Supplementary data:

Surface functionalization of PLGA nanoparticles for potential oral vaccine delivery targeting intestinal immune cells.

Muhammad Khairul Amin and Joshua Boateng.

School of Science, Faculty of Engineering and Science, University of Greenwich, Medway, Kent, ME4 4TB, United Kingdom

*Corresponding Author: (J.S.Boateng@gre.ac.uk; joshboat40@gmail.com)



Figure S1: Representative size, PDI and zeta potential profiles of blank PLGA nanoparticles (NPs) surface modified with different concentrations of polyethylene glycol (PEG), sodium alginate (ALG) and Eudragit (EUD).



Figure S2: Representative DLS (size, PDI and zeta potential) profiles of OVA loaded PLGA NPs surface modified with different concentrations of coating polymers (PEG, ALG and EUD).



Figure S3: OVA loading efficiency into uncoated PLGA NPs.



Figure S4: Representative SEM images of blank and OVA loaded PLGA NPs: (a) PLGA-Uncoated-Blank, (b) PLGA-ALG-Blank (c) PLGA-EUD-Blank (d) PLGA-OVA (e) PLGA-ALG-OVA (f) PLGA-EUD-OVA



Figure S5: FTIR spectra of pure starting materials.



Figure S6: FTIR spectra of blank PLGA NPs.

	-		
Sample name	M _n (Da)	M _W (Da)	D _m
$PEG + H_2O$	57402	69256	1.20
PLGA + DCM + PVA	33176	37300	1.12
EUD + 7% Methanol	61734	77253	1.25
$ALG + H_2O$	216	336	1.55
PLGA (uncoated)	22235	26309	1.18
PLGA-PEG	25245	33015	1.30
PLGA-ALG	19025	24763	1.30
PLGA-EUD	16553	21445	1.29

Table S1: Summary GPC data derived from Figure 2 (A, B) in the main manuscript

 $\overline{M_{n=}}$ Number- average molecular weight; Mw= Weight average molecular weight; Dm= Molecular weight dispersity.

$$Dm = \frac{M_w}{M_n}$$
(iii)

Where, φ_i is the molar fraction of the polymer and i is the polymeric component with mass Mi



Figure S7: Gel permeation chromatography calibration curve (pullulan standard) fitted by the logarithmic normal distribution.



Figure S8: In vitro cell cytotoxicity profiles of the NPs against Jurkat cells.