Source terms for benchmarking models of SARS-CoV-2 transmission via aerosols and droplets

Marc E.J. Stettler^{*1}, Robert T. Nishida², Pedro M. de Oliveira³, Léo C.C. Mesquita³, Tyler J. Johnson³, Edwin R. Galea⁴, Angus Grandison⁴, John Ewer⁴, David Carruthers⁵, David Sykes⁶, Prashant Kumar⁷, Eldad Avital⁸, Asiri I.B. Obeysekara⁹, Denis Doorly¹⁰, Yannis Hardalupas¹¹, David C. Green¹², Simon Coldrick¹³, Simon Parker¹⁴, and Adam M. Boies³

¹Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK

²Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2G8, Canada

³Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 1PZ, UK ⁴Fire Safety Engineering Group, University of Greenwich, London, SE10 9LS, UK ⁵Cambridge Environmental Research Consultants Ltd, 3 Kings Parade, Cambridge, CB2

1SJ, UK

⁶AEROS Consultancy, Glasgow, G3 8SE, UK

⁷Global Centre for Clean Air Research (GCARE), Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, UK

⁸School of Engineering and Materials Science, Queen Mary University of London, London, E1 4NS, UK

⁹Applied Modelling and Computation Group, Department of Earth Science and Engineering, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK

¹⁰Department of Aeronautics, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK
 ¹¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK
 ¹²Environmental Research Group, School of Public Health, Imperial College London,

London, W12 0BZ, UK

¹³Health and Safety Executive, Harpur Hill, Buxton, Derbyshire, SK17 9JN
¹⁴Defence Science and Technology Laboratory, Porton Down, Salisbury, SP4 0JQ, UK

Supporting Information

SI-1 Tidal and minute volumes

The tidal volume (TV; [L]) is the volume of air exhaled in one breath. The minute volume (MV; $[L min^{-1}]$) is the volume of air exhaled in one minute. Therefore, MV can be written as the product

^{*}m.stettler@imperial.ac.uk

of the TV and the number of breaths in a minute $(n; [\min^{-1}])$.

$$TV = \frac{MV}{n}.$$
 (SI-1)

The sinusoidal model of breathing represented by Eq.1 in the main text defines the period (T; [s]) of the inhalation or exhalation as,

$$T_x = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_x},\tag{SI-2}$$

where the subscript x indicates either inhalation (in) or exhalation (out). The respiratory frequency $[\min^{-1}]$ is the number of inhalations or exhalations per minute,

$$RF_x = \frac{60}{T_x} = 30\frac{\beta_x}{\pi}.$$
 (SI-3)

Equivalently,

$$\beta_x = \frac{\pi RF_x}{30}.$$
 (SI-4)

To find the number of exhalations in a minute, n, consider that the total period of the cycle of inhalation followed by exhalation is the sum of half the period of inhalation and half the period of exhalation,

$$T = \frac{\pi}{\beta_{\rm in}} + \frac{\pi}{\beta_{\rm out}}.$$
 (SI-5)

Substituting for β_x gives,

$$T = 30 \left(\frac{\pi}{\mathrm{RF}_{\mathrm{in}}} + \frac{\pi}{\mathrm{RF}_{\mathrm{in}}} \right).$$
(SI-6)

The number of exhalations in a minute is therefore the number of times the period can complete in 60 seconds,

$$n = \frac{60}{T} = \frac{2\mathrm{RF}_{\mathrm{out}}\mathrm{RF}_{\mathrm{in}}}{\mathrm{RF}_{\mathrm{out}} + \mathrm{RF}_{\mathrm{in}}}.$$
 (SI-7)

Therefore, the tidal volume can be expressed as,

$$TV = \frac{MV}{n} = \frac{MV(RF_{out} + RF_{in})}{2RF_{out}RF_{in}}.$$
 (SI-8)

Correlations between the respiratory frequency and an individual's height (H; [cm]) and body mass (W; [kg]) are given by Gupta, Lin, and Chen (2010) for males and females separately,

$$RF_{in,male} = 55.55 - 0.3286H + 0.2602W,$$
(SI-9)

$$RF_{out,male} = 77.03 - 0.4542H + 0.2373W,$$
(SI-10)

$$RF_{in,female} = 46.43 - 0.1885H,$$
(SI-11)

$$RF_{out,female} = 54.47 - 0.2548H.$$
 (SI-12)

SI-2 Particle size distribution parameterisations

Table SI-1 includes the parameters of the exhaled particle size distributions from different studies included in Figure 3 of the main text. Parameters from Johnson et al. (2011) and Gregson et al. (2021) are taken directly from each of these articles. The data shown in Figure S10 of Asadi et al. (2019), representing the particle size distribution measured for one person, was obtained via personal communication and we fitted a bimodal lognormal distribution. We have assumed that the number concentration reported in all three studies can be directly compared and that differences in sampling procedures can be accounted for. In the case of Johnson et al. (2011), the concentration was corrected for plume dilution using water as a tracer gas, and for Gregson et al. (2021) and Asadi et al. (2019) we assumed that the reported concentration is undiluted as a result of these experiments only sampling a small proportion of the exhaled air flow rate into the APS.

Data from Chao et al. (2009) corresponds to the particle number concentration estimated using the laser volume (L.V.) method described in their study (Table 5 therein) and this was converted to $dN_k/d\log d_p$ by diving the number counts by the width of each size bin. The data point corresponding to a particle diameter of 6 µm was treated as an outlier and not included in the fitting procedure. To estimate particle number concentration using the data from Xie, Li, Sun, and Liu (2009) (Table 5 therein) and Duguid (1946) (Table 3 therein), it was necessary to divide the reported particle counts by the exhaled volume of air and we used a similar approach to that described by Johnson et al. (2011): we assumed an average exhaled flow rate for talking of 12 L^{-1} (c.f. Table 1 of the main text) (Gupta et al., 2010) and that it would take one second to count each number from 1 to 100, i.e. 100 s in total, leading to 20 L of exhaled air. We then calculated $dN_k/d\log d_p$ by dividing the number concentration in each size bin by the width of the size bin. For Duguid (1946), we fitted a tri-modal lognormal distribution as this gave a better fit to the data. However, this does not suggest that these three modes represent the BLO modes described by Johnson et al. (2011) and the data from Duguid (1946) and Xie et al. (2009) are representative of the oral mode due to the presence of food dye, which had been inserted in to the mouth prior to the experiment, in the observed particles.

Regarding the discrepancies in reported size distribution of oral particles, it is important to note that Duguid (1946), Xie et al. (2009) (deposition and microscopy) and Chao et al. (2009) (interferometric Mie imaging) used a single measurement technique that spanned the majority of the relevant particle size range for oral particles. While Xie et al. (2009) also included an aerosol spectrometer, they found that this instrument was not able to consistently measure exhaled droplets and therefore only measurements from the deposition method were reported. In contrast, Johnson et al. (2011) used a combination of APS and deposition measurements and they note that their droplet deposition technique was insensitive to particles with diameter less than 20 μ m. This may explain the apparent spectral gap in the *BLO* model and the significantly lower concentration relative to the other studies near 20 μ m, as shown in Figure 3 of the main text. We recommend that the oral size distributions for speaking are treated as highly uncertain and we encourage sensitivity studies. Further experimental work on full spectrum droplet measurement from respiratory activities should be a priority area for future research.

wily correspo	ond to the	BLO modes described b	oy Johnso	n et al.	(2011).						
			Mode 1			Mode 2			Mode 3		
	Legend	Activity	N	GMD	GSD	N	GMD	GSD	N	GMD	GSD
			cm^{-3}	цт	I	cm^{-3}	hm	I	cm^{-3}	hm	I
(11)	(ii)	Breathing	0.0540	0.81	1.30	0.0000					
	(ii)	$\operatorname{Speaking}$	0.0540	0.81	1.30	0.0684	1.20	1.66	0.00126	144.67	1.80
	(i)	Breathing (at mouth)	0.0540	1.61	1.30						
	(i)	Speaking (at mouth)	0.0540	1.61	1.30	0.0684	2.40	1.66	0.00126	144.67	1.80
(21)	(iii)	Speaking 70-80 dBA	0.3540	0.50	1.58	0.1000	1.34	1.48			
	(iv)	Speaking 90-100 dBA	0.7490	0.53	1.32	1.2230	1.28	1.78			
	ı	Singing 70-80 dBA	0.3950	0.52	1.32	0.4950	1.14	1.70			
	ı	Singing 90-100 dBA	1.0000	0.55	1.26	2.0930	1.27	1.82			
	(iii)	Breathing	0.4940	0.55	1.29	0.2660	1.07	1.32			
	(v)	Speaking	0.1540	0.71	1.42	0.0370	1.65	1.38			
_	(vi)	Speaking $(1-100)$	0.0647	18.30	2.56	0.0219	163.06	1.58			
	(vii)	Speaking $(1-100)$	0.0774	59.37	1.25	0.0342	110.19	1.55			
	(viii)	Speaking $(1-100)$	0.0108	13.65	2.40	0.0004	120.91	1.27	0.00028	342.85	1.65

Table SI-1: Parameters of the particle size distributions shown in Figure 3 of the main text. Note that the modes labelled 1-3 do

SI-3 Viral load in the B & L modes relative to the O mode

The number of gene copies present in a volume of air (C; [copies cm⁻³]) may be quantified by the particle volume concentration (V; [μ m³ cm⁻³]), e.g. from Table 2 in the main text, multiplied by the viral load (λ ; [copies mL⁻¹]) of the fluid particles contained in that volume of air, i.e.

$$C = V\lambda. \tag{SI-13}$$

For lack of evidence, previous studies (e.g. Stadnytskyi, Bax, Bax, and Anfinrud (2020)) have assumed a constant viral load for all exhaled particles. However, since the generation mechanisms of the B, L, and O modes are different and may be made up of fluid from different parts of the respiratory system, we can allow for different viral loads of gene copies within the fluid of each mode, and write that the total number of gene copies is the sum of the contribution from each mode,

$$C = \sum_{i} V_i \lambda_i \tag{SI-14}$$

where i indicates the bronchiolar (B), laryngeal (L) or oral (O) mode. Explicitly,

$$C = V_{\rm B}\lambda_{\rm B} + V_{\rm L}\lambda_{\rm L} + V_{\rm O}\lambda_{\rm O}.$$
 (SI-15)

Studies such as Coleman et al. (2021) report that a percentage (p^*) of the number of virus copies detected is present in particles with diameter (d_p) smaller than a threshold particle diameter $(d_p^*; [\mu m])$, where

$$p^* = \frac{C(d_{\rm p} \le d_{\rm p}^*)}{C}.$$
 (SI-16)

For example, in the case of Coleman et al. (2021), 85% of the gene copies were detected in particles smaller than 5 µm, and this can be interpreted as the ratio of total copy numbers $p^* = 0.85$ for threshold diameter of $d_p^* = 5$ µm.

We hypothesise that the implication of this empirical evidence is that there is a higher viral load in the B and L modes ($\lambda_{BL} = \lambda_B = \lambda_L$) compared with the viral load of the O mode (λ_O). Here, we solve the ratio in viral loads (r) required to explain the findings of the experimental studies, where

$$r = \frac{\lambda_{\rm BL}}{\lambda_{\rm O}}.$$
 (SI-17)

Combining SI-17 and SI-15 gives

$$C = \left(V_{\rm B} + V_{\rm L} + \frac{V_{\rm O}}{r}\right)\lambda_{\rm BL} \tag{SI-18}$$

and this can also be written as the virus copies in particles with diameter less than a threshold diameter as,

$$C(d_{\rm p} \le d_{\rm p}^*) = \left(V_{\rm B}(d_{\rm p} \le d_{\rm p}^*) + V_{\rm L}(d_{\rm p} \le d_{\rm p}^*) + \frac{V_{\rm O}(d_{\rm p} \le d_{\rm p}^*)}{r} \right) \lambda_{\rm BL}$$
(SI-19)

Then, combining SI-18, SI-19 and SI-16 and solving for r,

$$r = \frac{V_{\rm O}(d_{\rm p} \le d_{\rm p}^*) - V_{\rm O}p^*}{V_{\rm BL}p^* - V_{\rm BL}(d_{\rm p} \le d_{\rm p}^*)},\tag{SI-20}$$

where $V_{\rm BL} = V_{\rm B} + V_{\rm L}$. This equation can be used to determine the ratio of the viral load in the B and L modes to that in the O mode, given p^* and $d_{\rm p}^*$ and assuming a exhaled particle size distribution.

The final step is to make a judgment on whether the particles measured by an experiment represent the equilibrium particle size distribution or the particle size distribution at the mouth. In the case of Coleman et al. (2021), we judge that the particles are at equilibrium (i.e. they have been dried) as a result of dilution of the exhaled breath with room air and transport of the aerosol through a sampling system prior to collection of particles with an aerodynamic diameter greater than 5 µm via impaction. We note that in the original paper describing this apparatus, the exhaled air is diluted with 'humid' air that is delivered as a sheath flow around a sampling cone and that the individual is located in a booth with a humidifier (McDevitt et al., 2013). However, these measures do not appear in the image shown as Figure 1 in Coleman et al. (2021).

The effect of particle drying is to reduce the total particle volume and thus 'concentrate' the viral load in the B and L modes (recall that the O mode is not corrected for drying by Johnson et al. (2011)). Thus, to correct r to account for drying of particles and to calculate its value for particles as they exit the mouth, it should be multiplied by the ratio of the total particle volume in the B and L modes at equilibrium to the volume at the mouth,

$$r_{\rm Mo} = r_{\rm Eq} \frac{V_{\rm BL, Eq}}{V_{\rm BL, Mo}},\tag{SI-21}$$

where the subscripts 'Eq' and 'Mo' represent the particle size distribution at equilibrium and at the mouth, respectively. Values for $V_{\rm O}=9.46\times10^3$ µm³ cm⁻³, $V_{\rm O}(d_{\rm p} \leq d_{\rm p}^*)$, $V_{\rm BL}=0.217$ µm³ cm⁻³ and $V_{\rm BL}(d_{\rm p} \leq d_{\rm p}^*)$ corresponding to the equilibrium particle size distribution shown in Figure 3(b)(ii) (main text) for speaking are shown graphically in Figure SI-1. For particles exhaled during speaking, $\frac{V_{\rm BL,Eq}}{V_{\rm BL,Mo}}=0.1251$. In Figure SI-2 we show r as a function of p^* for $d_{\rm p}^*$ equal to 5µm and 10 µm.

Taking the results from Coleman et al. (2021), where $d_p^* = 5\mu m$ and $p^* = 0.85$, we can find that $V_{\rm O}(d_{\rm p} \leq d_p^*) \approx 0 \ \mu m^3 \ {\rm cm}^{-3}$ and $V_{\rm BL}(d_{\rm p} \leq d_p^*) = 0.197 \ \mu m^3 \ {\rm cm}^{-3}$. Thus, $r \approx 6 \times 10^5$, i.e. given assumptions on the particle size distribution, the viral load in the B and L modes must be 6×10^5 times the viral load in the O mode.



Figure SI-1: Cumulative particle volume concentration for the (a) O-mode and (b) sum of B and L modes for the equilibrium particle size distribution reported by Johnson et al. (2011).



Figure SI-2: Ratio of viral loads in the B and L modes relative to that in the O mode (r) as a function of the percentage (p^*) of virus copies detected for particles with diameter less than a threshold diameter (d_p^*) equal to 5µm and 10µm.

SI-4 Source terms for model calibration

Table SI-2 includes an additional set of terms that can be used to evaluate how model outputs change with respect to variations in the source terms. These source terms include differences in exhalation flow rates and source heights to represent the average British male and female (c.f. §2.1); differences in particle composition to represent pure water, low protein and high protein, which are consistent with de Oliveira, Mesquita, Gkantonas, Giusti, and Mastorakos (2021); and the full range of relative humidity, that is also consistent with de Oliveira et al. (2021). Because these source terms are mostly consistent with the ranges studied by de Oliveira et al. (2021), readers can use that paper to compare to their own model results, in the first instance.

Parameter	Units	Tidal breathing (nose)	Tidal breathing (mouth)	Speaking
Exhalation		~ /		
Area of opening	cm^2	0.71	1.20	1.80
Projection angle (side)	٥	$\theta_{n,s} = 60$	$\theta_{\rm m,s} = 0$	$\theta_{\rm m,s} = 0$
Jet expansion angle (side)	٥	$\phi_{\rm m,s} = 23$	$\phi_{\rm m,s} = 30$	$\phi_{\rm m,s} = 30$
Projection angle (front)	0	$\theta_{\rm n,f} = 69$	-	_
Jet expansion angle (front)	٥	$\phi_{n,f} = 21$	-	-
Temperature	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	34	34	34
Relative humidity	%	100	100	100
Source height	m	[1.37, 1.5]	[1.37, 1.5]	[1.37, 1.5]
Average flow rate	$L \min^{-1}$	[8.3, 10.6]	[8.3, 10.6]	12
Exhaled Particle Size Distribution				
Mode 1: GMD_1	μm	1.61	1.61	1.61
Mode 1: GSD_1	-	1.30	1.30	1.30
Mode 1: N_1	cm^{-3}	0.0540	0.0540	0.0540
Mode 2: GMD_2	μm	-	-	2.40
Mode 2: GSD_2	-	-	-	1.66
Mode 2: N_2	${\rm cm}^{-3}$	-	-	0.0684
Mode 3: GMD_3	μm	-	-	144.7
Mode 3: GSD_3	-	-	-	1.8
Mode 3: N_3	cm^{-3}	-	-	0.00126
Exhaled Particle Composition				
Composition: Salt, NaCl	$g L^{-1}$	[0, 9, 9]	[0, 9, 9]	[0, 9, 9]
Composition: Protein, BSA	${ m g}~{ m L}^{-1}$	[0, 3, 76]	[0, 3, 76]	[0, 3, 76]
Composition: Surfactant, DPPC	${ m g}~{ m L}^{-1}$	[0, 0.5, 0.5]	[0, 0.5, 0.5]	[0, 0.5, 0.5]
Molecular weight: NaCl	$g \text{ mol}^{-1}$	58.4	58.4	58.4
Molecular weight: BSA	$g \text{ mol}^{-1}$	66500	66500	66500
Molecular weight: DPPC	${\rm g}~{\rm mol}^{-1}$	734	734	734
Density: NaCl	${ m kg}~{ m m}^{-3}$	2160	2160	2160
Density: BSA	${\rm kg}~{\rm m}^{-3}$	1362	1362	1362
Density: DPPC	${\rm kg}~{\rm m}^{-3}$	1082	1082	1082
Environmental conditions				
Temperature	°C	20	20	20
Pressure	atm	1	1	1
Relative humidity	%	[0-100]	[0-100]	[0-100]

Table SI-2: Parameters for calibrating models of exhaled particles. The different exhalation parameters reflect differences between the average British male and female. Different specifications of particle composition and environmental conditions are consistent with de Oliveira et al. (2021).

References

- Asadi, S., Wexler, A. S., Cappa, C. D., Barreda, S., Bouvier, N. M., & Ristenpart, W. D. (2019). Aerosol emission and superemission during human speech increase with voice loudness. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 1–10.
- Chao, C., Wan, M., Morawska, L., Johnson, G., Ristovski, Z., Hargreaves, M., ... Katoshevski, D. (2009). Characterization of expiration air jets and droplet size distributions immediately at the mouth opening. *Journal of Aerosol Science*, 40(2), 122 - 133.
- Coleman, K. K., Tay, D. J. W., Sen Tan, K., Ong, S. W. X., Son, T. T., Koh, M. H., ... Wai, T. K. (2021, 08). Viral Load of SARS-CoV-2 in Respiratory Aerosols Emitted by COVID-19 Patients while Breathing, Talking, and Singing. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab691 (ciab691)
- de Oliveira, P. M., Mesquita, L. C. C., Gkantonas, S., Giusti, A., & Mastorakos, E. (2021). Evolution of spray and aerosol from respiratory releases: theoretical estimates for insight on viral transmission. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 477*(2245), 20200584. Retrieved from https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/abs/10.1098/rspa.2020.0584
- Duguid, J. P. (1946). The size and the duration of air-carriage of respiratory droplets and dropletnuclei. *Journal of Hygiene*, 44(6), 471–479.
- Gregson, F. K., Watson, N. A., Orton, C. M., Haddrell, A. E., McCarthy, L. P., Finnie, T. J. R., ... others (2021). Comparing aerosol concentrations and particle size distributions generated by singing, speaking and breathing. *Aerosol Science and Technology*, 1–14.
- Gupta, J. K., Lin, C.-H., & Chen, Q. (2010). Characterizing exhaled airflow from breathing and talking. *Indoor Air*, 20(1), 31–39.
- Johnson, G. R., Morawska, L., Ristovski, Z. D., Hargreaves, M., Mengersen, K., Chao, C. Y. H., ... others (2011). Modality of human expired aerosol size distributions. *Journal of Aerosol Science*, 42(12), 839–851.
- McDevitt, J. J., Koutrakis, P., Ferguson, S. T., Wolfson, J. M., Fabian, M. P., Martins, M., ... Milton, D. K. (2013). Development and performance evaluation of an exhaled-breath bioaerosol collector for influenza virus. *Aerosol Science and Technology*, 47(4), 444-451. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2012.762973 (PMID: 23418400)
- Stadnytskyi, V., Bax, C. E., Bax, A., & Anfinrud, P. (2020). The airborne lifetime of small speech droplets and their potential importance in SARS-CoV-2 transmission. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 117(22), 11875–11877.
- Xie, X., Li, Y., Sun, H., & Liu, L. (2009). Exhaled droplets due to talking and coughing. *Journal* of the Royal Society Interface, 6.