOM: Positive word-of-mouth.

Source: Table created by authors

4.3 Structural model: Group comparison

Figure 2 and Table 6 show the results for the two groups. For the Australia/U.K./U.S. group, the need for incentives significantly influenced motivation ($\beta = 0.742$, $t$-value = 26.961, $p < 0.001$) and PWOM ($\beta = 0.304$, $t$-value = 4.584, $p < 0.001$). WOM motivation significantly influenced PWOM ($\beta = 0.382$, $t$-value = 5.772, $p < 0.001$). Specifically, the effects of growth needs (recognition and reputation) for incentives were more than others. This implies that for international tourists from Australia/U.K./U.S., incentives that meet relatedness and growth needs have a greater impact. This is a comparable result to Berger’s (2014) study, which demonstrated that self-serving (such as relatedness and growth needs) incentives tended to drive tourists to transmit PWOM. Additionally, prior research also provides evidence that U.S. residents are individualistic and focus on aspects of relatedness
(Oyserman, Coon and Kemmelmeier, 2002), which might explain why the incentive effect of relatedness needs was more than other incentives. The tendency for individuals to value self-actualization and self-respect is reflected in the importance placed by international tourists from individualistic cultures on reputation and recognition (Gambrel and Cianci, 2003).

Concerning Japan and Korea, the needs for incentives significantly influenced motivation ($\beta = 0.800$, t-value = 38.740, $p < 0.001$) and PWOM ($\beta = 0.404$, t-value = 6.030, $p < 0.001$), and motivation ($\beta = 0.330$, t-value = 4.671, $p < 0.001$) also significantly influenced PWOM. Therefore, H1, H2 and H3 were supported. The influence of growth needs for incentives (courses about destination) was the most. The incentive effect of relatedness need (social connection) was the second, and the incentive effect of existence need (discounts) was the third. This reflects that of the three types of incentives, the incentive effect of growth needs was the most pronounced for Asians. Collectivist tourists see themselves as integrated into their group and place more importance on interdependence and relational harmony (Hofstede, Hofstede, and Minkov, 2005). They rely more on a holistic mindset and are more susceptible to collective decision-making (Leonhardt, Pezzuti, and Namkoong, 2020). That is why the incentive effect from social connections was more than other incentives.
Figure 2. Results for Australia, Japan, Korea, the U.K., and the U.S.

Source: Figure created by authors

Table 6
Results of direct relationships.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Australia/U.K./U.S.</th>
<th>Japan/Korea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1: IN→MO</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2: MO→PWOM</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3: IN→PWOM</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note(s): *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. IN: Incentive; MO: Motivation; PWOM: Positive word-of-mouth.

Source: Table created by authors

4.4 Multigroup analysis

The purpose of H4 was to confirm whether there were differences in the hypothesized relationships between Australia/U.K./U.S. and Japan/Korea. PLS-Multigroup Analysis (PLS-MGA) was used to determine if there were significant differences between the two groups (Cheah, Thurasamy, Memon, Chuah, and Ting, 2020). Before using PLS-MGA, MICOM was used to assess dimension invariance (Hair, Risher, Sarstedt, and Ringle, 2019). The MICOM procedure includes three steps: configural invariance, compositional invariance, and the
equality of composite mean values and variances (Cheah, Thurasamy, Memon, Chuah, and Ting, 2020).
Table 7
Measurement invariance test using MICOM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>Configurational invariance (step 1)</th>
<th>Compositional invariance (step 2)</th>
<th>Partial Measurement Invariance</th>
<th>Equal Variance Assessment (step 3a)</th>
<th>Equal Variance Assessment (step 3b)</th>
<th>Full Measurement Invariance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Original Correlation</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>Original Differences</td>
<td>Confidence Interval</td>
<td>Original Differences</td>
<td>Confidence Interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWOM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>[-0.122; 0.137]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-0.205</td>
<td>[-0.13; 0.121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-0.196</td>
<td>[-0.138; 0.128]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note(s): IN: Incentive; MO: Motivation; PWOM: Positive word-of-mouth.

Source: Table created by authors
According to the MICOM approach (Table 7), MGA was conducted after confirming compositional invariance. Table 8 reports the differences in the relationships between the models of the two groups. The effect of incentives on motivation was more significant for Japan/Korea ($\beta = 0.800$) than for Australia/U.K./U.S. ($\beta = 0.579$); thus, H4 was supported.

**Table 8**
Comparative results between Australia/U.K./U.S. and Japan/Korea.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original (Australia, U.K., and U.S.)</th>
<th>Original (Japan and Korea)</th>
<th>Bootstrap MGA</th>
<th>Permutation test</th>
<th>Supported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incentive -&gt; Future</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>-0.105</td>
<td>-0.105</td>
<td>No/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentive -&gt; Motivation</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.221***</td>
<td>Yes/Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation -&gt; Future</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>No/No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note(s): *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

Source: Table created by authors

5. Conclusions and implications

5.1 Conclusions

This study investigated how incentive needs drive the WOM motivation of international tourists with individualist and collectivist cultures in transmitting PWOM. Three hypothesized relationships were significant for Australia/U.K./U.S. and Japan/Korea. The effect of incentives on motivation, the effect of motivation on PWOM, and the effects of incentives on PWOM were significant and positive for Australia/U.K./U.S., and Japan/Korea. This suggests that the nine different incentive needs motivated individualist and collectivist tourists. Comparing the Australia/U.K./U.S. and Japan/Korea groups demonstrated that the nine incentive needs more significantly influenced WOM motivation for Japan/Korea than for Australia/U.K./U.S.

The results contribute to understanding the relationship between incentives, motivation,
and PWOM. First, the incentives directly affecting WOM motivation and PWOM were tested, and WOM motivation directly affected PWOM. All incentives significantly affected the Australia/U.K./U.S. and Japan/Korea groups. To be given an honorary title, persuasion from KOL, and be regarded as important role influenced the Australia/U.K./U.S. respondents the most. Free courses about the destination, social connections, and discounts on the next trip to China were more influential incentives for the Japan/Korea group. Relatedness incentives were more important for the Asian group, implying that those from collectivist cultures tend to prefer building and strengthening relationships (Kitirattarkarn, Araujo and Neijens, 2019); therefore, they tend to be more driven by relatedness needs. Finally, based on individualism and collectivist orientation, this research compared the models for both groups, and the results showed the critical role of cultural influence. Specifically, tourists from individualist and collectivist cultures were driven by nine incentives to communicate PWOM; however, incentives influenced tourists from collectivist cultures more.

The findings revealed that the effect of incentive type on motivation varied across national populations, and the intensity of the effects of incentive type on PWOM also varied. This extends the use of ERG theory to investigate whether tourism transmits PWOM. As for tourists from collectivist cultures, growth needs (If I got free courses about Beijing, such as language and culture, I would be more likely to recommend Beijing) were more influenced WOM motivation than other incentives.

5.2 Theoretical contributions

Theoretically, the research contributes to the understanding of the needs for incentives driving motivation and PWOM among international tourists in light of their cultural
orientations toward individualism and collectivism. Several contributions are made to the literature as a result of the research.

First, these results add new perspectives to the literature on the antecedents of WOM based on ERG theory. Knowing which incentives motivate different types of consumers to transmit WOM is essential for improving the understanding of the WOM phenomenon (Godes et al., 2005). These results add new perspectives to the literature on the antecedents of WOM based on ERG theory. In addition to the motivations for spreading WOM mentioned in previous articles, including self-involvement (Dichter, 1966), altruism (Sundaram, Mitra and Webster, 1998), self-enhancement (Sundaram, Mitra and Webster, 1998), and economic rewards (Hennig-Thurau, Gwinner, Walsh and Gremler, 2004), this research extends the study of WOM by adding new incentives in existence, relatedness, and growth needs, and reviews the incentives that drive travellers to spread PWOM from the perspective of ERG theory.

Second, this research confirmed that examining the cultural differences that impact PWOM can provide insight into tourist behavior. Individualism and collectivism were compared, and the findings indicated that Hofstede's (1980) national cultural theory was applicable to the study of PWOM in tourism. This analysis compared individualism and collectivism, and its findings confirm the applicability of Hofstede's (1980) national cultural theory to WOM research. Tourists with a more collectivist culture tend to be more driven by the incentives covered in this research than those with an individualist culture. The possible reasons are that people with a more robust individualist culture base their decisions on their perceptions (e.g., judgments of the one-sidedness of information) and are less susceptible to external
drives. In contrast, those with a collectivist culture rely more on the community/group and are more susceptible to external drives.

Third, this study demonstrated that international destinations can further benefit from tourists' needs to cultivate secure cultural capital. According to Bourdieu (2018), cultural capital can be divided into three types: embodied, objectified, and institutionalized. In this research, international tourists from Japan and Korea tended to give higher priority to the cultural courses about destinations, which reflects their tendency to secure embodied cultural capital. Embodied cultural capital can be identified in the form of embodied competencies or dispositions that carry cultural value (Crossley, 2001), like knowledge and skills. For international tourists from Western countries, the tendency to achieve institutionalized cultural capital, such as imposing recognition and reputation, was higher. This might reflect their tendency to secure institutionalized cultural capital (Bourdieu, 2018).

5.3 Practical implications
The findings provide critical practical implications for companies that adopt WOM marketing strategies to improve business performance. International marketers tend to segment global markets and consumers based on the country or culture to which the consumer belongs. This research shows that international destination managers should focus more on how to design incentives for honorary titles and KOL. The results indicate that managers should pay greater attention to international tourists' growth needs, such as awarding them honorary titles and recognizing them as important contributors.

This research supports the view that incentives influence motivation and behaviour context-dependently (Etter, 2023; Fang et al., 2013). The results suggest that marketers must
identify and focus on individual cultural orientations to use different incentives to motivate tourists to communicate PWOM based on cultural backgrounds. Relatedness needs should be addressed more for Japanese and Korean tourists, and social connections should be effectively utilized for them. A relationship marketing approach might be a more effective method of promoting positive word-of-mouth about international destinations (Samaha et al., 2014).

5.4 Limitations and future research needs

This research inevitably had some limitations. First, it included only one cultural dimension, individualism-collectivism orientation, in the research model to explore the relationship between drivers of WOM motivation and future behaviour (PWOM). This does not mean that other cultural dimensions do not influence the impact of incentives on WOM motivation. Other researchers should investigate the impacts of other cultural dimensions on WOM motivation in subsequent studies. Second, the design of this study focused on the effects of different types of motivations for PWOM cross-cultural differences. Therefore, the study did not statistically control individual differences, including personality traits (e.g., cognitive demand) and socioeconomic factors. Future research should consider controlling these respondent characteristics. Third, this study examined only the differences between two groups of countries but not the differences among countries within each group. It may be worthwhile to examine the differences between groups of countries as well as the differences among countries within a group.
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