

1 **TITLE PAGE**

2 **MANUSCRIPT TITLE**

3 Acceptability of a novel levofloxacin dispersible tablet formulation in young children
4 exposed to multidrug-resistant tuberculosis

5 **AUTHORS**

6 SE Purchase MD¹, AJ Garcia-Prats MD¹, P De Koker MSc¹, HR Draper MSc¹, M Osman
7 MD¹, JA Seddon PhD^{1,2}, HS Schaaf MD (Paed)¹, AC Hesselning PhD¹

8 **AFFILIATIONS**

9 ¹Desmond Tutu TB Centre, Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Faculty of Medicine
10 and Child Health, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town

11 ²Centre for International Child Health, Imperial College London

12 **NAME AND ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE**

13 Dr Susan Purchase, Desmond Tutu TB Centre, Department of Paediatrics and Child Health,
14 Faculty of Medicine and Child Health, Stellenbosch University, Francie van Zijl Drive,
15 Tygerberg, PO Box 241, Cape Town 8000; Tel: +27219389631 Fax: +27219389719 Email:
16 purchase@sun.ac.za

17 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

18 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

19 **SOURCES OF FUNDING**

20 Joint Global Health Trials Scheme of the Department for International Development, UK
21 (DFID), Wellcome Trust; Medical Research Council (MRC UK) (Grant # MR/M007340/1),
22 South African Medical Research Council (SA MRC) Strategic Health Innovation
23 Partnerships (SHIP) (PI: AC Hesselning); South African National Research Foundation
24 SARChI Chair in Paediatric TB (A. Hesselning).

25

26 **KEY WORDS**

27 Levofloxacin, acceptability, children, resistant, tuberculosis

28 **ABBREVIATED/RUNNING HEAD TITLE**

29 Levofloxacin acceptability in children

30

31 **ABSTRACT (60 word limit)**

32 Levofloxacin is used for the treatment and prevention of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in
33 children, but current adult formulations are poorly palatable. A questionnaire administered to
34 caregivers of 27 children taking a novel 100 mg dispersible taste-masked levofloxacin tablet
35 found the new formulation to be more palatable (69%) and easier to prepare (81%) than the
36 adult formulation. This formulation may assist children to better adhere to anti-tuberculous
37 therapy.

38

39 **INTRODUCTION**

40

41 There is now consensus that children should have access to medicines that are specifically
42 designed and evaluated for paediatric use (1). Acceptability, defined as ‘the overall ability
43 and willingness of the patient to use, and its caregiver to administer, the medicine as
44 intended’ may substantially affect a patient’s experience of treatment, and their adherence (1,
45 2). Acceptability of a medication is driven both by the characteristics of the user and by the
46 properties of the medicinal product, including palatability (the taste of the product),
47 swallowability, appearance, dosing, complexity of modification before dosing, and mode of
48 administration (3). There is increasing awareness that children are unique and that
49 acceptability should be studied in children themselves.

50

51 Modelled estimates suggest that 2 million children globally are currently infected with
52 multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB; defined as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* with
53 resistance to at least isoniazid and rifampicin), with 25,000 children progressing to MDR-TB
54 disease each year (4). It is currently unclear whether MDR-TB preventive chemotherapy
55 should be used, with no clear guidance from the World Health Organisation (WHO) (5). The
56 fluoroquinolones are a safe and effective component of treatment regimens for MDR-TB
57 disease in children and an increasing number of observational studies suggest that the
58 fluoroquinolones may also treat MDR-TB infection (6). Treatment of both MDR-TB
59 infection and disease in children require daily fluoroquinolone use for at least 6 months (7).

60

61 Adult formulations of moxifloxacin and levofloxacin are not dispersible and are bitter when
62 crushed. Thus, there is an urgent need for child-friendly formulations of fluoroquinolones,
63 and for any new formulations to be appropriately assessed for acceptability in children, prior
64 to their use in clinical trials and routine care.

65

66 TB-CHAMP is a phase III, placebo-controlled trial to assess the efficacy and safety of
67 levofloxacin to prevent TB disease in healthy child household contacts of infectious MDR-
68 TB cases. A new, child-friendly dispersible formulation of levofloxacin was developed
69 (Macleods Pharmaceuticals, Mumbai, India) for this study and received WHO
70 Prequalification in February 2018. Here we report on the acceptability to children and
71 caregivers of this novel formulation as part of an open-label pharmacokinetic (PK) lead-in
72 study.

73

74 **METHODS**

75 *Design and population*

76 This study was implemented in Cape Town, South Africa. Participants were children <5
77 years in household contact with an adult MDR-TB index case diagnosed during the previous
78 6 months. Exclusion criteria for children included TB disease at enrolment, being on
79 isoniazid or a fluoroquinolone for more than 16 weeks, having been treated for TB in the
80 previous 12 months and known concurrent exposure to an isoniazid-susceptible source case.
81 In Cape Town, the “standard of care” for MDR-TB child contacts is a regimen consisting of
82 levofloxacin (adult 250mg formulation), ethambutol and high-dose isoniazid. On enrolment
83 into the study (first visit), this regimen was temporarily interrupted and children were started
84 on weight-banded doses (15-20mg/kg) of levofloxacin with the novel dispersible formulation.
85 At the second (final) visit at 7-14 days (when steady state was reached and dependent on
86 participant and study team availability), PK sampling and acceptability evaluations were
87 undertaken and the routine regimen was restarted.

88

89 *Medication*

90 Levofloxacin 100 mg tablets were developed in collaboration with Macleods Pharmaceuticals
91 (Mumbai, India) and are taste-masked (orange-peppermint flavour) and dispersible (product
92 specifications indicate a disintegration time of 1 minute at 15-25°C). The tablets are
93 off-white to pale yellow coloured, capsule shaped, biconvex, uncoated, with a break-line on
94 one side and a plain surface on the other.

95

96 *Administration*

97 Levofloxacin tablets were administered by study personnel at the initial and second visit
98 when PK sampling was undertaken. The remainder of the tablets were given once daily by
99 caregivers at home with clear instructions provided by the research team. Dosing was

100 carefully demonstrated at the first visit, and caregivers were shown how to add tablets and
101 water to a syringe or cup, wait for dissolution (2-3 min), administer to the child and then rinse
102 the container with additional water and administer to ensure all tablet residue was swallowed.
103 At home, tablets were administered whole, crushed or dissolved in water, based on the
104 caregivers' choice. The initial water volume recommended by the manufacturer for
105 dispersion was 50 ml per tablet, which was not feasible in this age group. We initially used
106 10 ml water, which was reduced to 2.5 ml per tablet after the first few participants were
107 enrolled, as we observed that the tablets dissolved easily in small volumes of water, and that
108 both children and caregivers preferred the smaller volumes. Residue in the dosing container
109 necessitated a 2.5 ml water rinse to ensure that the entire dose was swallowed. The simplest
110 way to dose very young children was to place the tablet in a syringe, draw up the required
111 amount of water, invert to allow for full dispersion, and then administer using the syringe.

112

113 *Data collection and statistical analysis*

114 The research team observed levofloxacin dosing on the last day of the trial (day 7-14) to
115 assess how the child took the dose. An acceptability questionnaire was administered to the
116 child's caregiver by the research team to assess study drug acceptability at home. The
117 questionnaire consisted of: i) six items soliciting ranked responses from the caregivers
118 regarding their opinions about drug administration and acceptability and ii) four questions
119 soliciting categorical responses to assess and describe the study drug administration. The
120 ranked responses used 5 point Likert scales and these results were displayed using stacked
121 bar plots, with the 5 point Likert data merged into three categories (Figure 1). All analyses
122 were conducted using Stata 14.0 Special Edition (StataCorp. 2015. *Stata Statistical Software:
123 Release 14*. College Station, TX; StataCorp LP.)

124

125 *Ethical considerations*

126 This study was approved by the Health Research Ethics Committee of Stellenbosch
127 University (M16/02/009), the South African Health Products Regulatory Agency (20160128)
128 and the South African Department of Health (DOH-27-0117-5309). Informed consent was
129 provided by all participants' parents or legal guardians.

130

131 **RESULTS**

132 Twenty-seven children, median age 1.9 years (interquartile range [IQR] 0.8-2.7), were
133 enrolled; 16 (59%) were male, none were living with HIV and all were clinically well.
134 During observed dosing at the final visit, 22 (85%) children swallowed the entire dose or
135 swallowed the dose with minimal spillage, 3 (12%) children refused the dose and 1 (4%) spat
136 it out (n = 26; one child was not observed as they had incorrectly dosed the child the night
137 before and were thus withdrawn from the PK study). Caregiver responses to the questionnaire
138 indicated that at home one child swallowed the tablets whole, another was given the tablets
139 crushed with food and 25 (93%) drank the tablets dissolved in water. Twenty-two of 27
140 caregivers (82%) felt the size of the tablet was acceptable and 23/25 (92%) felt that the
141 volume of dispersion was acceptable; 11/27 (41%) gave either food or liquid after dosing, to
142 help the child take the medication.

143

144 Further results from the acceptability questionnaire are summarised in Figure 1. The novel
145 levofloxacin formulation was reported to be more palatable (18/26; 69%) and easier to
146 prepare (21/26; 81%) than the adult levofloxacin formulation used. Overall, the novel
147 levofloxacin formulation was very acceptable to children and their caregivers.

148

149 The full questionnaire is provided as supplementary material. Data regarding the PK and the
150 safety are reported elsewhere (*in progress*).

151

152 **DISCUSSION**

153 Our results indicate good overall acceptability of this new 100 mg child-friendly, taste-
154 masked, dispersible formulation. Children swallowed the drug easily and caregivers were
155 satisfied with the tablet size and drug volume when dispersed. 2.5 ml is considered an
156 acceptable volume for children aged 1- <5 years to swallow (7). Infants under 6 months
157 probably need smaller volumes, which may be feasible considering our observation of this
158 formulation. The much improved acceptability of this dispersible formulation over existing
159 adult tablets provides strong evidence for TB programmes to take up this formulation for
160 paediatric MDR-TB therapy, as it is now WHO prequalified and available from the Global
161 Drug Facility (9).

162

163 Potential disadvantages of dispersible tablets generally include low dosing flexibility and
164 large volumes needed for dissolution (10). However, we found that by halving these
165 levofloxacin scored tablets, dosing flexibility was adequate. Small dispersion volumes
166 reduced the risk of mis-dosing, although a small rinse was required to ensure that no residue
167 remained. We demonstrate that the large volumes recommended for dispersing these tablets
168 are not required.

169

170 Our study was limited by the fact that these young children's reactions and opinions were not
171 directly evaluated. There are methods suitable for use in non-verbal children (such as Facial
172 Action Coding System), however these are highly subjective and dependent on availability of
173 specific expertise. Our study did not involve direct and immediate comparison of

174 formulations. Caregivers were asked to compare the study formulation with their memory of
175 previous regimens, which is open to recall, acquiescence and sponsor bias. Factors such as
176 mouth-feel and texture were not assessed in this study due to the children's young age.

177

178 Further planned work includes the administration of similar assessments to larger numbers of
179 caregivers during the TB-CHAMP trial (both for levofloxacin and matched placebo), in
180 conjunction with adherence data which may allow exploration of any association between
181 adherence and trial outcomes. During the trial, the formulation used will switch from the
182 adult 250 mg formulation to this new dispersible formulation; formal comparisons between
183 the two are planned with a pharmacokinetic bridging study. We are planning separate direct
184 palatability comparison studies in healthy adult volunteers and older children. This work will
185 enable evaluation of ontogenic taste development in children, and also formulation properties
186 such as after-taste, smell and mouth feel/texture. We also plan to conduct more in-depth
187 qualitative work on caregivers and children's experiences of levofloxacin-based MDR-TB
188 preventive therapy.

189

190 In conclusion, we found good acceptability of a novel dispersible paediatric levofloxacin
191 formulation in young children. There is a need for further work to more formally evaluate this
192 and other novel antituberculosis formulations in children. Child-friendly formulations are
193 likely to help children and their caregivers adhere better to children's antituberculosis
194 treatment. This levofloxacin dispersible tablet formulation is now available from the Global
195 Drug Facility and TB programmes should consider providing this formulation for children
196 treated for MDR-TB.

197

198 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

199 The authors thank the caregivers and children who participated in this study, and the staff of
200 the Desmond Tutu TB Centre Paediatric Pharmacokinetics Unit.

201

202 Supplemental Digital Content 1. Questionnaire

203

204 **REFERENCES**

- 205 1. European Medicines Agency. Guideline on pharmaceutical development of medicines for
206 paediatric use 2013. Available at:
207 [http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2013/07/WC5](http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2013/07/WC500147002.pdf)
208 [00147002.pdf](http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2013/07/WC500147002.pdf). Accessed 21 May, 2018.
- 209 2. Baguley D, Lim E, Bevan A, Pallet A, Faust SN. Prescribing for children - taste and
210 palatability affect adherence to antibiotics: a review. *Arch Dis Child*. 2012;97:293-297.
- 211 3. Kozarewicz P. Regulatory perspectives on acceptability testing of dosage forms in
212 children. *Int J Pharm*. 2014;469:245-248.
- 213 4. Dodd PJ, Sismanidis C, Seddon JA. Global burden of drug-resistant tuberculosis in
214 children: a mathematical modelling study. *The Lancet Inf Dis*. 2016;16:1193-1201.
- 215 5. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. Latent tuberculosis infection: Updated
216 and consolidated guidelines for programmatic management 2018. Available at:
217 <http://www.who.int/tb/publications/2018/latent-tuberculosis-infection/en/>. Accessed 6
218 August, 2018.
- 219 6. Marks SM, Mase SR, Morris SB. Systematic Review, Meta-analysis, and Cost-
220 effectiveness of Treatment of Latent Tuberculosis to Reduce Progression to Multidrug-
221 Resistant Tuberculosis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017;64:1670-1677.
- 222 7. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. WHO treatment guidelines for drug-
223 resistant tuberculosis. 2016 update. WHO/HTM/TB/2016.04. Available at:
224 [http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/250125/9789241549639-](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/250125/9789241549639-eng.pdf?sequence=1)
225 [eng.pdf?sequence=1](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/250125/9789241549639-eng.pdf?sequence=1). Accessed 11 June, 2018.
- 226 8. van Riet-Nales DA, de Neef BJ, Schobben AF, Ferreira JA, Egberts TC, Rademaker CM.
227 Acceptability of different oral formulations in infants and preschool children. *Arch Dis Child*.
228 2013;98:725-731.

- 229 9. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. Medicines/Finished pharmaceutical
230 products [WHO Prequalification of Medicines web site]. Available at:
231 <https://extranet.who.int/prequal/content/prequalified-lists/medicines>. Accessed 23 October,
232 2018.
- 233 10. Walsh J, Ranmal SR, Ernest TB K, et al. Patient acceptability, safety and access: A
234 balancing act for selecting age-appropriate oral dosage forms for paediatric and geriatric
235 populations. *Int J Pharm.* 2018;536:547-562.
- 236
- 237

238 **Figure 1. Stacked bar plots representing ranked responses from an acceptability**
239 **questionnaire in children receiving a novel levofloxacin paediatric formulation (N=27).**

240 *One child was not yet taking preventive therapy when enrolled on this study, hence the
241 n=26.

242 Footnote: Some categories from the 5-point Likert scale have been merged for the sake of
243 clarity

244

245