Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Heliyon



journal homepage: www.cell.com/heliyon

Heterogeneity in corporate payouts

Adhiraj Sodhi^{*}, Aleksandar Stojanovic

The Business School, University of Greenwich, London, UK

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
<i>Keywords:</i> Dividends Repurchases Determinants	In this paper we empirically investigate dividends and repurchases of the UK, and the overarching pattern reveals that their determinants are more driven by payout size than they are by payout type. The overall corporate payout policy is influenced by the operating performance and tax framework. Aggregately, the determinants of dividends and repurchases are heterogenous, and for each payout's individual testing the determinants have shown varying influences when controlled for payout size; small, medium and large. Comparatively, the determinants of dividends and repurchases of small size show homogeneity, while those of medium and large sizes exhibit heterogeneity. From a variable-specific perspective, aggregately dividends are positively influenced by asset holdings and ROA, and negatively influenced by independent directions and EPS. While aggregately repurchases are positively influenced by debt exposure and negatively influenced by M/B Batio.

1. Introduction

CelPress

Dividends and repurchases are two sides of the same corporate payout coin, and when firms decide that cash must be distributed amongst shareholders, they can choose either or both. The US is the world leader in repurchases, but the UK too has seen a rise in relative popularity that makes it second in the world [1,2]. Despite the two countries fully legalising open market repurchases around the same time, the UK in 1981 [3] and US in 1982 [4], by 2000 the repurchase-dividend ratio in the US increased from 13 % to over 110 % [5], while from 1989 to 2005 the ratio in the UK increased from 14 % to over 50 % [6]. The proportion of firms opting for repurchases in the UK increased from 4 % in 1992 to 15 % in 2004 [7]. Following this, the upward trend is witnessed in absolute terms [8] with annual value-level peaks of £33bn seen in 2006, again in 2018, and surpassing £55bn in 2022, which is still less than the £83bn worth of dividends expected to be distributed in 2023. In the UK the two payouts are viewed as independent from each other instead of being in a predatory relationship to replace each other [9,10,11]. These are voluminous payouts undertaken in a country that houses Europe's largest stock market [12], is the continent's second largest economy [13], and is one of the top OECD countries with respect to the level of corporate payouts [14], thus making it prime for an empirical examination.

It is arguable that if repurchases values are rising, then these are funded by diverting cash that would otherwise finance, and potentially increase, dividend distributions. However, the inherent cash reserves of British firms are also increasing, which enables optimal financing for both payouts. From 1994 to 2013, their reserves have jumped by 50 % [15], and between March 2019 to May 2021 the absolute value has increased from £20bn to £109bn [16].

In terms of the dissimilarity between the payouts' fundamentals, dividends are negatively related to information asymmetry [17] while repurchases are positively related [18] and hence more able to offset information bias [19]. Furthermore, repurchases have

* Corresponding author. *E-mail addresses:* adhiraj.sodhi@greenwich.ac.uk (A. Sodhi), a.stojanovic@greenwich.ac.uk (A. Stojanovic).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e23270

Received 18 October 2023; Received in revised form 18 November 2023; Accepted 29 November 2023

Available online 6 December 2023

^{2405-8440/© 2023} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

certain advantages over dividends, such as being commitment-free [7], the ability to optimise the capital structure [20], and offsetting dilution due to employee compensation schemes triggering stock options [9]. The preference for the payouts differs due to factors such as the type of stakeholder and company-specific conditions. Blockholders in general are against undertaking any kind of payout to avoid cash shortages [7], and CEOs share this viewpoint but only for dividends [21]. However, dividends also cater to a specific class of investors that are looking for steady income, such as pension funds [22]. Further, post-IPO firms are more likely to commence their payout policy with repurchases [23]. This is attributable to repurchases being a safe investment outlet, and the opportunity for early investors to harvest capital gains. Dividend-led initiation is attributable to the market putting a dividend-expectation-premium on the share price, which is less likely for a young PLC.

Despite being dissimilar in their nature of delivering cash to shareholders and being independent in the UK, since they deliver the same function using cash from the same entity, they will always have some philosophical similarities. Both are largely pro-cyclical in nature [24,25,26,27,28], with some evidence that banking sector dividends [29] and tender offer repurchases [30] are counter-cyclical, which complements the ability of dividends to signal a positive earnings future [31] and repurchase's ability of adjusting the EPS [32]. They are also similar in terms of having the signalling ability of adjusting the stock valuation [33,34], and the ability of distributing surplus cash to limit agency conflicts [35,36].

Hence, given the combination of their foundational similarities which cannot be fully disentangled, and the variance in their practical applications, we investigate both payouts for the UK over a 30year period (1985–2014). Potential heterogeneity in their determinants is the empirical cornerstone, which is assessed using a set of 10 hypotheses. We first check if there is heterogeneity within each payout when we control for their size, Small (25th percentile), Medium (50th percentile) and Large (75th percentile), and then compare the payouts against each other as well. Our uniqueness lays in the reliance of investigating heterogeneity using a combination of Tobit and Quantile Regression methodologies over a 30year period using an array of 10 independent variables, which in combination proxy firm-level traits, financial performance and the tax framework. Our findings contribute to extant literature by establishing that heterogeneity in the determinants of corporate payouts is primarily a function of payout size, and secondarily of payout type. Aggregately, the determinants of dividends and repurchases are heterogenous. A transitionary change in the determinants' influence within the payout is seen as size increases. When the two payouts are compared, the determinants of small size dividends and repurchases are homogenous, making them substitutable, and heterogeneity becomes visible as the payout size increases to medium and large, making them independent and non-substitutable.

2. Data

Our testing compares dividends and repurchases, and we begin by compiling firms that have undertaken both payouts. We procured data by purchasing it from Alacra Inc, a data vendor affiliated with Thomson Reuters who gathered the information for us from the SDC Platinum database. This included a list of open market repurchase announcing firms in the UK from 1985 to 2014. In total there were 419 initial announcements, and we only use these due to them being more information enriched compared to the regulatory mandated transacitonary announcements or shareholder reapproval announcements [37]. For building the independent variables, firm-level data was manually extracted from annual filings, and taxation data was acquired from HMRC and general government archives. Due to gaps in historical data, we were unable to locate the accounts for 59 announcements, the sample has reduced to 360 announcements and this highlights key traits about the firms' payout behaviour. From the summary of the payouts in Table 1, only 13 % firms reported a net loss and 11 % firms avoided dividend distributions in the most recent annual filings. However, 84 % of the repurchases exceeded the most recent annual dividends distribution. Thus, it is possible that dividends are only partially curtailed to fund an upcoming repurchase. The average number of outstanding shares intended for repurchase is 9.90 %, which is similar to the median, thus indicating an even distribution. This number is also similar to that seen in the past [38]. In Table 2 we summarise the independent variables used in the empirical testing.

Lower leverage positions have driven dividends [39,40] and repurchases [9,41] in the UK, hence we include *Debt Ratio*. The size of a company has often fuelled the distributions of dividends [40] and repurchase undertaking [42], hence we include *Firm Size*.

Table 1

Panel I: Key Sample Characteristics				
Firms with Negative Net Profit	No (%):		45 (13)	
Non-Dividend Payers Prior to Repurchase Announcements	No (%):		39 (11)	
Repurchases Greater than Dividends	No (%):		301 (84)	
Panel II: Sample Payout characteristics				
		Full Sample	25th Percentile	75th Percentile
Total Payout (£mn)	Mean	661.33	2.49	2495.69
	Median	33.68	2.03	914.71
Dividend Value (£mn)	Mean	197.90	0.19	757.52
	Median	7.00	0.09	241.95
Repurchase Value (£mn)	Mean	463.43	1.50	1753.29
	Median	26.08	1.28	618.31
% Shares being Repurchased	Mean	9.90	3.30	14.99

(1)

(2)

Table 2
Definition of the independent variables

Variable	Description
Debt Ratio	Ratio of the total book value of debt to the book value of shareholder equity
Firm Size	Natural logarithm of total £mn book value of assets
Board	Fraction of independent directors in the board
M/B Ratio	Ratio of the company's market value to the book value
ROA	Net profit relative to total asset value
EPS	£ net profit per outstanding ordinary share
Rep Tax	Higher capital gains tax rate
Div Tax	Higher dividend tax rate
Tax Interaction	Product of the Rep Tax and Div Tax (Interaction Variable)
Tax Friendly	Binary, which takes the value '1' if repurchases are tax friendlier than dividend

Table	3
-------	---

Corporato	novout	tov	rator
	Davour	103	10153

Effective From	Dividend Tax	Effective From	Capital Gains Tax
1981	15 %	1981	30 %
1993	25 %	1988	10 %-40 %
1999 up to 2014	25 %-30.60 %	2008	18 %
		2012 up to 2014	18 %-28 %

Investment is not compromised for undertaking repurchases and dividend distribution are sticky in order to provide consistency in cash streams to shareholders [43] and since shareholders approve repurchases [44], and dividends curb agency conflicts [36], to test the influence of independent directors we include *Board*. British firms have shown to use dividends and repurchases to signal a positive corporate financial future [32,45], thus we include *M/B Ratio* as well. Complementary to this, we capture the effect of profitability by using *ROA* and *EPS*. The former has shown to drive the payouts [7], while the latter determines dividends [45] and is cited by British managers as a metric targeted for inflating using repurchases [32]. The tax framework in the UK has been ever changing, with progressive increases in tax levied on dividends, and reductions in tax levied on capital gains yielded from repurchases. Upon looking at government archives, we have tabulated these rates in Table 3, which reveal that over the tested period we start with dividends being twice more tax friendlier than repurchases and end with repurchases being tax friendlier than dividends. A tax code is efficient if it does not tax payouts when they originate in the companies (such as withholding tax) [46], and the UK follows this approach. Combining these two aspects, we employ four proxies to test the tax code's influence, which will smooth out any ambiguity due to fluctuations; *Rep Tax*, Div *Tax*, *Tax Interaction*, and *Tax Friendly*.

3. Hypotheses and methodology

3.1. Determinants of corporate payouts

Our aim is to check the presence of heterogeneity in the determinants of corporate payouts, and we address this from three dimension, which are quantified using specific hypotheses. The first dimension is testing if the determinants of aggregate dividends and repurchases are heterogenous (H1), and we employ Tobit regression (Equations (1) and (2)) by left censoring at 0 %, a common approach used by past corporate payout studies [9,20].

- H10. = There is homogeneity between the determinants of aggregate dividends and repurchases
- H11. = There is heterogeneity between the determinants of aggregate dividends and repurchases

$$Dividends_{i,y} = \beta_1 \text{Debt Ratio}_{y-1} + \beta_2 \text{Firm Size}_{i,y-1} + \beta_3 \text{Board}_{i,y-1} + \beta_4 \text{M/B Ratio}_{y-1} + \beta_5 \text{ROA}_{y-1} + \beta_6 \text{EPS}_{y-1} + \beta_7 \text{Rep Tax}_{y-1} + \beta$$

 $+\beta_8 Div Tax_y + +\beta_9 Tax Interaction_y + \beta_{10} Tax Friendly_y + \epsilon_{i,y}$

$$Repurchases_{i,y} = \beta_1 Debt Ratio_{y-1} + \beta_2 Firm Size_{i,y-1} + \beta_3 Board_{i,y-1} + \beta_4 M/B Ratio_{y-1} + \beta_5 ROA_{y-1} + \beta_6 EPS_{y-1} + \beta_7 Rep Tax_{y-1} + \beta_4 Rep Tax_{y-1} + \beta_4$$

 $+\beta_8$ Div Tax_y+ $+\beta_9$ Tax Interaction_y+ β_{10} Tax Friendly_y+ $\varepsilon_{i,y}$

Dividends is the ratio of the ordinary dividends to the net profit,¹ Repurchases is the ratio of the % outstanding shares intended for

¹ We use this metric since dividends are annually determined by the company's net profitability.

Table 4	
Determinants of dividend	financing: Aggregate.

4

	Ι	II	Ш	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Х	XI	XII
Debt Ratio	-0.021 (-1.23)	-0.015	-0.022 (-1.29)	-0.016	-0.020	-0.015	-0.020	-0.015	-0.022	-0.016	-0.020 (-1.22)	-0.015
		(-0.91)		(-0.95)	(-1.20)	(-0.92)	(-1.18)	(-0.88)	(-1.28)	(-0.94)		(-0.88)
Firm Size	0.080***	0.093***	0.084***	0.095***	0.084***	0.095***	0.080***	0.093***	0.086***	0.096***	0.080***	0.092***
	(3.59)	(3.94)	(3.69)	(3.98)	(3.71)	(4.01)	(3.59)	(3.91)	(3.78)	(4.03)	(3.52)	(3.87)
Board	-0.607***	-0.048**	-0.569***	-0.465*	-0.556**	-0.423*	-0.592**	-0.467**	-0.517**	-0.433*	-0.610***	-0.490**
	(-2.61)	(-2.03)	(-2.41)	(-1.95)	(-2.35)	(-1.75)	(-2.54)	(-1.97)	(-2.16)	(-1.78)	(-2.59)	(-2.05)
M/B Ratio	0.0008 (0.11)	-0.001	0.001 (0.15)	-0.0008	0.0004 (0.05)	-0.0009	0.0007 (0.09)	-0.001	0.001 (0.20)	-0.001	0.0008 (0.11)	-0.001
		(-0.12)		(-0.11)		(-0.12)		(-0.14)		(-0.13)		(-0.14)
ROA		1.092**		1.067**		1.043**		1.093**		1.032**		1.105**
		(2.35)		(2.28)		(2.23)		(2.35)		(2.20)		(2.37)
EPS		-0.176*		-0.174*		-0.155		-0.168*		-0.153		-0.179*
		(-1.89)		(-1.87)		(-1.64)		(-1.78)		(-1.62)		(-1.91)
Rep Tax			1.022 (1.03)	0.562 (0.54)					9.537 (0.85)	8.779 (0.78)		
Div Tax					-1.403	-1.468			10.363 (0.71)	9.846 (0.67)		
					(-1.22)	(-1.21)						
Tax Interaction							-2.368	-1.997	-31.000	-28.866		
							(-0.85)	(-0.71)	(-0.83)	(-0.77)		
Tax Friendly											0.012 (0.08)	0.049 (0.30)
Constant	-0.328 (-1.10)	-0.596*	-0.781 (-1.47)	-0.840	-0.048	-0.284	-0.109	-0.406	-3.742	-3.744	-0.324 (-1.07)	-0.584*
		(-1.88)		(-1.53)	(-0.13)	(-0.70)	(-0.28)	(-0.98)	(-0.84)	(-0.85)		(-1.83)
Pseudo R ²	0.019	0.029	0.020	0.029	0.020	0.031	0.019	0.030	0.024	0.038	0.019	0.029

Superscripts state the level of statistical significance = 1 % (***), 5 % (**) and 10 % (*), t-statistics are stated in the parenthesis.

Table 5 Determinants of c	lividend financi	ng: Size-specifi	c.												
Panel I: Small Siz	'anel I: Small Sized														
	I	II	Ш	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Х	XI	XII			
Debt Ratio	-0.019*** (-3.23)	-0.014*** (-2.58)	-0.019*** (-3.52)	-0.015*** (-2.95)	-0.014** (-2.51)	-0.020*** (-3.51)	-0.017*** (-3.04)	-0.014** (-2.22)	-0.014*** (-2.77)	-0.023*** (-3.98)	-0.019*** (-3.39)	-0.015*** (-2.60)			
Firm Size	0.049*** (6.48)	0.048*** (6.25)	0.048*** (6.65)	0.047*** (6.48)	0.041*** (5.42)	0.042*** (5.47)	0.048*** (6.34)	0.047*** (5.36)	0.040*** (5.96)	0.041*** (5.26)	0.048*** (6.37)	0.046*** (5.69)			
Board	-0.356*** (-4.54)	-0.344*** (-4.42)	-0.367*** (-4.94)	-0.350*** (-4.80)	-0.359*** (-4.52)	-0.331*** (-4.20)	-0.351*** (-4.52)	-0.335*** (-3.79)	-0.344*** (-4.85)	-0.342*** (-4.28)	-0.370*** (-4.83)	-0.353*** (-4.36)			
M/B Ratio ROA	0.002 (0.89)	0.002 (0.74) 0.188*** (3.63)	0.002 (1.07)	0.002 (0.89) 0.167*** (3.38)	0.001 (0.71)	0.002 (1.11) 0.142*** (2.73)	0.002 (0.82)	0.001 (0.60) 0.162*** (2.77)	0.001 (0.77)	0.003 (1.33) 0.177*** (2.63)	0.002 (1.02)	0.002 (0.78) 0.171*** (3.22)			
EPS		-0.053** (-1.98)		-0.037 (-1.51)		-0.014 (-0.54)		-0.035 (-1.15)		-0.021 (-0.78)		-0.029 (-1.05)			
Rep Tax			0.488 (1.63)	0.511* (1.71)					0.546 (1.21)	-0.466 (-0.73)					
Div Tax					-1.182*** (-2.98)	-1.148*** (-2.93)			-0.806 (-1.36)	-2.082** (-2.54)					
Tax Interaction							-1.467 (-1.54)	-1.133 (-1.05)	-1.061 (-0.65)	2.730 (1.23)					
Tax Friendly											-0.095* (-1.76)	-0.089 (-1.61)			
Constant	-0.270*** (-2.63)	-0.252** (-2.48)	-0.422*** (-2.60)	-0.430*** (-2.69)	0.138 (1.05)	0.104 (0.81)	-0.109 (-0.81)	-0.137 (-0.88)	-0.062 (-0.30)	0.275 (1.02)	-0.223** (-2.23)	-0.211** (-2.01)			
Pseudo R ²	0.085 Sized	0.091	0.088	0.095	0.093	0.101	0.087	0.092	0.099	0.103	0.087	0.094			
i unci ii, inculuiii	I	П	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	x	XI	XII			

	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Х	XI	XII
Debt Ratio	-0.008	-0.009*	-0.008*	-0.009*	-0.009	-0.009	-0.008	-0.012^{**}	-0.008	-0.009	-0.008	-0.009
	(-1.62)	(-1.68)	(-1.67)	(-1.71)	(-1.61)	(-1.62)	(-1.61)	(-2.04)	(-1.47)	(-1.60)	(-1.58)	(-1.58)
Firm Size	0.029***	0.031***	0.027***	0.032***	0.030***	0.033***	0.028***	0.031***	0.029***	0.031***	0.029***	0.031***
	(4.18)	(4.14)	(4.06)	(4.19)	(4.05)	(4.16)	(4.07)	(3.95)	(3.72)	(3.98)	(4.02)	(3.87)
Board	-0.543***	-0.434***	-0.460***	-0.433^{***}	-0.485***	-0.416***	-0.520***	-0.434***	-0.425^{***}	-0.383^{***}	-0.543***	-0.434***
	(-7.62)	(-5.70)	(-6.51)	(-5.60)	(-6.25)	(-5.05)	(-7.33)	(-5.48)	(-5.25)	(-4.74)	(-7.32)	(-5.32)
M/B Ratio	0.002 (1.00)	0.002 (1.07)	0.002 (1.07)	0.002 (1.07)	0.002 (0.98)	0.002 (0.99)	0.002 (1.01)	0.003 (1.18)	0.002 (0.90)	0.002 (1.02)	0.002 (0.97)	0.002 (1.01)
ROA		0.042 (0.84)		0.043 (0.82)		0.084 (1.55)		0.044 (0.83)		0.073 (1.07)		0.042 (0.80)
EPS		-0.049*		-0.049*		-0.044		-0.044		-0.045		-0.049*
		(-1.87)		(-1.86)		(-1.58)		(-1.60)		(-1.62)		(-1.76)
Rep Tax			0.353 (1.24)	0.465 (1.47)					0.545 (1.06)	0.266 (0.41)		
Div Tax					-0.556	-0.731*			-0.266	-0.857		
					(-1.43)	(-1.78)			(-0.39)	(-1.03)		
Tax Interaction							-0.747	-0.798	-1.041	0.331 (0.15)		
							(-0.86)	(-0.83)	(-0.56)			
Tax Friendly											0.013 (0.27)	-0.001
												(-0.02)
Constant	0.235**	0.181*	0.082 (0.54)	-0.014	0.338***	0.321**	0.313**	0.262*	0.141 (0.60)	0.227 (0.83)	0.235**	0.181*
	(2.52)	(1.83)		(-0.08)	(2.64)	(2.36)	(2.55)	(1.89)			(2.42)	(1.71)
Pseudo R ²	0.047	0.054	0.049	0.055	0.049	0.057	0.048	0.055	0.052	0.058	0.047	0.054

Panel III: Large Si	Panel III: Large Sized												
	Ι	п	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Х	XI	XII	
Debt Ratio	-0.003	-0.005	-0.005	-0.005	-0.0004	-0.004	-0.0004	-0.002	-0.001	-0.002	-0.002	-0.003	
	(-0.32)	(-0.52)	(-0.45)	(-0.50)	(-0.05)	(-0.42)	(-0.04)	(-0.21)	(-0.15)	(-0.20)	(-0.26)	(-0.29)	
Firm Size	0.018	0.027**	0.025*	0.027* (1.92)	0.017 (1.27)	0.024* (1.88)	0.017 (1.15)	0.023* (1.68)	0.021	0.023 (1.64)	0.016	0.019 (1.28)	
	(1.34)	(1.99)	(1.68)						(1.37)		(1.13)		
Board	-0.071	0.018 (0.13)	-0.076	0.018 (0.13)	-0.139	0.017 (0.13)	-0.139	0.134 (0.95)	-0.069	0.134 (0.91)	-0.082	0.065 (0.43)	
	(-0.50)		(-0.50)		(-0.97)		(-0.89)		(-0.43)		(-0.55)		
M/B Ratio	0.003	0.003 (0.75)	0.003	0.003 (0.72)	0.002 (0.51)	0.003 (0.71)	0.002 (0.46)	0.002 (0.60)	0.002	0.002 (0.59)	0.003	0.003 (0.63)	
	(0.63)		(0.63)						(0.50)		(0.59)		
ROA		0.144 (1.58)		0.144 (1.49)		0.143 (1.55)		0.153 (1.63)		0.153 (1.23)		0.149 (1.50)	
EPS		-0.101**		-0.101**		-0.099**		-0.099**		-0.099*		-0.107**	
		(-2.13)		(-2.07)		(-2.09)		(-2.00)		(-1.93)		(-2.07)	
Rep Tax			0.547	0.000 (0.00)					1.070	0.203 (0.17)			
			(0.88)						(1.04)				
Div Tax					-0.504	-0.212			0.371	-0.325			
					(-0.70)	(-0.31)			(0.27)	(-0.22)			
Tax Interaction							-1.260	-1.759	-2.735	-0.944			
							(-0.65)	(-1.02)	(-0.74)	(-0.23)			
Tax Friendly											0.077	0.099 (0.95)	
											(0.74)		
Constant	0.321*	0.186 (1.04)	0.028	0.186 (0.60)	0.475**	0.258 (1.12)	0.475*	0.339 (1.36)	0.038	0.257 (0.52)	0.353*	0.259 (1.32)	
	(1.71)		(0.09)		(2.00)		(1.74)		(0.08)		(1.80)		
Pseudo R ²	0.003	0.019	0.005	0.019	0.005	0.019	0.004	0.020	0.008	0.020	0.004	0.021	

Superscripts state the level of statistical significance = 1 % (***), 5 % (**) and 10 % (*), t-statistics are stated in the parenthesis. Superscripts state the level of statistical significance = 1 % (***), 5 % (**) and 10 % (*), t-statistics are stated in the parenthesis. Superscripts state the level of statistical significance = 1 % (***), 5 % (**) and 10 % (*), t-statistics are stated in the parenthesis.

6

Table 6Determinants of repurchase financing: Aggregate.

 \checkmark

	Ι	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Х	XI	XII
Debt Ratio	0.464*** (5.50)	0.475*** (5.55)	0.455*** <i>(5.40)</i>	0.466*** (5.46)	0.466*** (5.53)	0.477*** (5.60)	0.464*** (5.49)	0.475*** (5.55)	0.456*** (5.41)	0.468*** (5.48)	0.455*** <i>(5.39)</i>	0.465*** (5.44)
Firm Size	0.040 (0.36)	0.021 (0.19)	0.067 (0.60)	0.045 <i>(0.39)</i>	0.054 <i>(0.49)</i>	0.032 (0.28)	0.040 (0.36)	0.021 (0.19)	0.073 (0.66)	0.048 (0.42)	0.068 (0.61)	0.047 (0.40)
Board	-0.172 (-0.15)	0.177 (0.15)	0.130 (0.11)	0.386 (0.33)	0.065 (0.06)	0.533 (0.45)	-0.179 (-0.16)	0.183 (0.16)	0.308 (0.26)	0.565 (0.47)	0.066 (0.06)	0.410 (0.35)
M/B Ratio	-0.118^{***}	-0.119^{***}	-0.115^{***}	-0.117***	-0.120^{***}	-0.120***	-0.118^{***}	-0.119^{***}	-0.114***	-0.117***	-0.116^{***}	-0.117***
	(-3.00)	(-2.96)	(-2.93)	(-2.92)	(-3.05)	(-3.01)	(-3.00)	(-2.96)	(-2.89)	(-2.94)	(-2.97)	(-2.93)
ROA		2.207 (1.25)		1.935 (1.11)		2.199 (1.39)		2.207 (1.25)		1.801 (1.02)		2.073 (1.25)
EPS		0.164 (0.38)		0.188 (0.44)		0.268 (0.62)		0.168 (0.39)		0.279 (0.64)		0.211 (0.49)
Rep Tax			8.936* (1.84)	8.080 (1.60)					26.693 <i>(0.53)</i>	26.221 (0.46)		
Div Tax					-6.598	-8.960			20.513 (0.31)	19.555 <i>(0.26)</i>		
					(-1.15)	(-1.49)						
Tax Interaction							1.070 (0.08)	-0.851	-66.911	-67.171		
								(-0.06)	(-0.40)	(-0.35)		
Tax Friendly											-1.215	-1.241
											(-1.50)	(-1.52)
Constant	0.714 (0.49)	0.515 (0.34)	-3.188	-2.957	2.066 (1.10)	2.413 (1.22)	0.615 (0.32)	0.596 (0.29)	-8.923	-8.557	0.379 (0.26)	0.220 (0.14)
			(-1.24)	(-1.11)					(-0.45)	(-0.38)		
Pseudo R ²	0.018	0.019	0.019	0.021	0.018	0.020	0.018	0.019	0.020	0.021	0.019	0.021

Superscripts state the level of statistical significance = 1 % (***), 5 % (**) and 10 % (*), t-statistics are stated in the parenthesis.

Table 7	
Determinants of repurchase financing: Size-specific.	

Panel I: Small Size	ed											
	Ι	П	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Х	XI	XII
Debt Ratio	0.092***	0.127***	0.092***	0.136***	0.082***	0.084***	0.098***	0.128***	0.095***	0.144***	0.105***	0.136***
	(4.17)	(5.53)	(4.33)	(6.59)	(3.88)	(3.60)	(4.47)	(5.79)	(4.38)	(6.94)	(4.92)	(6.36)
Firm Size	0.049* (1.75)	0.041 (1.32)	0.053* (1.94)	0.039 (1.42)	0.045* (1.65)	0.044 (1.41)	0.050* (1.77)	0.040 (1.36)	0.051* (1.81)	0.039 (1.41)	0.048* (1.73)	0.038 (1.33)
Board	-0.365	-0.341 (-1.10)	-0.377	-0.331 (-1.18)	-0.373	-0.285	-0.423	-0.337 <i>(-1.13)</i>	-0.389	-0.305 (-1.06)	-0.316	-0.355 (-1.22)
	(-1.25)		(-1.33)		(-1.31)	(-0.88)	(-1.47)		(-1.32)		(-1.12)	
M/B Ratio	-0.022^{**}	-0.028***	-0.022^{**}	-0.030***	-0.020**	-0.021*	-0.023^{**}	-0.028***	-0.022^{**}	-0.031***	-0.024**	-0.030***
	(-2.14)	(-2.64)	(-2.22)	(-3.11)	(-2.06)	(-1.90)	(-2.27)	(-2.76)	(-2.20)	(-3.23)	(-2.44)	(-3.00)
ROA		0.457** (2.21)		0.399** (210)		0.396*		0.471** (2.36)		0.457* (1.89)		0.365* (1.91)
						(1.85)						
EPS		0.039 (0.37)		0.103 (1.07)		0.051 (0.47)		0.030 (0.38)		0.146 (1.46)		0.121 (1.22)
Rep Tax			1.971* <i>(1.72)</i>	2.280** (1.98)					0.557 (0.30)	-1.811 (-0.79)		
Div Tax					-0.562	-0.772			-2.266	-7.447**		
					(-0.40)	(-0.48)			(-0.92)	(-2.53)		
Tax Interaction							0.898 (0.25)	0.164 (0.05)	5.096 (0.76)	19.513**		
										(2.45)		
Tax Friendly											-0.253	-0.339*
				4 0001					0.007		(-1.27)	(-1.69)
Constant	-0.179	-0.190 (-0.47)	-0.998	-1.089*	-0.007	-0.056	-0.259	-0.205 (-0.39)	-0.336	0.442 (0.46)	-0.170	-0.147 (-0.39)
Decide D2	(-0.47)	0.011	(-1.61)	(-1.77)	(-0.02)	(-0.11)	(-0.51)	0.011	(-0.39)	0.010	(-0.46)	0.010
Pseudo R-	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.012	0.010	0.011	0.010	0.011	0.012	0.013	0.011	0.012
Panel II: Medium	Sized											
	Ι	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Х	XI	XII
Debt Ratio	0.409***	0.407***	0.404***	0.404***	0.406***	0.407***	0.408***	0.407***	0.405***	0.418***	0.403***	0.404***
	(18.66)	(17.81)	(17.77)	(17.60)	(19.16)	(17.97)	(17.73)	(17.42)	(18.26)	(18.61)	(18.40)	(17.27)
Firm Size	-0.009	-0.008	0.004 (0.15)	0.003 (0.10)	0.002 (0.08)	-0.003	-0.008	-0.007	0.010 (0.37)	0.005 (0.18)	0.008 (0.29)	-0.0004
	(-0.34)	(-0.28)				(-0.13)	(-0.28)	(-0.25)				(-0.01)
Board	-0.292	-0.270	-0.216	-0.243	-0.226	-0.213	-0.314	-0.281	-0.201	-0.233	-0.180	-0.242
	(-1.01)	(-0.88)	(-0.71)	(-0.78)	(-0.80)	(-0.68)	(-1.03)	(-0.89)	(-0.67)	(-0.75)	(-0.62)	(-0.76)
M/B Ratio	-0.074***	-0.074***	-0.074***	-0.073***	-0.074***	-0.074***	-0.074***	-0.074***	-0.075***	-0.076***	-0.074***	-0.074***
	(-7.29)	(-6.90)	(-6.97)	(-6.86)	(-7.52)	(-6.99)	(-6.93)	(-6.76)	(-7.24)	(-7.25)	(-7.26)	(-6.75)
ROA		-0.119		-0.119		-0.118		-0.118		-0.130		-0.126
		(-0.58)		(-0.56)		(-0.58)		(-0.56)		(-0.50)		(-0.60)
EPS		-0.004		0.005 (0.05)		0.005 (0.05)		-0.015		0.028 (0.26)		0.060 (0.56)
		(-0.04)						(-0.14)				
Rep Tax			1.007 (0.82)	0.977 (0.77)					-0.429	0.496 (0.20)		
					1 000				(-0.22)			
Div Tax					-1.239	-1.176			-2.786	-2.145		
					(-0.88)	(-0.76)			(-0.11)	(-0.68)		
Tax Interaction							0.218 (0.06)	0.221 (0.06)	4.832 (0.70)	3.092 (0.36)	0.040	0.000
Tax Friendly											-0.243	-0.308
Constant	0.005**	0.005**	0.010 (0.20)	0.065 (0.20)	0.044**	1.010**	0 700 (1 50)	0.000 (1.45)	0.000 (1.05)		(-1.18)	(-1.40)
Constant	0.825^^	0.825^^	0.212 (0.32)	0.265 (0.39)	0.944^^	1.013**	0.799 (1.52)	0.803 (1.45)	0.920 (1.05)	0.650 (0.62)	0.551 (1.45)	0.083 (1.65)
Decudo D ²	(2.18)	(2.05) 0.041	0.041	0.042	(2.01)	(1.97)	0.040	0.041	0.042	0.042	0.041	0.042
rseudo R ⁻	0.040	0.041	0.041	0.042	0.041	0.042	0.040	0.041	0.042	0.042	0.041	0.042

Panel III: Large Sized												
	Ι	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Х	XI	XII
Debt Ratio	0.658*** (11.20)	0.714*** (13.70)	0.658*** (11.33)	0.714*** (13.57)	0.689*** (12.18)	0.713*** (13.11)	0.693*** (11.50)	0.713*** (12.99)	0.723*** (11.86)	0.708*** (13.84)	0.665*** (11.48)	0.714*** (13.98)
Firm Size	-0.026 (-0.35)	0.003 (0.05)	-0.026 (-0.35)	0.002 (0.04)	-0.030 (-0.41)	0.004 (0.06)	-0.032 (-0.41)	0.004 (0.06)	-0.020 (-0.26)	-0.002 (-0.04)	-0.027 (-0.36)	0.002 (0.04)
Board	0.052 (0.07)	-0.071 (-0.10)	0.052 (0.07)	-0.067 (-0.09)	0.067 (0.09)	-0.056 (-0.08)	0.081 (0.10)	-0.065 (-0.09)	0.009 (0.01)	0.052 (0.08)	0.053 (0.07)	-0.065 (-0.09)
M/B Ratio	-0.119*** (-4.37)	-0.147*** (-6.02)	-0.119*** (-4.42)	-0.147*** (-5.96)	-0.120*** (-4.56)	-0.143*** (-5.62)	-0.122*** (-4.31)	-0.145*** (-5.66)	-0.128*** (-4.50)	-0.139*** (-5.78)	-0.120*** (-4.46)	-0.147*** (-6.14)
ROA		-0.344 (-0.74)		-0.350 (-0.72)		-0.347 (-0.70)		-0.338 (-0.69)		-1.092* (-1.83)		-0.344 (-0.75)
EPS		-0.177		-0.173		-0.162		-0.179		-0.154		-0.168
Rep Tax			-0.751 (-0.24)	0.081 (0.03)				(-1.366 (-0.26)	4.809 (0.86)		
Div Tax					-2.148 (-0.57)	0.266 (0.07)			-2.857 (-0.41)	6.261 (0.87)		
Tax Interaction							1.148 (0.12)	0.318 (0.04)	4.093 (0.22)	-16.072		
Tax Friendly										()	-0.039 (-0.07)	0.049 (0.10)
Constant	1.278 (1.26)	1.117 (1.22)	1.578 (0.93)	1.091 (0.70)	1.854 (1.48)	1.019 (0.83)	1.219 (0.88)	1.062 (0.82)	2.095 (0.87)	-0.684 (-0.29)	1.292 (1.28)	1.121 (1.24)
Pseudo R ²	0.110	0.115	0.110	0.115	0.110	0.115	0.110	0.115	0.111	0.116	0.110	0.115

9

Superscripts state the level of statistical significance = 1 % (***), 5 % (**) and 10 % (*), t-statistics are stated in the parenthesis. Superscripts state the level of statistical significance = 1 % (***), 5 % (**) and 10 % (*), t-statistics are stated in the parenthesis.

Superscripts state the level of statistical significance = 1 % (***), 5 % (**) and 10 % (*), t-statistics are stated in the parenthesis.

Table 8	
Determinants of total	l payout financing: Aggregate.

	Ι	П	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Х	XI	XII
Debt Ratio	-0.142*** (-8.90)	-0.142*** (-8.92)	-0.144*** (-9.05)	-0.144*** (-9.04)	-0.143*** (-8.92)	-0.143*** (-8.92)	-0.143*** (-8.98)	-0.144*** (-9.00)	-0.145*** (-9.10)	-0.145*** (-9.09)	-0.144*** (-9.02)	-0.144*** (-9.04)
Firm Size	1.015***	1.014***	1.020***	1.018*** (47.03)	1.014***	1.013***	1.015***	1.015***	1.018*** (48.86)	1.017***	1.020*** (48.74)	1.019*** (46.88)
Board	-0.611***	-0.570***	-0.539**	-0.521**	-0.631***	-0.587***	-0.630***	-0.590*** (-2.74)	-0.558***	-0.558**	-0.558***	-0.515**
M/B Ratio	0.038***	0.039***	0.039***	0.039***	0.038***	0.039***	0.038***	0.039***	0.040***	0.040***	0.038***	0.039***
ROA	(5.10)	0.125 (0.87)	(3.2))	0.066 (0.45)	(0.17)	0.117 (0.80)	(3.20)	0.131 (0.91)	(0.07)	-0.006	(0.20)	0.128 (0.90)
Earnings		0.004 (0.06)		0.006 (0.09)		0.001 (0.02)		-0.012		0.006 (0.08)		0.007 (0.11)
Rep. Tax Div. Tax Tax Interaction			1.655* (1.92)	1.555* (1.75)	0.581 (0.54)	0.399 <i>(0.36)</i>	3.574 (1.38)	3.609 (1.37)	2.317* (1.68) 1.711 (0.95) -2.257	2.352 (1.35) 1.749 (0.77) -2.397		
Tax Friendly									(-0.46)	(-0.39)	-0.241	-0.244
Constant	-2.048*** (-7.40)	-2.062^{***}	-2.770*** (-5.94)	-2.732*** (-5.76)	-2.168^{***}	-2.146***	-2.381*** (-6.49)	-2.411*** (-6.36)	-3.204*** (-5.06)	-3.209^{***}	(-1.60) -2.112*** (-7.58)	(-1.63) -2.126*** (-7.49)
Pseudo R ²	0.439	0.439	0.441	0.441	0.439	0.439	0.440	0.441	0.442	0.442	0.440	0.441

Superscripts state the level of statistical significance = 1 % (***), 5 % (**) and 10 % (*), t-statistics are stated in the parenthesis.

Panel I: Small Siz	ed											
	Ι	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Х	XI	XII
Debt Ratio	-0.132***	-0.139***	-0.135***	-0.141***	-0.140***	-0.140***	-0.139***	-0.143***	-0.138***	-0.134***	-0.133***	-0.141***
	(-5.34)	(-5.91)	(-5.41)	(-5.89)	(-5.82)	(-5.70)	(-5.63)	(-5.92)	(-5.51)	(-5.72)	(-4.86)	(-5.49)
Firm Size	1.035***	1.028***	1.054***	1.039***	1.029***	1.027***	1.029***	1.030***	1.029***	1.024***	1.042***	1.044***
	(32.24)	(32.45)	(32.27)	(31.85)	(32.88)	(30.87)	(32.13)	(31.66)	(31.45)	(32.27)	(28.93)	(29.77)
Board	-0.794**	-0.763**	-0.805^{**}	-0.784**	-0.108***	-0.727**	-0.969***	-0.866***	-0.778**	-0.708**	-0.777**	-0.735**
	(-2.43)	(-2.41)	(-2.40)	(-2.40)	(-3.17)	(-2.14)	(-2.96)	(-2.66)	(-2.29)	(-2.20)	(-2.12)	(-2.10)
M/B Ratio	0.115***	0.117***	0.110***	0.115***	0.108***	0.115***	0.108***	0.110***	0.112***	0.105***	0.112***	0.113***
	(9.94)	(10.60)	(9.38)	(10.18)	(9.62)	(9.93)	(9.41)	(9.74)	(9.55)	(9.60)	(8.79)	(9.37)
ROA		0.403* (1.91)		0.259 (1.33)		0.371* (1.66)		0.376* (1.74)		1.188***		0.394* (1.70)
										(4.36)		
EPS		0.091 (0.83)		0.098 (0.88)		0.083 (0.72)		0.075 (0.66)		0.006 (0.05)		0.089 (0.74)
Rep Tax			3.785***	1.066 (0.80)					2.828 (1.31)	-4.184		
			(2.79)							(-1.63)		
Div Tax					2.895* (1.80)	0.621 (0.37)			2.450 (0.86)	-7.192**		
										(-2.17)		
Tax Interaction							6.971* (1.74)	4.572 (1.15)	-1.523	21.479**		
									(-0.20)	(2.41)		
Tax Friendly											-0.254	-0.373
											(-0.98)	(-1.54)
Constant	-2.927***	-2.881***	-4.639	-3.413^{***}	-3.379***	-2.999***	-3.387***	-3.257***	-4.396***	-1.478	-2.995***	-3.063***
	(-6.84)	(-6.96)	(-6.32)	(-4.78)	(-6.35)	(-5.36)	(-5.97)	(-5.70)	(-4.42)	(-1.36)	(-6.25)	(-6.69)
Pseudo R ²	0.641	0.648	0.645	0.649	0.644	0.649	0.644	0.650	0.648	0.652	0.643	0.650

VI

-0.156***

(-9.88)

1.011***

(47.39)

-0.390*

(-1.79)

0.129***

(17.36)

0.523***

(3.64)

-0.062

(-0.85)

2.482**

(2.29)

-2.798***

0.699

0.703

0.704

(-7.80)

0.704

Panel II: Medium Sized

Debt Ratio

Firm Size

M/B Ratio

Board

ROA

EPS

Rep Tax

Div Tax

Tax Interaction

Tax Friendly Constant

Pseudo R²

Ι

-0.161***

(-9.81)

1.010***

(47.38)

(-2.11)

-0.458**

0.142***

(18.53)

-2.112***

(-7.43)

0.696

II

-0.154***

(-9.37)

(45.77)

-0.288

(-1.30)

0.140***

(18.17)

(4.86)

-0.058

(-0.77)

-2.346***

(-8.08)

0.701

0.720***

1.017***

III

-0.161***

(-9.41)

(45.22)

(-2.01)

(17.58)

0.141***

0.466 (0.50)

-2.299***

(-4.58)

0.697

1.011***

-0.462**

IV

-0.155***

(-9.26)

1.017***

(44.74)

-0.320

(-1.41)

0.140***

(17.81)

0.676***

(4.38)

-0.056

(-0.72)

0.435 (0.47)

-2.480***

(-4.98)

0.701

V

-0.165***

(-10.38)

1.008***

(48.68)

(-2.12)

0.138***

(18.69)

2.683**

(2.53)

-2.757***

(-7.84)

0.699

-0.451**

VII	VIII	IX	Х	XI	XII
-0.167***	-0.154***	-0.166***	-0.159***	-0.161***	-0.155***
(-10.26)	(-9.59)	(-10.35)	(-9.45)	(-10.04)	(-9.07)
1.016***	1.015***	1.010***	1.012***	1.011***	1.017***
(48.23)	(46.70)	(48.18)	(44.39)	(48.01)	(43.83)
-0.472^{**}	-0.347	-0.454**	-0.375	-0.468**	-0.314
(-2.20)	(-1.60)	(-2.09)	(-1.62)	(-2.18)	(-1.35)
0.134***	0.137***	0.143***	0.143***	0.141***	0.139***
(17.64)	(18.20)	(18.91)	(18.07)	(18.82)	(17.38)
	0.708***		0.328*		0.713***
	(4.90)		(1.68)		(4.66)
	-0.080		-0.053		-0.058
	(-1.06)		(-0.66)		(-0.74)
		3.794***	1.948 (1.06)		
		(2.73)			
		6.337***	3.748 (1.58)		
		(3.48)			
5.110*	5.437**	-8.964*	-3.083		
(1.94)	(2.06)	(-1.80)	(-0.48)		
				-0.071	-0.094
				(-0.47)	(-0.59)
-2.650***	-2.796***	-4.315^{***}	-3.605***	-2.112^{***}	-2.312^{***}
(-7.10)	(-7.33)	(-6.76)	(-4.60)	(-7.54)	(-7.63)

0.705

0.697

0.701

III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Х	XI	XII
-0.139***	-0.135***	-0.140***	-0.141***	-0.141***	-0.138***	-0.141***	-0.137***	-0.140***	-0.139***
(-8.29)	(-8.59)	(-7.97)	(-8.36)	(-8.39)	(-7.76)	(-8.18)	(-8.24)	(-8.18)	(-8.91)
0.971***	0.976***	0.971***	0.984***	0.973***	0.981***	0.972***	0.960***	0.972***	0.977***
(44.28)	(45.77)	(42.38)	(43.15)	(44.79)	(40.88)	(43.23)	(42.55)	(43.44)	(45.90)
-0.198	-0.091	-0.269	-0.099	-0.231	-0.092	-0.171	-0.117	-0.196	-0.097
(-0.88)	(-0.43)	(-1.14)	(-0.43)	(-1.05)	(-0.38)	(-0.73)	(-0.51)	(-0.86)	(-0.46)
0.134***	0.127***	0.138***	0.133***	0.136***	0.131***	0.137***	0.133***	0.134***	0.125***
(17.22)	(17.21)	(16.77)	(16.79)	(17.38)	(15.70)	(16.90)	(16.98)	(16.92)	(17.06)
	0.432***		0.571***		0.463***		0.113 (0.59)		0.411***
	(2.98)		(3.72)		(2.90)				(2.93)
	-0.007		-0.032		-0.016		0.073 (0.92)		-0.0008
	(-0.11)		(-0.41)		(-0.20)				(-0.01)
0.439 (0.48)	0.300 (0.34)					4.838***	4.156**		
						(3.25)	(2.28)		
		0.777 (0.66)	0.670 (0.58)			6.289***	5.101**		
						(3.22)	(2.17)		

1.184 (0.41)

-1.729***

(-4.10)

0.714

1.640 (0.60)

-1.579***

(-4.10)

0.711

-14.357***

-3.498***

(-2.69)

(-5.12)

0.715

-11.956*

 -3.072^{***}

-0.095

(-0.59)

(-4.69)

0.711

-1.397***

-0.068

(-0.46)

(-5.51)

0.714

-1.531***

(-1.88)

(-3.97)

0.716

Panel	III:	Large	Sized
-------	------	-------	-------

Debt Ratio

Firm Size

M/B Ratio

Board

ROA

EPS

Rep Tax Div Tax

Tax Interaction

Tax Friendly

Constant

Pseudo R²

Ι

-0.140***

(-8.27)

0.975***

(44.38)

-0.225

(-1.01)

0.136***

(17.18)

-1.440***

(-4.92)

0.711

II

-0.139***

(-8.66)

0.980***

(45.20)

-0.114

(-0.53)

0.125***

(16.53)

-0.018(-0.25)

-1.573***

(-5.56)

0.713

0.508*** (3.52)

-1.568***

(-3.18)

0.711

Superscripts state the level of statistical significance = 1 % (***), 5 % (**) and 10 % (*), t-statistics are stated in the parenthesis. Superscripts state the level of statistical significance = 1 % (***), 5 % (**) and 10 % (*), t-statistics are stated in the parenthesis.

-1.662***

(-3.56)

0.714

-1.583***

(-4.06)

0.711

-1.830***

(-4.77)

0.714

Superscripts state the level of statistical significance = 1 % (***), 5 % (**) and 10 % (*), t-statistics are stated in the parenthesis.

repurchase to the free cash flow (ratio of the operating profit to total asset value).² *i* refers to the firm = 1, 2, 3 ... 360, y refers to the year = 1985 to 2014 (inclusive), $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ is the error term, and the independent variables are defined in Table 2. Total number of observations is 3960 per equation.

The second dimension is testing if the determinants are heterogenous within dividends (H2, H3, and H4) and repurchases (H5, H6, and H7) when controlled for their size; *Small* = 25th percentile, *Medium* = 50th percentile and *Large* = 75th percentile. These hypotheses are tested using Quantile Regression (Equations (3) and (4)), which has been employed in variations by past corporate payout studies [47,48,49].

- H20. = There is homogeneity between the determinants of Small and Medium sized dividends
- H21. = There is heterogeneity between the determinants of Small and Medium sized dividends
- H30. = There is homogeneity between the determinants of Small and Large sized dividends
- H31. = There is heterogeneity between the determinants of Small and Large sized dividends
- H40. = There is homogeneity between the determinants of Medium and Large sized dividends
- H41. = There is heterogeneity between the determinants of Medium and Large sized dividends

 $Dividends_{s,i,y} = \beta_1 \text{Debt Ratio}_{y-1} + \beta_2 \text{Firm Size}_{i,y-1} + \beta_3 \text{Board}_{i,y-1} + \beta_4 M/B \text{ Ratio}_{y-1} + \beta_5 \text{ROA}_{y-1} + \beta_6 \text{EPS}_{y-1} + \beta_7 \text{Rep Tax}_y + \beta_8 \text{Div Tax}_y + + \beta_9 \text{Tax Interaction}_y + \beta_{10} \text{Tax Friendly}_y + \varepsilon_{i,y} + \alpha$

Dividends is the ratio of the ordinary dividends to the net profit, s = is the size of the payout, *Small, Medium* or *Large, i* refers to the firm = 1, 2, 3 ... 360, *y* refers to the year = 1985 to 2014 (inclusive), $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ is the error term, α is the alpha, and the independent variables are defined in Table 2. Total number of observations is 3960.

- H50. = There is homogeneity between the determinants of Small and Medium sized repurchases
- H51. = There is heterogeneity between the determinants of Small and Medium sized repurchases
- H60. = There is homogeneity between the determinants of Small and Large sized repurchases
- H61. = There is heterogeneity between the determinants of Small and Large sized repurchases
- H70. = There is homogeneity between the determinants of Medium and Large sized repurchases
- H71. = There is heterogeneity between the determinants of Medium and Large sized repurchases

 $\begin{aligned} & \textit{Repurchases}_{s,i,y} = \beta_1 \text{Debt Ratio}_{y-1} + \beta_2 \text{Firm Size}_{i,y-1} + \beta_3 \text{Board}_{i,y-1} + \beta_4 M / B \text{ Ratio}_{y-1} + \beta_5 \text{ROA}_{y-1} + \beta_6 \text{EPS}_{y-1} + \beta_7 \text{Rep Tax}_y + \beta_8 \text{Div Tax}_y + \beta_9 \text{Tax Interaction}_y + \beta_{10} \text{Tax Friendly}_y + \epsilon_{i,y} + \alpha \end{aligned}$

(4)

(3)

Repurchases is the ratio of % shares intended for repurchase to the free cash flow (ratio of the operating profit to total asset value). *s* = is the size of the payout, *Small, Medium* or *Large, i* refers to the firm = 1, 2, 3 ... 360, y refers to the year = 1985 to 2014 (inclusive), $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ is the error term, α is the alpha, and the independent variables are defined in Table 2. Total number of observations is 3960.

The third dimension is to use the findings from the Quantile Regressions (Equations (3) and (4)), and checking if there is heterogeneity between the payouts of the same sizes (H8, H8 and H10)

- H80. = There is homogeneity between the determinants of Small sized dividends and repurchases
- H81. = There is heterogeneity between the determinants of Small sized dividends and repurchases
- H90. = There is homogeneity between the determinants of Medium sized dividends and repurchases
- H91. = There is heterogeneity between the determinants of Medium sized dividends and repurchases
- H100. = There is homogeneity between the determinants of Large sized dividends and repurchases
- H101. = There is heterogeneity between the determinants of Large sized dividends and repurchases
- 3.2. Robustness testing

For reliability we replicate the Tobit and Quantile Regressions for the total corporate payout (Equations (5) and (6)).

² A repurchase announcement states the % shares intended to be bought back via multiple transactions. Regulations allow for the repurchase price to vary by -/+5 % of the preceding five trading days. Thus, the value of the repurchase announced at the onset is an estimate. Further, distributing cash is the key motive for undertaking repurchases in the UK [32]. Hence, we tailor the dependent variable to capture these aspects.

Tota

 $Total Payout_{i,v} = \beta_1 Debt Ratio_{y-1} + \beta_2 Firm Size_{i,y-1} + \beta_3 Board_{i,y-1} + \beta_4 M/B Ratio_{y-1} + \beta_5 ROA_{y-1} + \beta_6 EPS_{y-1} + \beta_7 Rep Tax_{y-1} + \beta_4 M/B Ratio_{y-1} + \beta_5 ROA_{y-1} + \beta_6 EPS_{y-1} + \beta_7 Rep Tax_{y-1} + \beta_6 ROA_{y-1} + \beta_6 EPS_{y-1} + \beta_7 Rep Tax_{y-1} + \beta_6 ROA_{y-1} + \beta_6 EPS_{y-1} + \beta_7 Rep Tax_{y-1} + \beta_6 ROA_{y-1} + \beta_6 EPS_{y-1} + \beta_7 Rep Tax_{y-1} + \beta_6 ROA_{y-1} + \beta_6 EPS_{y-1} + \beta_7 Rep Tax_{y-1} + \beta_6 ROA_{y-1} + \beta_6 EPS_{y-1} + \beta_7 Rep Tax_{y-1} + \beta_6 ROA_{y-1} + \beta_6 EPS_{y-1} + \beta_7 Rep Tax_{y-1} + \beta_6 ROA_{y-1} + \beta_6 EPS_{y-1} + \beta_7 Rep Tax_{y-1} + \beta_6 ROA_{y-1} + \beta_6 EPS_{y-1} + \beta_7 Rep Tax_{y-1} + \beta_6 ROA_{y-1} + \beta_6 EPS_{y-1} + \beta_7 Rep Tax_{y-1} +$

+
$$\beta_8$$
Div Tax_y++ β_9 Tax Interaction_y+ β_{10} Tax Friendly_y+ $\varepsilon_{i,y}$

$$bial Payout_{s,i,y} = \beta_1 \text{Debt } \text{Ratio}_{y-1} + \beta_2 \text{Firm } \text{Size}_{i,y-1} + \beta_3 \text{Board}_{i,y-1} + \beta_4 M/B \text{ } \text{Ratio}_{y-1} + \beta_5 \text{ROA}_{y-1} + \beta_6 \text{EPS}_{y-1} + \beta_7 \text{Rep } \text{Tax}_y + \beta_8 \text{Div } \text{Tax}_y + \beta_9 \text{Tax } \text{Interaction}_y + \beta_1 \text{Data } \text{Friendly}_y + \epsilon_{i,y} + \alpha$$

(5)

Total Payout is the natural logarithm of the finn value of the sum of dividend and repurchase, s = is the size of the payout, Small, *Medium* or *Large*, *i* refers to the firm = 1, 2, 3 ... 360, y refers to the year = 1985 to 2014 (inclusive), ε_{it} is the error term, α is the alpha, and the independent variables are defined in Table 2. Total number of observations is 3960 per equation.

4. Results

4.1. Determinants of corporate payouts

In Tables 4 and 5 we tabulate the results from the testing of aggregate (Equation (1)) and size-specific dividends (Equation (3)), respectively, and in Tables 6 and 7 we tabulate the results from the testing of aggregate (Equation (2)) and size-specific repurchases (Equation (4)), respectively. Aggregately, we see that there is diversity in the findings, as in the case of dividends, Firm Size and ROA have positive influences, while Board and EPS have negative influences; the latter being relatively mild. Hence, when the firm has a larger asset base and is generating good returns against it, they want to reward shareholders for their investment via dividends. However, independent directors are against this cash depletion, perhaps to cater to the payout apprehension of CEOs and large blockholders [21,7]. The managerial seem to also distribute dividends during times of lower EPS, complying with the payout's ability to signal future confidence [19], which gains credibility by the positive impacts of asset holdings and the returns made against them. With repurchases, positive influence is generated by Debt Ratio and Rep Tax, the latter being mild, but M/B Ratio has a negative influence. Hence, as repurchases skew the debt/equity split, the managerial capitalise on the pre-existing shareholder debt appetite, while often compensating for higher tax bills. Furthermore, repurchases are also devised for signalling stock undervaluation. Thus, we see heterogeneity between the determinants of the dividends and repurchases, and we accept the alternative hypothesis H11.

From the size-specific testing, small sized dividends are positively influenced by Firm Size, ROA and mildly by Rep Tax, and negatively influenced by Debt Ratio, Board, Div Tax, and mildly by EPS and Tax Friendly. As we progress to those that are of medium sized, we see that the influences narrow, since all but ROA and Rep Tax sustain their significance with Debt Ratio losing some strength. Further narrowing is seen with those that are of large size, as only EPS maintains its significance and strength, followed by Firm Size which retains its significance but loses strength.

Thus, dividends of all sizes are intended to offset the impact of reduced earnings by signalling future strength, which is aligned with the positive effect of having larger asset holdings, but the influence of generating good returns against these assets only boosts small sized dividends. Lower leveraged firms are likely to pass on the cost savings from debt servicing via small and medium sized dividends, while these are further supported when dividends are subjected to lower tax and are tax efficient than repurchases, but also continue to be restricted by independent directors. Further, when taxation on repurchases increases small sized dividends are limitedly seen as their replacements.

Small sized repurchases are positively influenced by Debt Ratio, ROA and mildly by Firm Size, Rep Tax and Tax Interaction, and negatively influenced by M/B Ratio, and mildly by Div Tax and Tax Friendly. Here too we see a narrowing of influences upon size increment, but this is rather magnified. Medium and large sized repurchases are subject to identical results, which are the positive influence of Debt Ratio and negative influence of M/B Ratio. Thus, the pre-existing high debt appetite continues to promote repurchases of all sizes, while they are being continually used for signalling stock undervaluation. Further, if a firm has a strong asset base and generates good returns against these assets, then small repurchases are more likely. These are also maximised to offset the effect of higher tax on repurchases, and dividends' tax efficiency over repurchases.

Given these findings, we accept the null hypothesis H2₀, and the alternative hypotheses, H3₁, H4₁, H5₁, H6₁, H7₁, H8₁, H9₁, and H101.

4.2. Robustness testing

In Tables 8 and 9 we tabulate the results from the testing of aggregate (Equation (5)) and size-specific (Equation (6)) total payouts, respectively. For the aggregate results we see a combination of influences witnessed for aggregate dividends and repurchases, however the latter is more dominant. The positive influence of Firm Size and negative influence of Board are consistent with the findings of aggregate dividends, while the negative influence of Debt Ratio and positive influences of M/B Ratio and Rep Tax are consistent with the findings of aggregate repurchases. The insignificance of ROA and EPS is inconsistent with the positive and negative influences, respectively, seen with aggregate dividends, but remain consistent with the findings of aggregate repurchases. With small sized payouts the initial results indicated homogeneity between the determinants of dividends and repurchases, and concurrently we see that all of the influences seen with the two payouts reappear, except for EPS' insignificance which showed a very mild negative influence for the dividend testing.

In the case of medium sized payouts, we find an interesting pattern. Firstly, we continue to see all of the significant determinants

from repurchase testing reappearing, while those related to dividends not being fully represented, which is seen with *EPS*' insignificance instead of being negative. Secondly, we see significance of new variables that were not see during the initial dividend and repurchase testing, these include the positive influences of *ROA* and *Rep Tax*, and positive-to-negative influence of *Tax Interaction*. Thus, the aggregate corporate payout policy for medium sized payouts is also determined by how efficiently the firm's assets are being employed for profit generation, and the tax framework. The fluctuating influence of *Tax Interaction* is attributable to the UK seeing a rather variation in the tax rates (as discussed in Section 2). Hence, the co-movement of tax rates or the movement of one of the tax rates with the other remaining constant will result in a bi-directional influence on corporate payout policy. Large sized payouts also follow a similar pattern. All of the influences seen with large sized dividends and repurchases reappear, except former's *EPS*' negative influences of *ROA*, *Rep Tax* and Div *Tax*, and negative influence of *Tax Interaction*. Thus, the corporate policy regarding large sized payouts is also influenced by the efficacy of the asset base's application for profit generation, and the tax framework. Overall, we conclude that when a firm is undertaking repurchases, their overall corporate policy is more determined by them than dividends, and the company's operating performance and the tax framework are key considerations in corporate decision-making.

5. Conclusion

We see that despite being dividend preferring, the UK has seen a consistent rise in repurchase undertaking over the past three decades. Our results indicate that corporate decision-making for dividends and repurchases differs primarily due to payout size, and secondarily due to payout type. This indicates that cash outflow to shareholders is the key consideration followed by the chosen route. Once the decision of distributing cash is decided, dividends and repurchases aggregately see heterogeneity in their determinants. Within dividends we see heterogeneity in the determinants of those that are small, medium and large sized; similar patterns are visible for repurchases as well. On comparison, only the determinants between small sized dividends and repurchases are homogeneous, thus making them substitutes, while medium and large sized are heterogeneous, thus making them independent. Our findings are relevant for future academics to use as a base, and extend them via using a new timeline post-2014 and/or replicate them for another country like the US. Practitioners can also capitalise on our findings to assess the decision-making of their portfolio constituents.

Data availability statement

The authors do not have permission to share data.

Additional information

No additional information is available for this paper.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Adhiraj Sodhi: Writing - review & editing, Writing - original draft, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. Aleksandar Stojanovic: Writing - review & editing, Writing - original draft, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- D.J. Skinner, The evolving relation between earnings, dividends, and stock repurchases, J. Financ. Econ. 87 (2008) 582–609, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jfineco.2007.05.003.
- [2] R. Sonika, N.F. Carline, M.B. Shackleton, The option and decision to repurchase stock, Financ. Manag. 43 (2014) 833–855, https://doi.org/10.1111/ fima.12054.
- [3] P.R. Rau, T. Vermaelen, Regulation, taxes and share repurchases in the United Kingdom, J. Bus. 75 (2002) 245–282, https://doi.org/10.1086/338703.

[4] B. Bryan, US companies have spent \$2 trillion doing something that has absolutely no impact on their businesses (2016) [Online]. Available from: http://uk. businessinsider.com/whats-a-buyback-and-why-do-some-investors-hate-them-2016-6. (Accessed 13 September 2023).

- [5] G. Grullon, R. Michaely, Dividends, share repurchases, and the substitution hypothesis, J. Finance 57 (2002) 1649–1684, https://doi.org/10.1111/ 15406261.00474.
- [6] H. Von Eije, W.L. Megginson, Dividends and share repurchases in the European Union, J. Financ. Econ. 89 (2008) 347–374, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jfineco.2007.11.0024r4.
- [7] L. Renneboog, G. Trojanowski, Patterns in payout policy and payout channel choice, J. Bank. Finance 35 (2011) 1477–1490, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jbankfin.2010.10.028.
- [8] R. Mould, FTSE Buyback Boom Continues, 2022 [Online]. Available from: https://www.ajbell.co.uk/articles/investmentarticles/259642/ftse-100-buybackboom-continues. (Accessed 14 September 2023).
- [9] N. Burns, B.C. McTier, K. Minnick, Equity-incentive compensation and payout policy in Europe, J. Corp. Finance 30 (2015) 85–97, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jcorpfin.2014.10.019.

- [10] D.J. Denis, I. Osobov, Why do firms pay dividends? International evidence on the determinants of dividend policy, J. Financ. Econ. 89 (2008) 62–82, https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoneco.2009.03.013.
- [11] S.P. Ferris, N. Sen, H.P. Yui, God save the Queen and her dividends: corporate payouts in the United Kingdom, J. Bus. 79 (2006) 1149–1173, https://doi.org/ 10.1086/500672.
- [12] J. Easton, M. Msika, London Wins Back Europe's Stock Market Crown from Paris, 2023 [Online]. Available from: https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/ 2023-10-19/london-regains-europe-s-stock-market-crown-lifted-by-oil-surge?leadSource=uverify%20wall. (Accessed 26 October 2023).
- [13] World Bank, GDP (Current US \$), 2023 [Online]. Available from: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.CD. (Accessed 26 October 2023).
- [14] Diliara Valeeva, Klinge Tobias, Manuel Aalbers, Shareholder payouts across time and space: an internationally comparative and cross-sectional analysis of corporate financialisaton, New Polit. Econ. 28 (2023) 173–189, https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2022.2084522.
- [15] A. Ferreira da Cruz, H. Kimra, V.A. Sobreiro, What Do We Know about Corporate Cash Holdings? A Systematic Analysis, Journal of Corporate Accounting and Finance, January, 2019, pp. 77–144, https://doi.org/10.1002/jcaf.22368.
- [16] M. Willems, Cash holdings: UK corporates' £109bn warchest increasingly a rival to private equity in M&A [Online]. Available from: https://www.cityam.com/ cash-holdings-uk-corporates-109bn-warchest-increasingly-a-rival-to-private-equity-in-ma/, 2021. (Accessed 14 September 2023).
- [17] T.-J. Lin, Y.-P. Chen, H.-F. Tsai, The relationship among information asymmetry, dividend policy and ownership structure, Finance Res. Lett. 20 (2017) 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2016.06.008.
- [18] D.L. Ikenberry, J. Lakonishok, T. Vermaelen, Market underreaction to open market share repurchases, J. Financ. Econ. 39 (1995) 181–208, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0304-405X(95)00826-Z.
- [19] H. Liu, N. Sun, Y. Ye, Y. Tao, Y. Kan, The impact of corporate public market share repurchases on capital market information efficiency, Emerg. Mark. Finance Trade 59 (2023) 3220–3240, https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496X.2023.2212840.
- [20] A.D. Cesari, N. Ozkan, Executive incentives and payout policy: empirical evidence from Europe, J. Bank. Finance 55 (2015) 70–91, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jbankfin.2014.12.011.
- [21] P. Chintakarn, R. Chatjuthamard, S. Tong, P. Jiraporn, How do powerful CEOs view dividends and stock repurchases? Evidence from the CEO pay slice (CPS), Int. Rev. Econ. Finance 58 (2018) 49–64, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2018.02.023.
- [22] E. Kilincarslan, O. Ozdemir, Institutional investment horizon and dividend policy: an empirical study of UK firms, Finance Res. Lett. 24 (2018) 291–300, https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2017.09.016.
- [23] A. Jain, C. Shekhar, V. Torbey, Payout initiation by IPO firms: the choice between dividends and share repurchases, Q. Rev. Econ. Finance 49 (2009) 1275–1297, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gref.2009.09.003.
- [24] A.K. Dittmar, R.F. Dittmar, The timing of financing decisions: an examination of the correlation in financing waves, J. Financ. Econ. 90 (2008) 59–83, https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2007.11.007.
- [25] W. Huang-Meier, M.C. Freeman, K. Mazouz, Why are aggregate equity payouts pro-cyclical? J. Macroecon. 44 (2015) 98–108, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jmacro.2015.01.005.
- [26] M. Jagannathan, C.P. Stephen, M.S. Weisbach, Financial flexibility and the choice between dividends and stock repurchases, J. Financ. Econ. 57 (2000) 355–384, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(00)00061-1.
- [27] D.G. McMillan, Stock return, dividend growth and consumption growth predictability across markets and time: implications for stock price movement, Int. Rev. Financ. Anal. 35 (2014) 90–101, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2014.07.011.
- [28] B. Pirgaip, B. Dincergok, Share repurchases under uncertainty: US evidence, Finance Res. Lett. 30 (2019) 130–138, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2019.03.036.
 [29] E. Floyd, N. Li, D.J. Skinnder, Payout policy through the financial crisis: the growth of repurchases and resilience of dividends, J. Financ. Econ. 118 (2015) 299–316, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2015.08.002.
- [30] R. Korajczyk, A. Levy, Capital structure choice: macroeconomic conditions and financial constraints, J. Financ. Econ. 68 (2003) 75–109, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0304-405X(02)00249-0.
- [31] C. Liu, A.-S. Chen, Do firms use dividend changes to signal future profitability? A simultaneous equation analysis, Int. Rev. Financ. Anal. 37 (2015) 194–207, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2014.12.001.
- [32] A. Dhanani, Corporate share repurchases in the UK: Perception and practices of corporate managers an investors, J. Appl. Account. Res. 17 (2016) 331–355, https://doi.org/10.1108/JAAR-09-2014-0096.
- [33] A.K. Dittmar, Why do firms repurchase stock? J. Bus. 73 (2000) 331-355, https://doi.org/10.1086/209646.
- [34] T.J. Hull, How the timing of dividend reductions can signal value, J. Corp. Finance 30 (2015) 114–131, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2014.12.011.
- [35] B.S. Lee, J. Suh, Cash holdings and share repurchases: international evidence, J. Corp. Finance 17 (2011) 1306–1329, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jcorpfin.2011.06.006.
- [36] H. Tekin, A.Y. Polat, Do market differences matter on payout policy? Borsa Istanbul Review 21 (2021) 197–208, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2020.10.009.
- [37] D. Andriosopoulos, M. Lasfer, The market valuation of share repurchases in Europe, J. Bank. Finance 55 (2015) 327–339, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jbankfin.2014.04.017.
- [38] C.I. Lee, D.D. Ejara, K.C. Gleason, An empirical analysis of European stock repurchases, J. Multinatl. Financ. Manag. 20 (2010) 114–125, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.mulfin.2010.07.002.
- [39] V.A. Dang, An empirical analysis of zero-leverage firms: new evidence from the UK, Int. Rev. Financ. Anal. 30 (2013) 189–202, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. irfa.2013.08.007.
- [40] M. Adams, W. Jiang, T. Ma, CEO power, corporate risk management, and dividends: disentangling CEO managerial ability from entrenchment, Rev. Quant. Financ. Account (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11156-023-01216-6. Forthcoming.
- [41] M. Frank, A. Sanati, Financing corporate growth, Rev. Financ. Stud. 34 (2021) 4926–4998, https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhaa133.
- [42] D. Andriosopoulos, H. Hoque, The determinants of share repurchases in Europe, Int. Rev. Financ. Anal. 27 (2013) 65–76, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. irfa.2012.12.003.
- [43] PwC, Share Repurchases, Executive Pay and Investment, 2019 [Online]. BEIS Research Paper 2019/911. Available from: https://www.pwc.co.uk/economicservices/documents/share-repurchases-executive-pay-investment.pdf. (Accessed 14 September 2023).
- [44] A. Dhanani, R. Roberts, Corporate Share Repurchases: the Perceptions and Practices of UK Financial Managers and Corporate Investors, The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland, Edinburgh, 2009.
- [45] E. Kilincarslan, Smoothed or not-smoothed: the impact of the 2008 global financial crisis on dividend stability in the UK, Finance Res. Lett. 38 (2021), 101423, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2019.101423.
- [46] A. Anagnostopoulos, O. Atesagaoglu, E. Carceles-Poveda, Financing corporate tax cuts with shareholder taxes, Quantitative Economics 13 (2022) 315–354, https://doi.org/10.3982/QE116.
- [47] I. Chasiotis, A.G. Georgantopolous, The flexibility of corporate payouts vis-à-vis capital investment: some UK evidence, Int. J. Manag. Finance 18 (2021) 181–201, https://doi.org/10.1108/IJMF-10-2020-0516.
- [48] B. Fattouh, P. Scaramozzino, L. Harris, Capital structure in South Korea: a quantile regression approach, J. Dev. Econ. 76 (2005) 231–250, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jdeveco.2003.12.014.
- [49] A. Mathur, N.S. Rao, M.R. Strain, S.A. Veuger, Dividends and investment: evidence of heterogenous firm behaviour, Publ. Finance Rev. 6 (2016) 769–787, https://doi.org/10.1177/1091142115623852.