

JUSTGrid: A Pure Java HPCC Grid Architecture for Multi-Physics Solvers.

Thorsten Ludewig

August 2009



A Pure Java HPCC Grid Architecture for Multi-Physics Solvers Using Complex Geometries.

Thorsten Ludewig



A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the University of Greenwich

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

This research programme was carried out in collaboration with the

University of Applied Sciences Braunschweig/Wolfenbüttel

and the

HPCC-Space GmbH, Salzgitter

Germany

August 2009

Abstract

After the Earth Simulator, built by NEC at the Japan Marine Science and Technology Centre (JAMSTEC) on an area of 3,250 m2 (50m×65m), began it's work in March 2002 with the outstanding performance of 35,860 Gflops (40 TFlops peak) [TRI00], numerous scientists opted in favour of such a high-performance computation and communications (HPCC) approach, suggesting to build again Cray type vector supercomputers that dominated scientific computing in the mid seventies. Today (2009) the extended Earth Simulator has a peak performance of 131 TFlops but it was outperformed by several other systems with multi-core¹ architectures. Top 1 in June 2009 is the RoadRunner build by IBM for the DOE/NNSA/LANL with a peak performance of 1456 TFlops. Multi-core processors are now build in every PC for the consumer market and not only for HPC systems. It should be remembered that the computer games industry is responsible for the revolution in high end 3D graphics cards that convert any PC into a most powerful graphics workstation. It should be obvious, despite the computational power of the Earth Simulator, that this definitely is not the road of HPCC for general scientific and engineering computation.

"I hope to concentrate my attention on my research rather then how to program", says Hitoshi Sakagami, a researcher at Japan's Himeji Institute of Technology and a Gordon Bell Prize finalist for work using the Earth Simulator [TRI00].

I fully agree with this statement, and this is one of the major reasons that I have chosen Java as high performance computing language. Programming vector computers is a difficult task, and to obtain acceptable results with regard to announced peak performance has been notoriously cumbersome. On the other hand, multi-core systems with many processors on a single chip need to be programmed in a different, namely a multi threaded way. Threads are a substantial part of the Java programming language. Java is the only general programming language that does not need external libraries for parallel programming, because everything needed is built into the language. In addition, there are major additional advantages of the Java language (object oriented, parallelization, readability, maintainability, programmer productivity, platform independence, code safety and reliability, database connectivity, internet capability, multimedia capability, GUI (graphics user interfaces), 3D graphics (Java 3D) and portability etc.) which were discussed in this thesis.

The objective of this work is to build an easy to use software framework for high performance computing dealing with complex 3D geometries. The framework should also take care of all the advantages and behaviours of modern multi-core/multi-threaded hardware architectures. In view of the increasing complexity of modern hardware, working on solutions of multi-physical problems demands for software, that makes the solving process mostly independent of the available machinery.

¹ A multi-core chip is composed of two or more independent CPUs (cores) in one single processor.

Table of Contents

Abstr	ract	3
Nome	enclature and Constants	17
Ackn	owledgment	23
1	Motivation of the Thesis	25
1.1	Challenges and current status of computational simulation for CFD	25
1.2	Objective and Scope of the Thesis	27
2	High Performance Computation Fundamentals	29
2.1	Parallel System Models	29
	2.1.1 Massive Parallel Processing System (MPP)	29
	2.1.2 Symmetric MultiProcessing System (SMP)	30
	2.1.3 SMP with Threads	30
	2.1.4 One multithreaded process	31
2.2	Legacy Codes	31
2.3	Object Oriented Programming	32
2.4	Java	32
	2.4.1 Java Technologies	32
	2.4.2 Object Oriented Programming	32
	2.4.3 Robustness	32
	2.4.4 Concurrent, distributed, parallel	32
	2.4.5 Portability	33
	2.4.6 Leveraging Business Investment	33
	2.4.7 Multithreading.	33
	2.4.0 Dynamic linking	34 3/
25	Thread programming in HPCC	24
2.5		34
	2.5.1 vvnat are Threads?	34
	2.5.2 Models of Thread implementations	
	2.5.3.1 Many-to-One (Green Threads) Thread Model	
	2.5.3.2 One-to-One Thread Model	36
	2.5.3.3 Many-to-Many Thread Model	37
	2.5.3.4 Best Thread Model for JUSTGrid	37
	2.5.4 Thread Scheduling	37
	2.5.5 Thread Synchronization	38
	2.5.5.1 The Problem	30 30
	2.5.6 Thread programming challenges	40
	2.5.6.1 Comparison of Thread synchronization techniques within a HPCC co	de40
	2.5.6.2 No synchronization	41
	2.5.6.3 Global synchronization	41
	2.5.7 Race condition and deadlock - common programming pitfalls in parallel	I
	execution systems	42
2.6	Direct Neighbour synchronization (DNS)	43
	2.6.1 JpMultiblockNode	44

	2.6.2 JpNodeStatusImp 2.6.3 Efficiency results for the different synchronization methods	45 46
3	Multiphysics Framework - IUSTGrid	47
3.1	Introduction	. 47
3.2	Highlights	.48
3.3	Client/Server internet architecture	. 50
3.4	Communication and Computation Procedure	. 51
	3.4.1 Genericstatic numeric Solver	. 51
	3.4.2 Dynamic JUSTGrid Solver	. 51
	3.4.2.1 Sending the numerics	. 51
	3.4.2.3 Receiving the result	.52
3.5	Session API	.52
	3.5.1 Solver	.55
	3.5.2 Cell	57
	3.5.3 Boundary Handler	. 57
36	Standalono Sonvor (InMactor)	.57
2.7	Client Amplications	50
3.1	2.7.1 Command Line Interface	.58
	3.7.2 Simple Client	.58
	3.7.3 ShowMe 3D	.59
	3.7.4 GRX Monoblock Tool	62
	3.7.4.1 GRX Monoblock Tool - 2D	.62
	3.7.5 GRX Tool (multiblock)	.64
	3.7.5.1 GRX 2D Tool	65
	3.7.5.2 GRX 3D Tool	66
3.8	Using legacy C or Fortran Code within JUSTGrid	.70
4	Multiphysics Solver Development with JUSTGrid	71
4.1	Development Prerequisites	.71
4.2	Sample integration of an Euler3D solver into JUSTGrid	.72
4.3	JUSTGrid provided structure	.73
	4.3.1 Description of the Standard Cube	.73
	4.3.2 JUSTGrid Java class representation of a structured grid	.76
4.4	The startup.properties file	.78
	4.4.1 Client class section	78
	4.4.2 Input and output me section	79
	4.4.4 Physical section	79
	4.4.5 Solver parameter section	79
5	Multiphysics Equations in JUSTGrid	81
5.1	Introduction	81
5.2	Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) Equations	.82

	5.2.1 MHD Equations	82
5.3	MHD Waves	84
5.4	Flux Formulation using the HLLC Riemann Solver	85
	5.4.1 HLL Flux Formulation	85
	5.4.2 HLLC Flux	89
	5.4.3 HLLC for Magneto-Gasdynamics Equations (MHD-HLLC)	
	5.4.3.2 Summary of the Formulas for Two-dimensional Ideal MHD-HLLC	92
	5.4.4 Divergence Free Constraint	
	5.4.4.1 For Cartesian Grids	95
5.5	5.4.4.2 For Curvilinear Grids	
5.5	5.5.1 Transverse Components (normal to the boundary)	
	5.5.2 Tangential Components (parallel to the boundary)	
	5.5.3 Metallic Boundary Conditions	100
5.6	MHD Divergence Free Numerics	100
	5.6.1 Numerical form of divergence free field	100
	5.6.2 Divergence free Field in two dimensions	102
	5.6.4 Equivalence of curvilinear grid in physical space and Cartesian grid in	104
	computational space	105
6	Computational and physics model Validation in JUSTGrid	107
6.1	"Write once run anywhere"	107
6.1 6.2	"Write once run anywhere" Loaders and Writers	107 107
6.1 6.2 6.3	"Write once run anywhere" Loaders and Writers Topology handling for complex geometries	107 107 107
6.1 6.2 6.3	 "Write once run anywhere" Loaders and Writers Topology handling for complex geometries 6.3.1 Connectivity 	107 107 107 108
6.1 6.2 6.3	 "Write once run anywhere". Loaders and Writers. Topology handling for complex geometries. 6.3.1 Connectivity. 6.3.2 Orientation. 	107 107 107 108 108
6.16.26.36.4	 "Write once run anywhere". Loaders and Writers. Topology handling for complex geometries. 6.3.1 Connectivity. 6.3.2 Orientation. Boundary Data Exchange. 	107 107 107 108 108 108
 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 	 "Write once run anywhere" Loaders and Writers	107 107 107 108 108 108 111
 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 	 "Write once run anywhere" Loaders and Writers Topology handling for complex geometries 6.3.1 Connectivity. 6.3.2 Orientation Boundary Data Exchange Numerics 6.5.1 1 Block - JUSTSolver - Laplace 3D 6.5.2 7 Blocks - JUSTSolver - Laplace 3D. 	107 107 107 108 108 108 108 111 111 111
 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 	 "Write once run anywhere"	107 107 107 108 108 108 108 108 111 111 111 112
6.16.26.36.46.5	"Write once run anywhere"	107 107 107 108 108 108 108 108 112 112 112
6.16.26.36.46.5	 "Write once run anywhere"	107 107 107 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 112 111 112 112 113
6.16.26.36.46.5	"Write once run anywhere"	107 107 107 108 108 108 108 108 108 118 111 111 112 112 113 115 .115
6.16.26.36.46.5	 "Write once run anywhere"	107 107 107 108 108 108 108 108 110 111 111 111 112 112 115 115 115 115
6.16.26.36.46.5	 "Write once run anywhere". Loaders and Writers. Topology handling for complex geometries. 6.3.1 Connectivity. 6.3.2 Orientation. Boundary Data Exchange. Numerics. 6.5.1 1 Block - JUSTSolver - Laplace 3D. 6.5.2 7 Blocks - JUSTSolver - Laplace 3D. 6.5.3 Bump. 6.5.3.1 JUSTSolver - Laplace 3D. 6.5.3.2 Euler 3D. 6.5.4 3D Cone. 6.5.4.1 JUSTSolver Laplace 3D. 6.5.4.2 JUSTSolver Euler3D (1st order, explicit, structured multiblock) comp with CFD++ (2nd order, unstructured). 	107 107 107 108 108 108 108 108 110 111 111 111 112 112 115 115 115 116
 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 	 "Write once run anywhere"	107 107 107 108 108 108 108 108 111 111 111 112 112 113 115 115 115 115 116 117
 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 	 "Write once run anywhere"	107 107 107 108 108 108 108 108 111 111 111 112 112 115 115 115 115 115 116 117 117
6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 7 7.1	 "Write once run anywhere"	107 107 107 108 108 108 108 108 111 111 111 112 112 113 115 115 115 115 115 117 117 117 117
6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 7 7.1 7.1 7.2	 "Write once run anywhere"	107 107 107 108 108 108 108 108 111 111 111 112 112 113 115 115 115 115 115 117 117 117 117
6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 7 7.1 7.2	"Write once run anywhere"	107 107 107 107 108 108 108 108 108 110 111 111 112 112 112 115 115 115 115 115 115 117 117 117 117 117 117 113

	7.2.2.1 Computational Domain	. 124
	7.2.2.3 Boundary Conditions	124
	7.2.2.4 Structure of Solution	124
	7.2.3 JUSTGrid's GRXMonoblock Tool	126
8	Performance Results with JUSTGrid	127
8.1	Simple Tests	. 127
	8.1.1 Matrix multiplication	127
	8.1.1.1 Sequential Matrix Multiplication	. 127
	8.1.1.2 Multithreaded Matrix Multiplication	128
	8.1.1.3 Scaling of a simple numeric benchmark	. 128
8.2 officia	Code optimizations and influence of the computational load on the parall	el
enicie	ancy	129
	8.2.1 Utilized computer systems	130
	8.2.2.1 Benchmark Result - Unoptimized JUSTEuler 3D	. 131
	8.2.3 Optimized JUSTEuler 3D Code	. 132
	8.2.3.1 Benchmark Results - Optimized JUSTEuler 3D	. 133
8.3	Additional Computational Load	.134
	8.3.1 Benchmark Results - Load efficiency on Sun T5240	.134
	8.3.2 Benchmark Results for different numerical load on a Sun Fire X4440	136
	8.3.3 Benchmark Results for different numerical load on a Sun Fire X4600 m2.	.139
	8.3.4 Java Development Kit JDK / JVM progress	140
	8.3.4.1 Numeric performance	. 142
	8.3.4.2 IO Performance	.143
	8.3.5 Operating System comparison	144
	8.3.5.1 Timing, parallel efficiency and speedup results for the different operation	145
-	systems	. 145
9	Conclusions and future work	147
Appe	endices	149
Α	File Formats	151
A.1	input	151
	A.1.1GRX	. 151
	A.1.2Plot3D.	. 151
	A. I. 3 GIIdPIO GIId	151
	A.1.5ParNSS Command	. 151
	A.1.6ParNSS Boundary	. 152
	A.1.7HGP XML	. 152
A.2	output	. 152
	A.2.1Tecplot	152
	A.2.2GRX	. 152
	A.2.3GridPro Grid	152
	A 2 5ParNSS Command	152
	A.2.6ParNSS Boundary	. 152

В	Java APIs used in JUSTGrid	153
B.1	RMI	153
B.2	Reflection API	
B.3	Thread	
B.4	Java 2D	154
B.5	Java 3D	154
B.6	Media Framework	155
С	JUSTSolver Template - Laplace 3D - Java API	157
D	JUSTSolver Template - Laplace 3D - Source Code	219
D.1	FlowVars.java	219
D.2	GlobalVars.java	221
D.3	LaplaceSolver3D.java	223
D.4	Main.java	228
D.5	SimpleBoundaryConditions.java	
D.6	SimpleBoundaryHandler.java	231
D.7	SimpleCell.java	
D.8	JUSTGrid source code statistics	237
E	JUSTCube	239
Bibli	ography	241
Alph	abetical Index	245

Illustration Index

Illustration 1.1.1: GridPro grid of the European Experimental Test Vehicle (EXTV), a structured grid with 780 blocks. Generated by GridPro™	25
Illustration 1 1 2: A structured grid of a turbine. Generated by GridPro™	26
Illustration 1.2.1: Internal view of the turbine shown in Illustration 1.1.2. Generated by GridPro™	27
Illustration 2.1.1: Massive Parallel Processor System. All computing nodes are sharing communication layer	one 29
Illustration 2.1.2: Symmetric Multi-Processor System (SMP) architecture diagram	30
Illustration 2.1.3: SMP with threads overview	30
Illustration 2.1.4: Diagram of one multi threaded process	31
Illustration 2.3.1: UML class diagram of a sample engine class	32
Illustration 2.5.1: A thread or execution context	35
Illustration 2.5.2: Schema diagram of the ,,green thread" model	36
Illustration 2.5.3: Schema diagram of the "one-to-one" thread model. One application thread is mapped to one kernel thread	37
Illustration 2.5.4: "Many-to-Many" thread model. Many application threads are dynamic mapped to many kernel threads	cally 38
Illustration 2.5.5: Thread States	38
Illustration 2.5.6: Object lock state before getting the lock flag	40
Illustration 2.5.7: Object lock state after getting the lock flag	40
Illustration 2.5.8: Object lock state while lock flag is missing, current execution thread be blocked	will 40
Illustration 2.5.9: Solution of the well known Mandelbrot Set	41
Illustration 2.5.10: Computation of a Mandelbrot Set without any synchronization betw the compute threads.(Snapshot during computation)	een 42
Illustration 2.5.11: Computation of a Mandelbrot Set with global synchronization betwee the compute threads (Snapshot during computation)	en 42
Illustration 2.5.12: Visualization of a race condition error	43
Illustration 2.6.1: Computation of a Mandelbrot Set with Direct Neighbour synchroniza between the compute threads	tion 44
Illustration 2.6.2: UML Class diagram for a JpMultiblockNode with Direct Neighbour Synchronization implemented with JpNodeStatusImp	44
Illustration 2.6.3: UML State diagram of a multi block compute node	48
Illustration 2.6.4: Efficiency results for the different synchronization methods increasin number of processors	ig the 50
Illustration 3.1.1: JUSTGrid framework block diagram. Shows the different parts of the JUSTGrid architecture	∍ 51
Illustration 3.3.1: JUSTGrid Architecture Overview. The server itself, in principle, can distributed over the internet.	be 54

Illustration 3.4.1: Generic static numeric Solver procedure	. 55
Illustration 3.4.2: Dynamic JUSTGrid Solver sending numerics	55
Illustration 3.4.3: Dynamic JUSTGrid Solver sending data	56
Illustration 3.4.4: Dynamic JUSTGrid solver receiving your self-defined result	56
Illustration 3.5.1: UML digram for JUSTGrid Session classes	. 57
Illustration 3.5.2: UML Diagram for the JUSTGrid Solver Interface	59
Illustration 3.5.3: UML class diagram of the JUSTGird multiblock implementation	60
Illustration 3.5.4: The JpCell class represents one cell in a solution domain	61
Illustration 3.5.5: This interface must be filled out for the different boundary conditions	61
Illustration 3.5.6: The Session object is the interactive steering interface between the clie application and the server	ent . 61
Illustration 3.6.1: UML diagram of the JUSTGrid Server classes	62
Illustration 3.7.1: JUSTGrid: Client Graphical User Interface (GUI) with an opened class browser dialog for selecting the solver class to be used	.63
Illustration 3.7.2: The Virtual Visualization Toolkit (VVT/ShowMe3D) showing a shaded triangulated surface of a generic car	.63
Illustration 3.7.3: The Virtual Visualization Toolkit (VVT/ShowMe3D) showing a wirefram triangulated surface of a generic car	ed . 64
Illustration 3.7.4: VVT is showing an Alias Wavefront object file of Cassini	.65
Illustration 3.7.5: VVT showing a multiblock Plane3D surface of the European Experimantal Test Vehicle (EXTV)	. 66
Illustration 3.7.6: A simple JUSTGrid front-end for a 2D mono block solver. The 3D mono block solver is being developed. Upon testing, this solver is merged with the parallel infrastructure of the JUSTGrid	ว า 67
Illustration 3.7.7: Online view of the solution progress (video production)	.68
Illustration 3.7.8: Online visualization of a 3D sphere with JUST Euler 3D	68
Illustration 3.7.9: GRX2D Tool showing a multiblock grid of a NACA 0012 airfoil	.69
Illustration 3.7.10: GRX3D Tool showing the bounding box and the block boundaries for grid of a sharp cone	a .70
Illustration 3.7.11: GRX3D Tool showing bounding box and all block faces being related inflow and wall boundary conditions for sharp cone grid	to 71
Illustration 3.7.12: GRX3D showing block boundaries and wall bc for a 780 block grid of the European Experimental Test Vehicle (EXTV)	71
Illustration 3.7.13: GRX3D showing the bounding box and all faces being related to wall and outflow boundary conditions for a 780 block EXTV grid	72
Illustration 3.7.14: GRX3D showing the bounding box and all faces being related to wall and inflow boundary conditions for a 780 block EXTV grid	72
Illustration 3.7.15: GRX3D showing an enlarged/zoomed view to all faces being related wall boundary conditions for a EXTV grid	to . 73
Illustration 3.7.16: The three different option tabs of GRX3D	.73

Illustration 3.8.1	: Schema diagram for JUSTGrid mixing programming languages via Java Native Interface JNI	ו 74
Illustration 4.3.1:	Description of the Standard Cube	77
Illustration 4.3.2	: Orientation of faces. Coordinates I, J, K are numbered 1,2,3 where coordinates with lower numbers are stored first	78
Illustration 4.3.3	Determination of orientation of faces between neighboring blocks as see from block 1(reference block). The reference block is always oriented as shown and then the corresponding orientation of the neighboring face is determined. (see Illustration 4.3.4)	≥n 79
Illustration 4.3.4	: The illustration shows the overlap of two neighbouring blocks. For the flow solver, an overlap of two rows or columns is needed. The algorithm i not straightforward, because of the handling of diagonal points	s 79
Illustration 4.3.5	: The 8 possible orientations of neighboring faces are shown. Case 1 to 4 are obtained by successive rotations. The same situation holds for cases to 8 upon being mirrored	5 30
Illustration 4.3.6	: Block structure of a solution domain. JUSTGrid creates one JpBlock and one Solver instance per grid block	1 30
Illustration 4.3.7	: JpBlock contains grid data and JpCell instances8	31
Illustration 4.3.8	: Every JpBlock has six JpFace objects with one JpFacePart per JpFace8	31
Illustration 5.3.1	: Waves in a 1-D MHD Riemann problem8	39
Illustration 5.5.1	shows the transverse components of MHD10	12
Illustration 5.5.2	shows the transverse components of MHD10	13
Illustration 5.6.1	: 2D Case: finite volume grid variables known only at cell centers. Vector components in the i, j, k directions. (3D) are denoted by indices 1, 2 and 3 respectively. We also can denote components by x, y and z indices, simp considering the Cartesian case10	} ly)5
Illustration 5.6.2	Discretization of in 2D case10)7
Illustration 5.6.3	Discretization of induction equation10)7
Illustration 6.4.1	A test pattern was sent through the solution domain step by step11	2
Illustration 6.4.2	: 9 blocks with all 8 possible orientations11	3
Illustration 6.4.3	Starting test pattern	3
Illustration 6.4.4	: Observing the boundary exchange between the blocks11	4
Illustration 6.4.5	The correct transport across all block faces was observed11	4
Illustration 6.5.1:	A contour slice of a Laplace 3D solution for a bump11	6
Illustration 6.5.2:	: Screenshot of a solution (density distrubution) for a bump using Metacomp CFD++	7
Illustration 6.5.3:	Rho (density) distribution over a bump after 1000 iteration with JUSTSolver Euler 3D	7
Illustration 6.5.4:	3D view for a ρ (density) distribution over the Onera bump using JUSTSolver Euler 3D11	8
Illustration 6.5.5:	Verification of the stream lines (vectors)11	8

Illustration 6.5	5.6: JUSTGrid GRX3D, simulation preparation tool, showing the grid of the cone wall and the outflow face	119
Illustration 6.5	5.7: JUSTSolver Laplace 3D, Cone, showing one slice on the y-plane1	119
Illustration 6.5	5.8: JUSTSolver Laplace 3D, Cone, showing the outflow boundary1	119
Illustration 6.5	5.9: JUSTSolver Laplace 3D, Cone, showing block edges with one deactivated block1	119
Illustration 6.5	5.10: JUSTSolver Euler 3D showing Mach number solution in symmetry plane1	20
Illustration 6.5	5.11: CFD++ comparison simulation1	20
Illustration 6.5	5.12: JUSTSolver Euler 3D with legend and two slices	20
Illustration 6.5	5.13: GridPro™ grid, showing inflow and wall boundaries1	21
Illustration 6.5	5.14: JUSTGrid GRX3D Tool, showing EXTV wall boundary1	21
Illustration 6.5	5.15: JUSTSolver Laplace 3D, EXTV showing one slice at the y-plane1	21
Illustration 6.5	5.16: JUSTSolver Laplace 3D, EXTV 3D view1	21
Illustration 6.5	5.17: Timings and efficiency results for 1 to 8 processors, running 2,000 iterations with JUSTSolver Laplace 3D on a 780 blocks EXTV grid1	22
Illustration 6.5	5.18: Speedup and efficiency results for 1 to 8 processors, running 2000 iterations with JUSTSolver Laplace 3D on a 780 blocks EXTV grid1	22
Illustration 7.1	1.1: JUSTSolver Euler 3D, EXTV, Mach number distribution	25
Illustration 7.1	I.2: EXTV, Mach number distribution on transparent slices1	25
Illustration 7.1	1.3: Computing time and efficiency results for 1 to 8 processors, running 20 iterations with JUSTSolver Euler 3D and ParNSS on a 780 blocks, 755,300 grid points, 538,752 cells EXTV grid1	0 25
Illustration 7.1	I.4: Speedup results for 1 to 8 processors, running 200 iterations with JUSTSolver Euler 3D and ParNSS on a 780 blocks, 755,300 grid points, 538,752 cells EXTV grid1	26
Illustration 7.2	2.1: 1D MHD solution, rho (density) distribution for well known Brio & Wu shock tube	27
Illustration 7.2	2.2: The solutions depicted above are a comparison between the classical Finite-Volume (FV) method (first row) and a divergence-conserved FV method (second row). Depicted are the contour of By and the absolute value of the numerical divergence operator for the magnetic induction, div(0)	28
Illustration 7.2	2.3: Computational results as obtained from JUSTSolver 2D MHD code for 2D Riemann problem: left: density distribution, right: pressure distribution The results from Torrilhon are shown in Illustration 7.2.3. (grid: 300X300 t=0.1s)	n. , 29
Illustration 7.2	2.4: Computational results for 2D Riemann problem: left: distribution of velocity in x direction, right: distribution of velocity in y direction. (grid: 300X300, t=0.1s)1	29
Illustration 7.2	2.5: Computational results for 2D Riemann problem: left: Bx distribution, rig By distribution. Comparison with Illustration 7.2.2 shows excellent agreement with Torillhon results. (grid: 300 x 300, t=0.1s)1	ht: 30

Illustration 7.2.6	5: JUSTGrid GRXMonoblock Tool GUI, showing online visualization while the 2D MHD Riemann solver is running130
Illustration 8.1.	1: Simple numeric benchmark on a Sun Microsystems Enterprise 10000 with 64 UltraSPARC II CPUs and 256GB main memory
Illustration 8.1.2	2: The Enterprise 10000 running all 64 CPUs with 100% load during the computation
Illustration 8.1.3	3: This benchmark shows the very small amount of overhead using threads. This benchmark was done on a Sun Microsystems Enterprise 6000 with 28 CPUs
Illustration 8.2.1	I: Parallel efficiency results for an unoptimized Euler 3D code on a Sun Fire X4440
Illustration 8.2.2	2: Parallel efficiency results for an optimized Euler 3D code on a Sun Fire X4440
Illustration 8.3.7	1: Sun T5240 running JUSTEuler 3D with "load=1"138
Illustration 8.3.2	2: Sun T5240 running an optimized JUSTEuler 3D with "load=100"139
Illustration 8.3.3	3: The illustration shows, increasing computational load by a factor of 20, that utilization level was already at more than 90%
Illustration 8.3.4	4: Efficiency gains from solver optimization and increased computational load
Illustration 8.3.5	5: Parallel speedup gains from solver optimization and increased computational load
Illustration 8.3.6	6: Parallel speedup gains from solver optimization and increased computational load based on four cores
Illustration 8.3.7	7: Timings and parallel efficiency results for a Sun Fire X4600, optimized Euler solver, load=200144
Illustration 8.3.8	B: Efficiency gains from solver optimization and increased computational load based on four cores comparison for the Sun Fire X4600145
Illustration 8.3.9	9: Parallel speedup gains from solver optimization and increased computational load based on four cores for the Sun Fire X4600145
Illustration 8.3.1	10: The numeric performance progress of the last three major releases of the server Java Virtual Machine JVM146
Illustration 8.3.1	11: The IO performance progress of the last three major releases of the server Java Virtual Machine JVM147
Illustration 8.3.1	12: A screen shot of Windows Server 2008s task manager and a linux perfbar binary
Illustration 9.1:	JUSTCube this cube illustrates all indices, directions and rotation bases used with JUSTGrid

Index of Tables

Table 2.2.1: Sequential matrix multiplication using a 50 times 50 matrix doing 10000 iterations on a Linux Pentium 4 PC
Table 6.1.1: Computer systems successfully tested with JUSTGrid
Table 6.5.1: Monoblock result for a Laplace 3D computation
Table 6.5.2: Laplace 3D result for a simple 7 block rectangular grid
Table 8.1.1: A sequential (1 thread) matrix multiplication using a 30 times 30 matrix doing10000 iterations on a single processor Pentium 4 PC running Linux131
Table 8.1.2: Multithreaded matrix multiplication using a 100 times 100 matrix doing 10000 iterations with 400 threads on a 26 CPU Sun Microsystems Enterprise 6000
Table 8.2.1: Timing results for an unoptimized Euler 3D code on a Sun Fire X444013
Table 8.2.2: Timing results for an optimized Euler 3D code on a Sun Fire X4440
Table 8.3.1: Fully utilized Sun Fire X4600 system with load=200143

Nomenclature and Constants

A	area
В	magnetic induction field
С	speed of light in vacuum
$c_A = \frac{B}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \rho}}$	Alfven wave speed
D	displacement field
$\frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}$	displacement current
Ε	total energy per unit mass or per unit volume
	(internal plus kinetic plus magnetic energy)
е	internal energy per unit mass
e _e	electric energy density
$e_{em} = e_e + e_m$	electromagnetic energy density
$\hat{e}_i, \hat{e}_j, \hat{e}_k$	unit vectors (Cartesian system in x, y, z direction)
e _m	magnetic energy density
$e_0 = 1.6 \times 10^{-19} C$	electron charge
G_i	electromagnetic momentum density
Н	magnetic field
$j = \rho v$	current density
k	thermal diffusivity
$k_B = \frac{R_G}{N_A} = 1.38054 \times 10^{-23} J/K$	Boltzmann constant
$L = \frac{k}{\rho c_p}$	diffusivity
M	magnetization per volume

$$Ma_u = \frac{v}{c_x}$$
magnetic Mach number M_q magnetic stress tensor components $m_r = 9.1 \times 10^{-30} kg$ electron mass $N_u = 9.1 \times 10^{-30} kg$ electron mass $N_u = 6.023 \times 10^{30} kmol$ Avogadro number $N_D = \frac{4\pi}{3} n_x \Lambda_D^3 = 1.37 \times 10^6 T \frac{3/2}{n_r^{1/2}}$ number of electrons in a Debye sphere P polarization per volume P polarization per volume $P_r = \frac{v}{L} = \frac{\mu c_v}{k}$ Prandtl number q charge (electric) $r_{cr} = \frac{v_{r,1}}{\omega_{cr}} = \frac{m_r v_{r,1}}{k}$ electron cyclotron radius (only the velocity component perpendicular to the magnetic field is effective) $r_{cr} = \frac{v_{1,2}}{\omega_{cr}} = \frac{m_r v_{1,2}}{Z e B}$ ion cyclotron radius (only the velocity component perpendicular to the magnetic field is effective) $R_c = \frac{vL}{v}$ Reynolds number $R_c = \frac{vL}{v}$ magnetic Reynolds number $R_v = \frac{v_1 L}{v}$ Poynting vector T temperature $v = (v_r, v_y, 0)$ in 2Dvelocity vector

Greek Symbols

$\rho = \rho(x, y, z, t)$	mass density
$ ho_{e}$	electric charge density

ν	kinematic viscosity $\left[\frac{m^2}{s}\right]$
$\lambda_D = \left(\frac{\epsilon_0 k T}{n_e e^2} \right)^{1/2}$	Debye shielding length
$\mu = v \rho$	dynamic viscosity
$\eta = \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_m}$	magnetic viscosity (diffusivity)
$\epsilon_0 \mu_0 c^2 = 1$	
$\frac{1}{\sigma}$	specific resistance
$\Phi_{e}(A) = \epsilon_{0} \int_{A} \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{A}$	electric flux, the area A is bounded by curve C
$\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{m}(A) = \int \boldsymbol{B} \cdot d\boldsymbol{A}$	magnetic flux (is 0 if A is closed)
$\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{c}(A) = \int_{A} \boldsymbol{D} \cdot \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{A}$	if medium is polarized
$\epsilon_{_0}$	permittivity of free space = $8.85 \times 10^{-12} \frac{As}{Vm}$
μ_{0}	permeability of free space = $4\pi \times 10^{-7} \frac{Vs}{Am}$
μ_m	magnetic permeability

 σ

υ

 $\sigma_0 = \frac{n_e e^2}{m_e v_e}$

 $\omega_{pe} = \left(\frac{n_e e^2}{m_e Z^2 \epsilon_0}\right)^{1/2}$ $\omega_{pi} = \left(\frac{n_i e^2}{m_i Z^2 \epsilon_0}\right)^{1/2}$

conductivity $\left[\frac{1}{\Omega_m}\right]$

plasma conductivity for direct current

electron plasma frequency

ion plasma frequency

$$\omega_{pe} = \left(\frac{n_e e^2}{m_e Z^2 \epsilon_0}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$p_m = \frac{B_0^2}{2\mu_0}$$
magnetic pressure

Characteristic Numbers in Magnetohydrodynamics

Avogadro number

 $N_{A} = 6.023 \times 10^{26} / kmol$

Alfven velocity (wave speed)

$$c_A = \frac{B}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \rho}}$$

Hartmann number (magnetic body force / viscous force)

$$K_{H} = (\sigma B^{2} L^{2} / \mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\frac{Re Re_{m}}{Ma_{m}})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Mach number

$$M_a = \frac{v}{a}$$

Magnetic Reynolds number

$$Re_m = \frac{v L}{\eta_m} = v L \sigma \mu_m$$

Prandtl number

$$P_r = \frac{v}{L} = \frac{\mu c_p}{k}$$

Reynolds number

$$Re = \frac{v L}{\eta}$$

Abbreviations

BC	Boundary condition
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics
EFA	Electromagnetic Field Actuators
HLL	Harten-Lax-van Leer
HLLC	Harten-Lax-van Leer-Contact discontinuity
IC	Initial condition
MHD	MagnetoHydroDynamics
MPI	Message Passing Interface
MPP	Massively Parallel Processing
JUST	Java Ultra Simulator Technology
PDE	Partial Differential Equation
PVM	Parallel Virtual Machines
SMP	Symmetric MultiProcessing

Acknowledgment

I am most grateful for the opportunity to do this research to *Prof. Dr. Jochem Häuser* and *Prof. Dr. Mayur Patel.* In particular, I gratefully acknowledge the large number of discussions and the substantial support of Prof. Dr. Jochem Häuser.

Many thanks are conveyed to my friends *Torsten Gollnick*, *Olav Rybatzki and Dr. Ralf Winkelmann* for their selfless support.

I would like to thank *Jean Muylaert* ESTEC, ESA, Noordwijk, The Netherlands for his continuous interest and the provision of wind tunnel data.

I am grateful to *Prof. Peter Eiseman*, Program Development Comp., White Plains, New York, USA for numerous stimulating discussions especially on the field of grid generation.

I would like to thank my parents for their encouragement and support.

My heartiest thanks go to my godfather *Helmut Scholz* for his unselfish help in a hopeless situation for me.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my family for their support and time to complete this thesis.

This work was partly funded by the ministry of Science and Culture of the State of Lower Saxony, Germany under AGIP 1999.365 EXTV program.

JUSTG*RID* was also part of the following EFRE projects partly funded by the ministry of Science and Culture of the State of Lower Saxony, Germany and the European Commision under contracts JavaPar 1998.262 and *JUST 2002.108*.

1.1 Challenges and current status of computational simulation for CFD

Today Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) and related computer simulations are used in many areas of research and development. In these days computer simulation is the enabling technology in design of vehicles the (aeroplanes, cars, ships) as well as combustion engines or turbines to optimise their performance and making them more energy-efficient. Space flight vehicles in



particular, are subject to extreme heating rates during reentry from outer space because of intense atmospheric friction, thus requiring a carefully designed thermal protection system. In order to determine those surfaces of a vehicle that are exposed to most severe heat flux along the reentry trajectory at a given angle of attack, extensive simulations need to be performed.

In medical applications, for example (among numerous other cases), to aid doctors before actually performing surgery, simulations of pressure ratios in an artery are now being carried out routinely.

State of the art development of electronic high tech components are not practicable without simulations of electric fields and quantum-mechanical effects.

Interdisciplinary simulations, for example the coupling of the traditional fluid dynamics with electromagnetic fields (magnetohydrodynamics, MHD), examine the influence of electromagnetic fields on an existing flow field to perhaps substitute in future aerodynamic control surfaces.

To meet the demands of modern computational flow simulations, an engineer or software developer simulating a flow field (solver) encounters many difficulties. Traditional CFD grids for aerospace and automotive industries are getting more and more complex, and grids with tens of

millions of points are not uncommon anymore. Completely different types of geometric shapes introduce additional requirements, for instance, in CFD for medical applications. Chemical reactions and electromagnetic fields have an influence on the requirements of the simulation and therefore a need for multiphysics solvers exists.

It is also difficult for a solver developer to perform rapid prototyping because of the missing software development infrastructure, despite the fact that existing libraries can be reused. However, since Moore's law is still intact, namely that every 18 months the overall power of computer systems doubles, new computer systems with new operating systems are constantly becoming available, and therefore quite often major differences exist between these systems causing large efforts even for minor changes. Hence the developer must always readjust his software library to ensure that it still works with new system configurations. This task can consume a lot of time of the actual development process for a solver.



Illustration 1.1.2: A structured grid of a turbine. Generated by GridPro™

This is one of the main reasons why the creation of simulation software а framework for high performance computation and communication using Java™ the runtime environment should be of great importance.

Due Java's to independence from processors and operating systems, compiled any can be executed code without any changes on every platform provides a Java runtime environment,

thus guaranteeing complete software portability.

Huge numerical grids need enormous computing resources and if the simulation program performs computations in the multiphysics regime, the computational demand grows dramatically. The only way in getting results for such problems lies in the use of multiple processors. This strategy requires the solution of the essential problem of load balancing that is distributing the total computational load onto the set of processors in order to achieve uniform loads. In order to

optimise parallel speedup an almost uniform computational load is necessary. The difference between an unbalanced versus a balanced system may result in highly different speedup factors. A worst case scenario would be if one processor was running 100% of the load and all others were idle. The most popular software libraries to ensure the communication between the processors are MPI (Message Passing Interface) and PVM (Parallel Virtual Machines). These vendor specific C or Fortran libraries are optimised for MPP (Massive Parallel Processors) systems. MPI/PVM are providing a high performance communication layer between processors. The major disadvantage of MPP systems are to get reasonable dynamic load balancing. In order to achieve this, one has to use complex technologies like domain decomposition and the grouping of messages to obtain dynamic load balancing etc.

1.2 Objective and Scope of the Thesis

However a novel trend has been set up in computer industry, by providing processors with more than one execution core. Two cores on a single processor chip are now common and four core chips will soon become available from Intel and AMD (spring 2007). Other processors like the Niagara 1 (UltraSPARC T1) Sun Microsystems from cores with 8 4 have execution engines on a that means single chip **CPUs** 32 there are



Illustration 1.2.1: Internal view of the turbine shown in Illustration 1.1.2. Generated by $GridPro^{TM}$

available per chip. The Niagara 2 available since 2007, has 8 cores with 8 execution engines. UltraSPARC T3 (Rainbow Falls) is announced for 2010 is supposed to have 16 cores with 8 execution engines pre core.

One execution engine is called strand by hardware designers to separate them from software threads. Strands and hardware threads are the same. This line of parallel development demands a completely different parallel strategy that lies in the extensive usage of threads (see chapter 2.5.1

on page 34). A thread of context wastes much less resources than MPI and PVM libraries. In fact it demands substantially less resources than a process handled by the operating system. Therefore a multithreaded application is able to run thousands of threads in a single process. Thread programming for HPCC and how it is possible to achieve excellent parallel efficiency, with the new developed Direct-Neighbour-Synchronization (DNS) will be discussed in chapter 2.5 on page 34. It will be shown in chapter 8.1.1.3 on page 128 that threads give excellent dynamic load balancing.

In addition, Java has great advantages over languages like C, C++ and Fortran because the thread concept is a built in feature in the language, and hence there is no need to link against libraries that are operating system dependent.

In addition to the extensive usage of threads for high performance computing and communication in a pure Java runtime environment, the software framework created as described in this work provides even more important features.

There exist loaders and writers for various 3D file formats that free the programmer from dealing with complex geometries. In order to reduces geometry complexity boundary fitted coordinates are utilized, performing a transformation from physical space to computational space. In computational space the complex geometry is represented by a uniform rectangular multiblock grid. Naturally the physical equations have to be transformed as well but there type does not change.

All communication between processors for dynamic load balancing is done by the framework itself. The solver developer can therefore concentrate on the solution of the governing equations on a simply connected domain also called a block.

A user of the framework can exchange the default solver by his own solver version during the compute session, sending the Java compiled byte code to the compute host.

Due to the implemented client/server concept, the Internet capabilities and the interactive steering features of the framework the possibility of collaborative engineering is provided over an encrypted secure connection.

In summary the *JUSTGRID* framework takes care of all geometrical complexity, which is one of the most difficult part in three dimensional simulations, and provides complete static as well as dynamic load balancing.

2 High Performance Computation Fundamentals

2.1 Parallel System Models

2.1.1 Massive Parallel Processing System (MPP)

MPP systems or so called Beowulf cluster systems sharing there high speed interfaces (crossbar) or network interfaces only. These are computer farms with many single computers in a rack or many single system boards in one computer case.



The Beowulf type cluster systems are very popular because they are significant cheaper than a huge SMP machine. One of the serious disadvantages of MPP systems is the dynamic load balancing, one has to do a lot of work and thinking about complex strategies to get a balanced processor load.

Illustration 2.1.1: Massive Parallel Processor System. All computing nodes are sharing one communication layer.



2.1.2 Symmetric MultiProcessing System (SMP)

In SMP systems the parallel computation shares the IO subsystem a defined amount of memory and the program code is the same for every processor. Only the data area in the memory is allocated to the processors.

2.1.3 SMP with Threads



SMP with threads is going one step further that is everything is shared be it data, code, or io by the execution contexts. (Threads)



2.1.4 One multithreaded process

From the developers view this model is the easiest one. With a modern operating system like Sun Microsystems Solaris the compute session gets the dynamic load balancing for free if enough parallel threads are started. The difficulty in the threaded programming model is the synchronization between threads and the way to get exclusive access on specific data. Java has solutions for these problems built in.

2.2 Legacy Codes

The newer versions of Java are serious competitors to the traditional HPCC programming languages like FORTRAN or C/C++. The single-processor performance of a Java code is now on par with C++,

Runtime (2GHz, Pentium4, 1GB Memory)	time in s	
Sun JVM 1.4.2_02 (-server)	2.12	
GNU gcc version 3.3.1 (-O3 -mcpu=pentium4)	3.16	
Table 2.2.1: Sequential matrix multiplication using a 30 times 30matrix doing 10000 iterations on a Linux Pentium 4 PC		

and the speedup on common symmetric multi processor (SMP) machines is excellent.

2.3 Object Oriented Programming

One of the most important factors is the construction of classes and objects. A class is a template, or blueprint for an object: thus there may be many objects of a given class. A class is the combination of data structures, methods (functions in Fortran and C) that perform operations on the data structures and fields (variables in Fortran and C), and the fields (variables) of this class. Objects provide inheritance : given an object 'Engine', for example, with certain properties and methods, we can define a new class 'JetEngine' that inherits from Engine (after all, a Jet engine is a type of Engine). All the properties and methods for



Engine work just as well for JetEngine, though some may be implemented differently. Another valuable property of objects is information-hiding : the complexity of an object may be only exposed through a simple interface, so that the object is easy to use and understand. A wristwatch is like this -- it has a complex internal structure, but the display of the time is a simple interface.

2.4 Java

2.4.1 Java Technologies

For our objectives we need certain software technologies, some of which may not be well-known in the HPCC community. Java seems to be the only programming environment that provides all of them: the list below summarizes some of the terminology:

2.4.2 Object Oriented Programming

See: Object Oriented Programming in chapter 2.3 on page 32.

2.4.3 Robustness

Inevitably, things sometimes go wrong during the flow simulation: files missing, bad grid cells, arithmetic errors, unphysical values, dropped network connections, etc. etc. Java has a rigorous way to classify and handle such exceptions, coercing the programmer to think about these things while writing the code.

2.4.4 Concurrent, distributed, parallel

Connecting Java objects across disparate machines and networks or running Java code on

sequential or parallel architectures is essential to provide the raw computing power needed in the analysis as well as in the design cycles for new air- or spacecraft. Location and type of computer hardware as well as operating system issues should be totally irrelevant to the user, and he should not be even aware of the kind of architecture being used as long as the necessary computing power is provided.

2.4.5 Portability

Most languages are compiled directly to the machine code of the machine on which they are to be run, meaning that there can be many versions of the executable, one for each machine. The addition of software and compiler versions to this can make distribution quite difficult. The Java compiler, on the other hand, generates a neutral file format (extension .class), so called byte code, from the Java code (extension .java) that is executable on any machine that provides the Java Virtual Machine software, which is practically universally available, translating the byte code in native machine code.

2.4.6 Leveraging Business Investment

Programs written in Java can take advantage of the huge investment in the language by the commercial world. In particular, there are high-quality, free security packages available to provide authentication and encryption services across a distributed network. We can use commercial Javabased collaboration tools to allow geographically-distributed groups of engineers to work together. We can use web technology to allow engineers to run simulations on the supercomputer without the arcane knowledge of the system that is currently necessary.

2.4.7 Multithreading

In Java, concurrency is achieved via the thread (see also chapter 2.5 on page 34) concept. The thread concept is best explained by a simple example: consider a TV-screen that posts several channels at the same time, each shown in its separate small rectangular window. Although these windows (threads) are independent, they are part of the main screen (process), i.e. they share the same address space. Threads are run concurrently, the mapping of threads to processors as well as the scheduling being done by Java and the OS. Thus we have a way to get dynamic load-balancing of a parallel application without explicitly assigning tasks to processors: a threaded application is said to be self scheduling. Java also provides a mechanism for synchronizing threads and for sending messages between threads. Furthermore, we no longer need message-passing libraries such as MPI and PVM to communicate between threads, but we can use shared memory or RMI (Remote Method Invocation) instead.

Threads are a substantial part of the Java programming language. Java is the only general programming language that does not need external libraries for parallel programming, because everything needed is built into the language.

2.4.8 Dynamic linking

Dynamic linking is the ability for a program to link to external code at runtime. For example, suppose we have a set of linear equation solvers: Gaussian elimination, GMRES, Multigrid, LU-decomposition, etc. Traditionally, all of these are linked into one executable file; whereas dynamic linking allows a new solver to be linked at runtime. Besides reducing code size, this feature allows software components to be replaced and maintained without relinking the entire code.

2.4.9 Remote Method Invocation

With a distributed computing system, for example, an engineer at a workstation running a supercomputer simulation, the engineer would like to see the computation just as if it were happening on the workstation. Java RMI is one way to do this: the engineer (client) manipulates objects with a user interface, but the actions he performs (the method invocations) are actually performed on objects on the supercomputer (the server). This transparent distribution of the computation and steering are vital if we are to provide both the immediacy of a workstation code with the computational power of the supercomputer.

2.5 Thread programming in HPCC

This chapter gives a general introduction about threads and shows the special issues in thread programming for high performance computing and communication. It also demonstrates how it is possible to achieve excellent parallel efficiency utilizing the newly developed *Direct-Neighbour-Synchronization (DNS)*, while dealing with tens of thousands or more threads.

The thread concept as basic parallelization strategy, delivers an enormous number of options to speed up parallelization, since fine tuning by threads on all levels of parallelization (i.e, domain decomposition, numerical algorithm, loops etc.) of a computation is possible

2.5.1 What are Threads?

In Java, concurrency is achieved via the thread concept. The thread concept is best explained by a simple example: consider a TV-screen that posts several channels at the same time, each shown in a separate small rectangular window. Although these windows (threads) are independent, they are part of the main screen (process), i.e. they share the same address space. Threads are run

concurrently, the mapping of threads to processors as well as the scheduling being done by Java and the OS. Thus, we have a way to get dynamic load-balancing of a parallel application without explicitly assigning tasks to processors: a threaded application is said to be **selfscheduling**. Java also provides a mechanism for **synchronizing** threads and for **sending messages** between threads.

Multithreaded programs extend the idea of multitasking one level further such that individual programs (processes) will appear to perform multiple tasks at the same time. Each task is called a *thread* which is the short form for thread of control. Programs that can run more than one thread at a time are said to be *multithreaded*. A thread consist of three parts : a virtual CPU, the code to be executed and the data the code works on.



2.5.2 Threads vs. Processes

The architectural differences between threads and processes are shown in chapter 2.1 on page 29. The results shown in chapter 8.1.1.3 on page 128 demonstrates perfectly the lightweight character of threads.

2.5.3 Models of Thread implementations

Three different types of thread implementations are available in various Java Virtual Machines (JVM).

2.5.3.1 Many-to-One (Green Threads) Thread Model



The Many-to-One model was the first thread model Java implements. Nowadays it is only used with Java embedded Virtual Machines. This model puts all Java application threads to one native kernel thread. It is **not** possible to build a multiprocessor application with this model.

2.5.3.2 One-to-One Thread Model



The One-to-One model puts one application thread on one kernel thread. It uses more kernel resources than the Many-to-Many model but for SMP machines with a large number or processors the thread context switch is much faster and performance increases.


2.5.3.3 Many-to-Many Thread Model

The Many-to-Many Model was for a long time preferred over the One-to-One model because of much lesser use of kernel resources. Moreover on a dual processor system it was the best model to run thousands of threads on a single machine. But now the focus is more on performance and memory is cheap these days, so the Many-to-Many model is no longer in use.

2.5.3.4 Best Thread Model for JUSTGRID

Today most modern operating systems like Linux, Mac OS X, Solaris, and Windows are utilising the One-to-One Thread Model to support the optimal performance on multi-processors systems. Furthermore, this also is the best mechanism for supporting the *JUSTGRID* framework.

2.5.4 Thread Scheduling

A Thread object can exist in many different states throughout its lifetime. Illustration 2.5.5 Shows this idea:



With the Java Development Kit (JDK) version 1.2, the suspend(), resume(), and stop()

methods have been deprecated. suspend() is a deadlock prone and stop() is unsafe in terms of date protection.

Although the thread becomes runnable, it does not necessarily start running immediately. Only one action at a time can be done on a machine that has a single processor.

In Java technology, threads are usually *preemptive*, but not necessarily timesliced (the process of giving each thread an equal amount of CPU time). It is a common mistake to believe that "preemptive" is a fancy word for "does timeslicing". The behaviour of most JVM implementations appears to be strictly preemptive. But across JVM implementations, there is no guarantee of preemption or timeslicing. The only guarantees lie in the developer's use of wait() and sleep(). The model of a preemptive scheduler is that many threads might be runnable, but only one thread is actually running. This thread continues to run until either is ceases to be runnable, or another thread of higher priority becomes runnable. In the latter case, the lower priority thread is *preempted* by the thread of higher priority, which gets a chance to run instead.

A thread might cease to be runnable for a variety of reasons. The thread's code can execute a Thread.sleep() call, deliberately asking the thread to pause for a fixed period of time. The thread might have to wait to access a resource, and cannot continue until that resource becomes available.

All threads that are runnable are kept in pools according to priority. When a blocked thread becomes runnable, it is placed back into the appropriate runnable pool. Threads from the highest priority non-empty pool are given CPU time.

2.5.5 Thread Synchronization

2.5.5.1 The Problem

Imagine two threads having a reference to a single instance of a stack class. One thread is pushing data onto the stack and the other one, more or less independently, is popping data off the stack. In principle, the data is added and removed successfully. However, there is a potential problem.

Suppose thread *a* is adding and thread *b* is removing characters. Thread *a* has just deposited a character, but has not yet increment the character index counter. For some reason this thread is now preempted. At this point, the data model represented in the stack object is inconsistent.

2.5.5.2 The Object Lock Flag

In Java technology, every object has a flag associated with it. One can think of this flag as a "lock flag". The keyword synchronized enables interaction with this flag, and allows exclusive access to code that affects shared data. The following sample shows two "synchronized" methods of our stack implementation mentioned in 2.5.5.1.

Object this	Thread before synchronized(this)		
Data	<pre>public void push(char c) { synchronized(this) { data[idx] = c; idx++; } }</pre>		
Code Iustration 2 etting the I	2.5.6: Object lock state before lock flag.		
Object this	Thread after synchronized(this)		
Data	<pre>public void push(char c) {</pre>		

The thread waiting for the lock flag of an object cannot resume running until the flag is available. Therefore, it is important for the holding thread to return the flag when it is no longer needed.

Object th	is	Thread try to execute synchronized (this)
Data	waiting for object lock	<pre>public void push(char c) { synchronized(this) { data[idx] = c; idx++; } }</pre>
Code	L	ock flag is missing
Illustration is missing, blocked	2.5.8: Object lo current execut	ock state while lock flag ion thread will be

2.5.6 Thread programming challenges.

How to deal with tens of thousands of threads in one simulation process? The answer to this question is very easy if the problem to be solved can be divided into autonomous parts without any communication between theses parts. Just start one thread per part or so called domain or block and wait until they all become ready. But for all of the problems we have in mind (CFD, MHD, ...) communication between blocks (domains) is crucial in order to solve the problem at all. Communication between threads (blocks) cannot be done at arbitrary times during the computation. It has to be done at particularly defined points to be sure to transport valid data only between the blocks. Generally this has to be done before a numerical iteration step is initiated. Hence the difficulty is to find an efficient mechanism for threads to wait for other threads to become ready. As shown in chapter 2.5.5 the Java environment provides a synchronization mechanism for threads , but there are different techniques to achieve the best possible parallel efficiency.

2.5.6.1 Comparison of Thread synchronization techniques within a HPCC code



A Solver for the well known Mandelbrot Set was developed as a test case for three reasons. First, from the numerical aspect the Mandelbrot Set problem is highly load imbalanced over the complete solution process. This is important to see the different behaviour of the compute threads (blocks). Second is the fact that there is no data exchange between the blocks, otherwise it would be impossible to implement a test case without any synchronization. The third and last reason was the possibility to visualize the three

different synchronization methods to depicting the dead lock and race condition errors.

All three synchronization methods use 64 threads. One compute thread is responsible for one block (stripe).

The Mandelbrot Set is a fractal named named after Benoît Mandelbrot. Greatly illustrated and described in "The Beauty of Fractals. Images of Complex Dynamical Systems" by Heinz-Otto Peitgen and Peter H. Richter [HPPR].



No synchronization 2.5.6.2

Illustration 2.5.10: Computation of a Mandelbrot Set without any synchronization between the compute threads.(Snapshot during computation)

This sample shows the numerically imbalanced character of the Mandelbrot Set computation. While one thread has already finished other threads are many iterations behind. The maximum iteration number is also the possible maximum iteration difference if there is no synchronization. Because of the lack of any synchronization this is the fastest method for any number of processors.

Global synchronization 2.5.6.3



compute threads (Snapshot during computation)

To achieve global synchronization all threads need to be synchronized with one global object lock flag. Maximum iteration difference over all domains is 1. It takes a few lines of code only to implement this synchronization method, and the performance efficiency lost for one and two processors compared with the "no synchronization" method is really small. With more than two processors this synchronization method becomes more and more inefficient because of the rising number of native system threads,

waiting for the last thread to become ready. See the result in 2.6.3 on page 46.

2.5.7 Race condition and deadlock - common programming pitfalls in parallel execution systems



The two most common pitfalls in parallel programming with synchronization are the *race condition* and the *deadlock*. The "race condition" error occurs if a thread suddenly is no longer synchronized and simply keeps running (see Illustration beside). Next, suppose thread (A) waits for thread (B) to become ready and thread (B) waits for thread (A) to become ready and together they wait forever, this is called "dead lock" error. The basic approach avoiding "race conditions" is serializing the problem which,

however, is no option for *JUSTGRID*. Even the known solutions for avoiding "deadlocks" like the Banker's algorithm (using maxima values as a break condition) or the wait/die algorithm one threads waits until the other dies, will not give a consistent valid solution. The only way to avoid such errors is careful algorithm design and more comprehensive testing of the complete simulation environment. In fact the *JUSTGRID* framework is also responsible for synchronization and communication between threads a developer does not need to take care about these difficult tasks.

2.6 Direct Neighbour synchronization (DNS)



Illustration 2.6.1: Computation of a Mandelbrot Set with Direct Neighbour synchronization between the compute threads

To reduce the number of idle threads the novel technique of **Direct Neighbour synchronization** (**DNS**) was developed. Threads are synchronized with direct neighbours only, one object lock flag per thread. Thus the maximum iteration difference between neighbours was reduced to 1. In Illustration 2.6.1 one can see the centre blocks with the most numerical load (colour=black) are behind the blocks at the boundary. This synchronization technique gives the operating system much better handle to distribute the compute

threads over all available native system threads. For the DNS an additional Object for the synchronization is needed to avoid the deadlock prone.



The class JpNodeStatusImp in JUSTGrid implements the DNS. Every compute node (thread), in

our case JpMultiblockNode, is initialized with an Array of references to its neighbours and contains one instance of JpNodeStatusImp. Additionally JpNodeStatus indicates the current status of a Node.



Due to the fact that there are normally more than one synchronization points in a computation cycle (iteration) the DNS status object must recognize the different synchronization points (Illustration 2.6.3). The current iteration number is insufficient to identify a unique point in the execution path. Therefore it was necessary to extend the "checkSync" method call by an additional identification parameter "syncId".

2.6.1 JpMultiblockNode

Every instance of JpMultiBlockNode represents one execution thread. On every synchronization point all neighbour states must be checked. If one ore more neighbours are not ready to synchronize the current thread go into "wait"-state.

```
private void synchronizeWithNeigbors() throws InterruptedException
{
    int iteration = nodeStatus.getIteration();
    int syncId = nodeStatus.readyForSync();
    if (neighborNode != null)
    {
      for (int i = 0; i < neighborNode.length; i++)
      {
         if (neighborNode[i] != null)
         {
            neighborNode[i] != null)
            {
                 neighborNode[i].nodeStatus.checkSync(iteration, syncId);
            }
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

To check all neighbours first the current iteration and the current syncld will be received from the own status object (JpNodeStatusImp). Then all neighbours will be checked for their state.

2.6.2 JpNodeStatusImp

The method "readForSync" has two tasks providing the caller method with the current syncld and notifying all waiting neighbours (threads) about the new state of this node.

```
synchronized public int readyForSync()
{
  this.syncId++;
  this.setRunState(READY FOR SYNC);
 notifyAll();
  return syncId;
}
synchronized public void checkSync(int iteration, int syncId)
{
  while (true)
  {
    if (this.iteration > iteration)
    {
      break;
    }
    if ((this.iteration == iteration) && (this.syncId >= syncId))
    {
      break;
    }
    try
    {
      wait();
    }
    catch (InterruptedException e)
    {
      e.printStackTrace();
    }
  }
}
```

If the current compute node is behind or exactly at the same state as the comparing neighbour node the execution thread will immediately return from the "checkSync" method. If the current node is ahead compared to the neighbour node the execution thread will be set into the wait-state. The execution thread will wait at this point until it receives the notification from the "readyForSync" method.

2.6.3 Efficiency results for the different synchronization methods

The "No Synchronization" (NS) test case is the reference for the "Global Synchronization" (GS) and the "Direct Neighbour Synchronization" (DNS).



Due to the more complex implementation of the DNS the GS shows better results for 1 and 2 processors. But starting with 4 or more processors the DNS demonstrates its advantage against the GS even for this simple Mandelbrot Set test case. Nowadays the computer industry provides multicore processors with many native threads per core, for instance, there already exists the Sun Microsystems UltraSPARC T2 with 8 cores and 8 threads per core, all in one processor. This processor presents itself to the operating system as a collection of 64 single CPUs accessing one shared memory system. Other companies like Intel have similar multicore systems on their road map. With such systems the "Direct Neighbour Synchronization" is a powerful strategy to achieve efficient load balancing over all available CPUs, independent of their number.

3 Multiphysics Framework - JUSTGRID

3.1 Introduction

JUSTGrid is a completely Java based software environment for the user/developer of HPC software. JUSTGrid takes care of the difficult tasks of handling very complex geometries (aircraft, spacecraft, biological cells, semiconductor devices, turbines, cars, ships etc.) and the parallelization of the simulation code as well as its implementation on the internet. JUSTGrid builds the computational Grid, and provides both the geometry layer and parallel layer as well as an interface to attach any arbitrary solver package to it. JUSTSolver is a pure Java CFD solver plugin for JUSTGrid, based on finite volume technique, and thus can be used for any kind of hyperbolic problem (system of hyperbolic equations).

JUSTGrid provides the coupling to any existing solver, but freeing this solver from all the unnecessary burden of providing its own geometrical and parallel computational infrastructure. Because of Java's unique features, *JUSTGrid* is completely portable, and can be used on any computer architecture across the Internet ,as long as a Java Runtime Environment (JRE) is provided.

If the solver object is written in Java, the Remote Method Invocation (RMI) class is used, if not, the Common Request Broker Architecture (CORBA) or the Java Native Interface (JNI) is employed to integrate so called legacy solvers. The server does not need to know anything about the solver as long as the solver interface is correctly implemented. The parallelization is entirely based on the Java thread concept. This thread concept has *substantial advantages over the PVM or MPI library parallelization* approach, since it is part of the Java language. Hence, no additional parallelization libraries are needed.



JUSTGrid provides a layer, the solver package layer, to be implemented on the client site. This layer is a Java interface, that is, it contains all methods (functions in the context of a procedural language) to construct a solver whose physics is governed by a set of conservation laws. An interface in the Java sense provides the overall structure, but does not actually implement the method bodies, i.e., the numerical schemes and the number and type of physical equations. This JavaSolver-Interface therefore provides the software infrastructure to the the other two layers, and thus is usable for a large class of computational problems based on finite volume formulation. It is well known that the Navier-Stokes equations (fluid dynamics), Maxwell's equations (quantum mechanics) can be cast in such a form. Thus, a large class of solvers can be directly derived from this concept. The usage of this solver package, however, is not mandatory, and any solver can be sent by the client at run time. All solvers extend the generic solver class, and in case a solver does not need to deal with geometry, the generic solver class is used directly instead of the conservation law solver class.

3.2 Highlights

- Replace the default solver with your own solver (mathematics).
 - The design of JUSTGrid allows to replace the default computation class (Solver, Cell, SessionHandler, BoundaryHandler, ...) on the server, except the Session and Master Implementation.

Free configurable solver plugin service

Set/Get any value to your solver (Reflection API).

Exchange the solver online during computation.

The exchange of a specific class on the **JUSTGrid** server can be initiated while the computation is running without a restart cycle.

Dynamic load balancing obtained for free on SMP Architectures.

Dealing with multithreaded architectures transfers the responsibility for the load balancing from the application to the operating system. Modern operating systems like Sun Solaris are very efficient in distributing the thread load on the available collection of CPUs.

Simple geometrical model for the programmer.

JUSTGrid frees the programmer from dealing with complex geometries. The programmer

focuses on a cell only that is in a mathematical universe where every edge has normalized length 1. The transformation from the physical- to the mathematical- coordinate system is done by **JUSTGrid**.

• Simple Solver API (interface)

The motto observed during the whole design process is that of Einstein who said: *Make it as simple as possible but not simpler.* For example, if one likes to write his own multiblock solver one has to implement only a single method named solve. For other types of solvers only a few more methods need to be implemented. Illustration 3.1.1 on page 47 depicts an UML class diagram of the **JUSTGrid** solver interfaces.

OnlineVisualization on demand

JUSTGrid provides access to all computational data in the solution domain at any arbitrary state of the computation. Illustrations 3.5.3 and 3.5.2 are showing online visualizations of the solution domain.

Collaborative engineering

Via a unique Session-ID, multiple clients are able to connect to the same compute session on the server. As an example: if an engineer wants to ask an expert about the correctness of his computation which is currently running, the engineer sends the Session-ID to the expert, who could then connect to this compute session and visualize the computation online, providing his feedback to the engineer.

• Multiple sessions on one server.

The **JUSTGrid** server is able to run as many sessions as you want; it is only limited by the available resources on the server system.

Application and network security

Java has a very smart security architecture that protects your code and data from unauthorized access or modification. **JUSTGrid** benefits from these application security features and uses the network security layer for client/server communication.

Loaders and writers for structured and unstructured grids and TecPlot[™] data files are available.

Data files can be stored on the client as well as on the server side.

Modern object oriented software architecture

The object oriented architecture allows to benefit from techniques like inheritance, data encapsulation and message passing. These are some of the features that make the code more robust and maintainable.

Automatic topology recognition

The framework finds all matching edges and faces.



3.3 Client/Server internet architecture

With a distributed computing system, for example an engineer at a workstation running a simulation on a supercomputer, the engineer would like to see the computation just as if it were happening on the workstation. The Java Remote Method Invocation (RMI) is one way to do this: the engineer (client) manipulates objects with a user interface, but the actions he performs (the *method invocation*) are actually performed on objects on the supercomputer (server). This transparent distribution of the computation and steering are vital if we are to provide both the immediacy of a workstation code with the computational power of the supercomputer.

If the client establishes the first connection to the server and upon requesting a new session, a random 64Bit Session-ID is created on the server and sent back to the client. Every further action to the session is bound to that Session-ID. With a valid Session-ID many clients are able to connect to the same session and give engineers the possibility to steer or visualize the

computation from many different clients (collaborative engineering). Another advantage of **JUSTGrid** is in case the internet connection to the server breaks down a client can easily reestablish the connection to the server as soon as the internet connection is up again using the Session-ID. While the internet connection is down the computation does not stop and no data will be lost. Additionally to the communication with RMI, **JUSTGrid** has also implemented a streaming server for large data files because RMI is packet oriented and inefficient for large continuous data sets.

3.4 Communication and Computation Procedure



3.4.1 Generic -- static numeric -- Solver

A generic (written in C or Fortran) flow solver provides a precompiled set of functionality to an engineer. It has a static predefined functionality. All provided numerical strategies have do be declared at build time of the solver executable. The only way to extend the system with new functions is to compile and link the changed source code again. If you do not have the source code of the solver (e.g. a commercial flow solver) one cannot extend the system.

The user data will be handled like filling out a predefined form.



3.4.2 Dynamic JUSTGrid Solver

The JUSTGrid framework can also provide, like a generic solver system, predefined functionality to the engineers. But in addition, it provides the availability to send user specified numerics (solver) to the framework. It is possible to change the numeric code during the runtime of a computation at multiple times.

3.4.2.1 Sending the numerics

The JUSTGrid standard way is first sending the numeric to the JUSTGrid server.



3.4.2.2 Sending the data

The next step is sending all data needed by **your** solver for the computation. The JUSTGrid Framework can receive additional data any time the solver requests them.



3.4.2.3 Receiving the result

Receiving the results: The last step is receiving **your self defined** results. As a matter of of fact, of course the JUSTGrid framework is able to send back as many results and at any iteration one want.

3.5 Session API

The following Illustrations for the Session, Server, ... APIs are created using UML.

The Unified Modeling Language (UML) is a standard language for specifying, visualizing, constructing, and documenting the artifacts of software systems, as well as for business modeling and other non-software systems. The UML represents a collection of best engineering practices that have proven successful in the modeling of large and complex systems.

The UML is a very important part of developing object oriented software and the software development process. The UML uses mostly graphical notations to express the design of software projects. Using the UML helps project teams communicate, explore potential designs, and validate the architectural design of the software.



The Session API is responsible for Java class loading and transmitting and receiving all information needed by the server to run a compute session. It deals also with the event and solver handlers for interactive steering.

- *JpSessionImp* is the implementation of the JpSession and the JpServerSession interfaces on the server side. It is the central object for one complete simulation. It interacts with the client over the network, initializes all solvers and handles the complete IO.
- *JpClientSession* is the counter part of JpSession on the client side. It is responsible for the interactive steering, IO and handles the "callback" events. (e.g. the computation has finished)
- *JpClassLoader* loads all Java-Class file binaries sent from the client (e.g. JpCell, JpSolver. JpSolverHandler) into the server memory. It is also responsible for the class security.
- *JpSessionMonitor* provides online information about the state of the overall computation and also of the state of a single node.
- *JpSolverHandler* initializes the solver parameter provided by the client. It could also be used to implement additional data input or output formats.
- *JpSolver* contains the numerical implementation for one block.
- *JpNode, JpMultiblockNode* is the execution container for JpSolver. It initializes and runs the computation, does the boundary exchange, and finalizes the computation.
- JpNodeStatus, JpNodeStatusImp gives information about the current state of a node and implements the synchronization with the attached neighbours.

3.5.1 Solver



The solver api provides the interfaces for different types of solvers. For example since the *JpGenericSolver* has no connection to any structured grid data, the user himself must implement all data communication (e.g. this is the case for the Mandelbrot-Set solver). In general, solvers implement the *JpMultiblockSolver* interface and thus get all the advantages of the *JUSTGrid* framework. Both solver types can throw *JpSolverExceptions* exceptions if an error occurs while running the computation.



Illustration 3.5.1 shows the complete internal class structure representing a structured grid. For detailed information and illustrations see chapter 4.3.2 on page 76.

3.5.2 Cell



JUSTG_{RID} initializes all JpCell objects for every block. JpCell contains no geometrical information but the normal vector for every cell face and the finite volume for this cell. Each face normal vector points in the normal direction and the vector length represents the face area.

3.5.3 Boundary Handler



A boundary handler is associated to each block. The boundary handler will be executed by the *JUSTGRID* framework before every single iteration of the solver main compute method.

3.5.4 Session



Illustration 3.5.6: The Session object is the interactive steering interface between the client application and the server

The JpSession interface is the central object between the sever and the client. JpSession is implemented as an unicast remote object which allows to remotely communicate with this object via Java Remote Method Invocation (RMI) over the internet. It is responsible initializing the for exchange computation, data and interactive steering.



3.6 Standalone Server (JpMaster)

JpMaster is the standalone server programme running typically on a large compute system with (hopefully) a large number of processors. The JpMaster is able to exchange the default solver with a user supplied solver during a computation. JpMaster handles different solvers in different session simultaneously.

3.7 Client Applications

3.7.1 Command Line Interface

The command line interface is provided by the just-fw.jar file. This file contains all JUSTGrid classes. The interface is invoked as follows:

```
java hpcc.just.app.cli.Main
```

The command line interface reads a file called startup.properties which contains all information needed by JUSTGrid to run a compute session. For detailed information about the startup.properties file see chapter 4.4 on page 78.

3.7.2 Simple Client



Illustration 3.7.1: JUSTGrid: Client Graphical User Interface (GUI) with an opened class browser dialog for selecting the solver class to be used

This GUI provides the interface between the user and the *JUSTGrid* collecting all information necessary to run the parallel application. In addition, the GUI also provides guidelines for the user to facilitate the usage of the application. The user starts a session and obtains a session ID that subsequently can be used to access the server from any other machine connected to the computational grid anywhere on the Internet.

3.7.3 ShowMe 3D





This program provides a way to visualize and investigate complex simulations with a thin client; that is, a machine with just a normal web browser and a low-speed connection to the internet. The client is not assumed to have expensive and complex visualization software installed. The files for the simulation data as well as the visualization software are installed on a powerful server machine.

In JUSTGrid remote data visualization along with data compression and feature extraction as well as remote computational steering is of prime importance. Since JavaGrid allows multiple sessions, multiuser collaboration is needed. Different visualization modules are needed, but here a computational fluid dynamics (CFD) module that allows the perusal of remote CFD data sets will be developed, based on the Java3D standard.

In large simulations, grids with millions of cells are computed, producing hundreds of megabytes of information during each iteration. Depending on the numerical scheme, several thousand iterations may be needed either to converge to a steady state solution or to simulate a time-dependent problem. Hence a fast connection is needed to move data to the client where it can be analyzed, displayed or interacted with to navigate the parallel computation on the server. Therefore a visual interactive package, termed the Virtual Visualization Toolkit (VVT) is provided (see Illustration 3.3.1).

A suitably authenticated client sends a request that is translated by the server into a response that may consist of several image files linked together by an index page that provides captions and other metadata. The request that is sent to the server is an XML document that instructs the visualization software, which may contain file names, filtering commands, and the type of visualization software to be used. At present the widely used graphics packages Tecplot and Ensight are considered for this role. The bulk of the request is in the scripting language used by the chosen software, containing camera angles, ISO surface values, colors, and so on; that is all the information required to build one or more images of the flow.



Clients with more powerful machines and/or a high bandwidth connection to the server might like more than images. In addition, one can consider sending back to the client a X3D/VRML file (Extensible 3D, the next-generation Virtual Reality Modeling Language based upon XML the Extensible Markup Language). This contains a three-dimensional description of space, rather than just a two-dimensional image. Viewers are available as a plug-in to a web browser (eg. Xj3D or Cosmo player). A client could, for example, select a density ISO surface value, and have the complete surface returned as a X3D/VRML file, which can then be interactively rotated, zoomed, and viewed within the client's web browser. The intellectual challenge of this work is to provide the client with a way to effectively form the request. This would take the form of a dialogue. Initially, there could be a choice of servers and the CFD files they contain; when a geometry is chosen there might be a choice of flight configurations and flow variables. Once a particular simulation is

chosen, then thumbnail views could be displayed, generated either as part of the metadata or generated dynamically. The client can then change parameters with sliders and buttons, and rotate the camera angles through a small X3D/VRML model of the chosen configuration. The client can think of the request that he is generating as a multi-page form that he can adjust by going forward or back. The client can also request the XML document corresponding to the request, for storage or editing.



Once the request is complete, it can be sent to the server for conversion to a visual response by opening the relevant files by the VVT.

3.7.4 GRX Monoblock Tool

3.7.4.1 GRX Monoblock Tool - 2D

This *JUSTGrid* simple frontend (Illustration 3.7.6) is a rapid prototype to demonstrate the simplicity of a well designed GUI for a 2D mono block Euler solver. It converts GridPro[™] and TecPlot[™] grid files into GRX file format. (see chapter A on page 151). This frontend acts also as a control center for the Euler solver.



Dealing with the Java Media Framework one has the possibility to render video files from the solution domain during the computation (Illustration 3.7.7), employing the integrated video player to display the solution video in real time. The integrated video player acts like a normal video player, for instance, the usual commands, *forward, pause and reverse playing* are available. In *JUSTGrid* remote data visualization along with data compression and feature extraction as well as remote computational steering is of prime importance. Since *JUSTGrid* allows multiple sessions, multiuser collaboration is needed. Different visualization modules are needed, but here a computational fluid dynamics (CFD) module, allowings the perusal of remote CFD data sets is being developed, based on the Java3D standard.



3.7.4.2 GRX Monoblock Tool - 3D



This *JUSTGrid* simple frontend is a rapid prototype to demonstrate a GUI for a 3D mono block Euler solver with online visualization of the solution on specified block faces.

64

3.7.5 GRX Tool (multiblock)

3.7.5.1 GRX 2D Tool

The **JUSTG**_{RID} GRX2D Tool can be used to prepare a simulation run. **JUSTG**_{RID} GRX2D is also based on the **JUSTG**_{RID} framework and uses the same loaders and utility classes as **JUSTS**_{OLVER} to visualize a grid. It is the very first test to check if **JUSTG**_{RID} can handle a given grid. In addition to the visualization one can specify solver specific parameters like "max number of iterations", "Mach number" or "Dt". These parameters are **not** predefined but depend on the selected solver.

GRX2D automatically scales the hole grid into the viewing area. It is able to highlight and show the bounding box of the complete gird, the single block boundaries, block numbers and even the cells.



3.7.5.2 GRX 3D Tool

Like **JUSTG**_{RID} GRX2D the **JUSTG**_{RID} GRX3D Tool can also be used to prepare a simulation run. Even the 2D tool **JUSTG**_{RID} GRX3D is based on the **JUSTG**_{RID} framework and uses the same loaders and utility classes as **JUSTS**_{OLVER} to visualize a grid. In difference to the **JUSTG**_{RID} GRX2D this tool needs the Java 3D API for the visualization.



The view can be freely moved, rotated, and zoomed by using the computer mouse. The boundary conditions specified by a boundary file or a GridPro topology/connectivity file are automatically shown at the right frame. One can select one or more boundary conditions to visualize all block faces being related to the specified boundary conditions.

Java 3D is a pure Java extension for visualizing and interacting with 3D scenes.



Java 3D is a very powerful API. These pictures are demonstrating some of its implemented capabilities.





It is possible to rotate, move or zoom into the loaded grid with no special hardware. A common laptop computer with a simple 3D graphics card is powerful enough to work.





	Options		Options	
ptions	Geometry Type		Name	Cone Laplace 3D
Bounding Box	Туре		Author	Thorsten Ludewig
	Solver Classes		Version	1.0
To Block Boundaries			Date	2006-04-26 09:53:56
Charle Mundance			Creator	JUST GRX 2D Tool
Cells	Boundary J SimpleBoundaryHandler		Description	Cone Laplace 3D Simple Solver
Boundary Conditions	Number of halo cells			l emplate
not set	Number 1 🕶	Number 1 -		
Inflow	Solver Variables			
wall	Name	Value		
wan	AngleOfAttack	0.0		
	Dt	0.001		
	MachNumber	6.0		
	MaxIterations	1000		
Press "ctrl" + left mouse button to select or		a zi		
deselect any boundary contition	Show Parameter Info		Show Parameter Info	
			personal second second	

- The "Show" option tab is responsible for the viewing area. One can specify what should be visualized.
- Within the "Parameter" tab all physical and numerical parameters needed by the simulation can be specified.
- The "Info" tab is for additional information only.

3.8 Using legacy C or Fortran Code within JUSTGrid

While one can write the solver entirely in Java, there are situations where Java alone does not meet the needs of the application. Programmers use the Java Native Interface (JNI) to write *Java native methods* to handle those situations when an application cannot be written entirely in Java. One common task is to integrate legacy C or Fortran code into the *JUSTGrid* framework.



All tools to create such an environment are part of the Java Development Kit (JDK).

The difficulties of doing such integration are:

- 1. Data exchange between Java and the native code. Java uses a data format, which is identical for all Java Virtual Machines independent from the underlaying hardware. The data converting part could be very time consuming.
- 2. The native code must be provided by a dynamic link library (Windows, .DLL), shared library (Solaris, Linux, .so) or dynamic library (Mac OS X, .dylib). Static code cannot be integrated into a Java VM.

The complete integration of a sample Fortran code into **JUSTGrid** was tested in a prove of concept for the US Air Force. The projects working title was "witch's cauldron".

4 Multiphysics Solver Development with JUSTGrid

4 Multiphysics Solver Development with JUSTGrid

The JUST Framework architecture is prepared for unstructured, structured and merged grids. At this time the full implementation is only available for structured grids.

4.1 Development Prerequisites

- A Java Development Kit (JDK) version 1.4.2 or higher. (http://java.sun.com)
- Java 3D API version 1.3.2 or higher. (https://java3d.dev.java.net/)
- A source editor or an Integrated Development Environment (IDE) I prefer the NetBeans IDE (http://www.netbeans.org) but you can use any editor or IDE you want.
- The JUSTGrid archive file named just-fw.jar
- Make yourself familiar with the following JUST Framework classes:
 - hpcc.just.domain.JpCell
 - hpcc.just.domain.JpFace
 - hpcc.just.domain.JpFacePart
 - hpcc.just.domain.structured.JpBlock
 - hpcc.just.share.JpMultiblockSolver

If your solver needs to have special initialization methods you also must have a look at the following two class definitions.

- hpcc.just.share.JpSolverHandler
- hpcc.just.client.JpGenericSolverHandler

For a better understanding of the internal classes you should also read the documentation of the mathematical vector classes.

- o hpcc.math.JpPoint
- o hpcc.math.JpVector
- o hpcc.math.JpVectorMath

4 Multiphysics Solver Development with JUSTGrid

4.2 Sample integration of an Euler3D solver into JUSTGrid

- 1. Compile the solver as it is.
- 2. Run the solver with a well known example and save the result for comparison with the migrated solver.
- 3. Create a new NetBeans project and copy all solver classes into the source directory of this project. This is an optional task.
- 4. Move all solver classes into a new Java package to avoid naming conflicts.
- 5. Add the just-fw.jar archive to the projects library settings or add it to your classpath environment variable.
- 6. Determine or create the class files for cell, solver, and boundary handler
- 7. Check the order of cell array indices, they must be $[I_{min}-I_{max}] [J_{min}-J_{max}] [K_{min}-K_{max}]$
- 8. Compile the cell, solver, etc. classes
- 9. Create a startup.properties file. For more information about this file see chapter 4.4 on page 78.
- 10. Start

java hpcc.just.app.cli.Main

ATTENTION: Make sure that all classes of the solver are in the classpath.

11. Compare the result with the result computed in point 2 to make sure that the changes were correct.
4.3 JUSTGrid provided structure



4.3.1 Description of the Standard Cube

A formal description of block connectivity is needed to perform the block updating, i.e., to do the message passing. To this end, grid information is subdivided into topology and geometry data that are kept separate. The following format is used for both the grid generator and the flow solver, using the same topology description. All computations are done for a standard cube in the computational plane as shown in Illustration 4.3.5. The coordinate directions in the computational plane are denoted by I,J, and K and block dimensions are given by I_{max}, J_{max} and K_{max}, respectively.

In the computational space, each cube has its own right-handed coordinate system (I,J,K), where the I direction goes from back to front, the J direction from left to right, and the K direction from bottom to top, see Illustration 4.3.5. The coordinate values are by proper grid point indices i, j, k in the I, J, K directions, respectively. That means that values range from 1 to I_{max} in the I direction, from 1 to J_{max} in the J direction, and 1 to K_{max} in the K direction. Each grid point represents an integer coordinate value in the computational plane.

A simple notation of planes within a block can be defined by specifying the normal vector along with the proper coordinate value in the specified direction. For example, face 2 can uniquely defined by describing it as a J plane with a *j* value 1 i.e., by the pair (J,1) where the first value is the direction of the normal vector and the second value is the plane index. Thus, face 4 is defined by the pair (I,J). This notation is also required in the visualization module.



Grid points are stored in such a way that the I direction is treated first, followed by the J and K directions, respectively. This implies that K planes are stored in sequence.

In the following the matching of blocks is outlined. First, it is shown how the orientation of the face of a block is determined. Second, rules are given how to describe the matching of faces between neighboring blocks. This means the determination of the proper orientation values between the neighboring faces. To determine the orientation of a face, arrows are drawn in the direction of increasing coordinate values. The rule is that the lower-valued coordinate varies first, and thereby the orientation is uniquely determined. The orientation of faces between neighboring blocks is determined as follows, see Illustration 4.3.3.Suppose blocks 1 and 2 are oriented as shown. Each individual block has its own coordinate system (right-handed). For example, orientation of block 2 is obtained by rotation of π of block K-axis – rotations are positive in a counterclockwise sense.



Illustration 4.3.3: Determination of orientation of faces between neighboring blocks as seen from block 1(reference block). The reference block is always oriented as shown and then the corresponding orientation of the neighboring face is determined. (see Illustration 4.3.4)



Thus face 4 of block 1 (used as the reference block) and face 4 of block 2 are matching with the orientations as shown, determined from the rules shown in Illustration 4.3.4. All cases is group 1 can be obtained by rotating a face about an angle of 0, $1/2 \pi$, π or $3/2 \pi$. This is also valid for elements in group 2. The code automatically recognizes when the orientation between two faces needs to be mirrored. Thus cases 1 and 7 in Illustration 4.3.4 are obtained by rotating case 1 by $\pi/2$. Here, the rotations are denoted by integers 0,1,2 and 3, respectively.





4.3.2 JUSTGrid Java class representation of a structured grid

JUSTGrid reads in grid files in various formats see chapter 4.3.2 on page 76. After loading and parsing the grid file JUSTGrid provides a JpBlock array to the compute session. For every grid block one JpBlockand one solver instance with a unique id will be created.



A JpBlock contains its unique id the grid data array and an array containing all initialized JpCell instances including all halo cells. The order for both arrays is [I_{min}-I_{max}] [J_{min}-J_{max}] [K_{min}-K_{max}]. It is really important to take care of this order while you are writing your own code. Changing the order from [K][J][I] takes much time and raises the possibility of errors.



The connection between block faces and the boundary conditions are stored in the JpFacePart object. Due to the missing implementation of merged blocks every JpFace contains exactly one JpFacePart.

4.4 The startup.properties file

The startup.properties file contains all information needed for a computation.

4.4.1 Client class section

The client section tells JUSTGrid which classes to use for the current compute session. It is possible to change the default generic solver handler for special initialization methods but normally it is not necessary to do.

```
client.solverhandler.class=hpcc.just.client.JpGenericSolverHandler
client.boundaryhandler.class=simplesolver3d.SimpleBoundaryHandler
client.cell.class=simplesolver3d.SimpleCell
client.solver.class=simplesolver3d.SimpleSolver3D
```

4.4.2 Input and output file section

This section describes all input and output files. JUSTGrid is able to handle more than one input and one output file. This is really important if grid and topology information as well as boundary conditions are stored in different files. JUSTGrid can also store the result using different data types (e.g. Tecplot) in seperate files.

Type and name of each input and output file must be specified. A list follows of all file formats known by JUSTGrid see chapter 4.3.2 on page 76.

```
input.file.type.0=gpg
input.file.name.0=blk.tmp
input.file.type.1=gpc
input.file.name.1=blk.tmp.conn
output.file.type.0=plt
output.file.name.0=output.plt
```

4.4.3 Numerical section

The numerical section contains only one entry, namely the number of halo-cells to be created around the blocks for inter-block connectivity (see Illustration 4.3.2)

param.numerical.halocells=1

4.4.4 Physical section

The physical section sets the geometry type of the computation 2D or 3D.

param.physical.type=3D

4.4.5 Solver parameter section

This section can be freely defined by the solver developer or engineer.

```
param.solver.MaxIterations=1000
param.solver.MachNumber=1.0
```

Every solver parameter starts with solver.param and will be passed through by JUSTGrid as an initialization value to every solver instance. The technique to communicate between the startup.properties file and the solver instance is very easy for the deveploper. Simply write a name corresponding to the so called setter method into the solver class. In our case this would be:

```
public class SimpleSolver3D extends JpMultiblockSolver
{
   public setMaxIterations( int maxIteration )
   {
    ...
   }
   public setMachNumber( double mach )
   {
    ...
```

}

•••

Be careful to note that JUSTGrid is case sensitive dealing when with method names. During the method recognition for the solver parameters, JUSTGrid will follow this sequence:

setMethod(double v), setMethod(int v), setMethod(String s)

If a matching method is found JUSTGrid invokes this method on all solver instances and continues with the next parameter.

5 Multiphysics Equations in JUSTGRID

As an example of a nontrivial system of multiphysics equations the magneto-hydrodynamics (MHD) equations were chosen. These equations are a combination of the nonlinear equations of fluid dynamics, described by the Navier-Stokes equations and Maxwell's equations of electrodynamics, and thus represent a genuine multiphysics problem. In addition, the numerical solution of these equations exhibits unique challenges in the form of magnetoacoustic and Alfvén waves. Moreover, the constraint of $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B}=0$ is difficult to maintain. In addition, it must be ensured that any initial solution numerically satisfies this constraint. In contrast to the analytic solution, which remains divergence free, the numerical solution needs special treatment to guarantee this feature. This combination of fluid- and electrodynamics, having a wide range of applications (plasma physics, aero- and aerothermodynamics, fusion, astrophysics, gas discharges etc.), requires a challenging numerical solution procedure, because waves from both fluid dynamics and electrodynamics are present and must be properly resolved.

5.1 Introduction

MHD is useful, if charge separation is negligible. Length scales need to be larger than the Debye length, and time scales larger than the inverse of the plasma frequency. In other words, the model cannot be applied to high-frequency phenomena that apply large separation. In order to guarantee isotropy, the collision frequency has to be higher than the cyclotron frequency.

To further simplify the equations, it should be noted that the displacement current can be

neglected, because in $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{j} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$, $\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \approx \frac{v^2}{c^2}$ and thus the time derivative of the

electric field can be neglected.

It should be noted that from now on the Maxwell equations will be written exclusively using the SI system, which is more suitable for engineering purposes. Using the Maxwell equations in the MKS System (which is being used throughout this thesis), $\nabla \times B = \mu_0 j = \mu_0 \sigma (E + v \times B)$ and thus

 $E = \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma} \nabla \times B - \nu \times B$ is a dependent variable, and therefore electric field strength *E* is not

computed in MHD. That is, the corresponding Maxwell equation is not needed.

5.2 Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) Equations

5.2.1 MHD Equations

The MHD equations are the combination of Navier-Stokes and Maxwell equations together with Ohm's law. The governing equations are listed below.

Continuity equation:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho v) = 0 \tag{5.2.1}$$

Momentum equation:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho v)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [\rho v v - \frac{BB}{\mu_m} + PI] - \frac{1}{Re} \nabla \cdot \tau = 0$$
(5.2.2)

It should be noted that the expression **BB** in Eqs. (5.2.2) stands for a second rank tensor. Similar terms occur in Eqs. (5.2.4) where the order of the factors is important (**vB** and **Bv**).

Energy equation:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho \mathbf{E})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[\left(\rho \mathbf{E} + P \right) \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{B} \left(\frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{\mu_m} \right) - \frac{1}{Re} \left(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{\tau} \right) - \frac{1}{(\gamma - 1) P_r Ma^2 Re} \dot{\mathbf{q}} - \left(\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mu_m \sigma} \cdot \frac{\nabla \mathbf{B}}{\mu_m} - \nabla \frac{B^2}{\mu_m^2 \sigma} \right) J = 0$$
(5.2.3)

Induction equation:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{B} - \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{v}) + \nabla \times [\frac{1}{\sigma} (\nabla \times \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{\mu_m})] = 0$$
(5.2.4)

where $P = p + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_m}$, $E = \frac{p}{(\gamma - 1)\rho} + \frac{v^2}{2} + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_m\rho}$, and $B^2 = B \cdot B$, $v^2 = v \cdot v$.

Here E is the total energy per mass unit, comprising *internal*, *kinetic*, and *magnetic* energies. *P* is the total pressure, *p* the static pressure, τ denotes stress tensor, and, in terms of temperature *T*, the heat flux vector is given by $\dot{q} = k \nabla T$. The equations have to be supplemented by models for conductivity *s* and magnetic permeability μ_m .

5.2.2 Ideal MHD Equations

The classic ideal magneto-hydrodynamics (MHD) governing equations can be deduced from the MHD system given above with additional assumptions. First, the concept of infinite electrical conductivity implies that the strength of the motion-induced magnetic field overwhelms that of the applied field. Second, in many flows inertial effects greatly outweigh viscous dissipation and heat transfer in the medium. Third, the medium is considered to be isotropic (see [SHA01]). Then the resulting equations are:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{F} = 0 \tag{5.2.5}$$

where F is a second rank tensor and **U** is the vector of variables given by

$$\boldsymbol{U} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\rho} \\ \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{v} \\ \boldsymbol{E} \\ \boldsymbol{B} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.2.6)

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} \rho v \\ \rho v v + P I - B B \\ (E + P) v - B (v \cdot B) \\ v B - B v \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.2.7)

where ρ is mass density, $v = (u, v, w)^T$ is the velocity, $B = (B_x, B_y, B_z)^T$ is the magnetic induction field, where *E* now is the total energy per unit volume, which is defined as (for ideal MHD)

$$E = p/(\gamma - 1) + \rho (u^2 + v^2 + w^2)/2 + (B_x^2 + B_y^2 + B_z^2)/2 \mu_m$$
(5.2.8)

and total pressure is

$$P = p + (B_x^2 + B_y^2 + B_z^2)/2 \mu_m$$
(5.2.9)

In additional to the above equations, the magnetic field satisfies the divergence free constraint $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$. This is not an evolution equation and has to be satisfied numerically at each iteration

step for any kind of grid. Special care has to be taken to guarantee that this condition is satisfied, otherwise the solution may become non-physical. Due to the coupling of the induction equation to the momentum and energy equations, these quantities would also be modeled incorrectly. A special problem arises to guarantee this condition satisfied at curved boundaries.

5.3 MHD Waves

The above ideal MHD equations constitute a non-strictly hyperbolic partial differential system [SHA02]. From the analysis of the governing equations in one-dimensional spatio-temporal space, eigenvector and eigenvalues have been found. The remaining seven eigenvalues of the MHD equations can also locally degenerate to coincide with each other, depending on the relative magnitude and orientation of the magnetic field. The seven eigenvalues are :

 $[u, u \pm c_A, u \pm c_s, u \pm c_f]$. All velocity components are in the direction of propagation of the wave.

These eigenvalues reflect four different wave speeds for a perturbation propagating in a plasma field: the usual acoustic, the Alfven , and the slow and fast plasma waves:

$$a^{2} = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}\right)_{s}$$
(5.3.1)

$$c_A^2 = B_n^2 / \rho \,\mu_m \tag{5.3.2}$$

where B_n denotes the transverse (normal) component of the magnetic induction field with respect to the wave front.

$$2c_s^2 = a^2 + \frac{B^2}{\rho \mu_m} - \left(\frac{a^2 + \frac{B^2}{\rho \mu_m}}{a^2 + \frac{B^2}{\rho \mu_m}} \right)^2 - 4 a^2 c_A^2$$
(5.3.3)

$$2c_{f}^{2} = a^{2} + \frac{B^{2}}{\rho \mu_{m}} + \left(\frac{a^{2} + \frac{B^{2}}{\rho \mu_{m}}}{\rho \mu_{m}} \right)^{2} - 4 a^{2} c_{A}^{2}$$
(5.3.4)



Illustration 5.5.1 shows the $\{x-t\}$ diagram of all waves at a cell interface resulting from the linearized ideal MHD equations.

5.4 Flux Formulation using the HLLC Riemann Solver

First, we consider the HLLC (Harten-Lax-van Leer-Contact discontinuity) scheme for the Euler equations only. Then we extend the HLLC scheme to the MHD equations. The HLLC scheme is developed from the HLL scheme.

5.4.1 HLL Flux Formulation

If we consider the shock tube problem, we encounter three different types of waves, namely a shock wave, a contact discontinuity (across which only temperature and density vary) and a rarefaction wave. If the initial conditions are such that the shock wave and the contact discontinuity move to the right, the rarefaction wave is moving to the left. A diaphragm may separate two states of variables in the shock tube, denoted as left and right states. Accordingly, all variables are indexed with the letters *I* and *r*. Across the diaphragm, thought to be of zero thickness, variables are discontinuous. Depending on the values of the left and right states, various flow scenarios may develop. The shock tube is thought to be of infinite length, and variables vary only in the direction of the flow. Flow is uniform in the lateral direction. In this respect, the shock tube is a model for the Riemann problem in one dimension. The Riemann problem consists of the PDE and the initial conditions (IC). There are no boundary conditions (BC) since the region is not bounded.

$$U_t + F_x(U) = 0; \quad IC: \quad U(x, 0) = \begin{cases} U_t & \text{if } x < 0 \\ U_r & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$
 (5.4.1)

The initial values for fluxes are denoted in a similar way,

$$IC: \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{U}(x,0)) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{F}_{l} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{U}_{l}) & \text{if } x < 0 \\ \mathbf{F}_{r} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{U}_{r}) & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$
(5.4.2)

Numerically, values of U are known at cell centers only, indicated by index i (one-dimensional problem), but fluxes need to be computed at cell faces with index i+1/2, and thus approximations to the flux function $F_{i+1/2}$ are to be found. Here, the approach by Harten, Lax, and van Leer (HLL) is followed, with corrections implemented by Batten] to account for the contact discontinuity (hence the scheme is termed HLLC).

The derivation of the flux function is performed in two stages. First, the HLL scheme is derived, and in the second stage, the scheme is modified to incorporate the contact discontinuity, producing the HLLC scheme. A major task is the evaluation of the wave propagation speeds. If u, a denote the flow speed and the speed of sound, respectively, the Riemann problem has 3 distinct eigenvalues, namely u-a, u, u+a where the u eigenvalue has multiplicity 3. In order to approximate the flux function, the above specified Riemann problem is solved on the domain (x_t, x_r) and integrated in time from 0 to t_f . One obtains

$$\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{r}} \boldsymbol{U}(x,t_{f}) dx = \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{r}} \boldsymbol{U}(x,0) dx + \int_{0}^{t_{f}} \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{U}(x_{i},t)) dt - \int_{0}^{t_{f}} \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{U}(x_{r},t)) dt.$$
(5.4.3)

In order to evaluate the integrals, the (yet unknown) signal speeds s_l and s_r are considered, denoting the fastest wave propagation in the negative and positive x-directions. It is assumed, however, that at the final time t_f , no information has reached the left, $x_l < 0$, and right, $x_r > 0$, boundaries of the spatial integration interval, that is

$$x_l < s_l t_f \text{ and } x_r > s_r t_f$$
 (5.4.4)

Under this assumption, we obtain $U(x_l, t_f) = U(x_l, 0)$ and $U(x_r, t_f) = U(x_r, 0)$. Therefore the last two integrals on the RHS can be immediately evaluated. Using the initial conditions, the first integral on the RHS is easily calculated, resulting in

$$\int_{x_{l}}^{x_{r}} U(x, t_{f}) dx = x_{r} U_{r} - x_{l} U_{l} + t_{f} (F_{r} - F_{l})$$
(5.4.5)

At time t_f waves have moved according to their propagation speeds and information has been carried along the x-axis. Naturally, the initial solution has changed. For the time being, we only consider two waves with speeds s_l and s_r . Since $s_r > s_l$, the x domain is subdivided into three intervals, namely $(x_l, s_l t_f), (s_l t_f, s_r t_f), and (s_r t_f, x_r)$. U is constant within each interval, but may be discontinuous across each wave (characteristic curve). The integral on the LHS of Eq. (5.4.5) therefore has to be split into 3 integrals. Since no information has reached the first and the third intervals, these integrals can be directly calculated.

$$\int_{s_{l}t_{f}}^{s_{r}t_{f}} U(x,t_{f}) dx = -\int_{x_{l}}^{s_{l}t_{f}} U(x,t_{f}) dx - \int_{s_{r}t_{f}}^{x_{r}} U(x,t_{f}) dx + x_{r} U_{r} - x_{l} U_{l} + t_{f} (F_{r} - F_{l})$$
(5.4.6)

Evaluating the integral it follows that

$$\boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{t}_{f}) = \boldsymbol{U}_{I} \text{ for } \boldsymbol{x} \in (\boldsymbol{x}_{I},\boldsymbol{s}_{I}\boldsymbol{t}_{f}) \text{ and } \boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{t}_{f}) = \boldsymbol{U}_{r} \text{ for } \boldsymbol{x} \in (\boldsymbol{s}_{I}\boldsymbol{t}_{f},\boldsymbol{x}_{r})$$
(5.4.7)

Inserting these values results in

$$\int_{s_{l}t_{f}}^{s_{r}t_{f}} U(x,t_{f}) dx = (x_{l} - s_{l}t_{f}) U_{l} + (s_{r}t_{f} - x_{r}) U_{r} + x_{r} U_{r} - x_{l} U_{l} + t_{f} (F_{r} - F_{l})$$
(5.4.8)
or

$$\int_{s_{t}t_{f}}^{s_{r}t_{f}} \boldsymbol{U}(x,t_{f}) dx = s_{r}t_{f}\boldsymbol{U}_{r} - s_{l}t_{f}\boldsymbol{U}_{l} + t_{f}(\boldsymbol{F}_{r} - \boldsymbol{F}_{l})$$
(5.4.9)

With the definition

$$U_{HLL} := \frac{1}{t_f(s_r - s_l)} \int_{s_l t_f}^{s_r t_f} U(x, t_f) dx = \frac{s_r U_r - s_l U_l + F_r - F_l}{s_r - s_l}$$
(5.4.10)

Where U_{HLL} is a constant, depending on the hitherto unknown wave speeds. For a given time $t \in (0, t_f)$, the Riemann solution can thus be written in the form

$$\boldsymbol{U}(x,t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \boldsymbol{U}_{L} & \text{if } x < s_{l} t \\ \boldsymbol{U}_{HLL} & \text{if } s_{l} t < x < s_{l} t \\ \boldsymbol{U}_{R} & \text{if } x > s_{r} t \end{array} \right\}$$
(5.4.11)

The disadvantage of this solution is that contact discontinuities are not properly accounted for that is, all intermediate states that might exist in the region $(s_l t_f, s_r t_f)$ were averaged over by the integration process. Hence, if the solution contains a contact discontinuity, it has been smeared out, and will not be present in the numerical solution.

The numerical flux computation for the supersonic case is straightforward. Information is traveling only in one direction, and thus the time dependent flux F_{HLL} at surface x=0 (denoted by $F_{i+1/2}$ in the finite volume approach through the face labeled i+1/2) is either the flux $F_l or flux F_r$. We therefore need only to consider the subsonic case where information across surface x=0 (Riemann problem) can arrive both sides, namely from the upwind and the downwind directions. In that case $s_l < 0 < s_r$. For instance, if u > 0 (flow velocity) then $s_l = u - a$ and $s_r = u + a$. The question arises how to compute flux F_{HLL} . One immediate possibility is to set $F_{HLL} = F(U_{HLL})$. However, flux is an integral quantity, and using an averaged state vector instead of an averaged flux may not be a good approximation. In any case, this has nothing to do with conservation laws, it would be a purely mathematical procedure, and therefore is not conservative. In the general case the interface flux F_{HLL} becomes

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{HLL} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{F}_{l} & if \ 0 < s_{l} \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{lr}^{*} & if \ s_{l} \le 0 \le s_{r} \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{r} & if \ s_{r} < 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.4.12)

and with

$$F_{lr}^{*} = \frac{s_{r} F_{l} - s_{l} F_{r} + s_{l} s_{r} (U_{r} - U_{l})}{s_{r} - s_{l}}$$
(5.4.13)

5.4.2 HLLC Flux

The HLLC flux is a modification of the HLL flux. Instead of a single intermediate state U_{HLL} two intermediate states U_{l}^{*} and U_{r}^{*} are assumed, separated by an interface moving with speed s_{M} [BAT01]:

$$\boldsymbol{U}_{HLLC} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{U}_{l} & \text{if } 0 < s_{l} \\ \boldsymbol{U}_{l}^{*} & \text{if } s_{l} \le 0 \le s_{M} \\ \boldsymbol{U}_{r}^{*} & \text{if } s_{M} \le 0 \le s_{r} \\ \boldsymbol{U}_{r} & \text{if } s_{r} < 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.4.14)

The corresponding interface flux denoted $F_{\rm HLLC}$, is defined as

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{HLLC} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{F}_{l} & if \ 0 < \boldsymbol{s}_{l} \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{l}^{*} & if \ \boldsymbol{s}_{l} \le 0 \le \boldsymbol{s}_{M} \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{r}^{*} & if \ \boldsymbol{s}_{M} \le 0 \le \boldsymbol{s}_{r} \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{r}^{*} & if \ \boldsymbol{s}_{r} < 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.4.15)

Applying the Rankine-Hugoniot conditions:

$$F_{l}^{*} = F_{l} + s_{l} (U_{l}^{*} - U_{l})$$
(5.4.16)

and

$$F_{r}^{*} = F_{r} + s_{r} (U_{r}^{*} - U_{r})$$
(5.4.17)

to determine values U_l^* and U_r^* Batten [] made the assumption that

$$s_M = q_I^* = q_r^* = q^*$$
 (5.4.18)

and got the following results

$$s_{M} = \frac{\rho_{r}q_{r}(s_{r}-q_{r}) - \rho_{l}q_{l}(s_{l}-q_{l}) + p_{l}-p_{r}}{\rho_{r}(s_{r}-q_{r}) - \rho_{l}(s_{l}-q_{l})}$$
(5.4.19)

$$\rho_{k}^{*} = \rho_{k} \frac{s_{k} - q_{k}}{s_{k} - s_{M}}$$
(5.4.20)

$$p^* = \rho_k (q_k - s_k) (q_k - s_M) + p_k$$
(5.4.21)

$$(\rho u)_{k}^{*} = \frac{(s_{k} - q_{k})\rho_{k}u_{k} + (p^{*} - p_{k})n_{x}}{s_{k} - s_{m}}$$
(5.4.22)

$$(\rho v)_{k}^{*} = \frac{(s_{k} - q_{k})\rho_{k}v_{k} + (p^{*} - p_{k})n_{y}}{s_{k} - s_{m}}$$
(5.4.23)

$$(\rho w)_{k}^{*} = \frac{(s_{k} - q_{k})\rho_{k}w_{k} + (p^{*} - p_{k})n_{y}}{s_{k} - s_{m}}$$
(5.4.24)

$$e_{k}^{*} = \frac{(s_{k} - q_{k})e_{k} - p_{k}q_{k} + p^{*}s_{M}}{s_{k} - s_{M}}$$
(5.4.25)

In Eqs. from 5.4.20 to 5.4.25, the subscript k stands for l or r. Using Eqs. 5.4.19 to 5.4.25, the flux can be calculated as following:

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{k} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{k}^{*} q_{k}^{*} \\ \rho_{k}^{*} u_{k}^{*} q_{k}^{*} + p^{*} n_{x} \\ \rho_{k}^{*} v_{k}^{*} q_{k}^{*} + p^{*} n_{y} \\ \rho_{k}^{*} w_{k}^{*} q_{k}^{*} + p^{*} n_{z} \\ (e_{k}^{*} + p^{*}) q_{k}^{*} \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.4.26)

5.4.3 HLLC for Magneto-Gasdynamics Equations (MHD-HLLC)

5.4.3.1 Derivation of MHD-HLLC Riemann Solver

Now, the HLLC scheme for MHD can be derived. The 2-D MHD equations. are considered. Rewriting Eqs. 5.4.16 and 5.4.17 for the MHD equations, results in (here the subscripts *l* and

r are dropped for simplicity)Error: Reference source not found.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \rho^{*} \\ \rho^{*} u^{*} \\ \rho^{*} v^{*} q^{*} + P^{*} n_{x} - B_{n}^{*} B_{x}^{*} \\ \rho^{*} v^{*} q^{*} + P^{*} n_{y} - B_{n}^{*} B_{y}^{*} \\ \rho^{*} v^{*} q^{*} + P^{*} n_{y} - B_{n}^{*} B_{y}^{*} \\ q^{*} B_{x}^{*} - B_{n}^{*} u^{*} \\ q^{*} B_{x}^{*} - B_{n}^{*} v^{*} \\ q^{*} B_{y}^{*} - B_{n}^{*} v^{*} \\ q^{*} B_{y}^{*} - B_{n}^{*} v^{*} \\ 0 \\ (E^{*} + P^{*}) q^{*} - B_{n}^{*} (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{v})^{*} \end{bmatrix} = s \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ \rho u \\ \rho v \\ B_{x} \\ B_{y} \\ B_{z} \\ E \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \rho q \\ \rho u q + P n_{x} - B_{n} B_{x} \\ \rho v q + P n_{y} - B_{n} B_{y} \\ q B_{x} - B_{n} u \\ q B_{y} - B_{n} v \\ 0 \\ (E + P) q - B_{n} (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.4.27)

where $B_n = B_x n_x + B_y n_y$ and $q = u n_x + v n_y$. Similar to Eq. 5.4.19, the speed q^* for MHD can be assumed as $S_M = q_l^* = q_r^* = q_l^*$ and can be obtained from the HLL approximation (Eq. Error: Reference source not found). This results in the following expression:

$$q^{*} = s_{M} = \frac{\rho_{r}q_{r}(s_{r}-q_{r}) - \rho_{l}q_{l}(s_{l}-q_{l}) + p_{l} - p_{r} - B_{nl}^{2} + B_{nr}^{2}}{\rho_{r}(s_{r}-q_{r}) - \rho_{l}(s_{l}-q_{l})}$$
(5.4.28)

in order to make the HLLC middle state U^* consistent with the integral form of the conservation laws, which is described as consistency condition by Toro.

$$\frac{q^* - s_l}{s_r - s_l} U_l^* + \frac{s_r - q^*}{s_r - s_l} U_r^* = \frac{s_r U_r - s_l U_l - (F_r - F_l)}{s_r - s_l}$$
(5.4.29)

Shengtai Li suggests:

$$B_{xl}^{*} = B_{xr}^{*} = B_{x}^{HLL} = \frac{s_{r} B_{xr} - s_{l} B_{xl}}{s_{r} - s_{l}}$$
(5.4.30)

and

$$B_{yl}^* = B_{yr}^* = B_y^{HLL}$$
, $B_{zl}^* = B_{zr}^* = B_z^{HLL}$ (5.4.31)

$$B_{xl}^* (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})_l^* = B_{xr}^* (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})_r^* \quad or \quad (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})_l^* = (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})_r^* .$$
(5.4.32)

91

$$\left(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}\right)_{l}^{*}=\left(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}\right)_{r}^{*}:=\boldsymbol{B}^{HLL}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}^{HLL}$$
(5.4.33)

and then

$$P^{*} = \rho \left(s_{l} - q \right) \left(q^{*} - q \right) + P - B_{n}^{2} + \left(B_{n}^{*} \right)^{2}.$$
(5.4.34)

With the known values of B_x^* , B_y^* , B_z^* , q^* , and P^* the rest of the components can be derived easily:

$$\rho_{K}^{*} = \rho_{K} \frac{S_{K} - q_{K}}{S_{K} - q^{*}}$$

$$(\rho u)_{K}^{*} = (\rho u)_{K} \frac{S_{K} - q_{K}}{S_{K} - q^{*}} + \frac{(P^{*} - P_{K})n_{x} + B_{nK}B_{xK} - B^{*}B_{x}^{*}}{S_{K} - q^{*}}$$

$$(\rho v)_{K}^{*} = (\rho v)_{K} \frac{S_{K} - q_{K}}{S_{K} - q^{*}} + \frac{(P^{*} - P_{K})n_{y} + B_{nK}B_{yK} - B^{*}B_{y}^{*}}{S_{K} - q^{*}}$$

$$E_{K}^{*} = E_{K} \frac{S_{K} - q_{K}}{S_{K} - q^{*}} + \frac{P^{*}q^{*} - P_{K}q_{K} + B_{nK}(B \cdot v)_{K} - B^{*}(B \cdot v)^{*}}{S_{K} - q^{*}}.$$
(5.4.35)

The quantity v^{HLL} can be calculated from the conservative variables. We remark that if we had chosen $(B \cdot v)_k^* = B_k^* \cdot v_k^*$, Eq. 5.4.29 would not be satisfied by the given expressions of B^* and V^* .

We can now write the MHD-HLLC flux as

$$F_{HLLC} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{l} & if \ 0 < s_{l} \\ F_{l}^{*} = F_{l} + s_{l} (U_{l}^{*} - U_{l}) & if \ s_{l} \le 0 \le q^{*} \\ F_{r} = F_{r} + s_{r} (U_{r}^{*} - U_{r})^{*} & if \ q^{*} \le 0 \le s_{r} \\ F_{r} & if \ s_{r} < 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$
(5.4.36)

5.4.3.2 Summary of the Formulas for Two-dimensional Ideal MHD-HLLC

For the two-dimensional ideal MHD equations (Eq. 5.2.5), the following formulas are used:

Formulas for flux calculation

Define

$$\boldsymbol{B} = (B_x, B_y, B_z)^T$$

$$\boldsymbol{v} = (u, v)^T$$

$$B_n = B_x n_x + B_y n_y$$

$$v_n = u n_x + v n_y$$
(5.4.37)

where index n denotes normal direction.

The flux is given as

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} \rho v_{n} \\ \rho v_{n} u + P n_{x} - B_{n} B_{x} \\ \rho v_{n} v + P n_{y} - B_{n} B_{y} \\ (E + P) v_{n} - B_{n} (u B_{x} + v B_{y}) \\ v_{n} B_{x} - B_{n} u \\ v_{n} B_{y} - B_{n} v \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.4.38)

• Wavespeed Formulas

The formulas for calculating wavespeeds are given by Eqs. 5.3.1 to 5.3.4.

For all dependent variables Roe-averaged values are used:

$$\tilde{\rho} = \sqrt{\rho_{l}\rho_{r}}$$

$$\tilde{u} = \frac{u_{l}\sqrt{\rho_{l}} + u_{r}\sqrt{\rho_{r}}}{\sqrt{\rho_{l}} + \sqrt{\rho_{r}}}$$

$$\tilde{v} = \frac{v_{l}\sqrt{\rho_{l}} + v_{r}\sqrt{\rho_{r}}}{\sqrt{\rho_{l}} + v_{r}\sqrt{\rho_{r}}}$$

$$\tilde{E} = \frac{E_{l}\sqrt{\rho_{l}} + E_{r}\sqrt{\rho_{r}}}{\sqrt{\rho_{l}} + \sqrt{\rho_{r}}}$$

$$\tilde{B}_{x} = \frac{B_{xlsqrt}\rho_{l} + B_{xR}\sqrt{\rho_{r}}}{\sqrt{\rho_{l}} + \sqrt{\rho_{r}}}$$

$$\tilde{B}_{y} = \frac{B_{yl}\sqrt{\rho_{l}} + B_{yR}\sqrt{\rho_{r}}}{\sqrt{\rho_{l}} + \sqrt{\rho_{r}}}$$

$$\tilde{B}_{z} = \frac{B_{zl}\sqrt{\rho_{l}} + B_{zR}\sqrt{\rho_{r}}}{\sqrt{\rho_{l}} + \sqrt{\rho_{r}}}$$
(5.4.39)

For the wavespeeds one finally obtains

$$s_{l} = \min (q_{l} - c_{fl}, q_{Roe} - c_{fRoe})$$

$$s_{r} = \min (q_{r} + c_{fr}, q_{Roe} + c_{fRoe})$$
(5.4.40)

• Formulas for intermediate states

$$B_{x}^{HLL} = B_{xl}^{*} = B_{xr}^{*} = \frac{S_{r}B_{xr} - S_{l}B_{xl}}{S_{r} - S_{l}}$$
(5.4.41)

$$B_{y}^{HLL} = B_{yl}^{*} = B_{yr}^{*} = \frac{S_{r}B_{yr} - S_{l}B_{yl}}{S_{r} - S_{l}}$$
(5.4.42)

$$B_{z}^{HLL} = B_{zl}^{*} = B_{zr}^{*} = \frac{S_{r}B_{zr} - S_{l}B_{zl}}{S_{r} - S_{l}}$$
(5.4.43)

$$q^{*} = \frac{\rho_{r} q_{r} (S_{r} - q_{r}) - \rho_{l} q_{l} (S_{l} - q_{l}) + P_{l} - P_{r} - B_{nl}^{2} + B_{nr}^{2}}{\rho_{R} (S_{r} - q_{R}) - \rho_{l} (S_{l} - q_{l})}$$
(5.4.44)

$$p^{*} = \rho_{K}(S_{K} - q_{K})(q^{*} - q_{K}) + P_{K} - B_{nK}^{2} + B_{n}^{*2}$$
(5.4.45)

$$\rho_{K}^{*} = \rho_{K} \frac{S_{K} - q_{K}}{S_{K} - q^{*}}$$

$$(\rho u)_{K}^{*} = (\rho u)_{K} \frac{S_{K} - q_{K}}{S_{K} - q^{*}} + \frac{(P^{*} - P_{K})n_{x} + B_{nK}B_{xK} - B^{*}B_{x}^{*}}{S_{K} - q^{*}}$$

$$(\rho v)_{K}^{*} = (\rho v)_{K} \frac{S_{K} - q_{K}}{S_{K} - q^{*}} + \frac{(P^{*} - P_{K})n_{y} + B_{nK}B_{yK} - B^{*}B_{y}^{*}}{S_{K} - q^{*}}$$

$$E_{K}^{*} = E_{K} \frac{S_{K} - q_{K}}{S_{K} - q^{*}} + \frac{P^{*}q^{*} - P_{K}q_{K} + B_{nK}(B \cdot v)_{K} - B^{*}(B \cdot v)^{*}}{S_{K} - q^{*}}$$
(5.4.46)

and

$$\left(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}\right)^{*}=\boldsymbol{B}^{HLL}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}^{HLL}$$
(5.4.47)

5.4.4 Divergence Free Constraint

5.4.4.1 For Cartesian Grids

The idea of constrained transport is to use simple difference formulas (CD) for the induction equation. To make the scheme second order accurate in time, a time centered approximation is taken for the electric field, so, e.g., for 2D ideal MHD in Cartesian grids:

$$E_{z} = u B_{y} - v B_{x} \tag{5.4.48}$$

and the magnetic field is updated as

$$B_{x(i,j)}^{n+1} = B_{x(i,j)}^{n} + \Delta t \frac{E_{z(i,j+1)} - E_{z(i,j-1)}}{2\Delta y}$$

$$B_{y(i,j)}^{n+1} = B_{y(i,j)}^{n} - \Delta t \frac{E_{z(i+1,j)} - E_{z(i-1,j)}}{2\Delta x}$$
(5.4.49)

It is easy to prove that the central difference definition of $-
abla \cdot {m B}$

$$(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B})_{(i,j)} = \frac{B_{xi+1,j} - B_{xi-1,j}}{2\Delta x} + \frac{B_{xi,j+1} - B_{xi,j-1}}{2\Delta y}$$
(5.4.50)

is exactly conserved during the time step.

5.4.4.2 For Curvilinear Grids

Introducing the curvilinear magnetic and electric field components for the curvilinear coordinate system(ξ, η, ζ):

$$(B_{\xi}, B_{\eta}, B_{\zeta})^{T} = \frac{1}{|J|} J \cdot (B_{\chi}, B_{\gamma}, B_{z})^{T}$$

(E_{\xi}, E_{\eta}, E_{\zeta})^{T} = J^{-1,T} \cdot (E_{\chi}, E_{\gamma}, E_{z})^{T}
(5.4.51)

where superscript T indicates the transpose. The Jacobian transformation matrices are

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_x & \xi_y & \xi_z \\ \eta_x & \eta_y & \eta_z \\ \zeta_x & \zeta_y & \zeta_z \end{pmatrix}, J^{-1,T} = \begin{pmatrix} x_\xi & y_\xi & z_\xi \\ x_\eta & y_\eta & z_\eta \\ x_\zeta & y_\zeta & z_\zeta \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.4.52)

and

$$E_{x} = vB_{z} - wB_{y}$$

$$E_{y} = uB_{z} - wB_{x}$$

$$E_{z} = uB_{y} - vB_{x}$$

(5.4.53)

The elements of J^{-1} are

$$(x_{\xi})_{i,j,k} = \frac{x_{i+1,j,k} - x_{i-1,j,k}}{2\Delta\xi}$$

$$(x_{\eta})_{i,j,k} = \frac{x_{i,j+1,k} - x_{i,j-1,k}}{2\Delta\eta}$$

$$(x_{\zeta})_{i,j,k} = \frac{x_{i,j,k+1} - x_{i,j,k-1}}{2\Delta\zeta}$$
(5.4.54)

In the curvilinear variables, the induction equation takes the same form as in the Cartesian case:

$$\frac{\partial B_{\xi}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial E_{\zeta}}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial E_{\eta}}{\partial \zeta}$$

$$\frac{\partial B_{\eta}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial E_{\zeta}}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial E_{\xi}}{\partial \zeta}$$

$$\frac{\partial B_{\zeta}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial E_{\eta}}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial E_{\xi}}{\partial \eta}$$
(5.4.55)

Numerical procedure:

- 1. calculate curvilinear electric field components(Eq. 5.4.51 and 5.4.53).
- 2. calculate induction equation according to simple central difference in curvilinear grid (Eq. 5.4.55)
- 3. update Cartesian field components:

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{x,y,z}^{n+1} = \boldsymbol{B}_{x,y,z}^{n} + \Delta t |J| \frac{J^{-1} \cdot \partial \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\zeta}}}{\partial t}$$
(5.4.56)

5.5 Boundary conditions for MHD

When electromagnetic waves are incident on a boundary between different media, some of the incident energy crosses the boundary and some is reflected.

In general, fields **E**, **B**, **D**, and **H** will be discontinuous at a boundary between two different media, or at a surface that carries charge or current.

Maxwell's equations in different media in integral form read

$$\oint_{S} \boldsymbol{D} \cdot \boldsymbol{d} \, \boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{Q}_{enc} \tag{5.5.1}$$

$$\oint_{S} \boldsymbol{B} \cdot d\,\boldsymbol{S} = 0 \tag{5.5.2}$$

$$\oint_{C} \boldsymbol{E} \cdot d\boldsymbol{l} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{S} \boldsymbol{B} \cdot d\boldsymbol{S}$$
(5.5.3)

$$\oint_{C} \boldsymbol{H} \cdot d\boldsymbol{l} = \boldsymbol{I}_{enc} + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{S} \boldsymbol{D} \cdot d\boldsymbol{S}$$
(5.5.4)

The boundary conditions between two media can be determined using the above formulas.

5.5.1 Transverse Components (normal to the boundary)

Apply Eq. 5.5.1 to a small thin box which extends very slightly into both materials:

- 1) Volume charge densities do not contribute to Q_{enc} as the box is infinitely thin.
- 2) For the same reason, the edge of the box does not contribute to the flux.
- Top and bottom of the box contribute with opposite signs as the two normals have opposite directions.



So we have

$$\boldsymbol{D}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{a} - \boldsymbol{D}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{Q}_{enc} = \sigma \, \boldsymbol{a} \tag{5.5.5}$$

Where a = |a| is the area of the box top, the vector a is directed along its normal, and σ is the surface charge density. Hence for D^{\perp} , the normal transverse components of D, we have

$$\boldsymbol{D}_{1}^{\perp} - \boldsymbol{D}_{2}^{\perp} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{r} \boldsymbol{E}_{1}^{\perp} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{r2} \boldsymbol{E}_{12}^{\perp} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}}$$
(5.5.6)

Where ϵ_{rl} and ϵ_{r2} are respective relative permittivities of the materials. Similarly, starting from Eq. 5.5.2 we have for the transverse components of the magnetic field:

$$B_1^{\perp} - B_2^{\perp} = 0 \tag{5.5.7}$$

5.5.2 Tangential Components (parallel to the boundary)

Apply eq. 5.5.3 to a thin rectangular loop of the length I straddling the boundary:

- 1) two shorter sides do not contribute to the loop integral, as they are infinitely short;
- 2) for the same reason, the flux of the magnetic field across the loop also vanishes;
- 3) top and bottom sides of the loop contribute with opposite signs as they have opposite directions.



So we have

$$E_1 \cdot l - E_2 \cdot l = 0 \tag{5.5.8}$$

Hence, for E^{\parallel} the tangential components of E, we have

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{1}^{\parallel} - \boldsymbol{E}_{2}^{\parallel} = 0 \tag{5.5.9}$$

Similarly, starting from eq. 5.5.4, we have for the tangential components of the magnetic field

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{l} - \boldsymbol{H}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{l} = \boldsymbol{I}_{end} = (\boldsymbol{K} \times \boldsymbol{n}) \cdot \boldsymbol{l}$$
(5.5.10)

Where bold K is the surface current density and n is the surface normal. So

$$H_{1}^{\parallel} - H_{2}^{\parallel} = \mathbf{K} \times n$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu_{rl}} B_{1}^{\parallel} - \frac{1}{\mu_{r2}} B_{2}^{\parallel} = \mu_{0} \mathbf{K} \times n$$
(5.5.11)

Where, μ_{rl} and μ_{r2} are respective relative permeabilities of the two media.

5.5.3 Metallic Boundary Conditions

In a perfect conductor charges are mobile. They move in response to any fields in the fields in the conductor to produce surface charge density σ and surface current density K such that electric and magnetic fields vanish inside the conductor.

So the following previous results, if the medium labelled 2 is a conductor we have

$$E_{1}^{\parallel} = 0$$

$$D_{1}^{\perp} = \sigma$$

$$H_{1}^{\parallel} = K \times n$$

$$B_{1}^{\perp} = 0$$
(5.5.12)

- 1) In the area just outside a perfect conductor, only normal electric field and only tangential magnetic fields exist.
- 2) Tangential electrical fields and normal magnetic fields vanish.
- 3) All fields drops to zero inside a perfect conductor.

These results are utilized for the MHD 2D test case – Riemann Problem 124.

5.6 MHD Divergence Free Numerics

5.6.1 Numerical form of divergence free *B* field.

In the case of MHD, the induction equation is added, to determine the magnetic inducting field . BThis equation is a transport equation, i.e. is time dependent. In addition, B has to satisfy the constraint

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0 \text{ at all times n, using Gauss' law,} \\ \oint_{\mathcal{A}(U)} \boldsymbol{B} \cdot d\boldsymbol{A} = 0$$
(5.6.1)



Let us consider Cartesian coordinates x,y,z and curvilinear coordinates ξ , η , ζ . In physical space (PS) the grid can be irregular, but in computational space (CS) the grid is uniform and orthogonal. Provided, we can determine the normal components of **B** in the transformed plane and dA is know, then the Cartesian and curvilinear case are the same.

It can be shown that any normal vector (direction) is given by:

 $\hat{n} = D^{-1}(\xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_z) : \eta - \zeta \text{ plane}$ $\hat{n} = D^{-1}(\eta_x, \eta_y, \eta_z) : \xi - \zeta \text{ plane}$ $\hat{n} = D^{-1}(\zeta_x, \zeta_y, \zeta_z) : \xi - \eta \text{ plane}$

Note: Since **B** is a vector it can be expressed in Cartesian coordinates, $B = B_x \hat{e}_x + B_y \hat{e}_y + B_z \hat{e}_z$. The corresponding normal component is simply calculated by $B \cdot \hat{n}$.

In the following Cartesian symbols are used, but it should be clear how to interpret the equations for curvilinear coordinates. Integrating (5.6.1) deliver:

$$B_{x;i+1/2} - B_{x;i-1/2} + B_{y;i+1/2} - B_{y;i-1/2} = 0$$
(5.6.2)

Here, as was said above, $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1$ and only half-integer indices were used.

The induction equation (5.6.3), has to be discretized such that equation (5.6.2) is satisfied at all times, provided the initial solution satisfied $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ numerically.

The induction equation for ideal MHD is:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{V} \boldsymbol{B} \cdot dV + \int_{V} \nabla \times \boldsymbol{E} \, dV = 0$$
 (5.6.3)

It is well known that

$$E = v \times B$$

101

5.6.2 Divergence free *B* Field in two dimensions.

First, consider the case $v = (u, v, 0); B = (B_x, B_y, 0)$.

Therefore $E = (0, 0, E_z) = (0, 0, \Omega)$

Calculating $\,
abla imes {\it E}\,$ in Cartesian coordinates first:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ 0 & 0 & \Omega \end{bmatrix} = \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Omega - \hat{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Omega$$

It should be noted that all computations use Cartesian components only. Hence (5.6.3) can be written in 2D:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}B_{x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Omega = \Omega_{y}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}B_{y} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Omega = \Omega_{x}$$
(5.6.4)

Discretizing the two equations over a finite volume, one obtains

$$B_{x_{i},i,j}^{n+1} - B_{x_{i},j,j}^{n} = \Delta t (\Omega_{i,j+1/2}^{n} - \Omega_{i,j-1/2}^{n}) B_{y_{i},j,j}^{n+1} - B_{y_{i},j,j}^{n} = -\Delta t (\Omega_{i+1/2,j}^{n} - \Omega_{i-1/2,j}^{n})$$
(5.6.5)

Now using equation (5.6.5) at cell faces from equation (5.6.2), we need to calculate:

$$B_{x;i+1/2,j}^{n+1} - B_{x;i+1/2,j}^{n} = \Delta t (\Omega_{i+1/2,j+1/2}^{n} - \Omega_{i+1/2,j-1/2}^{n})$$
(5.6.6a)

$$B_{x;i-1/2,j}^{n+1} - B_{x;i-1/2,j}^{n} \doteq \Delta t \left(\Omega_{i-1/2,j+1/2}^{n} - \Omega_{i-1/2,j-1/2}^{n} \right)$$
(5.6.6b)

$$B_{y;i,j+1/2}^{n+1} - B_{y;i,j+1/2}^{n} = \Delta t (\Omega_{i+1/2,j+1/2}^{n} - \Omega_{i-1/2,j-1/2}^{n})$$
(5.6.6c)

$$B_{y;i,j-1/2}^{n+1} - B_{y;i,j-1/2}^{n} = \Delta t (\Omega_{i+1/2,j-1/2}^{n} - \Omega_{i-1/2,j-1/2}^{n})$$
(5.6.6d)

Adding up equations (5.6.6a to 5.6.6d) left hand side of equation (5.6.2) from these four equations (obtained from the discretization of the induction equation) results in:

$$\underbrace{B_{x;\ i+1/2,j}^{n+1} - B_{x;\ i-1/2,j}^{n+1} + B_{y;\ i,j+1/2}^{n+1} - B_{y;\ i,j-1/2}^{n+1} = 0}_{\nabla \cdot B \text{ at } n+1 \text{ timestep}}$$

This can be checked from the above equations, but there is also a geometrical method. It was assumed that field was divergence free at time level n.



Result: Utilizing the induction equation in 2D in form of equation (5.6.4) with the finite volume discretization (5.6.5) automatically satisfies the numerical version of $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ as given by equation (5.6.2).

The rotation has the same form in the curvilinear coordinates, since the Cartesian rotation was used in in equation (5.6.4), that is:

$$\frac{\partial B^{1}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial E^{3}}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial E^{2}}{\partial \zeta} ; \qquad \frac{\partial B^{2}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial E^{3}}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial E^{1}}{\partial \zeta} ; \qquad \frac{\partial B^{3}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \eta}$$

5.6.3 Divergence free B field in three dimensions.

Now we consider the 3D case. we have:

$$\boldsymbol{E} = (\boldsymbol{E}_{x}, \boldsymbol{E}_{y}, \boldsymbol{E}_{z})$$

$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{E} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{i} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ E_x & E_y & E_z \end{bmatrix} = \hat{i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} E_z - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} E_y \right) - \hat{j} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_z - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} E_x \right) + \hat{k} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_y - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} E_x \right)$$

For the sake of simplicity we use $(\Delta, \Lambda, \Omega)$

$$(E_x, E_y, E_z) = (C, D, E)$$

$$\nabla \times E = \hat{i}(-D_y + E_z) - \hat{j}(E_x - C_z) + \hat{k}(D_x - C_y)$$

Rotation equation (induction equation)

$$B_{\xi} = B_{x}\xi_{x} + B_{y}\xi_{y} + B_{z}\xi_{z}$$
$$M_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{x} & \xi_{y} & \xi_{z} \\ \eta_{x} & \eta_{y} & \eta_{z} \\ \zeta_{x} & \zeta_{y} & \zeta_{z} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$M_{\xi}M_{x} = I$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} B^{1} \\ B^{2} \\ B^{3} \end{bmatrix} = M_{x} \begin{bmatrix} B_{x} \\ B_{y} \\ B_{z} \end{bmatrix} \qquad M_{\xi} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{\xi} & x_{\eta} & x_{\zeta} \\ y_{\xi} & y_{\eta} & y_{\zeta} \\ z_{\xi} & z_{\eta} & z_{\zeta} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} B_{x} \\ B_{y} \\ B_{z} \end{bmatrix} = M_{\xi} \begin{bmatrix} B^{1} \\ B^{2} \\ B^{3} \end{bmatrix}$$
$${}_{1}B^{n+1}_{i,j} - {}_{1}B^{n}_{i,j} = \Delta t (\Omega^{n}_{i,j+1/2} - \Omega^{n}_{i,j-1/2})$$
$${}_{2}B^{n+1}_{i,j} - {}_{2}B^{n}_{i,j} = \Delta t (\Omega^{n}_{i+1/2,j} - \Omega^{n}_{i-1/2,j})$$
$${}_{3}B^{n+1}_{i,j} - {}_{3}B^{n}_{i,j} = \Delta t (\Omega^{n}_{i+1/2,j+1/2} - \Omega^{n}_{i-1/2,j})$$

5.6.4 Equivalence of curvilinear grid in physical space and Cartesian grid in computational space

Note: Only Cartesian grids need to be considered for $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$. We have shown that the transformed equation have the general form:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{V} \hat{U} d\xi d\eta d\zeta + \int_{A} \hat{F} d\eta d\zeta + \int \hat{G} d\xi d\zeta + \int \hat{H} d\xi d\eta = \int_{V} \hat{W} d\xi d\eta d\zeta$$

where \hat{F} , \hat{G} , and \hat{H} are flux vectors that are orthogonal to their respective faces. In the computational space coordinate directions denoted by indices i, j, and k are orthogonal. Each grid is uniform. Any grid in physical space is equivalent to a Cartesian grid with uniform grid spacing in computational space. Therefore, in the following, only the integral form of the divergence free magnetic inductions field in Cartesian space considered.

Note: In the induction equation we have the term: (vB - Bv)

In 2D we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}B_{x} + \underbrace{\left(uB_{x} - B_{x}u\right)}_{=0} + \left(uB_{y} - B_{x}v\right) + \underbrace{\left(uB_{z} - B_{x}w\right)}_{=0 \text{ in } 2D} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}B_{y} + \left(vB_{x} - B_{y}u\right) + \underbrace{\left(vB_{y} - B_{y}v\right)}_{=0} + \underbrace{\left(vB_{z} - B_{y}w\right)}_{=0 \text{ in } 2D} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}B_{z} + \underbrace{\left(wB_{x} - B_{z}u\right)}_{=0 \text{ in } 2D} + \underbrace{\left(wB_{y} - B_{z}v\right)}_{=0 \text{ in } 2D} + \underbrace{\left(wB_{z} - B_{z}w\right)}_{=0} = 0$$

This leads to exactly the same equations as in (5.6.4) although we have the divergence form of the

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int \boldsymbol{B} \, dV + \int (\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{B} - \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{v}) \cdot d\boldsymbol{A} = 0 \quad \text{induction equation.}$

6 Computational and physics model Validation in JUSTGRID

6.1 "Write once run anywhere"

The compiled Java classes (binaries) of the **JUSTG**RID framework and the GRX Tools where successfully tested on the following computer system:

JVM Version(s)	Computer Model	Processor Architecture	Operating System
1.4.x, 1.5.x, 1.6.0	Sun Microsystems, Sun Fire V880, 8 CPUs, 32GB Memory	SPARC	Solaris 9 Solaris 10
1.4.x	Sun Microsystems, Enterprise 10000, 64 CPU, 192GB Memory	SPARC	Solaris 9
1.4.x, 1.5.x, 1.6.0	Sun Microsystems, Ultra 40, 2 CPU, 8GB Memory	AMD Dual Core Opteron	Solaris 10, Windows XP 32 Bit, Linux Ubuntu 6.06
1.4.x, 1.5.x, 1.6.0	Dell Latitude D820, 1 CPU, 2GB Memory	Intel Core Duo	Linux Ubuntu 6.06 Windows XP 32 Bit
1.5.0	Apple MacBook Pro, 1 CPU, 2GB Memory	Intel Core Duo	MacOS X 10.4.8
1.5.0	Apple PowerBook G4, 1CPU, 768MB Memory	Power PC	MacOS X 10.4
1.6.0	PC, 1 CPU, 1GB Memory	Intel Pentium 4	Windows Vista RC2
1.4.x, 1.5.x, 1.6.0	PC, 1 CPU, 1GB Memory	Intel Pentium 4	Linux Mandriva 2007 Linux Fedora Core 5

Table 6.1.1: Computer systems successfully tested with JUSTGrid.

6.2 Loaders and Writers

A good way to validate a loader is to write a writer to be used in parallel. First, load a data structure into JUSTGrid and if no Java Exception will be thrown write the structure just loaded into a different file. This just written data file will be named stage 1 data file. A stage 1 data file need not be exactly the same as the original data file loaded in the first step. There may be differences with space characters or rounded errors for double number. Therefore it is difficult to compare a stage 1 data file with an original data file. The next step is to load the stage 1 data file and to write it out again in a different file (stage 2 data file). Now stage 1 and stage 2 data file must exactly be the same and are supposed not to change in any way even if one writes a stage 3 data file etc.

6.3 Topology handling for complex geometries

The grid topology is the information about the connectivity between neighbouring blocks, the orientation of the matching faces, and the physical boundary conditions.

6 Computational and physics model Validation in JUSTGrid

6.3.1 Connectivity

JUSTG*RID* recognises the connectivity of a block automatically. For validation purposes several large grids were read in, and the connectivity information was written out and compared with the known connectivity.

6.3.2 Orientation

JUSTG*RID* recognises the orientation of the neighbouring faces automatically. To validate the recognised orientation several large grids were read in, and the orientation information was written out and compared with the known orientation information.

6.4 Boundary Data Exchange

A Java program was built to test the boundary exchange for all 8 possible orientations. The picture below was produced by this program and shows (utilizing the Java 3D API) a 9 block grid with cell midpoints.




The test solution domain consists of 9 blocks with $5 \times 4 \times 8$ cells in each block.



During the observation of the test pattern running through the solution domain the cell boundaries are not shown for better view of the cell midpoints.



Every singe step (iteration) is done by a manual mouse-click to carefully inspect the boundary exchange on the block boundaries.



After the successful transport of the test pattern through the whole solution domain the test was also successfully done in the reverse direction, from the way back to the start

6.5 Numerics

Several simulations were performed to ensure the correct working of the different layers of the *JUSTGRID* framework. *JUSTGRID* is the core of *JUST*, the Java Ultra simulator technology. Hence utmost care was taken to prove that *JUSTGRID* works absolutely correct. The solvers implemented in package *JUSTSolver* will test the *JUSTGRID* functionality, performance and efficiency. At present, numerical and physical accuracy of the computational scheme and physical validity of the model, however, are of lesser importance. Therefore, in some computations, a Laplace solver was used to mimic a CFD problem, see below.

6.5.1 1 Block - JUSTSOLVER - Laplace 3D

The Laplace solver uses Dirichlet boundary conditions that means, in this case inflow (v=1) and wall (v=0) boundaries have fixed values. At the outflow boundary extrapolation is used that means, values will be transported out of the solution domain.



This is a real simple mono block test case to validate the Laplace solver numerics. After a few iterations an equilibrium is achieved between the inflow (1=red) and the wall (0=blue) faces.

Table 6.5.1: Monoblock result for a Laplace 3D computation.

6.5.2 7 Blocks - JUSTSOLVER - Laplace 3D



With this sample the simplest communication between the block boundaries is validated. In this case the blocks are not rotated against their neighbours. It should be noted, in order to provide complete geometrical fexibility, each block needs to have its own local coordinate system. Therefore, the correct transformation of information across block faces has to be ensured.

6.5.3 Bump

The next example is a 22 block grid for the well known aerodynamic example from ONERA, called the ONERA bump. This grid has 16,038 points and the solution domain uses 11,264 cells, without halo cells.

6.5.3.1 JUSTSolver - Laplace 3D



At first the bump was tested with the **JUSTS**OLVER Laplace 3D.

6.5.3.2 Euler 3D

For the first numerics test for a real CFD problem using the **JUSTS**OLVER Euler 3D, supersonic Mach 2.0 free stream conditions are used.



The illustration above shows a solution from an unstructured grid of the bump using the commercial flow solver Metacomp CFD++ as a reference. The CFD++ solution is 2nd order accurate. All simulations with *JUSTSolver* Euler 3D are 1st order accurate only.



the device of the distribution proof the Operation

6 Computational and physics model Validation in JUSTGrid

Illustration 6.5.4: 3D view for a ρ (density) distribution over the Onera bump using JUSTSolver Euler 3D



6.5.4 3D Cone

Simple 3D cone, 8 blocks, 5,832 grid points, 4,096 cells without halo cells.

The cone was selected because it is a well known test case. It was thus possible to nearly check the complete functionality of *JUSTGRID*.



This Illustration shows how the JUSTGRID

GRX3D Tool can be used to prepare a simulation run. *JUSTGRID* GRX3D is also based on the *JUSTGRID* framework and uses the same loaders and utility classes as *JUSTSolver* to visualize a grid. It is the very first test to check if *JUSTGRID* can handle a given grid. In addition to the visualization one can specify solver specific parameters like "max number of iterations", "Mach number" or "Dt". These parameters are **not** predefined but depend on the selected solver.

6.5.4.1 JUSTSolver Laplace 3D

The Laplace solver uses Dirichlet boundary conditions, that means in this case inflow (v=1) and wall (v=0) boundaries have fixed values. At the outflow boundary extrapolation is used that means the value will be transported out of the solution domain.





Illustration 6.5.8: JUSTSolver Laplace 3D, Cone, showing the outflow boundary.



The following tasks could be verified with this simple test case:

- 1. Parallelization *JUSTG_{RID}* starts one Thread per block and one monitor thread. All available processors are been used by the simulation. If the computational grid has less blocks than the compute system's number of processors then the surplus processors will be idle.
- 2. Synchronization *JUSTG_{RID}* implements a loose synchronization between the neighbouring blocks. Therefore it is possible that neighbour blocks are one iteration ahead.
- 3. Communication *JUSTG_{RID}* is also responsible for the boundary update between the neighbouring blocks. One can specify any number of halo cells.

6.5.4.2 JUSTSolver Euler3D (1st order, explicit, structured multiblock) compared with CFD++ (2nd order, unstructured)

To test the numerical correctness of **JUSTS**OLVER Euler3D the result of the cone simulation is compared with the result from a commercial CFD solver (CFD++).



Showing the Mach number distribution for a Mach 6.0 simulation after 2,000 iterations. Although the **JUSTSOLVER** Euler3D simulation is only 1st order accurate, compared with the CFD++ result the Mach number distribution differs not much.



6.5.5 European Experimental Test Vehicle (EXTV)

With 780 blocks, 755,300 grid points and 538,752 cells without halo cells, the EXTV grid is a serious test case for larger simulations. The size of this test case is ideal for efficiency and speedup tests, because it has a reasonable number of blocks and produces enough numerical work load to achieve a homogeneous dynamic load balancing across all available processors. The simulations were run on a Sun Microsystems *Sun Fire V880* server with 8 UltraSPARC III processors (1.2GHz) and 32GByte main memory running Solaris 10 06/06.

6.5.5.1 JUSTSOLVER Laplace 3D





The **JUSTS**OLVER Laplace 3D is again used to test parallelization, synchronization and communication features of **JUSTG**RID for this large configuration. In order to produce sufficient numerical load the computation was done for 2,000 iterations.



The super linear speedup achieved from one to two processors is observed in many different Java programs. This reflects the behavior of Java's HotSpot compiler. Using only one processor the profiling task of the HotSpot compiler itself consumes appreciable time to find the program's most time consuming regions (hot spots). *JUSTSolver* Laplace 3D demonstrates excellent (almost linear) speedup at the hardware configuration utilized.

7 Multipysics Simulation Results with JUSTGRID

7.1 JUSTSolver Euler3D

To compare the Java based *JUSTSolver* Euler3D with a flow solver written in 'C' (ParNSS) an EXTV simulation using a free stream value of Mach 8.0 and an angle of attack 0.0 was chosen.





ParNSS is a legacy 3D structured multiblock code written in 'C'. ParNSS is utilizing the MPI (Message Passing Interface) library to implement the parallelization and communication between neighboring blocks. The implemented numerics for the flux computation (van Leer) for ParNSS and *JUSTSolver* Euler3D are almost identical (99%) at the source code level. Hence, this provides an excellent opportunity to perform reliable performance comparisons between a 'C' based CFD solver and a Java based flow solver.



Due to the profiling task of the HotSpot compiler the Java solver is much slower with one processor than the 'C' solver. Employing 5 or more processors *JUSTSolver* Euler3D is faster than ParNSS. For 8 processors the time difference is already more than 30 seconds for only 200 iterations.



JUSTGRID / JUSTSOLVER Euler3D achieves better linear speedup than ParNSS. In 8.1.1.3 it is shown that Java programs can achieve linear speedup for numerical applications on large SMP machines with more than 60 processors.

Note: Both computations, Java and C were not able to 100% utilize all 8 processors. This is the reason of the not optimal results for both computations above. In the next chapter the influence of the computational load on the parallel efficiency on current hardware will be shown.

7.2 Magneto Hydro Dynamic (MHD)

7.2.1 Brio-Wu's Shock-Tube

The solution was computed up to time t = 0.25s, because the numerical solution has reached the end of the computational domain. Computational results show excellent agreement with the original results. This shows that the physics and numerics are implemented correctly.



7.2.2 MHD 2D test case - Riemann Problem

This 2D Riemann problem was selected from Torrilhon [TRR01].

7.2.2.1 Computational Domain

The computational domain is given by the rectangle [-0.4,0.4;-0.4,0.4].

7.2.2.2 Initial Conditions

initial data ($B_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1,0,0)^T$)							
	ρ ₀ (x,y)	U _x	Uy	p ₀ (x,y)			
x<0, y<0	10	0	0	15			
otherwise	1	0	0	0,5			

7.2.2.3 Boundary Conditions

The boundaries are treated as outflow boundary.

7.2.2.4 Structure of Solution

A solution was computed up time level t = 0.1 s.



method (first row) and a divergence-conserved FV method (second row). Depicted are the contour of By and the absolute value of the numerical divergence operator for the magnetic induction, div(0).

The computational grid comprises 300×300 cells. In the following computational results from *JUSTSolver MHD Riemann 2D* are presented and compared with the computations of Torillhon.



Illustration 7.2.3: Computational results as obtained from JUSTSolver 2D MHD code for 2D Riemann problem: left: density distribution, right: pressure distribution. The results from Torrilhon are shown in Illustration 7.2.3. (grid: 300X300, t=0.1s)







during the simulation.

7.2.3 JUSTG_{RID}'s GRXMonoblock Tool

The Illustration beside shows the online visualization feature of JUSTGrid's GRXMonoblock Tool. It gives a good impression about the current state of the simulation. Clearly, it is not meant to be a replacement for visualization tools like TecPlot[™] or Ensight[™]. Another useful feature of JUSTGrid's GRXMonoblock Tool is the QuickTime[™] movie generation

8 Performance Results with JUSTGRID

8.1 Simple Tests

First some simple standard test were done to compare the execution speeds of C++ and Java.

8.1.1 Matrix multiplication

8.1.1.1 Sequential Matrix Multiplication

A sequential (1 thread) matrix multiplication using a 30 times 30 matrix doing 10000 iterations on a single processor Pentium 4 PC running Linux.

Exactly the same source was used for both benchmarks. (C++ and Java)

```
// get start time here
for( n=0; n<maxIterations; n++)
{
   for( i=0; i<dim; i++ )
    {
      for( j=0; j<dim; j++ )
      {
      for( k=0; k<dim; k++ )
        {
           c[i][j] += a[i][k]*b[k][j];
        }
      }
   }
// get end time here</pre>
```

Runtime (2GHz Pentium 4, 1GB Memory)	1 run	2 run	3 run	4 run	5 run	6 run	7 run	8 run
GNU g++ -O3 -mcpu=pentium4 -march=pentium4 -Wall (Version 3.3.1)	3,15	3,19	3,22	3,16	3,15	3,17	3,16	3,16
Intel icc -O3 -mcpu=pentium4 -march=pentium4 (Version 8.0)		3,23	3,25	3,23	3,23	3,23	3,23	3,25
Sun Java HotSpot Client VM (Version 1.4.2_02-b03)	3,86	3,88	3,90	3,90	3,90	3,90	3,89	3,90
Sun Java HotSpot Server VM (Version 1.4.2 02-b03)	3,55	3,51	2,12	2,12	2,12	2,12	2,13	2,12
Table 8.1.1: A sequential (1 thread) matrix multiplicon a single processor Pentium 4 PC running Linux.	ation us	ing a 3	0 times	s 30 ma	atrix doi	ing 100	000 itera	ations

a and b are the source and c the destination matrix. dim and maxIterations aren't constant variables so the compilers are not able to do an unroll loop optimization.

The most important result of this benchmark is the enormous speed improvement after the two warmup phases of the Sun Java HotSpot Server VM. This Java runtime version is about 1.5 times faster then the compiled C++ binary.

Due to a Linker error we could not use the -fast option with the Intel compiler.

Runtime	time in s
1.1.8_14	516,94
1.2.2_08	38,97
1.3.0_03 Server	37,47
1.3.1_02 Server	21,69
1.4.0_01 Server	19,51
1.4.1_02 Server	17,31
C++ - GCC	26,65

8.1.1.2 Multithreaded Matrix Multiplication

Table 8.1.2: Multithreaded matrix multiplication using a100 times 100 matrix doing 10000 iterations with 400threads on a 26 CPU Sun Microsystems Enterprise6000.

In this configuration the C++ and the Java runtimes are on par.

8.1.1.3 Scaling of a simple numeric benchmark

In this ultra-simple program, many identical threads are used for simple arithmetic computing multiplications and divisions. It is an embarrassingly parallel problem, meaning that

the threads do not have to communicate, and thus there is no need for thread synchronization.

The code computes a fixed number of multiplications and divisions and it splits the work among a variable number of threads. These threads then are mapped to the processors by the operating system, relieving the user of the need to employing any kind of message passing library as well as a load balancing algorithm. The code runs on any kind of platform as long as a Java virtual machine is available.

The purpose of this code is to determine whether multi-threading produces a parallel (linear) speedup on the target machine.



Every benchmark in the single threaded and also in the multi threaded benchmark was done 8 times in the same Java runtime environment.

The performance losses at about every 8 CPUs noticed in Illustration 8.1.1 might be a behavior of the hardware architecture of the Sun Microsystems Enterprise 10000 server.





Illustration 8.1.3 shows that even in case of some 512 threads per CPU the overall computing time rises only slightly.

8.2 Code optimizations and Influence of the computational load on the parallel efficiency

In this chapter we will present performance and efficiency results for CFD (Computational Fluid **Dynamics**) for complex 3D geometries, using the two components of *JUST*, namely *JUSTGRID* and *JUSTSolver* on several different multi core computer architectures. The goal is to provide guidelines to achieving best efficiency from modern Java virtual machines (JVM).

All upcoming tests are done on the EXTV grid with 780 blocks, 755,300 grid points containing 538,752 cells without halo cells.

8.2.1 Utilized computer systems

Three different shared memory computer systems where used to run the parallel efficiency tests.

Sun Microsystems - Sun Fire X4	1440
Processor type	AMD Opteron 8380, quad core
Processor frequency	2.5GHz
Level 2 cache	4 x 512KB
Level 3 cache	6MB
Total number of processors	4
Total number of cores	16
Main memory	64GB

Sun Microsystems - Sun Fire X4600 m2					
Processor type	AMD Opteron 8384, quad core				
Processor frequency	2.7GHz				
Level 2 cache	4 x 512KB				
Level 3 cache	6MB				
Total number of processors	8				
Total number of cores	32				
Main memory	64GB				

Sun Microsystems - Sun Fire T5240						
Processor type	UltraSPARC T2+, 8 cores, 8 hardware threads per core					
Processor frequency	1.4GHz					
Level 3 cache	4MB					
Total number of processors	2					
Total number of cores	16					
Total number of hardware threads	128					
Main memory	64GB					

8.2.2 Unoptimized JUSTEuler 3D Code

The JUSTEuler 3D source code was taken from the legacy 'C' based ParNSS code. Over 90% of the Java source code is identical to the 'C' code. Following Kernighans Law "make it right before you make it faster" the code was not optimized for a Java Virtual Machine. With the unoptimized code it was not possible to achieve acceptable results on this modern computer architecture. The code could not fully utilize the available hardware and therefor the parallel efficiency results were not achieved. (See Illustration 8.1.2 on page 129)

8.2.2.1 Benchmark Result - Unoptimized JUSTEuler 3D

OS	Solaris 10 10/08 s10x_u6wos_07b X86
Java Development Kit (JDK)	JDK 1.6.0_13, 32Bit, Server VM, Parallel Garbage Collector
JDK parameter	java -d32 -server -Xcomp -Xnoclassgc -Xms3000m -Xmx3000m -XX:MaxPermSize=512m -XX: +UseFastAccessorMethods -XX:+UseParalleIGC eulersolver3d.Main



Three years ago, the results where totally different. The overall system performance was much slower and therefore this bad effect was not recognizable.

8.2.3 Optimized JUSTEuler 3D Code

The result of intensive profiling and observing of many environmental metrics of a running Java process (garbage collector, heap memory, eden (?) space, stack, number of running threads...) was that the number of new created objects in the eden space was much too high and the garbage collector created too much load.

With the new Java Visual VM tool, coming with JDK >= Version 1.6.0_07, it was possible to connect to a running Java process and visualize online the important VM metrics.

To minimize the creation of new objects only 8 lines of code where changed in the inner loop of the flux computation and 2 lines in the boundary exchange.

Local variables where changed to instance variables and were locally only set to zero.

Old:

```
method()
{
   Object x = new Object();
   ...
}
```

New:

```
Object x = new Object();
method()
{
    x.setZero();
    ...
}
```

The reusing, instead of new creation of objects has a dramatic impact on the numerical load and the garbage collector, because the amount of dynamic heap access was nearly eliminated. For instance, on the Apple Mac Book Pro the optimized code is about 10 times faster than the unoptimized code. On the Sun Fire X4440 the code is about 4 times faster.

8.2.3.1 Benchmark Results - Optimized JUSTEuler 3D

OS	Solaris 10 10/08 s10x_u6wos_07b X86
Java Development Kit (JDK)	JDK 1.6.0_13, 32Bit, Server VM, Parallel Garbage Collector
JDK parameter	java -d32 -server -Xcomp -Xnoclassgc -Xms3000m -Xmx3000m -XX:MaxPermSize=512m -XX: +UseFastAccessorMethods -XX:+UseParalleIGC eulersolver3d.Main



With the simply optimized Euler code the computation was 4 times faster on all 16 cores compared to the unoptimized code. Cpu utilization was only 70%-80% per core, therefore overall parallel efficiency was about 70% only.

8.3 Additional Computational Load

The computational load of the selected Euler 3D problem was too small to fully utilize the available computing power. For benchmarking purposes, fluxes across faces were computed multiple times. The variable "load" gives the number of sub loops during one iteration.

8.3.1 Benchmark Results - Load efficiency on Sun T5240

The Sun Microsystems, Sun T5240 was not designed for High Performance Computing, but it can be an acceptable system for problems where the number of processors is more important than the single thread performance. For 2010, the Sun Niagara 3 chip is expected with 16 cores and 16 hardware threads on each core. With 256 hardware threads on each processor the 8 processor Niagara 3 system, also expected for 2010, will have 2048 hardware threads and each of theses hardware threads will act like a general purpose processor.



perfbar cpu monitor: green=user usage, red=kernel usage, blue=idle.

Even on the T5240 with a modestsingle thread hardware performance the simulation was not big enough to fully utilize the available cpu resources.



Assuming with a load of 100 the processors of the T5240 were 100% utilized the computations for the following load values are done.

load	1	2	5	10	20	50	100	
computing time	144,243	200,372	331,856	612,516	1187,706	2894,877	5785,568	seconds
load efficiency	40,11%	57,75%	87,17%	94,46%	97,42%	99,93%	100,00%	



8.3.2 Benchmark Results for different numerical load on a Sun Fire X4440

Timing and parallel efficiency results for different load values on an optimized Euler code inclusive one benchmark on an unoptimized Euler code.

#cores	time no	ot optimized	#cores	time	load 1	#cores	time	load 5
1	1557,879	100.00%	1	1158,323	100,00%	1	3342,463	100,00%
2	950 257	81.97%	2	517,878	111,83%	2	1429,317	116,93%
3	702,702	73,90%	3	349,898	110,35%	3	932,371	119,50%
4	586,772	66.37%	4	275,349	105,17%	4	697,295	119,84%
5	575,776	54,11%	5	224,799	103,05%	5	580,354	115,19%
6	523,241	49.62%	6	199,455	96,79%	6	490,003	113,69%
7	506,846	43,91%	7	179,093	92,40%	7	425,291	112,27%
8	488.036	39,90%	8	159,229	90,93%	8	374,745	111,49%
9	460,815	37.56%	9	149,135	86,30%	9	339,593	109,36%
10	450,652	34.57%	10	139,114	83,26%	10	314,488	106,28%
11	482 015	29.38%	11	134,425	78,34%	11	289,398	105,00%
12	455.470	28,50%	12	129,033	74,81%	12	273,788	101,74%
13	451 240	26.56%	13	118,692	75,07%	13	244,984	104,95%
14	426 459	26.09%	14	114,032	72,56%	14	234,387	101,86%
15	422,509	24.58%	15	104,754	73,72%	15	222,700	100,06%
16	425,275	22,90%	16	103,737	69,79%	16	204,501	102,15%

#cores	time	load 20	#cores	time	load 100	FingerWeg 💿 🗑 🕅
1	10051,070	100,00%	1	55317,510	100,00%	
2	5787,959	86,83%	2	27708,375	99,82%	
3	3345,290	100,15%	3	14934,260	123,47%	
4	2411,630	104,19%	4	11334,756	122,01%	
5	1936,689	103,80%	5	8934,050	123,84%	
6	1582,043	105,89%	6	7419,530	124,26%	
7	1354,922	105,97%	7	6497,718	121,62%	
8	1197,699	104,90%	8	5711,156	121,07%	
9	1078,350	103,56%	9	5039,137	121,97%	
10	976,070	102,97%	10	4524,777	122,25%	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
11	880,764	103,74%	11	4114,497	122,22%	
12	825,282	101,49%	12	3780,712	121,93%	
13	745,982	103,64%	13	3481,365	122,23%	
14	705,805	101,72%	14	3109,710	127,06%	
15	635,915	105,37%	15	3000,380	122,91%	
16	618,387	101,59%	16	2839,896	121,74%	

The last illustration shows the Solaris perfbar tool on the fully utilized system.



As we can see in the combined efficiency diagram there is a lot of influence on the computation coming from the OS memory management and the Java HotSpot compiler. This is the reasons why the computation with 1 to 2 cores differs substantially from the rest.



Because of the improper starting point with one core, due to the behavior of the HotSpot compiler, a maximum super linear speedup of about 20 was achieved with 16 cores only.

To rener the speedup diagram more meaningful, I chose only the values for 4,8,12 and 16 cores. In this case, the maximum linear speedup is 16 and this maximum value was actually achieved.



Illustration 8.3.6: Parallel speedup gains from solver optimization and increased computational load based on four cores.

#cores	4	8	12	16
not optimized	4,00	4,81	5,15	5,52
load 1	4,00	6,92	8,54	10,62
load 5	4,00	7,44	10,19	13,64
load 20	4,00	8,05	11,69	15,60
load 100	4,00	7,94	11,99	15,97

Without this measure, when using less than four cores, realistic linear speedups were achieved and the influence of the additional load was perfectly demonstrated.

8.3.3 Benchmark Results for different numerical load on a Sun Fire X4600 m2

After the X4440 benchmarks a SUN X4600 with 32 cores was available. In addition, a new Solaris version was available. A load value of 200 was needed to fully utilize this system. This configuration twice as fast as the older X4440.

OS	Solaris 10 05/09 s10x_u7 X86
Java Development Kit (JDK)	JDK 1.6.0_13, 32Bit, Server VM, Parallel Garbage Collector
JDK parameter	java -d32 -server -Xcomp -Xnoclassgc -Xms3000m -Xmx3000m -XX:MaxPermSize=512m -XX: +UseFastAccessorMethods -XX:+UseParalleIGC eulersolver3d.Main

#cores	time	efficiency	speedup
1	78528,693	100,00%	1,00
2	43730,783	89,79%	1,80
3	27539,026	95,05%	2,85
4	21604,411	90,87%	3,63
5	16367,433	95,96%	4,80
6	13666,323	95,77%	5,75
7	11580,088	96,88%	6,78
8	10523,193	93,28%	7,46
9	9026,869	96,66%	8,70
10	8235,249	95,36%	9,54
11	7448,740	95,84%	10,54
12	6742,094	97,06%	11,65
13	6234,053	96,90%	12,60
14	5854,603	95,81%	13,41
15	5489,885	95,36%	14,30
16	5125,938	95,75%	15,32
17	4763,481	96,97%	16,49
18	4593,263	94,98%	17,10
19	4323,053	95,61%	18,17
20	4108,359	95,57%	19,11
21	3870,309	96,62%	20,29
22	3749,976	95,19%	20,94
23	3624,702	94,20%	21,66
24	3390,473	96,51%	23,16
25	3229,594	97,26%	24,32
26	3186,089	94,80%	24,65
27	3009,084	96,66%	26,10
28	2919,952	96,05%	26,89
29	2834,210	95,54%	27,71
30	2805,211	93,31%	27,99
31	2693,924	94,03%	29,15
32	2568,488	95,54%	30,57
Table 8.3.	1: Fully utilized	Sun Fire X46	00 system
with load=	200		

As was already visible on the 16 cores Sun Fire X4440, the results with 1 and 2 cores differs substantially from the subsequent results because of the Java HotSpot VM engine. Therefore only the results based on 4 cores should be used for comparison.



8.3.3.1 Efficiency gains from increased computational load based on 4 cores

	load	1			load	d 5		
#cores	time	efficiency	speedup	#cores	time	efficiency	speedup	
4	287,543	100,00%	4,00	4	684,280	100,00%	4,00	
8	172,602	83,30%	6,66	8	370,866	92,25%	7,38	
12	134,482	71,27%	8,55	12	265,197	86,01%	10,32	
16	114,842	62,60%	10,02	16	214,172	79,88%	12,78	
20	110,059	52,25%	10,45	20	184,546	74,16%	14,83	
24	102,916	46,57%	11,18	24	153,222	74,43%	17,86	
28	97,945	41,94%	11,74	28	140,169	69,74%	19,53	
32	98,849	36,36%	11,64	32	138,947	61,56%	19,70	
	load	20			load	100		
#cores	time	efficiency	speedup	#cores	time	efficiency	speedup	
4	2311,097	100,00%	4,00	4	10458,978	100,00%	4,00	
8	1110,819	104,03%	8,32	8	5120,783	102,12%	8,17	
12	761,313	101,19%	12,14	12	3517,552	99,11%	11,89	
16	586,031	98,59%	15,77	16	2645,301	98,84%	15,82	
20	484,966	95,31%	19,06	20	2103,597	99,44%	19,89	
24	418,592	92,02%	22,08	24	1736,162	100,40%	24,10	
28	367,783	89,77%	25,14	28	1502,180	99,46%	27,85	
32	319,170	90,51%	28,96	32	1325,809	98,61%	31,56	
	load	200						
#cores	time	efficiency	speedup					
4	21604,411	100,00%	4,00					
8	10523, 193	102,65%	8,21					
12	6742,094	106,81%	12,82					
16	5125,938	105,37%	16,86					
20	4108,359	105,17%	21,03					
24	3390,473	106,20%	25,49					
28	2919,952	105,70%	29,60					
32	2568,488	105,14%	33,65					







Illustration 8.3.9: Parallel speedup gains from solver optimization and increase computational load based on four cores for the Sun Fire X4600.

These results shows that *JUSTGrid* is able to achieve perfect linear speedup on modern multicore systems under the right conditions. As it was shown, the simple Euler Solver produces not enough computational load to fully utilize such type of systems. But additional numerical load can be generated by more complex geometries or more costly numerical problems, e.g. Navier-Stokes, MHD, ...simulations.

8.3.4 Java Development Kit JDK / JVM progress

Over the last three major releases of the Java Development Kit (JDK) the Java Virtual Machine (JVM) has delivered substantial performance progress both for the numerical and the IO performance. The JDK comes with two different JVMs, the Client VM and the Server VM. While the Client VM is optimized for fast response on User interaction and visualization, the Server VM is optimized for IO and computation. The results in this chapter are done with the Server VM only.

Computer system	Sun Fire X4600, AMD Opteron 8384, 64GB, 32 cores
OS	Solaris 10 05/09 s10x_u7 X86

8.3.4.1 Numeric performance

For the numerical performance results the EXTV grid with 780 Blocks was used, running the optimized *JUSTEuler 3D* solver with an additional load factor of 100.

Java Development Kit, Server VM	computing time 32 Bit	computing time 64 Bit
1.4.2 18	1570,516	
1.5.0 18	1403,052	1591,733
1.6.0_13	1340,101	1365,683



Since JDK 1.5 a 64 Bit Server VM is available for Solaris X86 systems. Because of the limitation to 4GB memory of the 32 Bit VM, the progress of the 64 Bit VM is of major interest. It is important to observe that most of the progress of the numeric performance was done within the 64 Bit Server VM.

8.3.4.2 IO Performance

As IO performance test the receiving times for the computed solution of the EXTV 780 Block grid were used.

Java Development Kit, Server VM	IO 32 Bit	IO 64 Bit
1.4.2 18	24,693	
1.5.0 18	22,594	14,987
1.6.0_13	16,651	10,440



While at this time the 32 Bit VM is slightly faster regarding the numeric performance than the 64 Bit VM (with the JDK 1.6), the IO performance of the 64 Bit Server VM was fbetter than the 32 Bit VM at all versions of the JDK. But it is encouraging to see that the IO performance is also progressing with every release of the JDK.

8.3.5 Operating System comparison

To examine the influence of the underlaying operating system exactly the same version of the Java Development Kit was run employing the same Hardware. The following Operating Systems where installed on the Sun Fire X4600:

- Linux CentOS 5.3, 64 Bit
- Microsoft, Windows Server 2008 HPC Edition, 64 Bit
- Sun Microsystems, Solaris 10 05/09, 64 Bit

Computer system	Sun Fire X4600, AMD Opteron 8384, 64GB, 32 cores
Java Development Kit (JDK)	JDK 1.6.0_13, 64Bit, Server VM, Parallel Garbage Collector
JDK parameter	java -d64 -server -Xcomp -Xnoclassgc -Xms4096m -Xmx4096m -XX:MaxPermSize=512m -XX: +UseFastAccessorMethods -XX:+UseParalleIGC eulersolver3d.Main

Again, for these benchmarks the EXTV grid with 780 Blocks was used, running the optimized *JUSTEuler 3D* solver with an additional load of 100.



Illustration 8.3.12: A screen shot of Windows Server 2008s task manager and a linux perfbar binary.

On all three operations systems the utilization of the available 32 cores was 100%.
8 Performance Results with JUSTGrid

#cores	time	efficiency	speedu	p	#cc	ores	time	efficiency	speedu
4	10516 928	100.00%	4.0	0		4	10476,461	100,00%	4,0
8	5258 885	99 99%	8.0	0		8	5301,133	98,81%	7,9
12	3552,032	98.69%	11.8	4		12	3555,450	98,22%	11,79
16	2624,060	100.20%	16.0	3		16	2641,238	99,16%	15,8
20	2099.458	100,19%	20.0	4		20	2119,352	98,86%	19,7
24	1761,249	99.52%	23.8	9		24	1760,596	99,18%	23,80
28	1538.817	97.63%	27.3	4		28	1514,504	98,82%	27,6
32	1333,300	98,60%	31,5	5		32	1332,108	98,31%	31,4
Red	ceiving res	ult = 11,53 Sol	aris 10	05/09,	64 Bit Sei	rver VI	M M	uit – 13,24	+35
		#c	ores	time	efficiency	speedu	qr		
			4	10469,228	100,00%	4,(00		
			8	5260,483	99,51%	7,9	96		
			12	3521,664	99,09%	11,8	89		
			16	2629,971	99,52%	15,9	92		
			20	2103,885	99,52%	19,9	90		
			24	1763,857	98,92%	23,	74		
			28	1521,113	98,32%	27,	53		
							10		

8.3.5.1 Timing, parallel efficiency and speedup results for the different operating systems

There were no significant differences in the parallel efficiency and speedup between the three operating systems. The only timing differences are in the IO subsystem, which is fastest on Solaris.

Solaris has a real advantage against Linux and Windows running these tests due to the fact that Solaris is capable to switch cpus on and off while the OS is running. To change the number of available processors for Linux or Windows, a reboot of the OS is required. Especially under Windows an annoying strange behavior is that a restart only all of the available CPUs/cores can be utilized, and/or the number of available processors can be decreased only but not increased.

9 Conclusions and future work

9 Conclusions and future work

With the advent of highly powerful parallel computers simulation science has become the third pillar of gaining knowledge and information besides classical experiment and mathematical analysis in understanding complex science and engineering problems. These problems are described by a multidisciplinary approach, and thus multiphysics formulation for complex geometries is the enabling technology of simulation science. Both the handling of complex geometry and the implementation of an efficient parallel strategy as well as the setup of a general numerical procedure are tasks that are common to most of the multiphysics problems but generally outside the expertise of the scientist or engineer who actually wishes to perform the simulation. Moreover, the implementation of the necessary algorithms for complex three dimensional geometries in combination with a general numerical solution procedure for a large class of physics problems demands special skills in computational geometry and computer science.

Since these tasks are common to a wide class of simulation problems the implementation of a versatile framework that provides these basic features seems to be most useful. Of course, to render such a framework useful for the simulation scientist a straight forward procedure for the integration of user defined multiphysics solvers must be provided. With *JUSTGRID* an "easy to integrate" simulation software framework is available for performing these tasks in an efficient and effective manner for high performance computation and communication using the Java[™] runtime environment without any additional 3rd party libraries. *JUSTGRID* was created from scratch and contains more than 76,000 lines of code. *JUSTGRID* implements a new way of high performance computing and is streamlined for the new upcoming massive multi core processors that will dominate the computational scene within the next two years.

It can be used for all kinds of simulation, in particular for multiphysics problems described by systems of hyperbolic conservation laws (linear and nonlinear), based on the integral formulation of the conservation laws.

JUSTG_{RID} framework takes care of all geometrical complexity, which is one of the most difficult parts in three dimensional simulations, and provides complete static as well as dynamic load balancing. Dynamic load balancing may be of crucial importance when a user needs to implement a numerical technique depending on its Krylov space dimension. For instance, if a shock wave is moving through a solution domain the Krylov space dimension is drastically increased at the location of the shock front, thus leading to a high numerical load that is also moving through the solution domain. As a result large spatial and temporal computational load imbalance may be generated. This kind of load imbalance can also be generated if the level of complexity of the physical model utilized is varying throughout the solution domain. To cope with these kinds of

9 Conclusions and future work

problems dynamic load balancing needs to be employed. As a multithreaded application, *JUSTGRID* is able to run thousands of threads in a single process and achieves excellent dynamic load balancing. The various additional GUI-based Applications built around the *JUSTGRID* are assisting engineers during the complete simulation processes and providing testbeds for solver developers. Sample implementations of flow solvers (*JUSTSolver*, Laplace, Euler, MHD Riemann) were created and successfully tested.

- For an existing grid it is now possible to provide 100% pure Java based applications for all parts of a simulation for systems of hyperbolic conservation laws, based on the integral form of the conservation equations.
- With *JUST* a modern, well structured, easy to use and extensible framework can be built. (*JUSTG_{RID}*).
- Sample implementations of flow solvers (*JUSTSolver*) are available.
- Performance is on par with legacy 'C' code solver.
- **JUSTG***RID* achieves a better speedup than ParNSS solver written in C.
- Removing all debugging code will further increase the performance of **JUSTG**_{RID}.

In the future all individual tools developed during this work will be merged into one workbench. The prepared but not implemented interface for cluster computing on distributed memory systems needs to be implemented. Performance analysis for different data exchange possibilities in distributed memory systems must also be done. Research on a better way of integrating legacy native code written in a different language should also be performed.

Appendices

Appendix Table of Contents

A File Formats 155				
A.1 input155				
A.1.1GRX				
A.1.2Plot3D				
A.1.3GridPro Grid				
A.1.4GridPro Topology				
A. I.SPainSS Command				
A.1.7HGP XML				
A.2 output				
A.2.1Tecplot				
A.2.2GRX				
A.2.3GridPro Grid				
A.2.4Plot3D				
A.2.5ParNSS Command				
B Java APIs used in JUS I Grid157				
B.1 RMI				
B.2 Reflection API 157				
B.3 Thread157				
B.4 Java 2D158				
B.5 Java 3D158				
B.6 Media Framework159				
C JUSTSolver Template - Laplace 3D - Java API				
D JUSTSolver Template - Laplace 3D - Source Code				
D.1 FlowVars.java				
D.2 GlobalVars.java				
D.3 LaplaceSolver3D.java 227				
D.4 Main.java				
D.5 SimpleBoundaryConditions.java232				
D.6 SimpleBoundaryHandler.java 235				
D.7 SimpleCell.java				
D.8 JUSTGrid source code statistics241				
E JUSTCube				

A File Formats

A.1 input

A.1.1 GRX

type: grx

GRX is our own defined format and is a validated XML file format storing additional information, namely: description *of what* ?, physical parameters and boundary conditions. The XML file with its corresponding DTD (Document Type Definition) together with the result of the computation is automatically stored as a ZIP-file into the user's file system with the file extension GRX. The ZIP-file format is a well known format available on any major computer system (UNIX/Linux, Windows, MacOS, etc.), and can be extracted with tools like Java's JAR, UNZIP or WinZIP.

A.1.2 Plot3D

type: p3d

Plot3D is a simple binary file format, used to represent structured curvilinear grids and scalar or vector fields defined on these grids. This format originates from the Plot3D program developed by Pieter Buning [PBU01] at NASA Ames.

A.1.3 GridPro Grid

type: gpg

Grid definition file in GridPro[™] format. (see [GRP01])

A.1.4 GridPro Topology

type: gpc

Grid topology definition file containing the boundary conditions also. (see [GRP01])

A.1.5 ParNSS Command

type: cmd

ParNSS is our legacy Navier-Stokes solver written in C. The command file is an ASCII file containing information block connectivity, boundary conditions and the rotation between two connecting block faces. (see: [HAU01])

Appendix: File Formats

A.1.6 ParNSS Boundary

type:bnd

The ParNSS boundary file contains the boundary conditions only.

A.1.7 HGP XML

type: xml

Is a XML file containing grid and physical and numerical information in one file. It was designed by Dr. Hans-Georg Paap (HPCC Consultant, Barbing, Germany).

A.2 output

A.2.1 Tecplot

type: plt

Tecplot is a well known ASCII and binary format for storing CFD data. (see: [TPL01])

A.2.2 GRX

type: grx, see: A.1.1

A.2.3 GridPro Grid

type: gpg, see: A.1.3

A.2.4 Plot3D

type: p3d, see: A.1.2

A.2.5 ParNSS Command

type: cmd, see: A.1.5

A.2.6 ParNSS Boundary

type: bnd, see: A.1.6

B Java APIs used in JUSTGrid

Here is a list of Java API that are heavily used by JUST applications.

B.1 RMI

package: java.rmi

The Java Remote Method Invocation (RMI) system allows an object running in one Java Virtual Machine (VM) to invoke methods on an object running in another Java VM. RMI provides for remote communication between programs written in the Java programming language.

B.2 Reflection API

package: java.lang.reflect

The reflection API represents, or reflects, the classes, interfaces, and objects in the current Java Virtual Machine. With the reflection API one can:

- Determine the class of an object.
- Get information about a class's modifiers, fields, methods, constructors, and super classes.
- Find out what constants and method declarations belong to an interface.
- Create an instance of a class whose name is not known until runtime.
- Get and set the value of an object's field, even if the field name is unknown to your program until runtime.
- Invoke a method on an object, even if the method is not known until runtime.
- Create a new array, whose size and component type are not known until runtime, and then modify the array's components.

B.3 Thread

class: java.lang.Thread

A thread is a thread of execution in a program. The Java Virtual Machine allows an application to have multiple threads of execution running concurrently.

Appendix: Java APIs

Every thread has a priority. Threads with higher priority are executed in preference to threads with lower priority. Each thread may or may not also be marked as a daemon. When code running in some thread creates a new Thread object, the new thread has its priority initially set equal to the priority of the creating thread, and is a daemon thread if and only if the creating thread is a daemon.

When a Java Virtual Machine starts up, there is usually a single non-daemon thread (which typically calls the method named main of some designated class). The Java Virtual Machine continues to execute threads until either of the following occurs:

- The exit method of class Runtime has been called and the security manager has permitted the exit operation to take place.
- All threads that are not daemon threads have died, either by returning from the call to the run method or by throwing an exception that propagates beyond the run method.

B.4 Java 2D

package: javax.swing

class: java.awt.Graphics2D

The Java 2D API is a set of classes for advanced 2D graphics and imaging, encompassing line art, text, and images in a single comprehensive model. The API provides extensive support for image compositing and alpha channel images, a set of classes to provide accurate color space definition and conversion, and a rich set of display-oriented imaging operators.

B.5 Java 3D

package: javax.media.3d

URL: https://java3d.dev.java.net/

Java 3D is a scene graph-based 3D application programming interface (API) for the Java platform. It runs on top of either OpenGL or Direct3D.

B.6 Media Framework

package: javax.media.3d

URL: http://java.sun.com/products/java-media/jmf/

The Java Media Framework (JMF) is a Java Library that enables audio, video and other timebased media to be added to Java applications and applets. This optional package, which can capture, playback, stream, and transcode multiple media formats, extends the Java Platform, Standard Edition (Java SE) and allows development of cross-platform multimedia applications.

C JUSTSOLVER Template - Laplace 3D - Java API

The JavaDoc API documentation in this chapter is only is subset of the complete **JUSTG**_{RID} framework API documentation. This subset contains all documentation needed by the **JUSTS**_{OLVER} sources in the next chapter.

Package Summary	Page
hpcc.just.domain	157
<u>hpcc.just.domain.structure</u> <u>d</u>	175
hpcc.just.share	185
hpcc.math	196
laplacesolver3d	203

Package hpcc.just.domain

Interface Summary		
<u>JpBoundaryCondition</u>	The JpBoundaryCondition condition interface simply define the NOT_SET variable.	157
JpBoundaryHandler	The JpBoundaryHandler interface.	158
JpDomain	This interface lists the requirements that a domain must meet.	163

Class Summary		
JpCell	This class represents a cell in the solution domain it contains information like face area, finite volume, but no U vector!	159
<u>JpFace</u>	JpFace contains all information for a structured block face.	164
<u>JpFacePart</u>	This class represents an area on a block face.	168

Interface JpBoundaryCondition

hpcc.just.domain

public interface JpBoundaryCondition

The JpBoundaryCondition condition interface simply define the NOT_SET variable.

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

Field Summary

Page

String NOT_SET Boundary condition NOT_SET

Field Detail

NOT_SET

public static final String NOT_SET

Boundary condition NOT_SET

Interface JpBoundaryHandler

hpcc.just.domain

All Superinterfaces:

Serializable

All Known Implementing Classes:

<u>SimpleBoundaryHandler</u>

public interface **JpBoundaryHandler** extends Serializable

The JpBoundaryHandler interface.

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

lethoo	Summary	Page	
void	init (JpBlock jpBlock) Initialization of the boundary handler	158	
void	<pre>setFaces (JpBoundaryCondition[] faces) Sets the boundary condition array for the block faces</pre>	159	
void	updateBoundaryConditions (int type) Before every single compute iteration this method will be executed by the JUSTGrid framework.	159	

Method Detail

init

```
public void init(<u>JpBlock</u> jpBlock)
```

Initialization of the boundary handler

Parameters:

jpBlock - Reference to the parent block

setFaces

public void setFaces(<u>JpBoundaryCondition[]</u> faces)

Sets the boundary condition array for the block faces **Parameters:** faces - the faces array

updateBoundaryConditions

public void updateBoundaryConditions(int type)

Before every single compute iteration this method will be executed by the JUSTGrid framework.

Parameters:

type - The type of the boundary update.

Class JpCell

hpcc.just.domain

```
java.lang.Object
```

All Implemented Interfaces: Cloneable, Serializable

Direct Known Subclasses:

SimpleCell2

abstract public class **JpCell** extends Object implements Serializable, Cloneable

This class represents a cell in the solution domain it contains information like face area, finite volume, ... but no U vector!

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

Field Summary

Page

double	finiteVolume The finite volume	160
static final int	NUMBER_OF_FACES The number of cell faces - since we are using cubes: NUMBER_OF_FACES = 6	160

Constructor Summary	Page
<u>JpCell</u> ()	161

Method	Summary	Page
abstract Object	getData () This method must return an object containing all boundary exchange information for this cell.	161
JpVector	getFaceVector (int face) Gets a face vector for one cell face ATTENTION!	161
<u>JpVector</u> []	getFaceVectors () Gets an array containing all face vectors for this cell	161
double	getFiniteVolume () Gets the finite volume of this cell	161
abstract void	<u>setData</u> (Object data) This method sets all infomation from a baoundary exchange.	162
void	<pre>setFaceVector (int face, JpVector faceVector) Sets a face vector for one cell face ATTENTION!</pre>	162
void	<pre>setFiniteVolume (double finiteVolume) Sets the finite volume of this cell</pre>	162

Field Detail

NUMBER_OF_FACES

public static final int NUMBER_OF_FACES

The number of cell faces - since we are using cubes: NUMBER_OF_FACES = 6

finiteVolume

public double finiteVolume

The finite volume

Constructor Detail

JpCell

public JpCell()

Method Detail

getData

```
public abstract Object getData()
```

This method must return an object containing all boundary exchange information for this cell.

Returns:

the exchange information

getFaceVector

```
public <u>JpVector</u> getFaceVector(int face)
```

Gets a face vector for one cell face

ATTENTION! The index starts at 1 *not* at 0 Parameters: face - the face index (from 1 to 6) Returns: the face vector for the specified face See Also:

getFaceVectors(), setFaceVector(int face, JpVector faceVector), NUMBER_OF_FACES

getFaceVectors

public <u>JpVector[]</u> getFaceVectors()

Gets an array containing all face vectors for this cell **Returns:** this cell's face vectors

See Also:

<u>getFaceVector(int face), setFaceVector(int face, JpVector faceVector),</u> <u>NUMBER OF FACES</u>

getFiniteVolume

```
public double getFiniteVolume()
```

Gets the finite volume of this cell

Returns:

this cell's finite volume

See Also:

<u>setFiniteVolume(double finiteVolume)</u>

setData

```
public abstract void setData(Object data)
```

This method sets all information from a boundary exchange.

Parameters:

data - the neigboring cell information

setFaceVector

Sets a face vector for one cell face

```
ATTENTION! The index starts at 1 not at 0

Parameters:

    face - the face index (from 1 to 6)

    faceVector - the face normal vector the length represents the area of the cell

    face

See Also:
```

getFaceVectors(), setFaceVector(int face, JpVector faceVector), NUMBER_OF_FACES

setFiniteVolume

public void setFiniteVolume(double finiteVolume)

Sets the finite volume of this cell **Parameters:** finiteVolume - the finite volume **See Also:** getFiniteVolume()

Interface JpDomain

hpcc.just.domain

All Known Implementing Classes:

JpBlock

public interface JpDomain

This interface lists the requirements that a domain must meet. A domain contains cells that holds the variable to be computed

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

Method	Method Summary	
<u>JpCell</u>	<u>getJpCell</u> (int i) this method returns the cell at index i	163
<u>JpCell</u>	getNeighbor (int face, int depth, <u>JpCell</u> cell) this method returns a neighboring cell specified by the face, the depth (i.e. area of influence of the numerical scheme) and the original cell	163
int	getNumberOfCells () this method returns the number of cells that resides in the domain	164
void	<pre>updateBoundaryConditions (int type) This method will be executed by the framework to initiate the update of the boundary conditions.</pre>	164

Method Detail

getJpCell

public <u>JpCell</u> getJpCell(int i)

this method returns the cell at index i **Parameters:** i - index of a cell in the domain **Returns:** cell object of type JpCell

getNeighbor

this method returns a neighbouring cell specified by the face, the depth (i.e. area of

influence of the numerical scheme) and the original cell **Parameters:** face - face of the cell depth - depth from the face cell - the original cell

Returns:

neighbouring cell of type JpCell

getNumberOfCells

```
public int getNumberOfCells()
```

this method returns the number of cells that resides in the domain **Returns:** number of cells; of type int

updateBoundaryConditions

```
public void updateBoundaryConditions(int type)
```

This method will be executed by the framework to initiate the update of the boundary conditions.

Parameters:

type - the type of the boundary condition update (not necessary)

Class JpFace

hpcc.just.domain

```
java.lang.Object
```

public class **JpFace** extends Object

JpFace contains all information for a structured block face.

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

Field Summary	Page
static I MAX	166
$I_MAX = 4$	

static final int	<u>I_MIN</u> I_MIN = 3	166
static final int	<u>J_MAX</u> J_MAX = 5	166
static final int	<u>J_MIN</u> J_MIN = 2	166
static final int	K_MAX = 6	165
static final int	<u>K_MIN</u> K_MIN = 1	165

Constructor Summary	Page
JpFace (int faceNumber, <u>JpBlock</u> parent)	166
Constructor of a block face	

Method	Summary	Page
int	getFaceNumber()	166
	Gets the block face namuber	,
JpFacePar +	getFacePart(int facePartNumber)	1.1.1.1
-	JUSTGrid is prepared for merged grid, which means a bock face can have multiple face parts connecting to other face parts on different blocks.	166
JpFacePar t[]	getFaceParts()	167
200	Return all face parts	, , ,
<u>JpBlock</u>	getParentBlock ()	167
	Gets the parent block	, , , ,
void	setFaceNumber (int faceNumber)	167
	Sets the unique face number	107
void	<pre>setFacePart (JpFacePart facePart, int facePartNumber)</pre>	167
	Sets a reference to a face part	101

Field Detail

K_MIN

public static final int K_MIN

K_MIN = 1

K_MAX

public static final int K_MAX

 $K_MAX = 6$

J_MIN

public static final int J_MIN

 $J_MIN = 2$

J_MAX

public static final int **J_MAX**

 $J_MAX = 5$

I_MIN

public static final int **I_MIN**

 $I_MIN = 3$

I_MAX

public static final int I_MAX

 $I_MAX = 4$

Constructor Detail

JpFace

Constructor of a block face

Method Detail

getFaceNumber

```
public int getFaceNumber()
```

Gets the block face number Returns: the face number

getFacePart

public <u>JpFacePart</u> getFacePart(int facePartNumber)

JUSTGrid is prepared for merged grid, which means a bock face can have multiple face parts connecting to other face parts on different blocks. The implementation at this time is for 1 face part only.

Parameters:

facePartNumber - the face part number

Returns:

the reference to the face part

getFaceParts

public <u>JpFacePart[]</u> getFaceParts()

Return all face parts Returns: an array containing all face parts

getParentBlock

public <u>JpBlock</u> getParentBlock()

Gets the parent block **Returns:** the reference to the parent block

setFaceNumber

public void setFaceNumber(int faceNumber)

Sets the unique face number **Parameters**:

faceNumber - the unique face number 1 ... 6

setFacePart

Sets a reference to a face part **Parameters**:

facePart - the reference to the face part
facePartNumber - the unique face part number - at this time always 1

Class JpFacePart

hpcc.just.domain

```
java.lang.Object
```

hpcc.just.domain.JpFacePart

public class **JpFacePart** extends Object

This class represents an area on a block face. At this time there is only one face part per block face.

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig Version: 1.0 See Also: JpFace

Constructor Summary	Page
JpFacePart (int partNumber, <u>JpFace</u> parent)	170
Constructor declaration	

Method	I Summary	Page
String	getBoundaryCondition () Get the boundary condition of the face part	170
int	getIteration () The current iteration computed on this face part	170
int	getNeighborBlockNumber () Getting the block number of the neighbouring block	170
int	getNeighborFaceNumber () Get the number of the neighbouring face	170
<u>JpFacePar</u> <u>t</u>	getNeighborFacePart () Get a reference to the neighbouring face part	171
int	getNeighborFacePartNumber () Getting the part number of the neighbouring face part	171
int	getOrientation () Getting the orientation to the neighbouring face part	171
JpFace	getParentFace () Getting the reference to the parent face	171
int	getPartHeight () Getting the height of the part face	171

int	getPartNumber () Getting the part number	171
int	getPartWidth () Getting the part width	172
int	<u>getPartX</u> () The x position on the block face of the face part.	172
int	getParty () The y position on the block face of the face part.	172
void	<pre>init () Initialize the face part</pre>	172
void	nextIteration () Increase the current iteration to the next iteration	172
Object[] [][]	<u>readCommunicationBuffer(</u>) Reading the communication buffer of the face part with respect to the orientation to the neighbour face part.	172
void	<pre>setBoundaryCondition (String boundaryCondition) Sets the boundary condition of the face part</pre>	173
void	<pre>setNeighborBlockNumber(int neighborBlockNumber) Setting the block number of the neighbouring block</pre>	173
void	<pre>setNeighborFaceNumber (int neighborFaceNumber) Setting the part number of the neighbouring face</pre>	173
void	<pre>setNeighborFacePart (JpFacePart neighborFacePart) Sets a reference to the neighbouring face part</pre>	173
void	<pre>setNeighborFacePartNumber (int neighborFacePartNumber) Setting the part number of the neighbouring face part</pre>	173
void	<pre>setOrientation (int orientation) Setting the orientation to the neighbouring face part</pre>	174
void	<pre>setPartHeight (int partHeight) Setting the height of the part face</pre>	174
void	<pre>setPartNumber (int partNumber) Setting the unique face part number</pre>	174
void	<pre>setPartWidth (int partWidth) Setting the width of the part face</pre>	174
void	<pre>setPartX(int partX) The x position on the block face of the face part.</pre>	174
void	setParty (int partY) The y position on the block face of the face part.	174
void	writeCommunicationBuffer(Object[][]] buffer) Writing the communication buffer to the halo cells.	175

Constructor Detail

JpFacePart

Constructor declaration

Method Detail

getBoundaryCondition

```
public String getBoundaryCondition()
```

Get the boundary condition of the face part Returns: A string representing the boundary condition

getIteration

```
public int getIteration()
```

The current iteration computed on this face part **Returns:** the iteration

getNeighborBlockNumber

public int getNeighborBlockNumber()

Getting the block number of the neighbouring block Returns: the neighbour block number

getNeighborFaceNumber

public int getNeighborFaceNumber()

Get the number of the neighbouring face **Returns:** the neighbouring face number

getNeighborFacePart

```
public <u>JpFacePart</u> getNeighborFacePart()
```

Get a reference to the neighbouring face part **Returns:** the reference to the neighbouring face part

getNeighborFacePartNumber

```
public int getNeighborFacePartNumber()
```

Getting the part number of the neighbouring face part Returns: the part number of the neighbouring face part

getOrientation

```
public int getOrientation()
```

Getting the orientation to the neighbouring face part Returns: the orientation

getParentFace

public <u>JpFace</u> getParentFace()

Getting the reference to the parent face **Returns:** the reference to the parent face

getPartHeight

public int getPartHeight()

Getting the height of the part face **Returns:** the height

getPartNumber

```
public int getPartNumber()
```

Getting the part number Returns: the current part number

getPartWidth

public int getPartWidth()

Getting the part width Returns: the width of the part

getPartX

public int getPartX()

The x position on the block face of the face part. At this time always 0 **Returns:** the x position

getPartY

```
public int getPartY()
```

The y position on the block face of the face part. At this time always 0 **Returns:** the y position

init

```
public void init()
```

Initialize the face part

nextIteration

```
public void nextIteration()
```

Increase the current iteration to the next iteration

readCommunicationBuffer

```
public Object[][][] readCommunicationBuffer()
```

Reading the communication buffer of the face part with respect to the orientation to the neighbour face part. (Boundary exchange) **Returns:**

An array containing all data for the boundary exchange

setBoundaryCondition

public void setBoundaryCondition(String boundaryCondition)

Sets the boundary condition of the face part **Parameters:** boundaryCondition - A string representing the boundary condition

setNeighborBlockNumber

public void setNeighborBlockNumber(int neighborBlockNumber)

Setting the block number of the neighbouring block **Parameters**:

neighborBlockNumber - the block number of the neighbouring block

setNeighborFaceNumber

public void setNeighborFaceNumber(int neighborFaceNumber)

Setting the part number of the neighbouring face **Parameters**:

neighborFaceNumber - the number of the neighbouring face

setNeighborFacePart

public void setNeighborFacePart(<u>JpFacePart</u> neighborFacePart)

Sets a reference to the neigboring face part **Parameters:** neighborFacePart - the reference to the neighbouring face part

setNeighborFacePartNumber

public void setNeighborFacePartNumber(int neighborFacePartNumber)

Setting the part number of the neighboring face part **Parameters**:

neighborFacePartNumber - the part number of the neighbouring face part

setOrientation

```
public void setOrientation(int orientation)
```

Setting the orientation to the neighbouring face part **Parameters**:

orientation - the orientation 1 ... 8

setPartHeight

```
public void setPartHeight(int partHeight)
```

Setting the height of the part face **Parameters:** partHeight - the height of the part face

setPartNumber

public void setPartNumber(int partNumber)

Setting the unique face part number **Parameters:** partNumber - the unique face part number

setPartWidth

public void setPartWidth(int partWidth)

Setting the width of the part face **Parameters**:

partWidth - the width of the part face

setPartX

public void setPartX(int partX)

The x position on the block face of the face part. At this time it should be always 0 **Parameters:**

partx - The x position

setPartY

```
public void setPartY(int partY)
```

The y position on the block face of the face part. At this time it should be always 0 **Parameters:**

party - The y position

writeCommunicationBuffer

public void writeCommunicationBuffer(Object[][][] buffer)

Writing the communication buffer to the halo cells. **Parameters**

buffer - the data array

Package hpcc.just.domain.structured

Class Summary		Page
<u>JpBlock</u>	A JpBlock is the representation for a single strucktured domain (block).	175

Class JpBlock

hpcc.just.domain.structured

java.lang.Object

L hpcc.just.domain.structured.JpBlock

All Implemented Interfaces:

<u>JpDomain</u>

public class **JpBlock** extends Object implements <u>JpDomain</u>

A JpBlock is the representation for a single structured domain (block). It it is the parent container for: solver, cells, geometry and boundary handler.

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

Field Summary		Page
static final int	NUMBER_OF_FACES In this implementation the number of faces is fixed to 6.	177

Constructor Summary

Page

```
JpBlock(int uniqueId, int gridI, int gridJ, int gridK)
Constructor declaration
```

178

Method	Summary	Page
JpBlock[]	getBlockArray()	178
	Returns the reference to the all blocks array	110
String	getBlockName()	178
	Returns the free defined block name	110
int	getBlockNumber ()	178
	Returns the block number	
JpBoundar vHandler	getBoundaryHandler()	178
	Returns the associaded boundary handler object.	
<u>JpCell</u>	getCell (int i, int j)	179
	Returns one cell in 2D.	
<u>JpCell</u>	<pre>getCell(int i, int j, int k)</pre>	179
	getJpCell returns the [i,j,k] given cell ATTENTION!	
<u>JpCell</u> [] [][]	getCells()	179
	JpCell returns a reference to the 3D JpCell array	
<u>JpFace</u>	getFace (int faceNo)	179
	Returns a block face object	
<u>JpFace</u> []	getFaces()	180
	Returns an array containing all block faces	
int	<u>getGridI</u> ()	180
int	Returns the number of grid points in I direction.	
int	<u>getGridJ</u> ()	180
int	Returns the number of grid points in 3 direction.	
Inc	<u>getGridk</u> ()	180
ToVector	Returns the number of gnd points in R direction.	
OPVECTOL	<u>getGridvector</u> (Int I, Int J, Int K)	180
][][]	The complete grid for this block	181
int		
	Returns the total number of grid points	181
JpCell	ret. To Cell (int i)	
	Deprecated. this method is obsolete	181
JpCell	getNeighbor(int face, int depth, JpCell cell)	
	Deprecated. this method is obsolete	181
int	getNumberOfCells()	100
	Deprecated. this method is obsolete	182
int	getNumberOfHaloCells()	400
	Returns the specified number of halo cells	182

JpSolver	getSolver()	182
	Returns a reference to the solver object	
int	getUniqueId()	182
	Returns the unique block id	
void	<pre>setBlockArray(JpBlock[] blockArray)</pre>	183
	Set the reference to the all block array	100
void	setBlockName (String blockName)	183
	Sets the block name	100
void	setBlockNumber (int blockNumber)	183
	Sets the block number	100
void	setBoundaryHandler (JpBoundaryHandler boundaryHandler)	183
	Sets the boundary handler object.	100
void	<pre>setCells(JpCell jpCell, int numberOfHaloCells)</pre>	183
	This method initialize the complete cell array including the halo cells	100
void	setFace (JpFace face, int faceNo)	184
	Sets the block face object.	
void	<pre>setGridI (int gridI)</pre>	184
	Sets the number of grid points in I direction	
void	<pre>setGridJ(int gridJ)</pre>	184
	Sets the number of grid points in J direction	,
void	<pre>setGridK (int gridK)</pre>	184
	Sets the number of grid points in K direction	
void	<pre>setGridVector (JpVector vector, int i, int j, int k)</pre>	184
	Sets a single grid point vector	
voíd	<pre>setSolver (JpSolver_solver)</pre>	185
	Sets the solver object.	,
void	updateBoundaryConditions (int type)	185
	Calls the update boundary	

Methods inherited from interface hpcc.just.domain.JpDomain getJpCell, getNeighbor, getNumberOfCells, updateBoundaryConditions

Field Detail

NUMBER_OF_FACES

public static final int NUMBER_OF_FACES

In this implementation the number of faces is fixed to 6.

Constructor Detail

JpBlock

Constructor declaration

Method Detail

getBlockArray

public <u>JpBlock[]</u> getBlockArray()

Returns the reference to the all blocks array Returns: the array containing all blocks

getBlockName

```
public String getBlockName()
```

Returns the free defined block name Returns: te block name

getBlockNumber

```
public int getBlockNumber()
```

Returns the block number Returns: the block number

getBoundaryHandler

public <u>JpBoundaryHandler</u> getBoundaryHandler()

Returns the associated boundary handler object. **Returns:** the boundary handler

getCell

getCell

getJpCell returns the [i,j,k] given cell

ATTENTION! the start index of i,j,k is 1 not 0 and ends at (e.g for i) gridl - 1 so your loop should look like this:

```
int gridI = jpBlock.getgridI();
for ( int i=1; i
```

The number of cell in each direction is the number of grid points in that direction - 1! **Parameters:**

- i cell index in i direction
- j cell index in j direction
- k cell index in k direction

Returns:

returns the [i,j,k] given cell

getCells

public <u>JpCell[][][] getCells()</u>

JpCell returns a reference to the 3D JpCell array **Returns:** the reference to the 3D JpCell array **See Also:** getCell(int i, int j, int k)

getFace

public <u>JpFace</u> getFace(int faceNo)

Returns a block face object **Parameters:** faceNo - face number 1 ... 6 **Returns:** the JpFace

getFaces

public <u>JpFace[]</u> getFaces()

Returns an array containing all block faces Returns: the array

getGridI

public int getGridI()

Returns the number of grid points in I direction. Returns: number of grid points in I direction.

getGridJ

public int getGridJ()

Returns the number of grid points in J direction. Returns: number of grid points in J direction.

getGridK

public int getGridK()

Returns the number of grid points in K direction. Returns: number of grid points in K direction.

getGridVector

Returns a single grid point.
Parameters: i - I j - J k - K Returns:

the grid point

getGridVectorArray

public <u>JpVector[][][]</u> getGridVectorArray()

The complete grid for this block. **Returns:** a vector array with all grid points for this bock

getGridVectorCount

public int getGridVectorCount()

Returns the total number of grid points Returns: the total number of grid points

getJpCell

public <u>JpCell</u> getJpCell(int i)

Deprecated. this method is obsolete

Dummy method Specified by: <u>getJpCell</u> in interface <u>JpDomain</u> Parameters: <u>i</u> - type Returns: null

getNeighbor

Deprecated. this method is obsolete

Dummy method

```
Appendix: JUSTSolver Java API
```

```
Specified by:

<u>getNeighbor</u> in interface <u>JpDomain</u>

Parameters:

face - Face

depth - Depth

cell - Cell

Returns:

null
```

getNumberOfCells

public int getNumberOfCells()

Deprecated. this method is obsolete

Dummy method Specified by: getNumberOfCells in interface JpDomain Returns: 0

getNumberOfHaloCells

```
public int getNumberOfHaloCells()
```

Returns the specified number of halo cells Returns: the number of halo cells

getSolver

```
public <u>JpSolver getSolver()</u>
```

Returns a reference to the solver object Returns: the solver object

getUniqueId

```
public int getUniqueId()
```

Returns the unique block id Returns: the unique block id

setBlockArray

public void setBlockArray(<u>JpBlock[]</u> blockArray)

Set the reference to the all block array **Parameters**:

blockArray - the all block array

setBlockName

public void setBlockName(String blockName)

Sets the block name Parameters: blockName - the block name

setBlockNumber

public void setBlockNumber(int blockNumber)

Sets the block number Parameters: blockNumber - the block number

setBoundaryHandler

public void **setBoundaryHandler**(<u>JpBoundaryHandler</u> boundaryHandler)

Sets the boundary handler object. **Parameters:** boundaryHandler - the boundary handler

setCells

This method initialize the complete cell array including the halo cells **Parameters**:

jpCell - the prototype cell from that all cells be generated numberOfHaloCells - number of halo cells

setFace

Sets the block face object. Parameters: face - the block face object faceNo - the face number 1 ... 6

setGridI

```
public void setGridI(int gridI)
```

Sets the number of grid points in I direction **Parameters:** gridI - the number of grid points in I direction

setGridJ

```
public void setGridJ(int gridJ)
```

Sets the number of grid points in J direction **Parameters:** gridJ - the number of grid points in J direction

setGridK

```
public void setGridK(int gridK)
```

Sets the number of grid points in K direction **Parameters:** gridK - the number of grid points in K direction

setGridVector

Sets a single grid point vector **Parameters**:

vector - the grid point i - I i - J

k - K

setSolver

public void setSolver(<u>JpSolver</u> solver)

Sets the solver object. **Parameters:** solver - the solver object

updateBoundaryConditions

public void updateBoundaryConditions(int type)

Calls the update boundary Specified by: <u>updateBoundaryConditions</u> in interface <u>JpDomain</u> Parameters: type - type of the boundary update

Package hpcc.just.share

Interface Summary		Page
<u>JploStreamStatus</u>	JParNSS io stream status interface	185
JpMultiblockSolver	Interface description for a multi block solver	187
<u>JpServerSession</u>	JParNSS Server Session interface is used for server side access to the JpSession class.	188
<u>JpSolver</u>	JParNSS Solver interface A client application must implement this interface.	191
JpSolverHandler_	JpSolverHandler interface represents	195

Exception Summary		Page
JpSolverException	The JpSolverException will be thrown if an unexpected error will occur.	193

Interface JpIoStreamStatus

hpcc.just.share

public interface JploStreamStatus

JUSTGrid io stream status interface

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

Nethod Summary		Page
void	destroy () destroy the io stream	186
int	getId() get the command id	186
void	receiveAcknowledge () wait for receiving an acknowledge signal	186
void	sendAcknowledge () send and acknowledge signal	186

Method Detail

destroy

```
public void destroy()
```

destroy the io stream

getId

```
public int getId()
```

get the command id **Returns:** the unique id of the io stream

receiveAcknowledge

```
public void receiveAcknowledge()
```

wait for receiving an acknowledge signal

sendAcknowledge

```
public void sendAcknowledge()
```

send and acknowledge signal

Interface JpMultiblockSolver

hpcc.just.share

All Superinterfaces:

<u>JpSolver</u>

All Known Implementing Classes:

LaplaceSolver3D

public interface **JpMultiblockSolver** extends <u>JpSolver</u>

Interface description for a multi block solver

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

Method Summary		Page
void	<u>finalizeSolver(</u>) This method finalizes the solver object on the server side.	187
void	<pre>initSolver(JpDomain block, int nodeId) This method initializes the solver object on the server side.</pre>	187

Methods inherited from interface hpcc.just.share.<u>JpSolver</u> getDataObject, getFaces, getOutputVars, setDataObject, solve

Method Detail

finalizeSolver

```
public void finalizeSolver()
```

This method finalizes the solver object on the server side.

initSolver

This method initializes the solver object on the server side. **Parameters:** block - the block to work on

nodeId - a unique node/solver id

Interface JpServerSession

hpcc.just.share

public interface JpServerSession

JUSTGrid Server Session interface is used for server side access to the JpSession class. The JpSolverHandler uses this interface.

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

Method	Summary	Page
JpSolver[<pre>getSolverArray()</pre>	189
1	getting the solver array	
JpSolverH	getSolverHandler()	189
andrer	getting the current solver handler	100
void	initAllServerBoundaryHandlers (JpBoundaryHandler	
	boundaryHandler)	189
	initializing all boundary handler on the server	
void	initAllServerCells (JpCell cell, int haloCells)	189
	initialize all JpCells	100
void	initAllServerNodes (JpSolver_solver)	189
	initialize all nodes	100
void	initServerBoundaryHandler(int blockIndex,	
	JpBoundaryHandler boundaryHandler)	190
	Initialize one boundary handler	
void	<pre>initServerNode(int nodeIndex)</pre>	190
	initialize a specific node	100
void	<pre>initServerNode(int nodeIndex, JpSolver_solver)</pre>	190
	initialize a specific node with a JpSolver	100
void	<pre>initServerSession(JpBlock[] block)</pre>	100
	initialize the JpSession	190
void	initServerSession(int numberOfNodes, int	
	maxNumberOfNeighbors)	190
	initialize the JpSession	
void	setServerNodeNeighborObject(int nodeIndex, int	
	neighborIndex, int edge)	191
	binding a nodes edge to a neighbour node (topology information)	
void	<pre>setSolverArray(JpSolver[] solverArray)</pre>	101
	setting up a reference to the solver array	, , , ,

Method Detail

getSolverArray

public <u>JpSolver[]</u> getSolverArray()

getting the solver array Returns: a reference to the solver array

getSolverHandler

public <u>JpSolverHandler getSolverHandler()</u>

getting the current solver handler Returns: the current solver handler

initAllServerBoundaryHandlers

public void initAllServerBoundaryHandlers (JpBoundaryHandler boundaryHandler)

initializing all boundary handler on the server **Parameters:** boundaryHandler - a reference to a boundary handler object

initAllServerCells

initialize all JpCells **Parameters**:

cell - a reference to a cell object halocells - number of halo cells

initAllServerNodes

public void initAllServerNodes (<u>JpSolver</u>solver)

initialize all nodes **Parameters:** solver - a reference to a solver object

initServerBoundaryHandler

Initialize one boundary handler Parameters:

blockIndex - index of the block boundaryHandler - the reference to the boundary handler object

initServerNode

public void initServerNode(int nodeIndex)

initialize a specific node **Parameters:** nodeIndex - index of a node

initServerNode

initialize a specific node with a JpSolver **Parameters:** nodeIndex - index of a node / block solver - a solver object

initServerSession

public void initServerSession(<u>JpBlock[]</u> block)

initialize the JpSession **Parameters:** block - the Multiblock structure

initServerSession

initialize the JpSession **Parameters**:

numberOfNodes - the total number of nodes/solvers with this session maxNumberOfNeighbors - the maximum number of neighbour nodes for one node

setServerNodeNeighborObject

binding a nodes edge to a neighbour node (topology information) **Parameters:**

nodeIndex - index of a node
neighborIndex - index of the neighbor node
edge - edge to bind with the neighbor node

setSolverArray

```
public void setSolverArray(JpSolver[] solverArray)
```

setting up a reference to the solver array **Parameters**:

solverArray - a solver array

Interface JpSolver

hpcc.just.share

All Known Subinterfaces: JpMultiblockSolver

All Known Implementing Classes:

LaplaceSolver3D

public interface JpSolver

JUSTGrid Solver interface A client application must implement this interface. Every server node makes a reference to one solver object.

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

Method Summary		Page	
Object	getDataObject (int dataId) get solver data from the solver object	192	
<u>JpBoundar</u> <u>yConditio</u> <u>n[]</u>	getFaces () Returns the faces array	192	

Object	<pre>getOutputVars(int gridI, int gridJ, int gridK)</pre>	192
	Returns an object representing the flow vars for on grid point	
void	<pre>setDataObject(int dataId, Object object) send data objects to the solver object</pre>	192
boolean	<pre>solve (int iteration) The ,solve' method contains the numerics for ONE iteration.</pre>	193

Method Detail

getDataObject

```
public Object getDataObject(int dataId)
```

get solver data from the solver object **Parameters:** dataId - this parameter is used to select a specific object **Returns:** a data object

getFaces

```
public <u>JpBoundaryCondition[]</u> getFaces()
```

Returns the faces array Returns: the faces array

getOutputVars

Returns an object representing the flow vars for on grid point **Parameters**:

gridI - | gridJ - J gridK - K

Returns:

an object representing the flow vars for on grid point

setDataObject

send data objects to the solver object **Parameters**:

dataId - this parameter is used to select a specific object object - the data object

solve

The ,solve' method contains the numerics for ONE iteration.

Parameters:

iteration - the current iteration

Returns:

is NOT ready

Throws:

JpSolverException - a user specific exception

Class JpSolverException

hpcc.just.share

```
java.lang.Object
L java.lang.Throwable
java.lang.Exception
L hpcc.just.share.JpSolverException
```

All Implemented Interfaces:

Serializable

public class JpSolverException extends Exception

The JpSolverException will be thrown if an unexpected error will occur.

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

Constructor Summary	
<u>JpSolverException(</u>) The default constructor	194
JpSolverException (String message) Constructor with a message	194

Method Summary		Page	
String	getMessage () Returns the exception message	194	
String	toString() Returns a string represents the JpSolverException	194	

Constructor Detail

JpSolverException

```
public JpSolverException()
```

The default constructor

JpSolverException

public JpSolverException(String message)

Constructor with a message

Method Detail

getMessage

```
public String getMessage()
```

Returns the exception message Overrides: getMessage in class Throwable

Returns:

the exception message

toString

```
public String toString()
```

Returns a string represents the JpSolverException **Overrides**:

toString **in class** Throwable

Returns:

a string represents the JpSolverException

Interface JpSolverHandler

hpcc.just.share

public interface JpSolverHandler

JpSolverHandler interface represents

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

thod Summary		Page
void	destroyHandler () Destroy the session handler	195
void	<pre>initHandler (JpServerSession jpServerSession) Initialize the solver handler</pre>	195
void	<pre>readData(InputStream inputStream, OutputStream outputStream, JpIoStreamStatus jpIoStreamStatus, String command) Read data from session</pre>	196
void	<pre>startSession() Called from jpSession.startSession();</pre>	196
void	<pre>writeData(InputStream inputStream, OutputStream outputStream, JpIoStreamStatus jpIoStreamStatus, String command) Write data to session</pre>	196

Method Detail

destroyHandler

```
public void destroyHandler()
```

Destroy the session handler

initHandler

```
public void initHandler(JpServerSession jpServerSession)
```

Initialize the solver handler

Parameters:

jpServerSession - reference to server session

readData

Read data from session **Parameters**:

inputStream - the input stream for reading from the client
outputStream - the output stream to the client
jpIoStreamStatus - the status of the io stream
command - free definable command string

startSession

```
public void startSession()
```

Called from jpSession.startSession();

writeData

Write data to session **Parameters**:

inputStream - the input stream for reading from the client outputStream - the output stream to the client jpIoStreamStatus - the status of the io stream command - free definable command string

Package hpcc.math		

Class Summary		Page
<u>JpVector</u>	This is a simple vector class.	196

OL Lullastar	
Class Jovector	
oluss oprotte:	
hnce math	
IIpcc.math	

java.lang.Object

All Implemented Interfaces:

Cloneable, Comparable, Serializable

public class **JpVector** extends Object implements Serializable, Cloneable, Comparable

This is a simple vector class. The vector contains the three double components x,y,z **ATTENTION** The access modifiers of the components are *public* to have a faster access on it but this is also a dangerous behaviour of this class!

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

Field S	ummary	Page
double	* the x component of this vector	198
double	Y the y component of this vector	199
double	z the z component of this vector	199

Constructor Summary	Page
<u>JpVector</u> () this ,,default" constructor creates a zero vector	
JpVector (double x, double y, double z) this constructor creates the vector from the tree individual components	199
JpVector (double [] x) this constructor creates a vector form the given double array	
<u>JpVector</u> (<u>JpVector</u> parent) create a vector from the given vector	

Method Summary		Page	
final <u>JpVector</u>	add (<u>JpVector</u> vector) the add method adds every component of the given vector on the corresponding component of this vector	199	
int	<u>compareTo</u> (Object o) Compare this vector with an other one, Only if the vectors have identical components this method returns a 0.	200	
final <u>JpVector</u>	<u>cross</u> (<u>JpVector</u> vector1) this method computes the cross product of the given vectors and stores the result in this vector	200	

final <u>JpVector</u>	<pre>cross (JpVector vector1, JpVector vector2) this method computes the cross product of the given vectors and stores the result in this vector</pre>	200
double	distance (JpVector vector) Returns the distance to the given vector	200
final <u>JpVector</u>	div (double divisor) this method divides each component by the given divisor	201
final double	dot(JpVectorvector)this method computes the scalar dot product of the given vector to this vector	201
JpVector	<pre>getZeroVector3d (double x, double y, double z) A method finding the zero point (mid point) between to vectors</pre>	201
JpVector	getZeroVector3d (JpVector tuple3d) A method finding the zero point (mid point) between to vectors	201
final double	<u>length</u> () this method computes the length of this vector	202
void	<pre>max(double x, double y, double z) Compare each single component of a second vector and stores for each component the maximum value.</pre>	202
void	<pre>max (JpVector tuple3d) Compare each single component of a second vector and stores for each component the maximum value.</pre>	202
void	<pre>min (double x, double y, double z) Compare each single component of a second vector and stores for each component the minimum value.</pre>	203
void	min (<u>JpVector</u> tuple3d) Compare each single component of a second vector and stores for each component the minimum value.	202
fina. JpVecto:	<pre>mul (double factor) this method multiply the given factor to each component of this vector</pre>	203
fina JpVecto:	<pre>sub (JpVector vector) the sub method subtracts every component of the given vector from the corresponding component of this vector</pre>	203
Strin	<pre>toString() this method returns the String representation of this vector</pre>	203

Field Detail

X

public double ${f x}$

the x component of this vector

У

public double **y**

the y component of this vector

z

public double **z**

the z component of this vector

Constructor Detail

JpVector

public JpVector()

this ,,default" constructor creates a zero vector

JpVector

```
public JpVector(double[] x)
```

this constructor creates a vector form the given double array

JpVector

```
public JpVector(<u>JpVector</u> parent)
```

create a vector from the given vector

JpVector

this constructor creates the vector from the tree individual components

Method Detail

add

public final <u>JpVector</u> **add**(<u>JpVector</u> vector)

the add method adds every component of the given vector on the corresponding component of this vector

Parameters:

vector - the vector to add on this vector

Returns:

this ,,result" vector

compareTo

```
public int compareTo(Object o)
```

Compare this vector with an other one, Only if the vectors have identical components this method returns a 0. In all others cases it returns a -1. **Parameters:**

Returns: 0 or -1

cross

```
public final <u>JpVector</u> cross(<u>JpVector</u> vector1)
```

this method computes the cross product of the given vectors and stores the result in this vector **Parameters:**

vector1 - the first vector

Returns: this ,,result" vector

cross

```
public final <u>JpVector</u> cross(<u>JpVector</u> vector1,
        <u>JpVector</u> vector2)
```

this method computes the cross product of the given vectors and stores the result in this vector

Parameters:

vector1 - the first vector

vector2 - the second vector

Returns:

this ,,result" vector

distance

```
public double distance(<u>JpVector</u> vector)
```

Returns the distance to the given vector Parameters: vector - the given vector

Returns:

the distance

div

public final <u>JpVector</u> **div**(double divisor)

this method divides each component by the given divisor **Parameters:** divisor - the divisor **Returns:** this ,,result" vector

dot

```
public final double dot(<u>JpVector</u> vector)
```

this method computes the scalar dot product of the given vector to this vector **Parameters**:

vector - the vector to compute with

Returns:

the scalar result value

getZeroVector3d

public <u>JpVector</u> getZeroVector3d(<u>JpVector</u> tuple3d)

A method finding the zero point (mid point) between to vectors **Parameters:** tuple3d - the second vector **Returns:** the vector to the zero point

getZeroVector3d

```
public <u>JpVector</u> getZeroVector3d(double x,
double y,
double z)
```

A method finding the zero point (mid point) between to vectors **Parameters:**

x - x component y - y component

z - z component Returns: the vector to the zero point

length

public final double length()

this method computes the length of this vector **Returns:** this ,,result" vector

max

public void max(<u>JpVector</u> tuple3d)

Compare each single component of a second vector and stores for each component the maximum value.

Parameters:

tuple3d - the second vector

max

```
public void max(double x,
double y,
double z)
```

Compare each single component of a second vector and stores for each component the maximum value. **Parameters:**

- x x component
- y y component
- z z component

min

public void **min**(<u>JpVector</u> tuple3d)

Compare each single component of a second vector and stores for each component the minimum value. **Parameters:**

tuple3d - the second vector

min

```
public void min(double x,
double y,
double z)
```

Compare each single component of a second vector and stores for each component the minimum value. **Parameters:**

x - x component

- y y component
- z z component

mul

public final <u>JpVector</u> mul(double factor)

this method multiply the given factor to each component of this vector **Parameters**:

factor - the factor to multiply with

Returns:

this ,,result" vector

sub

```
public final <u>JpVector</u> sub(<u>JpVector</u> vector)
```

the sub method subtracts every component of the given vector from the corresponding component of this vector **Parameters:** vector - the subtracting vector

Returns:

this ,,result" vector

toString

```
public String toString()
```

this method returns the String representation of this vector

Overrides:

toString **in class** Object

Returns:

the three components separated by a space

Package laplacesolver3d

Class Summary		Page
<u>FlowVars</u>	This class contains all fields/variables that are stored in one cell and where transported to the neighbor cells.	204
GlobalVars	This global class is to compute the norm/residual.	207
LaplaceSolver3D	A sample implementation of a 3D Laplace solver.	208
Main	This Main class is only a wrapper for hpcc.just.app.cli.Main and a shortcut for running from an IDE (Integrated Development Environment).	213
SimpleBoundaryCondition	This class is implementing the different boundary conditions.	214
SimpleBoundaryHandler	The SimpleBoundaryHandler class is responsible for setting the boundary conditions.	215
SimpleCell2	SimpleCell represents a single cell in the solution domain.	217

Class FlowVars

laplacesolver3d

java.lang.Object

All Implemented Interfaces:

Serializable

public class **FlowVars** extends Object implements Serializable

This class contains all fields/variables that are stored in one cell and where transported to the neighbour cells. In the Laplace 3D sample it contains one field only named "mach". This type of data structure in general is called the U-vector.

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

Field S	ummary	Page
double	mach	205
	A simple flow var field.	200

Constructor Summary	Page
FlowVars () Default constructor for FlowVars.	205
FlowVars (FlowVars vars) This constructor creates a copy of the given FlowVars object.	205

Method	Summary	Page
void	add (<u>FlowVars</u> u) Add the values of the given flow vars to the current vars.	205
Object	<u>clone</u> () Clone the current FlowVars object.	206
void	<u>div</u> (double d) Divide all fields containing by the FlowVars object by the given divisor.	206
void	<u>mul</u> (double d) Multiply all fields containing by the FlowVars object by the given multiplier.	206
void	<u>set</u> (<u>FlowVars</u> u) Set all fields of the current FlowVars object to the same values of the given FlowVars object.	206
void	setZero() Set all fields zero	206
void	<u>sub</u> (<u>FlowVars</u> u) Subtract from the current FlowVars object fields the corresponding fields of the given FlowVars object.	207

Field Detail

mach

```
public double mach
```

A simple flow var field. It it named "mach" but it is a simple double number with no relation to a real Mach number.

Constructor Detail

FlowVars

```
public FlowVars()
```

Default constructor for FlowVars.

FlowVars

```
public FlowVars(FlowVars vars)
```

This constructor creates a copy of the given FlowVars object.

Method Detail

add

```
public void add(FlowVars u)
```

Add the values of the given flow vars to the current vars. **Parameters:**

u - The flow vars to add on

clone

```
public Object clone()
```

Clone the current FlowVars object. (make a copy of it) Overrides: clone in class Object Returns: An instance of the cloned FlowVars object

div

```
public void div(double d)
```

Divide all fields containing by the FlowVars object by the given divisor. **Parameters:**

d - The divisor

mul

```
public void mul(double d)
```

Multiply all fields containing by the FlowVars object by the given multiplier. **Parameters:**

d - The multiplier

set

```
public void set(FlowVars u)
```

Set all fields of the current FlowVars object to the same values of the given FlowVars object. **Parameters:**

u - The FlowVars object to set from

setZero

```
public void setZero()
```

Set all fields zero

206

sub

public void sub(FlowVars u)

Subtract from the current FlowVars object fields the corresponding fields of the given FlowVars object.

Parameters:

u - The FlowVars object

Class GlobalVars

laplacesolver3d

```
java.lang.Object
```

public class **GlobalVars** extends Object

This global class is to compute the norm/residual. It it implemented as a singleton pattern.

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

lethod	Summary	Page
static double	getNorm () Return the current norm/residual	207
static void	<pre>setNumberOfBlocks (int number) To initialize the GlobalVars object correct it needs to know the total number of block for the complete computation.</pre>	208
static void	<pre>writeNorm (double norm, int iteration) Write the norm from a block to the global norm.</pre>	208

Method Detail

getNorm

!

ł

public static double getNorm()

Return the current norm/residual **Returns:** the current norm/residual

setNumberOfBlocks

```
public static void setNumberOfBlocks(int number)
```

To initialize the GlobalVars object correct it needs to know the total number of block for the complete computation. **Parameters:**

writeNorm

Write the norm from a block to the global norm. **Parameters:** norm - norm from the block iteration - iteration the block has finished

Class LaplaceSolver3D

laplacesolver3d

```
java.lang.Object
```

All Implemented Interfaces:

JpMultiblockSolver, JpSolver, Serializable

public class LaplaceSolver3D extends Object implements <u>JpMultiblockSolver</u>, Serializable

A sample implementation of a 3D Laplace solver. The execution sequence is:

- 1. Construtor: creates an instance of the solver
- 2. initSolver: initialize the solver with references to the framework
- 3. setXX: setting all solver parameters from the startup.properties file
- 4. postInitialization: computing/setting free stream conditions
- 5. solve: loop until simulation is finished
- 6. finalizeSolver: after simulation is finished
- 7. getOutputVars: for creating an output file

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

Field Summary

Page

<u>FlowVars</u>	freeStreamConditions	210
	The free stream condition information	
double	machNumber	210
	The Mach number	

Constructor Summary	Page
LaplaceSolver3D()	210
Creates a new instance of LaplaceSolver3D	

Method	Summary	Page
void	<u>finalizeSolver()</u> This method will be executed after all computation is done	210
Object	<u>getDataObject</u> (int i)	210
	specific data from the solver.	
<u>JpBoundar</u> <u>yConditio</u> <u>n[]</u>	getFaces () Returns an array with all face boundary conditions for this block.	211
Object	<pre>getOutputVars (int i, int j, int k) getOutputVars must return an object with public fields containing all vars for the solution output e.g TecPlot output.</pre>	211
void	<pre>initSolver(JpDomain block, int nodeId) Initialize the solver with the references to the framework</pre>	211
void	postInitialization () Is responsible for computing/setting free stream conditions	211
void	<pre>setDataObject(int i, Object object) For the interactive steering a client application could use this method to set specific data at the solver.</pre>	212
void	<pre>setMachNumber (double machNumber) Sets the Mach number (solver parameter).</pre>	212
void	<pre>setMaxIterations (int maxIteration) Sets the maximum number of iteration (solver parameter).</pre>	212
boolean	<pre>solve (int currentIteration) The ,,main" method of the solver.</pre>	212

Methods inherited from interface hpcc.just.share.JpMultiblockSolver

finalizeSolver, initSolver

Methods inherited from interface hpcc.just.share.JpSolver

getDataObject, getFaces, getOutputVars, setDataObject, solve

Field Detail

freeStreamConditions

public FlowVars freeStreamConditions

The free stream condition information

machNumber

public double machNumber

The Mach number

Constructor Detail

LaplaceSolver3D

```
public LaplaceSolver3D()
```

Creates a new instance of LaplaceSolver3D

Method Detail

finalizeSolver

```
public void finalizeSolver()
```

This method will be executed after all computation is done. **Specified by:**

finalizeSolver in interface JpMultiblockSolver

getDataObject

```
public Object getDataObject(int i)
```

For the interactive steering a client application could use this method to order specific data from the solver. In this case it always returns *null*.

Specified by:

<u>getDataObject</u> in interface <u>JpSolver</u>

Parameters:

i - A tag specified by the developer of a solver

Returns:

the ordered data object

getFaces

public <u>JpBoundaryCondition[]</u> getFaces()

Returns an array with all face boundary conditions for this block. In this sample it is not needed.

Specified by:

getFaces in interface JpSolver

Returns: null

getOutputVars

getOutputVars must return an object with public fields containing all vars for the solution output e.g TecPlot output.

Specified by:

getOutputVars in interface JpSolver

Parameters:

 $_{i}$ - is index for GRID I

 $_{\rm j}$ - is index for GRID J

 ${\bf k}$ - is index for GRID K

Returns:

the flow field vars object

initSolver

Initialize the solver with the references to the framework **Specified by:**

initsolver in interface JpMultiblockSolver

Parameters:

block - reference to the block nodeId - the unique node id

postInitialization

```
public void postInitialization()
```

Is responsible for computing/setting free stream conditions

setDataObject

For the interactive steering a client application could use this method to set specific data at the solver.

Specified by:

<u>setDataObject</u> in interface <u>JpSolver</u>

Parameters:

i - A tag specified by the developer of a solver object - data object

setMachNumber

public void setMachNumber(double machNumber)

Sets the Mach number (solver parameter). It is called by the framework during the processing of the startup.properties file. **Parameters:**

machNumber - the Mach number

setMaxIterations

```
public void setMaxIterations(int maxIteration)
```

Sets the maximum number of iteration (solver parameter). It is called by the framework during the processing of the startup.properties file. **Parameters:**

maxIteration - maximum number of iterations

solve

```
public boolean solve(int currentIteration)
throws <u>JpSolverException</u>
```

The ,,main" method of the solver. It will be executed until the return value is false. Specified by:

solve in interface JpSolver

Parameters:

currentIteration - the current iteration

```
Returns:
```

break condition

Throws:

JpSolverException - Throws an unexpected solver exception

Class Main

laplacesolver3d

```
java.lang.Object
```

public class **Main** extends Object

This Main class is only a wrapper for hpcc.just.app.cli.Main and a shortcut for running from an IDE (Integrated Development Environment). It is normally not necessary.

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig Version: 1.0 See Also: hpcc.just.app.cli.Main

Constructor Summary	
Main()	213

Method Summary	Page
<pre>static woid main (String[] args)</pre>	213
The main method to start with the JVM	

Constructor Detail

Main

public Main()

Method Detail

main

public static void main(String[] args)

The main method to start with the JVM Parameters: args - the command line arguments

Class SimpleBoundaryCondition

laplacesolver3d

```
java.lang.Object
```

Laplacesolver3d.SimpleBoundaryCondition

abstract public class **SimpleBoundaryCondition** extends Object

This class is implementing the different boundary conditions.

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

Constructor Summary

SimpleBoundaryCondition()

Method	Summary	Page
abstract void	<pre>compute (SimpleCell2 cell, SimpleCell2 neighbor, LaplaceSolver3D solver, JpVector normal) This method computes the specific boundary contition.</pre>	214
static <u>SimpleBou</u> <u>ndaryCond</u> <u>ition</u>	getBoundaryCondition (String conditionName) This method is called by the boundary handler the result is an object for the given type of the boundary condition.	215

Page

214

Constructor Detail

SimpleBoundaryCondition

```
public SimpleBoundaryCondition()
```

Method Detail

compute

```
public abstract void compute(SimpleCell2 cell,
SimpleCell2 neighbor,
LaplaceSolver3D solver,
JpVector normal)
```

This method computes the specific boundary condition. Because it is abstract it must be filled out by a child class.

Parameters:

cell - The current cell neighbor - the neighbour cell solver - the solver normal - the cell face normal vector

getBoundaryCondition

public static <u>SimpleBoundaryCondition</u> getBoundaryCondition(String conditionName)

This method is called by the boundary handler the result is an object for the given type of the boundary condition.

Parameters:

conditionName - A String representing a boundary condition type/name

Returns:

An object computing the specified boundary condition.

Class SimpleBoundaryHandler

laplacesolver3d

java.lang.Object

L laplacesolver3d.SimpleBoundaryHandler

All Implemented Interfaces:

JpBoundaryHandler, Serializable

public class **SimpleBoundaryHandler** extends Object implements <u>JpBoundaryHandler</u>

The SimpleBoundaryHandler class is responsible for setting the boundary conditions.

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

Constructor Summary	Page
SimpleBoundaryHandler()	216
Creates a new instance of SimpleBoundaryHandler	

Method	Summary	Page
void	init (<u>JpBlock</u> jpBlock) Initialization of this class will be executed by the JUSTGrid framework.	216
void	setFaces (JpBoundaryCondition[] jpBoundaryCondition) Sets the face boundary condition information for this block	216
void	<pre>setSimpleSolver3D (LaplaceSolver3D solver) Sets the reference to the Laplace solver.</pre>	216

void	updateBoundaryConditions (int type)	
	Before every single compute iteration this method will be executed by the	217
	JUSTGrid framework.	

Methods inherited from interface hpcc.just.domain.JpBoundaryHandler

init, setFaces, updateBoundaryConditions

Constructor Detail

SimpleBoundaryHandler

```
public SimpleBoundaryHandler()
```

Creates a new instance of SimpleBoundaryHandler

Method Detail

init

public void init(<u>JpBlock</u> jpBlock)

Initialization of this class will be executed by the JUSTGrid framework.

Specified by:

init in interface JpBoundaryHandler

Parameters:

jpBlock - the parent block reference

setFaces

public void setFaces(<u>JpBoundaryCondition</u>[] jpBoundaryCondition)

Sets the face boundary condition information for this block **Specified by:**

setFaces in interface JpBoundaryHandler

Parameters:

jpBoundaryCondition - the boundary conditions

setSimpleSolver3D

public void setSimpleSolver3D(LaplaceSolver3D_solver)

Sets the reference to the Laplace solver. **Parameters:** solver - the parent solver
updateBoundaryConditions

```
public void updateBoundaryConditions(int type)
```

Before every single compute iteration this method will be executed by the JUSTGrid framework. For this sample the type is not nessesary. **Specified by:**

updateBoundaryConditions in interface JpBoundaryHandler

Parameters:

type - The type of the boundary update.

Class SimpleCell2

laplacesolver3d

```
java.lang.Object
L hpcc.just.domain.JpCell
L laplacesolver3d.SimpleCell2
```

All Implemented Interfaces:

Cloneable, Serializable

public class **SimpleCell2** extends <u>JpCell</u>

SimpleCell represents a single cell in the solution domain.

Author:

Thorsten Ludewig

Field Summary	
FlowVars u	218
All flow vars the so called U-vector	

Fields inherited from class hpcc.just.domain.JpCell

finiteVolume, <u>NUMBER_OF_FACES</u>

Constructor Summary	Page
SimpleCell2()	218
Creates a new instance of SimpleCell	

Method	I Summary	Page
Object	getData () This method must return an object containing all boundary exchange information for this cell.	218

Appendix: JUSTSolver Java API

```
voidsetData218This method sets all information from a boundary exchange.
```

Methods inherited from class hpcc.just.domain.JpCell

```
getData, getFaceVector, getFaceVectors, getFiniteVolume, setData,
setFaceVector, setFiniteVolume
```

Field Detail

u

public <u>FlowVars</u> **u**

All flow vars the so called U-vector

Constructor Detail

SimpleCell2

```
public SimpleCell2()
```

Creates a new instance of SimpleCell

Method Detail

getData

```
public Object getData()
```

This method must return an object containing all boundary exchange information for this cell.

Overrides:

getData in class <u>JpCell</u>

Returns:

the exchange information

setData

public void setData(Object data)

This method sets all information from a boundary exchange. **Overrides:**

<u>setData</u> in class <u>JpCell</u>

Parameters:

data - the neighboring cell information

D JUSTSOLVER Template - Laplace 3D - Source Code

```
D.1 FlowVars.java
```

```
/*
* FlowVars.java
* Created on October 8, 2006, 3:04 PM
* To change this template, choose Tools | Template Manager
 * and open the template in the editor.
 */
package laplacesolver3d;
//~--- JDK imports -----
import java.io.Serializable;
//~--- classes -----
/**
 * This class contains all fields/variables that are stored in one cell and
 * where transported to the neighbour cells. In the Laplace 3D sample it
 * contains one field only named "mach". This type of data structure in general
 * is called the U-vector.
 * @author Thorsten Ludewig
 */
public class FlowVars implements Serializable
{
  /**
  * Default constructor for FlowVars.
  */
  public FlowVars()
  {
  /**
  * This constructor creates a copy of the given FlowVars object.
   * @param vars The FlowVars object to copy
  */
  public FlowVars(FlowVars vars)
  {
   this.mach = vars.mach;
  }
  //~--- methods -----
  /**
  * Add the values of the given flow vars to the current vars.
   * @param u The flow vars to add on
   */
  public void add(FlowVars u)
   mach += ((FlowVars) u).mach;
  }
```

```
/**
 * Clone the current FlowVars object. (make a copy of it)
 * @return An instance of the cloned FlowVars object
 */
public Object clone()
{
 return new FlowVars(this);
}
/**
 * Divide all fields containing by the FlowVars object by the given divisor.
 * Oparam d The divisor
 */
public void div(double d)
{
 mach /= d;
}
/**
 * Multiply all fields containing by the FlowVars object by the given
 * multiplicator.
 * Oparam d The multiplicator
 */
public void mul(double d)
{
 mach *= d;
}
//~--- set methods ------
/**
 * Set all fields of the current FlowVars object to the same values of the
 * given FlowVars object.
 * Oparam u The FlowVars object to set from
 */
public void set(FlowVars u)
{
  mach = u.mach;
}
/**
 * Set all fields zero
 */
public void setZero()
{
 mach = 0.0;
}
//~--- methods -----
/**
 * Substract from the current FlowVars object fields the corresponding fields
 * of the given FlowVars object.
 * @param u The FlowVars object
public void sub(FlowVars u)
{
 mach -= u.mach;
}
```

//~--- fields -----/**
 * A simple flow var field. It it named "mach" but it is a
 * simple double number with no relation to a real Mach number.
 */
public double mach;
}

D.2 GlobalVars.java

```
/*
* GlobalVars.java
 * Created on November 23, 2006, 12:26 PM
 *
*/
package laplacesolver3d;
/**
 * This global class is to compute the norm/residual. It it implemented as a
 * singleton pattern.
 * @author Thorsten Ludewig
 */
public class GlobalVars
{
 /** Field description */
 private final static GlobalVars singleton = new GlobalVars();
 //~--- constructors -----
 /** Creates a new instance of GlobalVars */
 private GlobalVars()
 {
   norm = Double.MAX VALUE;
  }
 //~--- get methods -----
 /**
  * Return the current norm/residual
  * @return the current norm/residual
  */
 public static double getNorm()
  {
   return singleton.norm;
  }
  //~--- set methods -----
 /**
  * To initialize the GlobalVars object correct it needs to know the total
  * number of block for the complete computation.
  * @param number number of blocks
  */
```

```
public static void setNumberOfBlocks(int number)
{
 singleton. setNumberOfBlocks(number);
}
//~--- methods -----
/**
 * Write the norm from a block to the global norm.
 * Oparam norm norm from the block
 * Oparam iteration iteration the block has finished
 * /
public static void writeNorm(double norm, int iteration)
{
  singleton. writeNorm(norm, iteration);
}
/ * *
 * Method description
 *
 *
 * Oparam number
 * /
private synchronized void _setNumberOfBlocks(int number)
1
  numberOfBlocks = number;
  counter = number;
  norm = 0.0;
  System.out.println("+++ GlobalVars.setNumberOfBlocks(" + number + ")");
}
/**
 * Method description
 *
 * @param norm
 * Oparam iteration
 */
private synchronized void _writeNorm(double norm, int iteration)
  if (counter != -1)
   {
    this.norm += norm;
    counter--;
    if (counter == 0)
     {
     norm /= numberOfBlocks;
     counter = numberOfBlocks;
      System.out.println("iteration = " + iteration + " norm = " + norm);
     }
   }
 }
 //~--- fields -----
 /** Field description */
private int counter = -1;
 /** Field description */
```

```
private double norm;
    /** Field description */
    private int numberOfBlocks;
}
```

}

D.3 LaplaceSolver3D.java

```
* LaplaceSolver3D.java
* Created on September 27, 2006, 10:14 PM
* To change this template, choose Tools | Template Manager
 * and open the template in the editor.
 */
package laplacesolver3d;
//~--- non-JDK imports -----
import hpcc.just.domain.JpBoundaryCondition;
import hpcc.just.domain.JpCell;
import hpcc.just.domain.JpDomain;
import hpcc.just.domain.structured.JpBlock;
import hpcc.just.share.JpMultiblockSolver;
import hpcc.just.share.JpSolverException;
                                     _____
//~--- JDK imports -----
import java.io.Serializable;
                     _____
//~--- classes -----
1 * *
 * A sample implementation of a 3D Laplace solver.
 * <br>
 * The execution sequence is:
 * 
    1. Constructor: creates an instance of the solver
     2. initSolver: initialize the solver with references to the framework
    3. setXX: setting all solver parameters from the startup.properties file
 ×
    4. postInitialization: computing/setting free stream conditions
     5. solve: loop until simulation is finished
 *
    6. finalizeSolver: after simulation is finished
 *
    7. getOutputVars: for creating an output file
 *
 * 
 * @author Thorsten Ludewig
 */
public class LaplaceSolver3D implements JpMultiblockSolver, Serializable
{
 /**
  * Creates a new instance of LaplaceSolver3D
  */
 public LaplaceSolver3D()
 {
```

```
}
//~--- methods -----
/**
 * This method will be executed after all computation is done.
 * /
public void finalizeSolver()
{
  System.out.println("*** finalizeSolver() block #"
                     + this.block.getBlockNumber());
}
//~--- get methods ------
/**
 * For the interactive steering a client application could use this method to
 * order specific data from the solver. In this case it always
 * returns <i>null<i>.
 * Oparam i A tag specified by the developer of a solver
 * @return the ordered data object
 */
public Object getDataObject(int i)
{
  return null;
}
/**
 * Returns an array with all face boundary conditions for this block.
 * In this sample it is not needed.
 * @return null
 * /
public JpBoundaryCondition[] getFaces()
  return null;
}
/**
 * getOutputVars must return an object with public fields
 * containing all vars for the solution output e.g TecPlot
 * output.
 * Oparam i is index for GRID I
 * @param j is index for GRID J
 * @param k is index for GRID K
 * @return the flow field vars object
 */
public Object getOutputVars(int i, int j, int k)
{
  FlowVars vars = (FlowVars) cells[i][j][k].getData();
  vars.add((FlowVars) cells[i][j + 1][k].getData());
  vars.add((FlowVars) cells[i][j][k + 1].getData());
  vars.add((FlowVars) cells[i][j + 1][k + 1].getData());
  vars.add((FlowVars) cells[i + 1][j][k].getData());
  vars.add((FlowVars) cells[i + 1][j + 1][k].getData());
  vars.add((FlowVars) cells[i + 1][j][k + 1].getData());
  vars.add((FlowVars) cells[i + 1][j + 1][k + 1].getData());
  vars.mul(0.125);
```

```
return vars;
}
//~--- methods -----
/**
 * Initialize the solver with the references to the framework
 * Oparam block reference to the block
 * Oparam nodeId the unique node id
 */
public void initSolver(JpDomain block, int nodeId)
  this.block = (JpBlock) block;
  this.cells = this.block.getCells();
  this.numberOfHaloCells = this.block.getNumberOfHaloCells();
  this.numberOfCells = (this.block.getGridI() - 1)
                       * (this.block.getGridJ() - 1)
                       * (this.block.getGridK() - 1);
  I = cells.length;
  J = cells[0].length;
  K = cells[0][0].length;
  Ie = cells.length - numberOfHaloCells;
  Je = cells[0].length - numberOfHaloCells;
  Ke = cells[0][0].length - numberOfHaloCells;
  if (this.block.getBlockNumber() == 1)
  {
    GlobalVars.setNumberOfBlocks(this.block.getBlockArray().length);
  }
}
/**
 * Is responsible for computing/setting free stream conditions
 */
public void postInitialization()
{
  try
  {
    ((SimpleBoundaryHandler) this.block.getBoundaryHandler())
      .setSimpleSolver3D(this);
    freeStreamConditions = new FlowVars();
    freeStreamConditions.mach = this.machNumber;
    // set free stream values over the hole solution domain
    for (int i = 0; i < I; i++)
    {
      for (int j = 0; j < J; j++)</pre>
      {
        for (int k = 0; k < K; k++)
         {
           ((SimpleCell2) cells[i][j][k]).u.set(freeStreamConditions);
    freeStreamConditions.mach = this.machNumber;
  }
```

```
catch (Exception e)
 {
   e.printStackTrace();
   System.exit(0);
 }
}
//~--- set methods ------
/**
 * For the interactive steering a client application could use this method to
 * set specific data at the solver.
 * Oparam i A tag specified by the developer of a solver
 * Oparam object data object
 */
public void setDataObject(int i, Object object)
{
}
/**
 * Sets the Mach number (solver parameter). It is called by the framework
 * during the processing of the startup.properties file.
 * @param machNumber the Mach number
 */
public void setMachNumber(double machNumber)
  this.machNumber = machNumber;
}
/**
 * Sets the maximum number of iteration (solver parameter).
 * It is called by the framework during the processing of the
 * startup.properties file.
 * Oparam maxIteration maximum number of iterations
 * /
public void setMaxIterations(int maxIteration)
{
  this.maxIteration = maxIteration;
}
//~--- methods ---
/**
 * The ,,main'' method of the solver. It will be executed until the return
 * value is <code>false</code>.
 * @param currentIteration the current iteration
 * @return break condition
 * @throws JpSolverException Throws an unexpected solver exception
 */
public boolean solve(int currentIteration) throws JpSolverException
{
  double avgNorm = 0.0;
  double norm;
  for (int i = numberOfHaloCells; i < Ie; i++)</pre>
    for (int j = numberOfHaloCells; j < Je; j++)</pre>
      for (int k = numberOfHaloCells; k < Ke; k++)</pre>
      {
```

```
norm = ((SimpleCell2) cells[i][j][k]).u.mach;
        ((SimpleCell2) cells[i][j][k]).u.mach =
          (((SimpleCell2) cells[i - 1][j][k]).u.mach
          + ((SimpleCell2) cells[i + 1][j][k]).u.mach
          + ((SimpleCell2) cells[i][j - 1][k]).u.mach
          + ((SimpleCell2) cells[i][j + 1][k]).u.mach
           + ((SimpleCell2) cells[i][j][k - 1]).u.mach
           + ((SimpleCell2) cells[i][j][k + 1]).u.mach) / 6.0;
       norm = Math.abs(norm - ((SimpleCell2) cells[i][j][k]).u.mach);
        avgNorm += norm;
     }
   }
  }
  avgNorm /= numberOfCells;
  GlobalVars.writeNorm(avgNorm, currentIteration);
 return currentIteration < maxIteration; // break condition</pre>
}
//~--- fields -----
/** Field description */
private int I;
/** Field description */
private int Ie;
/** Field description */
private int J;
/** Field description */
private int Je;
/** Field description */
private int K;
/** Field description */
private int Ke;
/** Field description */
private JpBlock block;
/** Field description */
private JpCell[][][] cells;
/**
 * The free stream condition information
 */
public FlowVars freeStreamConditions;
/**
 * The Mach number
 */
public double machNumber;
/** Field description */
private int maxIteration;
/** Field description */
private int numberOfCells;
```

```
/** Field description */
private int numberOfHaloCells;
}
```

D.4 Main.java

package laplacesolver3d;

```
/**
 * This Main class is only a wrapper for hpcc.just.app.cli.Main and a shortcut
 * for running from an IDE (Integrated Development Environment).
 * It is normally not necessary.
 * @author Thorsten Ludewig
 * @version 1.0
 * @see hpcc.just.app.cli.Main
 */
public class Main
{
  /**
   * The main method to start with the JVM
   * Oparam args the command line arguments
   */
  public static void main(String[] args)
  {
    System.out.println("*** LaplaceSolver3D ***");
    try
    {
      // Starting the real main method
     hpcc.just.app.cli.Main.main(args);
    }
    catch (Exception e)
    {
      e.printStackTrace();
      System.exit(0);
    }
  }
}
```

D.5 SimpleBoundaryConditions.java

```
/*
 * SimpleBoundaryConditions.java
 *
 * Created on September 27, 2006, 11:30 PM
 *
 *
 * To change this template, choose Tools | Template Manager
 * and open the template in the editor.
 */
```

```
package laplacesolver3d;
//~--- non-JDK imports -----
import hpcc.math.JpVector;
//~--- classes ------
/**
 * This class is implementing the different boundary conditions.
* @author Thorsten Ludewig
 */
public abstract class SimpleBoundaryCondition
{
  /** Field description */
 private final static SimpleBoundaryCondition singleton =
   new SimpleBoundaryCondition()
  {
   public void compute(SimpleCell2 cell, SimpleCell2 neighbor,
                      LaplaceSolver3D solver, JpVector normal)
    {
    }
  };
  /** Field description */
  private static Inflow bcInflow;
  /** Field description */
  private static Outflow bcOutflow;
  /** Field description */
  private static Wall bcWall;
  //~--- static initializers ------
  static
  {
   bcInflow = new Inflow();
   bcWall = new Wall();
   bcOutflow = new Outflow();
  }
  //~--- methods -----
  1 * *
   * This method computes the specific boundary condition. Because it is
   * abstract it must be filled out by a child class.
   * Oparam cell The current cell
   * @param neighbor the neighbour cell
   * Oparam solver the solver
   * Oparam normal the cell face normal vector
   */
 public abstract void compute(SimpleCell2 cell, SimpleCell2 neighbor,
                             LaplaceSolver3D solver, JpVector normal);
  //~--- get methods -----
  /**
   * This method is called by the boundary handler the result is an object for
   * the given type of the boundary condition.
```

* Oparam conditionName A String representing a boundary condition type/name

```
* @return An object computing the specified boundary condition.
   */
 public static SimpleBoundaryCondition getBoundaryCondition(
          String conditionName)
  {
    SimpleBoundaryCondition bc = singleton;
    if ("inflow".equals(conditionName))
    {
     bc = bcInflow;
    }
    else if ("wall".equals(conditionName))
    {
     bc = bcWall;
    else if ("outflow".equals(conditionName))
    {
      bc = bcOutflow;
    }
    return bc;
  }
}
/**
 * Implementation for the inflow boundary condition. It sets the free stream
 * conditions on the givens cell.
 * @author Thorsten Ludewig
 */
class Inflow extends SimpleBoundaryCondition
{
  /**
   * This method computes the specific boundary condition. Because it is
   * abstract it must be filled out by a child class.
  * Oparam cell The current cell
  * @param neighbor the neigbour cell
   * Oparam solver the solver
   * Oparam normal the cell face normal vector
   * /
  public void compute(SimpleCell2 cell, SimpleCell2 neighbor,
                      LaplaceSolver3D solver, JpVector normal)
  {
    cell.u.set(solver.freeStreamConditions);
  }
}
/**
 * Implementation for the outflow boundary condition.
 * @author Thorsten Ludewig
 */
class _Outflow extends SimpleBoundaryCondition
{
  /**
   * This method computes the specific boundary condition. Because it is
   * abstract it must be filled out by a child class.
   * @param cell The current cell
   * Oparam neighbor the neigbour cell
```

```
* Oparam solver the solver
  * Oparam normal the cell face normal vector
  */
 public void compute(SimpleCell2 cell, SimpleCell2 neighbor,
                      LaplaceSolver3D solver, JpVector normal)
   cell.u.set(neighbor.u);
 }
}
/**
 * Implementation for the wall boundary condition. In this case (Laplace with
 * Dirichlet boundary conditions) it sets the flow var to zero at the given
 * cell.
 * @author Thorsten Ludewig
 */
class Wall extends SimpleBoundaryCondition
{
 /**
  * This method computes the specific boundary condition. Because it is
   * abstract it must be filled out by a child class.
   * Oparam cell The current cell
   * Oparam neighbor the neigbour cell
   * Oparam solver the solver
   * Oparam normal the cell face normal vector
   */
 public void compute(SimpleCell2 cell, SimpleCell2 neighbor,
                      LaplaceSolver3D solver, JpVector normal)
  {
    cell.u.setZero();
   // cell.u.set( neighbor.u );
  }
}
```

D.6 SimpleBoundaryHandler.java

```
/*
 * SimpleBoundaryHandler.java
 *
 * Created on September 28, 2006, 9:48 AM
 *
 * To change this template, choose Tools | Template Manager
 * and open the template in the editor.
 */
package laplacesolver3d;
//~--- non-JDK imports ------
import hpcc.just.domain.JpBoundaryCondition;
import hpcc.just.domain.JpCell;
import hpcc.just.domain.JpFace;
```

```
import hpcc.just.domain.structured.JpBlock;
```

```
//~--- classes -----
/**
 * The SimpleBoundaryHandler class is responsible for setting the boundary
* conditions.
 * Cauthor Thorsten Ludewig
 */
public class SimpleBoundaryHandler
       implements hpcc.just.domain.JpBoundaryHandler
{
  /**
   * Creates a new instance of SimpleBoundaryHandler
   */
  public SimpleBoundaryHandler()
  {
  }
  //~--- methods -----
  /**
   * Initialization of this class will be executed by the JUSTGrid framework.
   * Oparam jpBlock the parent block reference
   */
  public void init(JpBlock jpBlock)
    System.out.println("Init BoundaryHandler for block "
                      + jpBlock.getBlockNumber());
    block = jpBlock;
  }
  //~--- set methods -----
  /**
   * Sets the face boundary condition information for this block
   * @param jpBoundaryCondition the boundary conditions
   */
  public void setFaces(JpBoundaryCondition[] jpBoundaryCondition)
   {
    System.out.println(">> setFaces BoundaryHandler ");
   }
   /**
   * Sets the reference to the Laplace solver.
   * @param solver the parent solver
   */
  public void setSimpleSolver3D(LaplaceSolver3D solver)
    this.solver = solver;
    this.cells = this.block.getCells();
    I = cells.length;
    J = cells[0].length;
    K = cells[0][0].length;
    this.numberOfHaloCells = this.block.getNumberOfHaloCells();
   //~--- methods -----
  /**
   * Before every single compute iteration this method will be executed by the
```

232

```
* JUSTGrid framework. For this sample the type is not necessary.
 * Oparam type The type of the boundary update.
 */
public void updateBoundaryConditions(int type)
  // System.out.println( ">> updateBoundaryConditions BoundaryHandler " );
  try
  {
    for (int face = 1; face <= this.block.NUMBER OF FACES; face++)</pre>
    {
      String boundaryCondition =
        this.block.getFace(face).getFacePart(1).getBoundaryCondition();
      SimpleBoundaryCondition bc =
        SimpleBoundaryCondition.getBoundaryCondition(boundaryCondition);
      switch (face)
      {
        case 1 : // K-min
          for (int i = 0; i < I; i++)
          {
            for (int j = 0; j < J; j++)
             {
              for (int h = 0; h < numberOfHaloCells; h++)</pre>
               {
                 bc.compute((SimpleCell2) cells[i][j][h],
                            (SimpleCell2) cells[i][j][h + 1], solver,
                            cells[i][j][h].getFaceVector(JpFace.K MAX));
               }
             }
          }
          break;
        case 2 : // J-min
          for (int i = 0; i < I; i++)</pre>
           {
            for (int k = 0; k < K; k++)
             {
              for (int h = 0; h < numberOfHaloCells; h++)</pre>
               {
                 bc.compute((SimpleCell2) cells[i][h][k],
                             (SimpleCell2) cells[i][h + 1][k], solver,
                            cells[i][h][k].getFaceVector(JpFace.J MAX));
               }
             }
           }
          break;
        case 3 : // I-min
          for (int j = 0; j < J; j++)</pre>
           1
            for (int k = 0; k < K; k++)
               for (int h = 0; h < numberOfHaloCells; h++)</pre>
                 bc.compute((SimpleCell2) cells[h][j][k],
                             (SimpleCell2) cells[h + 1][j][k], solver,
                            cells[h][j][k].getFaceVector(JpFace.I MAX));
```

```
}
    }
  }
 break;
case 4 : // I-max
  for (int j = 0; j < J; j++)
  {
    for (int k = 0; k < K; k++)
    {
      for (int h = 0; h < numberOfHaloCells; h++)</pre>
      {
        bc.compute(
            (SimpleCell2) cells[I - 1 - h][j][k],
            (SimpleCell2) cells[I - 2 - h][j][k], solver,
            cells[I - 1 - h][j][k].getFaceVector(JpFace.I MIN));
      }
    }
  }
  break;
case 5 : // J-max
  for (int i = 0; i < I; i++)
  {
    for (int k = 0; k < K; k++)
    {
      for (int h = 0; h < numberOfHaloCells; h++)</pre>
      {
        bc.compute(
             (SimpleCell2) cells[i][J - 1 - h][k],
             (SimpleCell2) cells[i][J - 2 - h][k], solver,
             cells[i][J - 1 - h][k].getFaceVector(JpFace.J_MIN));
      }
    }
  }
  break;
case 6 : // K-Max
  for (int i = 0; i < I; i++)</pre>
  {
    for (int j = 0; j < J; j++)
    {
      for (int h = 0; h < numberOfHaloCells; h++)</pre>
      {
        bc.compute(
             (SimpleCell2) cells[i][j][K - 1 - h],
             (SimpleCell2) cells[i][j][K - 2 - h], solver,
             cells[i][j][K - 1 - h].getFaceVector(JpFace.K MIN));
      }
    }
  }
  break;
default :
  System.err.println("Unknown face");
  System.exit(0);
```

```
}
    }
  }
 catch (Exception e)
 {
   e.printStackTrace();
  }
}
//~--- fields ------
/** Field description */
private int I;
/** Field description */
private int J;
/** Field description */
private int K;
/** Field description */
private JpBlock block;
/** Field description */
private JpCell[][][] cells;
/** Field description */
private int numberOfHaloCells;
/** Field description */
private LaplaceSolver3D solver;
```

D.7 SimpleCell.java

}

```
17
 * SimpleCell.java
 * Created on September 27, 2006, 9:51 PM
 *
 * To change this template, choose Tools | Template Manager
 * and open the template in the editor.
 */
package laplacesolver3d;
/**
* SimpleCell represents a single cell in the solution domain.
 * @author Thorsten Ludewig
 */
public class SimpleCell2 extends hpcc.just.domain.JpCell
{
  /**
   * Creates a new instance of SimpleCell
   */
 public SimpleCell2()
  {
```

```
u = new FlowVars();
 }
 //~--- get methods -----
 /**
 * This method must return an object containing all boundary exchange
 * information for this cell.
 * @return the exchange information
 */
 public Object getData()
 {
 return u.clone();
 }
 //~--- set methods ------
 /**
  * This method sets all information from a boundary exchange.
  * Oparam data the neighbouring cell information
 */
 public void setData(Object data)
 {
 u = (FlowVars) data;
 }
 //~--- fields -----
 /**
  * All flow vars the so called U-vector
 * /
 public FlowVars u;
}
```

D.8 JUSTGRID source code statistics

	lines of code	files	packages	methods
JUSTGrid framework	21281	98	28	565
GRXMonoblock 2D	7817	45	35	362
GRXMonoblock 3D	5232	35	15	236
GRX 2D	4276	18	7	115
GRX 3D	5512	26	8	144
Showme 3D (V/VT)	10735	70	8	313
ControlCenter	1674	6	1	51
CLI	795	3	1	20
Samples	10169	43	12	421
Tests	7034	33	9	247
Solver Fuler 3D	1429	8	1	46
Solver Laplace 3D	1003	7	1	40
Sum	76957	392	<u>126</u>	2560

E JUSTCube



Bibliography

- TRI00: Tristram, Clair, Supercomputing Resurrected, MIT Technology Review, 2003
- HPPR: Heinz-Otto Peitgen, Peter H. Richter, *The Beauty of Fractals. Images of Complex Dynamical Systems*, 1986, Springer, Berlin, 978-3540158516
- SHA01: Shang J.S., Resent Research in Magneto-aerodynamics, Progress in Aerospace, 2001
- SHA02: Shang J.S., Shared Knowledge in Computational Fluid dynamics, electromagnetics, and Magneto-aerodynamics, Progress in Aerospace,2002
- BAT01: Batten, N. Clarke, C. Lambert and D. Causon, *On the choice of wave Speeds for the HLLC Rieman Solver*, Sci. Phys,1988
- TRR01: M. Torrilhon, *Exact Solver and Uniqueness Conditions for Riemann Problem of Ideal Magnetohydrodynamics*, Eidgenoessische Technishe Hochshule,2002
- PBU01: Pamela Walatka, Pieter Buning, Larry Pierce, Patricia Elson, *PLOT3D User's Guide*, 1990,NASA,NASA TM 101067
- GRP01: Program Development Company, Home page, 2006, www.gridpro.com
- HAU01: Häuser, J., Ludewig, Th., Gollnick, T., Winkelmann, R., Williams, R., D., Muylaert, J., Spel, M., A Pure Java Parallel Flow Solver,37th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit,Reno, Nevada, USA,January, 11-14,1999,AIAA-1999-0549
- TPL01: Tecplot Inc., Home Page, 2006, www.tecplot.com
- HAU02: Häuser, J., Ludewig, T., Williams, Roy D., Winkelmann, R., Gollnick, T., Brunett, S.,
 Muylaert, J., NASA Panel Java Soundbytes, 5th National Symposium on Large-Scale
 Analysis, Design and Intelligent Synthesis Environments, Williamsburg, VA,
 USA, October, 12-15, 1999,
- HAU03: Häuser, J., Ludewig, T., Williams, Roy D., Winkelmann, R., Gollnick, T., Brunett, S.,
 Muylaert, J., A Test Suite for High Performance Parallel Java, 5th National Symposium on Large-Scale Analysis, Design and Intelligent Synthesis Environments, Williamsburg, VA, USA, October, 12-15, 1999,
- HAU04: Häuser, J., Ludewig, T., Williams, Roy D., Winkelmann, R., Gollnick, T., Brunett, S., Muylaert, J., *A Test Suite for High Performance Parallel Java*, Advances in Engineering Software, 2000
- HAU05: Häuser, J., Ludewig, T., Gollnick, T., Williams, Roy D., Javagrid: An Innovative Software for HPCC, A Paper for Computational Fluid Dynamics Conference, Swansea, UK, September, 2001,

- HAU06: Häuser, J., Ludewig, T., Paap, H.-G., Muylaert, J.-M., Numerical Modeling of Divergence Constraints for MHD Equations on Curvilinear Grids, Proceedings of MASCOT 07, 7th Meeting on applied scientific computing and tools, Roma, Italy, 13-14 September, 2007, ISSN 1098-870X
- LUD01: Ludewig, T., Häuser, J., Gollnick, T., Paap, H.-G, JUST GRID: A Pure Java HPCC Grid Architecture for Multi-Physics Solvers using Complex Geometries,42nd AIAA Aerospace Science Meeting and Exhibit,Reno, Nevada, USA,January, 5-8,2004,AIAA-2004-1091
- LUD02: Ludewig, T., Häuser, J., Gollnick, T., Dai, W., Paap, H., A Java Based High Performance Solver for Hierachical Parallel Computer Architectures,43rd AIAA Aerospace Science Meeting and Exhibit,Reno, Nevada, USA,January, 11-13,2005,AIAA-2005-1383
- LUD03: Ludewig, T., Papadopulus, P., Häuser, J., Gollnick, T., Dai, W., Muylaert, J.-M., Paap,
 H., JUSTGrid A Pure Java HPCC Grid Architecture for Multi-Physics Solvers
 Performance and efficiency results from various Java solvers.,45th AIAA Aerospace
 Science Meeting and Exhibit,Reno, Nevada, USA,January, 8-11,2007,AIAA-2007-1112
- TOR01: E., F., Toro, *Rienmann Solvers and Numerical Methods for Fluids Dynamics*, 1999, Springer,
- FOS01: Foster, Ian, *The Grid: Computing without Bounds, Scientific American*, Scientific American, 2003
- FOS02: Foster, Ian, *The Grid: Blueprint for a new Computing Infrastructure*, Morgan Kaufmann, 1999
- GIN01: Ginsberg, M., Häuser, J., Moreira, J.E., Morgan, R., Parsons, J.C., Wielenga, T.J., *Future Directions and Challenges for Java Implementations of Numeric-Intensive Industrial Applications*, Elsevier,2000
- HOR01: Horstman, Cay S., Cornell, G., *Core JAVA, Volume I-Fundamentals*, 2000,Prentice Hall,
- HOR02: Horstman, Cay S., Cornell, G., *Core JAVA, Volume II-Advanced Features*, 2000,Prentice Hall,
- MOR01: Moreira, J.E., S. P. Midkiff, M. Gupta, *A Comparison of Java, C/C++, and Fortran for Numerical Computing*,IBM ,2002,IBM Research Report RC 21255
- MOR02: Moreira, J.E., S. P. Midkiff, M. Gupta, *From Flop to Megaflop: Java for Technical Computing*,IBM ,2002,IBM Research Report RC 21166

- SCI01: Scientific Computing World, *The Need for Software*, Scientific Computing World, 2000
- WIN01: Winkelmann, R., Häuser J., Williams R.D, Strategies for Parallel and Numerical Scalability of CFD Codes, Comp. Meth. Appl. Mech. Engng., NH-Elsevier, 1999
- TTH01: G. Toth, *The Divergence B = 0 Constraint in Shock-Capturing Magnetohydrodynamics Codes*, Journal of Computational Physics,2000

Alphabetical Index

Brio-Wu
CFD5, 7, 12p., 21, 25p., 40, 47, 60p., 63, 111, 113, 116, 121, 129, 152, 241, 243
Client6, 11, 28, 34, 48pp., 54, 57pp., 71, 78, 142, 185, 191, 196, 209p., 212, 224, 226
Communication3, 6, 10, 26pp., 34, 40, 42, 49, 51, 55, 111, 116, 118, 121, 147, 153, 169, 172p., 175
Concurrent
Distributed
Dynamic linking
Fortran
GRX
GRX Monoblock
GRX2D11, 65p.
GRX3D 11, 13, 66, 115
Java APIs
Java 3D
Media Framework
MHD6p., 12pp., 21, 25, 40, 81pp., 91p., 95, 97, 100p., 123pp., 141, 148, 242
Brio-Wu7, 123
Riemann
Multiphysics
Parallel3, 5, 7p., 10p., 14, 21, 27pp., 40, 42, 47, 59p <i>.,</i> 99, 107, 116, 118, 121, 128pp <i>.</i> , 133, 136, 139, 144p., 147, 241pp.
Portability
Quantum Mechanics
Riemann
RMI - Remote Method Invocation5, 9, 12, 19, 25, 28, 32pp., 47, 50p., 57, 72, 74, 76, 89, 97p., 100p., 128, 147, 149, 153p.
Server
Solver
Euler
Laplace7, 9, 12p., 15, 111p., 115, 117pp., 148p., 157, 187, 191, 203p., 207pp., 213pp., 219,

##