



Living Like a Local: Authentic Tourism Experiences and the Sharing Economy

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Tourism Research</i>
Manuscript ID	JTR-16-0469
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Article
Keywords:	Airbnb, authenticity, experience co-creation, experience economy, peer-to-peer (P2P) accommodations, sharing economy

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Review

Living Like a Local: Authentic Tourism Experiences and the Sharing Economy

ABSTRACT

Travellers are demanding authentic, experientially-oriented opportunities with more meaningful interactions with locals. The sharing economy has emerged partly as a response to these consumer trends with major potential impacts for tourism. This research investigated the phenomenon of authenticity-seeking tourism and its links to the hospitality sector through consumer choices related to accommodation offered by sharing economy providers. It explored the relationship between perceived authenticity of the “local” experience and its significance when purchasing accommodation. The three themes of unique accommodation interiors and atmosphere, interactions with hosts, and interactions with local culture were found to be important to Airbnb users.

KEY WORDS: Airbnb, authenticity, experience co-creation, experience economy, peer-to-peer (P2P) accommodations, sharing economy

INTRODUCTION

Does the sharing economy offer the traveller a way to experience a destination in a more authentic way? Is that the basis of the appeal of enablers such as Airbnb, or is there something else which is worthy of consideration in evaluating the company’s undoubted impact and success? Such questions must also address what is meant by an “authentic” experience and evaluate how achievable that is in the context of tourism.

Therefore, the purpose of this exploratory enquiry was to consider hitherto unanswered questions relevant to exploring these issues and offer recommendations for future research. It addressed several specific research questions; namely:

1. What influences customers to select Airbnb accommodations?
2. What are customer perceptions of their experiences after using peer-to-peer accommodations?
3. How would the introduction of more hotel-like options at Airbnb affect users’ experiences and feelings of authenticity?

SEEKING AUTHENTICITY AND EXPERIENCES: FROM TOURIST TO TRAVELLER

1
2
3 There is much discussion about the shift from tourist to traveller and this has increased
4 interest in offers based on experiences rather than commodity-based goods (Pine & Gilmore,
5 1998, 2011; Tussyadiah & Pesonen, 2016a; Tussyadiah & Pesonen, 2016b). Travellers are
6 increasingly demanding authentic, experientially-oriented opportunities involving more
7 meaningful interactions with locals (Grayson & Martinec, 2004, Pine & Gilmore, 2011;
8 Tussyadiah & Pesonen, 2016a; Tussyadiah & Pesonen, 2016b).

9
10 As a response to the new economic era, the sharing or collaborative economy emerged, in
11 which companies such as Airbnb are growing at phenomenal rates and arguably changing
12 tourism (Guttentag, 2015; Tussyadiah & Pesonen, 2016a; Tussyadiah & Pesonen, 2016b).
13 Pine and Gilmore (1998) regarded this moment as a step towards a new era, or the experience
14 economy. Experiences are ways of understanding interactions between people and places,
15 essentially internally produced as each individual understands and interprets them differently
16 (Jennings & Weiler, 2006; Pine & Gilmore, 1998). Morgan, Elbe, and Curiel (2009) suggest
17 that the experience economy is a view of consumer behaviour that emphasises emotional,
18 aspirational, and participative experiences over functional and rational attributes.

19
20 Authenticity is a core feature of the sharing and experience economies. It is a mixture of
21 philosophical, psychological, and spiritual concepts that can then be placed in objective,
22 constructive, and existential typologies (Kirillova, Lehto, & Cai, 2016; Kolar & Zabkar,
23 2010; Steiner & Reisinger, 2006; Wang, 1999). Arguably, a prominent trend directly relatable
24 to the tourist-to-traveller shift is the search for authenticity within experiences.

25
26 Authenticity may simply be considered as something real or genuine (Grayson &
27 Martinec, 2004; Kolar & Zabkar, 2010; Ram, Bjork, & Weidenfeld, 2016), but when placed
28 in an experiential field of study, the topic becomes more difficult to understand, thus
29 requiring a more in-depth approach. Many scholars relate this to the concept of traveller self-
30 actualization, or the search to find a sense of one's own authentic self (Maslow, 1970; Steiner
31 & Reisinger, 2006; Wang, 1999). Nevertheless, as this research demonstrated, the subjective
32 topic cannot be easily defined and it can be a complex and intricate concept dependent on
33 individual internal conceptualisations. By exploring the cognitive journey of individual
34 reflection on experiences with peer-to-peer (P2P) accommodation, this research aims to
35 develop a thick and detailed essence of authentic experiences strengthened by theoretical
36 propositions on the influential factors.

37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56 MAKING TOURISM EXPERIENCES MEMORABLE
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Walls et al. (2011) found a large number of alternative definitions of consumer experiences.
4 In keeping with this research's themes, Pine and Gilmore's (1998) definition seems the most
5 appropriate. They define successful experiences as *those that the customer finds unique,*
6 *memorable and sustainable over time, would want to repeat and build upon, and*
7 *enthusiastically promotes via word of mouth* (Walls et al., 2011, p. 11).
8
9
10
11

12 In addition to the search for greater authenticity, researchers are probing more into
13 tourism experiences and their "memorability." Ritchie and Hudson (2009) found six major
14 "research streams" on consumer/tourist experiences; the third of which was methodologies
15 for understanding the tourism experience. The Memorable Tourism Experience Scale
16 (MTES) is one of these methodologies and is suggested for measuring memorability (Kim,
17 2010; Kim, Ritchie, & McCormick, 2012; Chandralal & Valenzuela, 2013; Kim, 2014; Kim
18 & Ritchie, 2014; Tsai, 2016). The seven factors within MTES are hedonism, novelty, local
19 culture, refreshment, meaningfulness, involvement, and knowledge. The factors are
20 represented by 24 items; for example, local culture is comprised of three items (good
21 impressions about the local people; closely experienced the local culture; and local people in
22 destination were friendly). Although this research did not test MTES with the respondents, it
23 was expected that their comments on P2P accommodations would positively correspond to
24 several items on the scale, especially local culture, meaningfulness (doing something
25 meaningful and important), involvement (personal relevance), and knowledge (obtaining
26 knowledge and learning a new culture) (Kim & Ritchie, 2014).
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 The Destination Emotion Scale (DES) is a conceptual approach for measuring the
38 emotional content of tourism destination experiences (Hosany & Gilbert, 2010; Hosany &
39 Witham, 2010; Hosany, Prayag, Deesilatham, Caušević, & Odeh, 2015). The DES has the
40 three dimensions of joy, love, and positive surprise, and is measured by 15 items. Joy is
41 represented by cheerful, delight, enthusiasm, joy, and pleasure; love is comprised of
42 affection, caring, love, tenderness, and warmhearted; and positive surprise consists of
43 amazement, astonishment, fascinated, inspired, and surprise (Hosany *et al.*, 2015). Some of
44 these emotional items may be reflected in the perceptions of P2P accommodation guests,
45 which could be revealed in their personal accounts about stays.
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 There have been several previous studies that looked at experiences in various
53 accommodation settings, as well as at attractions and festivals. For example, Oh, Fiore, and
54 Jeoung (2007) analysed experiences with bed-and-breakfasts in the U.S. by applying Pine &
55 Gilmore's (1998) four realms of experience (education, entertainment, escapism, and
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 esthetics). Ali, Hussain, and Ragavan (2014) applied Pine and Gilmore's "4Es" to measure
4 the impacts of experiences on guests' memories and loyalty for Malaysian resorts. For
5 example, the four measurement items for education were: *the experience has made me more*
6 *knowledgeable; I learned a lot during my experience; it stimulated my curiosity to learn new*
7 *things; and it was a real learning experience.* The researchers found that the four elements of
8 the service experience (4Es) had significant positive impacts on resort guest memories and
9 loyalty intentions. Loureiro (2014) surveyed guests of rural accommodation properties in
10 South Portugal about their experiences. She found that an excited and pleased guest was more
11 likely to memorise the experience. Mehmetoglu and Engen (2011) surveyed visitors to a
12 festival and a museum in Norway, again adopting the 4Es to define the experience variables.
13 They found that education (learning), entertainment (feeling), escapism (doing), and esthetics
14 (being) significantly affected visitor satisfaction, although in differing ways for the festival
15 and museum.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 It is noteworthy that all of the approaches described above were based on quantitative
26 methodologies where respondents rated lists of items that were predetermined by the
27 researchers. The current study was qualitative and allowed respondents to recount travel
28 experiences in their own words.

29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Other aspects of tourism experiences that are highly relevant for Airbnb encounters are
the impacts of experience co-creation and information communication technologies (ICTs).
Co-creation is where value is jointly produced through the interaction of the tourist and the
company or destination (Prahalad and Ramaswamy, 2004). Binkhorst and Den Dekker (2009)
interestingly cite the co-creation of experiences by tourists and locals, and provide examples
of online platforms that facilitate them in European cities. Neuhofer, Buhalis, and Ladkin
(2014), based on an analysis of five case studies, concluded that technology unquestionably
enhanced tourism experiences. Some of these co-created experiences are what can be called
"living like a local" and local people are now much more involved in engineering these
experiences for visitors (Richards, 2014; Rosso and Richards, 2016).

ASSESSING THE PROS AND CONS OF THE SHARING ECONOMY FOR TOURISM

The volume of research on the sharing and collaborative economy impacts on tourism has
surged in recent years (Dredge & Gyimóthy, 2015; Forno & Garibaldi, 2015; Guttentag,
2015; Cheng, 2016a; Cheng, 2016b; Möhlmann, 2015; Chathoth *et al.*, 2016; Ert *et al.*, 2016;
Fang *et al.*, 2016; Fernández *et al.*, 2016; Gant, 2016; Heo, 2016; Richard & Cleveland,

1
2
3 2016; Tussyadiah & Pesonen, 2016a; Tussyadiah & Pesonen, 2016b). This research suggests
4 that the impacts are both positive and negative.
5

6 According to the company's website, Airbnb had 60 million guests in 2016, with over 2
7 million listings in 34,000 cities in 191 countries (Airbnb, 2016a). Airbnb was launched in
8 2008 in San Francisco and in a short time has drawn much praise and criticism. In its
9 promotions, Airbnb promises a sense of belongingness ("feeling at home") and uniqueness
10 ("atypical places to stay") (Liu & Mattila, 2017). Affordability is a third customer benefit
11 from using Airbnb when compared with traditional hotels (Folger, 2016). Koopman,
12 Mitchell, and Thierer (2015) argue that the sharing economy improve consumers' welfare by
13 providing new innovations, more choices and service differentiation, better prices, and
14 higher-quality services. On the other side of the transaction, Goodman (2016) suggests
15 "*hosting has been a great boon for individuals to make a little extra money, for*
16 *neighborhoods to see tourist dollars, and for cities to promote tourism.*"
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 The addition of Airbnb accommodations has had a major impact of several destinations.
25 Sheivachman (2016) reported that Airbnb had doubled the room capacity in Reykjavik,
26 Iceland since 2010. According to Airbnb itself, the company generated \$824 million in
27 economic activity and supported 11,600 jobs in the UK in 2013 (Airbnb, 2016b). It is not
28 surprising, therefore, that several destinations (including Aruba, Dubai, Guangzhou, Jamaica,
29 San Francisco), airlines, attractions, and other tourism suppliers have entered into joint-
30 marketing partnerships with Airbnb.
31
32
33
34
35

36 The business model applied by Airbnb and its marketing approaches receive acclaim from
37 many observers and experts (e.g., Davis, 2016; Leaver, 2014; Monllos, 2016). Davis (2016)
38 cites Airbnb's 2016 *Live There* campaign as brilliantly capturing the company's brand
39 proposition of travel, communities, people and experiences, which are in contrast with "*more*
40 *traditional, more inauthentic travel.*" In 2016, Airbnb introduced a new set of experiences for
41 its customers within a new product offering, *Welcome to the world of trips* (Monllos, 2016).
42 This introduces another set of people in destinations who "*host experiences*" for tourists.
43
44
45
46
47

48 On the negative side, the lack of specific government policy and regulations for sharing
49 economy providers like Airbnb remains a major bone of contention in some circles. As
50 networked hospitality businesses (Oskam & Boswijk, 2016), companies like Airbnb are an
51 innovation that was largely unanticipated by governments and the tourism sector. The focus
52 of the negative impacts in the context of Airbnb has been with respect to the effects on
53 traditional hotels and on the capacity of destinations to handle more tourists in non-traditional
54 accommodations. In an analysis of the impacts of Airbnb on hotels in Austin, Texas, it was
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 estimated that the company had taken about 8-10% of the revenues of the lower-priced hotels
4 that did not cater to business travellers (Zervas, Proserpio, & Byers, 2016). Bashir and Verma
5 (2016) quote that Airbnb has caused a \$2 billion deficit for hotels in New York City.
6
7 However, the evidence is mixed on this issue. For example, Varma, Jukic, Pestek, Shultz, and
8
9 Nestorov (2016) found that the “major players” in the hospitality industry did not consider
10
11 Airbnb as a significant disruptor or competitor. Choi, Jung, Ryu, Kim, and Yoon (2015)
12
13 found that Airbnb listings had no effect on hotel revenues in Seoul, Busan, and Jeju in South
14
15 Korea.

16
17 Even a cursory scan of online platforms shows a significant level of complaints about
18
19 Airbnb accommodations and its customer service. These include a site titled
20
21 airbnb.pissedconsumer.com. Additionally, there are allegations of racial discrimination
22
23 among Airbnb hosts (Edelman & Luca, 2014).

24
25 Despite the considerable public attention that Airbnb has attracted, Liu and Mattila (2017)
26
27 suggest there is scant research investigating Airbnb from the marketing perspective. It was
28
29 the intention of this research to partially fill this gap by exploring the perceived authenticity
30
31 of experiences among Airbnb experiences.

32 BLENDING AUTHENTICITY, EXPERIENCES AND THE SHARING ECONOMY

33
34
35 The nexus of authenticity, tourism experiences, and the sharing economy was the focus of
36
37 this research and a conceptual model is shown in Figure 1. This concept recognises that the
38
39 Airbnb travel experience has at least two stages, at the guest’s origin and within the
40
41 destination. Of course, remembering and sharing the experiences also occur post-trip. At the
42
43 point of origin, the guest engages in digital dialogue experiences with Airbnb and the host.
44
45 Others may also be involved in providing recommendations to the guest, online and offline.
46
47 Digital communications can continue among the participants in travelling from the origin and
48
49 destination, while within the destination, and on the return trip. While within the destination,
50
51 it is suggested that the main interactions are among the guest, host, and local community.

52
53 The main research gap addressed lay in the lack of conceptual knowledge of what
54
55 authentic experiences are in the modern day traveller’s eyes with particular regard to non-
56
57 traditional, P2P accommodation at destinations. Existing research can be found on consumer
58
59 perceptions and demands for authenticity within museum settings (Chhabra, 2007; Crang,
60
61 1996; Grayson & Martinec, 2004); heritage sites (Apostolakis, 2003; Chhabra *et al.*, 2003;
62
63 Halewood & Hannam, 2001); souvenirs and shopping experiences (Littrell *et al.*, 1993);

1
2
3 marketing strategies for developing countries (Silver, 1993); dance performances (Daniel,
4 1996); theme parks (McIntosh & Prentice, 1999); seaside resorts (Sedmak, 2008), and even
5 topics with only tangential relation to tourism such as regional foods (Groves, 2008; Tregear
6 *et al.*, 2007) and car ownership experiences (Leigh *et al.*, 2006). With such common use of
7 the term, authenticity is being applied in multiple ways, implying various meanings, but
8 lacking a more concrete definition, and thus allowing limited applicability of the concept to
9 alternative tourism platforms, such as P2P accommodation. Arguably, the term can have
10 different meanings to different customers depending on the context (Grayson & Martinec,
11 2004), in which case, to find the essence behind the phenomenon, a study needs to be
12 conducted on sector-specific customers.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 [Insert Figure 1 about here]
22
23

24 Few researchers have looked at authenticity in P2P accommodation. Authors such as
25 Yeoman *et al.* (2007) have highlighted the significance of authenticity in today's tourism
26 markets, identifying it as a key consumer-driver for tourism in Scotland and as a significant
27 promotional tool for Australia, China and Canada, suggesting the practical importance of the
28 topic. Successful delivery of authentic experiences is difficult to achieve without a good
29 understanding of what such a thing might actually be.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 METHODS 38

39 Authenticity and experiences are topics which require appropriate research methods in order
40 to develop rich, detailed, and accurate results. The qualitative method was chosen in order to
41 develop a deeper level of understanding of the central meaning behind authenticity and
42 customer experiences. Qualitative methods help to examine these experiences thoroughly,
43 and to understand meaning and interpretations of individuals through the empirical and
44 analytical view of the findings (Hennink *et al.*, 2011; Sandelowski, 2004). Post-positivism
45 methodology can be defined as reintroducing discovery by conducting enquiry actions to
46 assist in determining meanings that individuals ascribe to their own actions (Guba & Lincoln,
47 1994). Phenomenon events are subjective, creating meaning through experiences (Starks &
48 Trinidad, 2007).
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 To achieve the purpose and objectives of this research, developing a complex
4 understanding of perceptions required experienced and knowledgeable participants who were
5 able to provide insight on their personal experiences with Airbnb. Choosing participants in a
6 non-random manner allowed a more attentive and particular approach to achieve just that.
7
8 Furthermore, a convenience, or availability sampling method, was chosen to collect data
9 based on accessibility constraints to Airbnb users. This method allowed the data to be quickly
10 collected from easily accessible interviewees. Convenience sampling may also establish new
11 findings when viewing attitudes (Ozdemir *et al.*, 2011) or in this case, perceptions of lived
12 experiences, as it can yield thoughtful and relevant sample selection criteria. Participants
13 were contacted through online platforms, briefly informed of the purpose of the study and
14 asked to fill out the online questionnaire in their own time. Data were collected using open-
15 ended interview questions that the participants were asked to answer individually, in written
16 form. The open-ended interview questions include the following:
17

- 18 ▪ Why did you choose Airbnb?
- 19 ▪ What makes the Airbnb experience authentic?
- 20 ▪ Can you identify a specific authentic experience that you had with Airbnb?
- 21 ▪ How would more hotel-like options influence the overall authentic experience?
- 22 ▪ What context and situations influenced or affected your authentic experience?

23
24
25 Saturation of data was reached after the collection of 15 questionnaires from the
26 respondents. Emerging themes and new categories ceased to appear, and a judgment was
27 made for reaching saturation levels and no further participants were contacted. However, it is
28 acknowledged that a much larger sample group will yield more robust data. To allow
29 reflective and thoughtful responses, the participants completed the questionnaires in a written
30 manner. This also allowed them to do so in their own chosen environment, on their own
31 personal time. This was done so that they would provide more personal, detailed, and rich
32 responses.
33

34 RESULTS

35 Respondent demographics

36 The participants were from various countries and backgrounds, and they stayed in Airbnb
37 accommodations in several different nations, including Italy, Poland, Spain, U.S., and
38 potentially others. They were repeat guests of Airbnb, varying in gender and in the 18-54 age
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

group. Some 60% were female and 40% were male. The age groups varied from 18-54, 60% of whom were in the 16-24 age bracket.

Perceptions of authenticity and experiences

Unsurprisingly most data displayed a clear choice of using Airbnb because of its cost-effectiveness, but 100% of the participants agreed that it was also an authentic accommodation option. Significant written statements offered by the respondents are shown in Tables 1-6. The genders and age ranges of the respondents are indicated along with the statements in Tables 1-3.

Table 1 suggests that Airbnb users find the accommodations to have more distinct characteristics and personality than standard hotels. As such, using Airbnb properties tends to produce more memorable travel experiences because of the uniqueness of stays. One respondent stated that standard hotels, in contrast, cannot be recollected after trips:

“I noticed, that looking back to wonderful trips I had, I can't recall what hotels I was staying in, because they are too generic and similar to each other” [F45-54]

[Insert Table 1 about here]

As Table 2 shows, not all the comments about Airbnb accommodations were positive; however, the unique touches found in these properties and the sharing of information by their owners were emphasized:

“The kitchen was filled with colorful and mismatched cups, [the place] felt unpretentious and in sync with laid back atmosphere of the city” [F45-54]

“[the host] shared their interests and details about the location, it was a way to get inside information instead of a generic one (or none)” [M45-54]

“We enjoyed having fresh fruit with the hosts every morning, they told us all the best places to go, good places to eat and any deals or special offers to get” [M25-34]

[Insert Table 2 about here]

The respondents did not relish the prospect of more hotel-like options within Airbnb, as reflected in Table 3:

“If they were more like hotels, then the experience would be completely not authentic”

[F18-24]

[Insert Table 3 about here]

Tables 1-3 also show the researchers' interpretations of the meanings of respondents' statements (formulated meanings). For example, in Table 1 it is inferred that Airbnb accommodations appeal more to curious and adventurous travellers, or in other words to people who are seeking greater variety. In Table 2, reference is made to Airbnb accommodations being more like home, and elsewhere respondents talk about the family atmosphere and feeling part of the family. Table 3 underlines that customers feel better cared for in Airbnb accommodations rather than in traditional hotels, and there are references in other statements of hosts going further "out of their way" to please and look after their guests.

Authenticity themes

Three themes emerged which serve to illuminate key markers of perceived authenticity and these are shown in Tables 4-6. These were the interior and atmosphere (of the accommodations) (Theme 1); interaction with hosts (Theme 2); and interaction in local culture (Theme 3).

Theme 1: Interior and atmosphere (of accommodations)

The first theme highlighted the features that guests considered more home-like and authentic, presenting a better lens with which to view the local communities and cultures:

"It felt more real, the fridge was packed with the host's food, was fascinating to see what they actually ate"

The genuine experience of the accommodations was also cited by one respondent:

[the flat] *"was a genuine Italian home, felt really homely"*

[Insert Table 4 about here]

Theme 2: Interaction with hosts

Based upon the responses from these guests, interacting with their hosts enhanced the authenticity of their travel experiences, and left a deeper impression of the communities in which they stayed. The roles of hosts as information providers, as "teachers" about things local, and as guides were stressed in the comments:

"The hosts showed me how to make a Spanish omelette"

"We gained insight into the average life of a local by sharing space with them"

1
2
3 “The owner showed me around the city”

4
5 [Insert Table 5 about here]

6
7 *Theme 3: Interaction in local culture*

8
9 The responses for this theme particularly confirmed that Airbnb guests felt they had more
10 authentic experiences with the local culture. They thought they saw and did things that
11 regular tourists staying in hotels would not experience:
12

13
14 “The owner showed me around the non-touristic places of the city”

15
16 “I felt like being part of Spain, learning how to cook traditional food from a true local”

17
18 “I learned how to use one of those Italian coffee makers, felt authentic as I was in Italy”

19
20 [Insert Table 6 about here]

21
22 CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

23
24 Authentic experiences in the eyes of Airbnb guests revolve around subjective perceptions of
25 both external and internal factors. First and foremost, the connection made with the host leads
26 to a more personal and companionable experience, sparking feelings of familiarity and
27 sociability. This can be done through sharing the host’s living space in particular, thereby
28 establishing a closer relationship with the host and the guest feeling more immersed in the
29 experience. Sociability factors are also important, as they can lead to authentic experiences
30 through exposure to the local culture. Familiarity and contentment is usually engendered
31 through artefacts which reflect the host and the location. In this regard, authenticity relates to
32 how comfortable, homely, and how much individual character the surroundings have. As a
33 tool to build on external authenticity, the interior should reflect the host’s individuality and
34 personality. “Living like a local” appears to be key to the authenticity of Airbnb stays and
35 experience co-creation is certainly an enabler of these feelings of authenticity.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44
45 In the absence of agreement on a clear definition of the concept of authenticity, the
46 inherently subjective nature of this issue is likely to remain a point of contention in academic
47 discourse for the foreseeable future, particularly within the context of the lived tourist
48 experience. Similarly, the nature of perceptions and experiential cognitive maps of customers
49 of tourism destinations will remain a growing body of knowledge in the tourism literature as
50 their role in discerning the complex nuances of what an authentic experience means gains
51 further recognition among scholars.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The exploratory, qualitative research carried out as part of this analysis aimed to depict
4 the phenomenological essence of the lived experiences by a growing market share of tourism
5 – customers of the sharing economy (Airbnb in this particular study) and their motivations to
6 engage with the hospitality services offered by P2P accommodations. The themes developed
7 as part of this research echo the subjectivity of the authenticity in experiences first
8 highlighted by Erickson (1995) with a prevalence of words linked to personal feelings of
9 familiarity (e.g., “cosy”, “homely”) related to a personal and companionable experience when
10 describing perceptions of the accommodation secured through Airbnb’s services. This first
11 contact between the tourist and their Airbnb host, often sharing a living space as well as local
12 information, may precede a closer relationship leading to an immersive visitor experience. In
13 spite of these apparent perceptions of reassurance and familiarity upon arrival, it is difficult to
14 establish to what extent they may be potentially influenced by earlier feelings of travel
15 anxiety (Lenton *et al.*, 2013; Steiner & Reisinger, 2006; Wang, 1999) and merit further
16 research, though it would appear that a standardised hotel-like atmosphere remains a negative
17 influence on tourists’ overall experience of a destination. There were also parallels between
18 the findings of this study and Wang’s (1999) existential authenticity typology, specifically in
19 activity-based theorisations as activities such as cooking or sharing a breakfast with their host
20 had a positive influence on tourists’ perceptions of authentic lived experiences. However,
21 little evidence was found of a focus on objects or amenities available to Airbnb customers,
22 which appeared to contradict earlier work in this arena by Cohen (1979). Instead, immersion
23 with the local culture of the destination was rated highly by interviewees in line with work by
24 MacCannell (1973).
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 Additionally, this research found that Airbnb users may share similar traits of character,
41 which corresponds to the findings of a study by Fleeson and Wilt (2010) where a clear
42 connection was made between subjective authenticity and extroverted individuals. Further
43 research should explore whether the sharing economy in general and Airbnb would tend to
44 attract more extroverted individuals for whom subjective authenticity is more heavily
45 influenced by interaction with their hosts, local residents and by participating in activities of a
46 more immersive nature such as cooking local recipes. All in all, understanding how tourists
47 perceive and experience authenticity is essential for the development of a new generation of
48 tourism policy makers, researchers and practitioners. However, there is a danger that the
49 concept of authenticity could be effectively monopolised by considering it solely through the
50 prism of the tourism experience. This would result in a dangerous oversimplification of what
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 remains a complex issue, to which the wealth of literature on the social impact of tourism on
4 host communities testifies (Allen *et al.*, 1988; Kim & Petrick, 2005; King *et al.*, 1993; Pearce
5 *et al.*, 1996; Tosun, 2006). Moreover, and in spite of the arguably altruistic principles behind
6 the sharing economy, there is already evidence (albeit somewhat anecdotal) that services such
7 as those offered by Airbnb may be contributing to a widening rift between tourists and host
8 communities, particularly in mass tourism urban destinations. This was exemplified in recent
9 times in Barcelona through the access that the sharing economy - and Airbnb in particular -
10 has offered to tourists hitherto 'unspoilt' residential areas where locals could seek refuge
11 from the crowds of visitors descending on their cities, particularly in the summer. The heavy
12 concentration of tourists in some areas is negatively affecting neighbouring residents' quality
13 of life (Aznar *et al.*, 2016). This has also resulted in property rental prices increasingly
14 beyond the reach of local communities who often contribute to local growth in less seasonal
15 sectors of the economy and even cases of 'tourist phobia' in Barcelona (Burgen, 2015;
16 McMahan, 2016). In turn, as recent news reports from New York and Berlin suggest
17 (Cockburn, 2016; Major, 2016), this could result in an adverse impact on the sharing
18 economy itself as it becomes apparent that a growing number of property rentals may be
19 solely on the basis of a business investment rather than the original idea of renting a room in
20 one's home.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH NEEDS

36
37 The sample size for this research was small although the data yielded rich perspectives on the
38 topic of authenticity from Airbnb users. Qualitative data alone on authentic experiences do
39 not provide sufficient evidence and should be supplemented with quantitative data in the
40 future, gathered presumably through a questionnaire survey of Airbnb customers. For
41 example, there is an opportunity for further testing of the three themes derived from this
42 research (interior and atmosphere; interaction with hosts; and interaction with local culture),
43 and to test cause-and-effect models of the relationship of these and other factors to dependent
44 variables such as satisfaction, memorability, loyalty, repeat visit intention, and willingness to
45 recommend.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 The researchers suggest that customers' perceptions of their stays at P2P accommodations
54 can add value to their overall experiences with tourism destinations and their broader
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 perceptions of the authenticity of places visited. However, this was not tested in the current
4 study, and represents an opportunity for future research.
5
6
7

8 REFERENCES

- 9
10
11 Airbnb. 2016a. About us. *Airbnb*, <https://www.airbnb.com/about/about-us> (accessed 15
12 December, 2016).
13
14 Airbnb. 2016b. Airbnb economic impact. *Airbnb*, [http://blog.airbnb.com/economic-impact-
15 airbnb/](http://blog.airbnb.com/economic-impact-airbnb/) (accessed 16 December, 2016).
16
17 Ali F, Hussain K, Ragavan NA. 2014. Memorable customer experience: examining the
18 effects of customers' experience on memories and loyalty in Malaysian resort hotels.
19 *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 144: 273-279.
20
21 Apostolakis A. 2003. The convergence process in heritage tourism. *Annals of Tourism
22 Research* 30(4): 795-812.
23
24 Allen LR, Long PT, Perdue RR, Kieselbach, S. 1988. The impact of tourism development on
25 residents' perceptions of community life. *Journal of Travel Research* 27(1): 16-21.
26
27 Aznar JP, Sayeras JM, Galiana J, Rocafort, A. 2016. Sustainability commitment, new
28 competitors' presence, and hotel performance: The hotel industry in Barcelona.
29 *Sustainability* 8, 755; doi:10.3390/su8080755.
30
31 Bashir M, Verma R. 2016. Airbnb disruptive business model innovation: assessing the impact
32 on hotel industry. *International Journal of Applied Business and Economic Research*
33 14(4): 2595-2604.
34
35 Binkhorst E, Den Dekker T. 2009. Agenda for co-creation tourism experience research.
36 *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management* 18(2/3): 311-327.
37
38 Burgen S. 2015. Will the citizens of Barcelona revolt against soaring tourist numbers? *The
39 Guardian*, 28 June 2015, [https://www.theguardian.com/travel/2015/jun/28/will-people-
40 barcelona-revolt-against-soaring-tourist-numbers](https://www.theguardian.com/travel/2015/jun/28/will-people-barcelona-revolt-against-soaring-tourist-numbers) (accessed 13 July 2016).
41
42 Chandralal L, Valenzuela F-R. 2013. Exploring memorable tourism experiences: Antecedents
43 and behavioural outcomes. *Journal of Economics, Business and Management* 1(2): 177-
44 181.
45
46 Chathoth PK, Ungson GR, Harrington RH, Chan ESW. 2016. Co-creation and higher order
47 customer engagement in hospitality and tourism services: a critical review. *International
48 Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* 28(2): 222-245.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Cheng M. 2016a. Current sharing economy media discourse in tourism. *Annals of Tourism*
4 *Research* 60: 111-114.
5
6 Cheng M. 2016b. Sharing economy: a review and agenda for future research. *International*
7 *Journal of Hospitality Management* 57: 60-70.
8
9 Chhabra D. 2007. Positioning museums on an authentic continuum. *Annals of Tourism*
10 *Research* 35(2): 427-447.
11
12 Chhabra D, Healy R, Sills E. 2003. Staged authenticity and heritage tourism. *Annals of*
13 *Tourism Research* 30(3): 702-719.
14
15 Choi K-H, Jung J, Ryu S, Kim S-D, and Yoon S-M. 2015. The relationship between Airbnb
16 and the hotel revenue in the case of Korea. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*
17 8(26): 1-8.
18
19 Cockburn H. 2016. New York could ban Airbnb users hiring out apartments. *The*
20 *Independent*, 21 June 2016, [http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/airbnb-](http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/airbnb-new-york-state-governor-andrew-cuomo-ban-users-hiring-out-apartments-a7093631.html)
21 [new-york-state-governor-andrew-cuomo-ban-users-hiring-out-apartments-a7093631.html](http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/airbnb-new-york-state-governor-andrew-cuomo-ban-users-hiring-out-apartments-a7093631.html)
22 (accessed 13 July, 2016).
23
24 Cohen E. 1979. A phenomenology of tourist experiences. *Sociology* 13(2): 179-201.
25
26 Crang M. 1996. Magic kingdom or a quixotic quest for authenticity? *Annals of Tourism*
27 *Research* 23(2): 415-431.
28
29 Daniel YP. 1996. Tourism dance performances authenticity and creativity. *Annals of Tourism*
30 *Research* 23(4): 780-797.
31
32 Davis, B. 2016. 10 examples of great Airbnb marketing creative. *Econsultancy*,
33 <https://econsultancy.com/blog/68225-10-examples-of-great-airbnb-marketing-creative/>
34 (accessed 16 December, 2016).
35
36 Dredge, D, Gyimóthy S. 2015. The collaborative economy and tourism: critical perspectives,
37 questionable claims and silenced voices. *Tourism Recreation Research* 40(3): 286-302.
38
39 Edelman B, Luca M. 2014. *Digital discrimination: The case of Airbnb.com*. Working Paper:
40 Harvard Business School.
41
42 Erickson R. 1995. The importance of authenticity for self and society. *Symbolic Interaction*
43 18: 121-144.
44
45 Ert, E, Fleischer, A, Magen N. 2016. Trust and reputation in the sharing economy: the role of
46 personal photos in Airbnb. *Tourism Management* 55: 62-73.
47
48 Fang B, Ye Q, Law R. 2016. Effect of sharing economy on tourism industry employment.
49 *Annals of Tourism Research* 57: 264-67.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Fernández NO, Gerrickagoitia JK, Alzua-Sorzabal, A. 2016. Sampling method for monitoring
4 the alternative accommodation market. *Current Issues in Tourism*, DOI:
5 10.1080/13683500.2015.1127336.
6
7
8 Fleeson W, Wilt, J. 2010. The relevance of Big Five trait content in behaviour to subjective
9 authenticity: do high levels of within-person behaviour variability undermine or enable
10 authenticity achievement? *Journal of Personality* 78(4): 1353-1382.
11
12
13 Folger J. 2016. The pros and cons of using Airbnb.
14 [http://www.investopedia.com/articles/personal-finance/032814/pros-and-cons-using-](http://www.investopedia.com/articles/personal-finance/032814/pros-and-cons-using-airbnb.asp)
15 [airbnb.asp](http://www.investopedia.com/articles/personal-finance/032814/pros-and-cons-using-airbnb.asp) (accessed 15 December, 2016).
16
17
18 Forno F, Garibaldi, R. 2015. Sharing economy in travel and tourism: The case of home-
19 swapping in Italy, *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism* 16(2): 202-220.
20
21 Gant AC. 2016. Holiday rentals: the new gentrification battlefield. *Sociological Research*
22 *Online* 21(3): 10.
23
24
25 Goodman J. 2016. Could you bnb my neighbor? A planner's take on the sharing economy.
26 *Planning* February: 29-33.
27
28 Grayson K, Martinec R. 2004. Consumer perception of iconicity and indexicality and their
29 influence on assessments of authentic market offerings. *Journal of Consumer Research*
30 31: 296-311.
31
32
33 Groves A. 2008. Authentic British food products: a review of consumer perceptions.
34 *International Journal of Consumer Studies* 25(3): 246-254.
35
36 Guba EG, Lincoln YS. 1994. Competing paradigms in qualitative data. *Handbook of*
37 *Qualitative Research* 2: 163-194.
38
39
40 Guttentag D. 2015. Airbnb: disruptive innovation and the rise of an informal tourism
41 accommodation sector. *Current Issues in Tourism* 18(12): 1192-1217.
42
43
44 Halewood C, Hannam, K. 2001. Viking heritage tourism: authenticity and commodification.
45 *Annals of Tourism Research* 28(3): 565-580.
46
47 Hennink M, Hutter I, Bailey, A. 2011. *Qualitative Research Methods*, London: Sage.
48
49 Heo, CY. 2016. Sharing economy and prospects in tourism research. *Annals of Tourism*
50 *Research* 56: 166-170.
51
52 Hosany S, Gilbert D. 2010. Measuring tourists' emotional experiences toward hedonic
53 holiday destinations. *Journal of Travel Research* 49(4): 513-526)
54
55
56 Hosany S, Witham M. 2010. Dimensions of cruisers' experiences, satisfaction, and intention
57 to recommend. *Journal of Travel Research* 49(3): 351-364.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Hosany S, Prayag G, Deesilatham S, Caušević S, Odeh K. 2015. Measuring tourists'
4 emotional experiences: Further validation of the destination emotion scale. *Journal of*
5 *Travel Research* 54(4): 482-495.
6
7
8 Jennings G, Weiler B. 2006. Mediating meaning: perspectives on brokering quality tourist
9 experiences. *Quality Tourism Experiences*. Oxford: Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann, 57-
10 78.
11
12
13 Kim J-H. 2010. Determining the factors affecting the memorable nature of travel experiences.
14 *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing* 27(8): 780-796.
15
16
17 Kim J-H, Ritchie JRB, McCormick B. 2012. Development of a scale to measure memorable
18 tourism experiences. *Journal of Travel Research* 51(1), 12-25.
19
20
21 Kim, J-H. 2014. The antecedents of memorable tourism experiences: The development of a
22 scale to measure the destination attributes associated with memorable experiences.
23 *Tourism Management* 44: 34-45.
24
25
26 Kim J-H, Ritchie, JRB. 2014. Cross-cultural validation of a memorable tourism experience
27 scale (MTES). *Journal of Travel Research* 53(3): 323-335.
28
29
30 Kim SS, Petrick JF. 2005. Residents' perceptions on impacts of the FIFA 2002 World Cup:
31 the case of Seoul as a host city. *Tourism Management* 26(1): 25-38.
32
33
34 King B, Pizam A, Milman, A. 1993. Social impacts of tourism: host perceptions. *Annals of*
35 *Tourism Research* 20(4): 650-665.
36
37
38 Kirillova K, Lehto XY, Cai. 2016. Existential authenticity and anxiety as outcomes: The
39 tourist in the experience economy. *International Journal of Tourism Research* 18
40 DOI: 10.1002/jtr.2080.
41
42
43 Kolar T, Zabkar V. 2010. A consumer-based model of authenticity: an oxymoron or the
44 foundation of cultural heritage marketing? *Tourism Management* 31(5): 652-664.
45
46
47 Koopman C, Mitchell CM, Thierer A. 2015. The sharing economy and consumer protection
48 regulation: The case for policy change. *Journal of Business Entrepreneurship & the Law*
49 8(2): 529-545.
50
51
52 Leaver K. 2014. Dissecting Airbnb's business model canvas. *Kenontek*,
53 <http://www.kenontek.com/2014/02/09/dissecting-airbnbs-business-model-canvas/>
54 (accessed 16 December, 2016).
55
56
57 Leigh T, Peters C, Shelton J. 2006. The consumer quest for authenticity: the multiplicity of
58 meanings within the MG subculture of consumption. *Journal of the Academy of*
59 *Marketing Science* 34(4): 481-493.
60

- 1
2
3 Lenton A, Bruder M, Slabu L, Sedikides C. 2013. How does ‘‘being real’’ feel? The
4 experience of state authenticity. *Journal of Personality* 81(3): 276-289.
5
6 Littrell MA, Anderson LF, Brown PJ. 1993. What makes a craft souvenir authentic? *Annals*
7 *of Tourism Research* 20(1): 197-215.
8
9 Liu SQ, Mattila, AS. 2017. Airbnb: Online targeted advertising, sense of power, and
10 consumer decisions. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 60: 33-41.
11
12 Loureiro SMC. 2014. The role of the rural tourism experience economy in place attachment
13 and behavioral intentions. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 40: 1-9.
14
15 MacCannell D. 1973. Staged authenticity: Arrangements of social space in tourist settings.
16 *American Journal of Sociology* (79)3: 589-603.
17
18 McIntosh A, Prentice R. 1999. Affirming authenticity: consuming cultural heritage. *Annals of*
19 *Tourism Research* 26(3): 589-612.
20
21 McMah L. 2016. ‘Tourist go home’: Why you’re no longer welcome in Spain. *News.com.au*,
22 1 June 2016, [http://www.news.com.au/travel/travel-updates/tourist-go-home-why-youre-](http://www.news.com.au/travel/travel-updates/tourist-go-home-why-youre-no-longer-welcome-in-spain/news-story/4febc459dcd721f25bc113eab6c509e5)
23 [no-longer-welcome-in-spain/news-story/4febc459dcd721f25bc113eab6c509e5](http://www.news.com.au/travel/travel-updates/tourist-go-home-why-youre-no-longer-welcome-in-spain/news-story/4febc459dcd721f25bc113eab6c509e5) (accessed
24 13 July 2016).
25
26
27
28
29 Major K. 2016. Berlin is right to clamp down on Airbnb. London should do the same. *The*
30 *Independent*, 17 May 2016, [http://www.independent.co.uk/voices/berlin-is-right-to-](http://www.independent.co.uk/voices/berlin-is-right-to-clamp-down-on-airbnb-london-should-do-the-same-a7034361.html)
31 [clamp-down-on-airbnb-london-should-do-the-same-a7034361.html](http://www.independent.co.uk/voices/berlin-is-right-to-clamp-down-on-airbnb-london-should-do-the-same-a7034361.html) (accessed 13 July
32 2016).
33
34
35
36 Maslow A. 1970. *Motivation and Personality*, 3rd edition. New York: Longman.
37
38 Mehmetoglu M, Engen, M. 2011. Pine and Gilmore’s concept of experience economy and its
39 dimensions: An empirical examination in tourism. *Journal of Quality Assurance in*
40 *Hospitality & Tourism* 12(4): 237-255.
41
42 Möhlmann M. 2015. Collaborative consumption: Determinants of satisfaction and the
43 likelihood of using a sharing economy option again. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*
44 *14*(3): 193-207.
45
46
47 Monllos K. 2016. Airbnb’s CMO wants to redefine experiential marketing with the
48 company’s new offering. *Adweek*, [http://www.adweek.com/news/advertising-](http://www.adweek.com/news/advertising-branding/airbnbs-cmo-wants-redefine-experiential-marketing-companys-new-offering-174717)
49 [branding/airbnbs-cmo-wants-redefine-experiential-marketing-companys-new-offering-](http://www.adweek.com/news/advertising-branding/airbnbs-cmo-wants-redefine-experiential-marketing-companys-new-offering-174717)
50 [174717](http://www.adweek.com/news/advertising-branding/airbnbs-cmo-wants-redefine-experiential-marketing-companys-new-offering-174717) (accessed 16 December, 2016).
51
52
53
54 Morgan M, Elbe J, Curiel, JE. 2009. Has the experience economy arrived? The views of
55 destination managers in three visitor-dependent areas. *International Journal of Tourism*
56 *Research* 11: 201-216.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Neuhofer B, Buhalis D, Ladkin A. 2014. A typology of technology-enhanced tourism
4 experiences. *International Journal of Tourism Research* 16: 340-350.
5
6 Oh H, Fiore AM, Jeung M. 2007. Measuring experience economy concepts: Tourism
7 applications. *Journal of Travel Research* 46: 119-132.
8
9 Oskam J, Boswijk A. 2016. Airbnb: the future of networked hospitality businesses. *Journal*
10 *of Tourism Futures* 2(1): 22-42.
11
12 Ozdemir RS, Louis KOS, Topbaş S. 2011. Public attitudes toward stuttering in Turkey:
13 probability versus convenience sampling. *Journal of Fluency Disorders* 36(4): 262-267.
14
15 Pearce PL, Moscardo G, Ross GF. 1996. *Tourism Community Relationships*. Oxford:
16 Pergamon.
17
18 Pine BJ, Gilmore JH. 1998. Welcome to the experience economy. *Harvard Business Review*
19 76(4): 97-105.
20
21 Pine J, Gilmore J. 2011. *The Experience Economy*. Boston: Harvard Business Review Press.
22
23 Prahalad CK, Ramaswamy V. 2004. Co-creation experiences: The next practice in value
24 creation. *Journal of Interactive Marketing* 18(3): 5-14.
25
26 Ram Y, Bjork P, Weidenfeld, A. 2016. Authenticity and place attachment of major visitor
27 attractions. *Tourism Management* 52: 110-122.
28
29 Richard B, Cleveland S. 2016. The future of hotel chains: branded marketplaces driven by the
30 sharing economy. *Journal of Vacation Marketing* 22(3): 239-248.
31
32 Richards G. 2014. Creating relational tourism through exchange: The Maltese experience.
33 *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism* 12(1): 87-94.
34
35 Ritchie JRB, Hudson S. 2009. Understanding and meeting the challenges of consumer/tourist
36 experience research. *International Journal of Tourism Research* 11: 111-126.
37
38 Rosso, AP, Richards, G. 2016. *Reinventing the Local in Tourism: Producing, Consuming and*
39 *Negotiating Place*. Bristol: Channel View Publications.
40
41 Sandelowski M. 2004. Using qualitative research. *Qualitative Health Research* 14(10): 1366-
42 1386.
43
44 Sedmak G. 2008. Authenticity in mature seaside resorts. *Annals of Tourism Research* 35(4):
45 1007-1031.
46
47 Sheivachman A. 2016. Iceland tourism and the mixed blessings of Airbnb. Skift.
48 <https://skift.com/2016/08/19/iceland-tourism-and-the-mixed-blessings-of-airbnb/>
49 (accessed 15 December, 2016).
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Silver I. 1993. Marketing authenticity in third world countries. *Annals of Tourism Research*
4 20(2): 302-318.
5
6 Starks H, Trinidad S. 2007. Choose your method: a comparison of phenomenology, discourse
7 analysis, and grounded theory. *Qualitative Health Research* 17(10): 1372-1380.
8
9 Steiner CJ, Reisinger Y. 2006. Understanding existential authenticity. *Annals of Tourism*
10 *Research* 33(2): 299-318.
11
12 Tosun C. 2006. Expected nature of community participation in tourism development.
13 *Tourism Management* 27(3): 493-504.
14
15 Tregear A, Arfini F, Belletti G, Marescotti, A. 2007. Regional foods and rural development:
16 the role of product qualification. *Journal of Rural Studies* 23(1): 12-22.
17
18 Tsai, C-T. 2016. Memorable tourist experiences and place attachment when consuming local
19 food. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 18: 536-548.
20
21 Tussyadiah IP. 2016. Factors of satisfaction and intention to use peer-to-peer
22 accommodation. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 55: 70-80.
23
24 Tussyadiah IP, Pesonen J. 2016a. Drivers and barriers of peer-to-peer accommodation stay –
25 an exploratory study with American and Finnish travellers. *Current Issues in Tourism* 19:
26 1-18.
27
28 Tussyadiah IP, Pesonen J. 2016b. Impacts of peer-to-peer accommodation use on travel
29 patterns. *Journal of Travel Research* 55(8): 1022-1040.
30
31 Varma A, Jukic N, Pestek A, Schultz CJ, Nestorov S. 2016. Airbnb: Exciting innovation or
32 passing fad? *Tourism Management Perspectives* 20: 228-237.
33
34 Walls AR, Okumus F, Wang Y, Kwun DJW. 2011. An epistemological view of consumer
35 experiences. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 30: 10-21.
36
37 Wang N. 1999. Rethinking authenticity in tourism experience. *Annals of Tourism Research*
38 26(2): 349-370.
39
40 Yeoman I, Brass D, McMahon-Beattie U. 2007. Current issue in tourism: the authentic
41 tourist. *Tourism Management* 28(4): 1128-1138.
42
43 Zervas, G., Proserpio, D., Byers, J., 2016. The rise of the sharing economy: Estimating the
44 impact of Airbnb on the hotel industry Working paper. Available online through
45 <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstractid=2366898>.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60