

**Microstrip Triplexer using a common triple-mode resonator**

Journal:	<i>Microwave and Optical Technology Letters</i>
Manuscript ID	MOP-17-1599
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	21-Nov-2017
Complete List of Authors:	El-Tokhy, Amira; University of Greenwich, Engineering science Wu, Ruiheng; University of Greenwich, Engineering Science Wang, Yi; University of Greenwich Faculty of Engineering and Science, Engineering Science; University of Greenwich
Keywords:	Triplexer, Stub-loaded resonator

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

view

Microstrip Triplexer using a common triple-mode resonator

Amira El-Tokhy^{1*}, Ruiheng Wu¹, Yi Wang¹

¹ Department of Engineering Science, University of Greenwich, Chatham Maritime, Kent, ME4 4TB, UK

*a.e.a.eltokhy@gre.ac.uk

Abstract: An all-resonator based triplexer is presented using a double-stub-loaded resonator (DSLRL) that acts as a common resonator at the junction of the three channels. The open stub DSLRL has been analysed using even and odd-mode method to reveal the relationship between the three resonant modes. The design offers flexibility of frequency selection. The DSLRL resonator is coupled with three sets of hairpin resonators to form the triplexer at 1.8, 2.1, and 2.6 GHz for mobile communication applications. The measurement results are in very good agreement with the simulations.

1. Introduction

As wireless communication technology advances, devices supporting multiple standards and multiple bands are increasingly desired in mobile communications and satellite systems. For instance, a triplexer may be required to connect the stack of filters for the DCS1800 band, UMTS2100 band and some LTE bands. Traditionally a multiplexer is formed of channel filters which are designed separately and then combined using a transmission-line based junction. Different types of junctions have been used over the years, such as T-junctions, manifolds or star junctions [1]. Recently a new concept, the so-called multi-port filtering network (MPFN), has been developed [2]. The main difference between the conventional two-port filter and the MPFN is the junction resonators, which are responsible for directing the signal to multiple ports. It has been the focus of many researches, as it has showed advantages on circuit size reduction as well as the capability of incorporating new device configurations [3].


The junction resonator, or as also called the common resonator, has a major role to play in the MPFN design. It can be a single [4] or multi-mode [5] resonator. A single-mode resonator is relatively easy to implement but often put restrictions on the achievable overall bandwidth of the device. For diplexers or multiplexers with widely separate channels, it is desirable to use multi-mode resonators that are capable of producing multiple resonances. It is a particular advantage to have controllable resonance frequencies. One of the most used is the stub loaded resonator (SLR). It consists of a half-wavelength resonator and an open or a shorted stub. The stub provides an additional frequency band to achieve a dual-band resonator. This gives the advantage of controlling the frequencies flexibly by tuning the lengths of the stubs [6-8]. An open-stub-loaded eight-mode resonator was presented in [9], which used group of open stubs and one shorted stub to create eight modes. In [10] a triple mode SLR was used but only for a diplexer design. Asymmetric stub-loaded resonators have been used in [11] to design a wide stopband tri-band filter. The combined open and short stub loaded resonators had been presented in [10], [12-14] for a triple mode resonator in filters, diplexers and power dividers. The stubs were used to control the second and third resonant frequencies. The same approach was used recently where a triple-mode SLR was used to design a triplexer in [15].

This letter presents an all-resonator based triplexer that uses a folded double-stub-loaded-resonator (DSLRL) as it replaces the junction and distributes the signal into three paths. Previous works used mixed open and short stubs to give good isolation, but limited the structural topology. Different from previous work, all stubs used in this paper are open for the ease of implementation in microstrip lines.

2. Design of tri-mode DSLRL

The single open stub loaded resonator has been studied extensively [16] as a dual-mode resonator. The tri-mode resonator with three resonant frequencies is designed here based on the double SLR (DSLRL).

To achieve triple modes, two open stubs form a cross-shape stub loaded resonator as shown in Fig. 1(a). The half wavelength resonator ($2 \times L1$) resonates at 1.8 GHz. The two stubs are located in the middle of the half wave resonator. The lower longer stub (L2) helps to realise the 2.1 GHz resonance, whereas the shorter upper stub (L3) to realise the 2.6 GHz resonance. θ_1 , θ_2 and θ_3 are the corresponding electrical lengths. Due to the symmetric structure of the resonator, odd and even mode analysis has been used as shown in Fig. 1.






Fig. 1. Proposed triple-mode DSLR and its odd and even equivalent circuits.

(a) Layout, (b) Odd mode equivalent circuit layout, and (c) Even mode equivalent circuit layout

Fig. 1(b) shows the equivalent circuit for the odd mode, when the junction represents a short circuit. (1) can be used to obtain the odd mode frequency.

$$f_{\text{odd}} = \frac{(2n-1)c}{2L_1\sqrt{\epsilon_e}} \quad (1)$$

where c is the speed of light and ϵ_e is the effective dielectric constant. The odd mode frequency is designed to be 1.8 GHz.

For the even mode, the equivalent circuit is reduced to a T-shaped single stub loaded resonator as shown in Fig. 1(c). Due to the open circuit boundary condition at the symmetric plane, the impedances of the stubs (with θ_2 and θ_3) are doubled to $2Z_2$ and $2Z_3$. There is no symmetry in this stub loaded resonator structure and its dual mode resonant property has been studied in [5]. The input admittance can be expressed as

$$Y_{\text{in}} = -jY_1 \frac{Y_1 \tan(R_f \theta_1) + Y_2 \tan(R_f \theta_2) + Y_3 \tan(R_f \theta_3)}{\tan(R_f \theta_1)[Y_2 \tan(R_f \theta_2) + Y_3 \tan(R_f \theta_3)] - Y_1} \quad (2)$$

where $Y_1 = 1/Z_1$, $Y_2 = 1/(2Z_2)$, $Y_3 = 1/(2Z_3)$. R_f is the ratio between the first two resonance frequencies of the single SLR. In this design, it is also the ratio between the second (2.1 GHz) and the third (2.6 GHz) resonance of the DSLR. Therefore, the resonant condition is:

$$\frac{\tan R_f \theta_1}{Z_1} + \frac{\tan R_f \theta_2}{2Z_2} + \frac{\tan R_f \theta_3}{2Z_3} = 0 \quad (3)$$

This design assumes equal line width of the DSLR, i.e. $Z_1 = Z_2 = Z_3$. The targeted two resonances are 2.1 and 2.6 GHz in this work.

Fig. 2(a) illustrates the relationship between the resonance ratio R_f and the electrical length of θ_3 when the rest of the parameters are fixed. It should be noted that the three electrical lengths θ_1 , θ_2 and θ_3 are defined at the first resonance of the even-mode equivalent circuit, i.e. 2.1 GHz. Since θ_1 is 90° at 1.8 GHz, it is fixed at 105° for 2.1 GHz. Also, θ_2 is varied at 80° , 90° , 100° and 110° at 2.1 GHz. The ratio R_f varies against θ_3 , illustrating the degree of freedom that the design has to resonate at controllable frequencies as shown in Table 1. From Fig. 2(a), when the desired $R_f = 2.6/2.1 = 1.23$, θ_3 is found to be 64.2° at 2.1 GHz.

The calculated L_1 , L_2 and L_3 from (3) are 105° (25.03 mm), 90° (21.44 mm), and 64.2° (15.28 mm) at 2.1 GHz. Table 2 shows the solutions for θ_3 as θ_2 changes for $R_f = 1.23$.

Table 1 The physical lengths at the three bands and its corresponding lengths.

	At 1.8 GHz ($^\circ$)	At 2.1 GHz ($^\circ$)	At 2.6 GHz ($^\circ$)	Calculated lengths (mm)	Optimized lengths (mm)
θ_1	90	105	103.3	25.03	25.0
θ_2	77.1	90	111.6	21.44	21.1
θ_3	55.0	64.2	79.6	15.28	15.3

Table 2 Solutions for θ_2 , θ_3 and the corresponding L2 and L3 for $R_f = 1.23$.

θ_2 ($^\circ$)	θ_3 ($^\circ$)	L2 (mm)	L3 (mm)
80	68.1	19.06	16.23
90	64.2	21.44	15.28
100	61.8	23.82	14.71
110	60.0	26.20	14.29

Based on the $R_f \sim \theta$ relationship, a DSLR resonator is designed using Sonnet. The S-parameter responses show the three modes in Fig. 2(b). Roger 3004C substrate with $\epsilon_r = 3.55$ and a thickness of 1.524 mm is chosen. The DSLR achieved the targeted frequencies with $L_1 = 25$ mm, $L_2 = 21.1$ mm, and $L_3 = 15.3$ mm after optimization. These values are in good agreement with the calculations as shown in Table 1.




Fig. 2. The triple-mode DSLR physical lengths analysis and its simulated resonances.

(a) R_f as a function of the electrical length θ_2 and θ_3 . (b) The simulated resonances of the DSLR.

Fig. 3 shows the simulated current distributions of the DSLR showing the current concentration in the lower stub at 2.1 GHz and in the upper stub at 2.6 GHz. At 1.8 GHz, no current flows into the two stubs.




Fig. 3. Simulated current distribution of the DSLR.

(a) 1.8 GHz, (b) 2.1 GHz, and (c) 2.6 GHz

3. Design of triplexer

The triplexer is designed using an all-resonator based topology. A folded DSLR is used to replace the conventional impedance matching junction and distribute the signal into three paths. The first path is via the odd mode of the DSLR which is coupled with a half wavelength hairpin resonator. The second path is via the longer open stub coupled with a hairpin resonator at 2.1 GHz. The third path is via the shorter open stub of the DSLR. The topology is shown in Fig. 4. The white circles represent the resonators. The circle marked with XR represents the common triple-mode DSLR resonator while the circles with R are the single mode hairpin resonators.




Fig. 4. The proposed triplexer coupling topology.




Fig. 5. Layout of the proposed triplexer.

In this topology, the input port P1 is designed to couple to the three modes of the DSLR respectively. So, the coupling structure can be characterised using three independent 2nd-order filters all with a return loss of 20 dB. So corresponding coupling matrix and external quality factor calculated as follows:

$$M^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.023 & 0 \\ 0.023 & 0 & 0.023 \\ 0 & 0.023 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.0316 & 0 \\ 0.0316 & 0 & 0.0316 \\ 0 & 0.0316 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.0265 & 0 \\ 0.0265 & 0 & 0.0265 \\ 0 & 0.0265 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$Q_{ex} = 47.5, 34.12, 41.69$$


After a process of Q and M extraction for each resonator individually; the final stage is to assemble all the resonators as illustrated in Fig. 5. A fork shape input feed has been used to reach the required external quality factor for each of the three modes. It should also be noted that non-uniform impedance lines have been used in the DSLR to facilitate coupling.

4. Simulated and Measured Results

Fig. 6 shows the simulated results using Sonnet in comparison with the measurements from a N5230A Network analyser. Very good agreement has been achieved. There is a slight shift in frequencies because of fabrication tolerance. The central frequencies are 1.88, 2.1, and 2.6 GHz; The FBW achieved are 0.86%, 1.4%, 0.96% respectively. The return losses are better than 15 dB for all three channels.

The 2.1 GHz passband from Port 2 has an insertion loss of 1.3 dB and rejects the other two bands to below -43 dB. Likewise, the third band was extracted at Port 3 with 2.3 dB insertion loss while rejecting the other two bands to below -48 dB. For the first band, the insertion loss is 3.2 dB. The rejection is 21 dB to the 2.1 GHz band and 36 dB to the 2.6 GHz band.

Regarding ports isolation, the isolation between Ports 3 and 4 is found to be over 43 dB. This is over 42 dB between Port 2 and 3. The isolation between Port 4 and 2 is the worst at around 1.88 GHz, which is at 27 dB. The isolation performance in Fig. 6 (c) shows that, although the design used all open circuits, reasonably good isolation is still achieved.






Fig. 6. Triplexer measurement versus simulation.

(a) Transmission, (b) reflection, and (c) isolation between the three output ports

Conclusion

This letter proposes and analyses a double-stub-loaded resonator (DSLRL) and uses it as the common resonator at a four-port triplexer. The analytical analysis and simulations have demonstrated the flexible control of the three resonances. Replacing the short stubs used in previous works with open stubs eases the implementation using microstrip technologies and still provides reasonably good isolation between the three bands. The simulated and measured results were found to be in good agreement.

References

- [1] Cameron, R., Kudsia, C., and Mansour, R.: 'Microwave filters for communication systems' (Wiley, 2007)
- [2] Wang, Y., Lancaster, M. J.: 'An Investigation on the coupling characteristics of a novel multiplexer configuration'. the 43rd European Microwave Conference, Nuremberg, Germany, Oct 2013, pp. 900-903
- [3] Shang, X., Wang, Y., Xia, W., et al.: 'Novel Multiplexer Topologies Based on All-Resonator Structures', IEEE Trans. Microwave Theo. Tech., 2013, 61, (11), pp. 3838-3845
- [4] Skaik T., AbuHussain, M.: 'Design of diplexers for E-

- Band Communication systems'. 13th Mediterranean Microwave Symposium (MMS), Saida, Lebanon, Sept. 2013, pp. 1-4
- [5] Chuang, M. L., Wu, M. T.: 'Microstrip diplexer design using common T-shaped resonator', IEEE Microw. Wirel. Components Lett., 2011, 21, (11), pp. 583-585
- [6] Kim, J. H., Kim, B. C., Byun, Y. T., et al.: 'Tri-band bandpass filter using stub loaded resonators', Electron. Lett., 2008, 43, (12), pp. 747-749
- [7] Zhang, X. Y., Chen, J. X., Xue, Q., et al.: 'Dual-band bandpass filters using stub-loaded resonators', IEEE Microw. Wirel. Components Lett., 2007, 17, (8), pp. 583-585
- [8] Mondal, P., Mandal, M. K.: 'Design of Dual-Band Bandpass Filters Using Stub-Loaded Open-Loop Resonators', IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, 2008, 56, (1), pp. 150-155
- [9] Gao, L., Zhang, X. Y., Xue, Q.: 'Compact Tri-Band Bandpass Filter Using Novel Eight-Mode Resonator for 5G WiFi Application', IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters, 2015, 25, (10), pp. 660-662
- [10] Choocadee, S., Intarawiset, N., Tantivivat, S.: 'Compact microstrip diplexer using triple-mode stub loaded resonators'. IEEE MTT-S International Conference on Microwaves for Intelligent Mobility, Nagoya, Japan, March 2017, pp. 9-12
- [11] Lan, S. W., Weng, M. H., Chang, S. J., et al.: 'A tri-band bandpass filter with wide stopband using asymmetric stub-loaded resonators', IEEE Microw. Wirel. Components Lett., 2015, 25, (1), pp. 19-21
- [12] Li, C., Chen, J., Tang, H., et al.: 'Tri-band bandpass filter with wide stop-band using stub-loaded triple-mode resonator', J. Electromagn. Waves Appl., 2013, 27, (4), pp. 439-447
- [13] Doan, M., Nguyen, T., Le, H.: 'Miniaturized tri-band bandpass filter using modified triple-mode resonators with multiple transmission zeros'. International Conference on Advanced Technologies for Communications, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, Oct. 2013, pp. 492-495
- [14] Liu, W. Q., Wei, F., Shi, X. W.: 'A compact Tri-band power divider based on Triple-mode resonator', Prog. Electromagn. Res. C, 2013, 138, pp. 283-291
- [15] Qian, J., Chen, F.: 'Wide Stopband Microstrip Triplexer Using Common Crossed Resonator and Uniform Impedance Resonator', Prog. Electromagn. Res. Lett., 2017, 69, pp. 79-86
- [16] Zhang, X. Y., Chen, J. X., Xue, Q., et al.: 'Dual-Band Bandpass Filters Using Stub-Loaded Resonators', IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters, 2007, 17, (8), pp. 583-585