

1
2

1. Supplementary Information:

Item	Present?	Filename This should be the name the file is saved as when it is uploaded to our system, and should include the file extension. The extension must be .pdf	A brief, numerical description of file contents. i.e.: <i>Supplementary Figures 1-4, Supplementary Discussion, and Supplementary Tables 1-4.</i>
Supplementary Information	Yes	Meijaard et al. Oil palm and biodiversity (Supporting Information) – final.pdf	Supplementary materials. Figures S1-S2. Table S1-S3.
Reporting Summary	No		

3
4
5
6
7

A. Additional Supplementary Files

Type	Number If there are multiple files of the same type this should be the numerical indicator. i.e. "1" for Video 1, "2" for Video 2, etc.	Filename This should be the name the file is saved as when it is uploaded to our system, and should include the file extension. i.e.: <i>Smith_Supplementary Video 1.mov</i>	Legend or Descriptive Caption Describe the contents of the file
Supplementary Table	1	Table S4.xlsx	List of species on the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species for which oil crops are one of the threats to the

			survival (1=impacted by the crop; 0=not impacted by the crop).
--	--	--	---

9 The environmental impacts of palm oil in 10 context

11 Erik Meijaard^{1,2,3*}, Thomas Brooks^{4,5,6}, Kimberly M. Carlson^{7,8}, Eleanor M. Slade⁹, John Garcia Ulloa¹⁰,
12 David L.A. Gaveau¹¹, Janice Ser Huay Lee⁹, Truly Santika^{1,2}, Diego Juffe-Bignoli^{2,12}, Matthew J.
13 Struebig², Serge A. Wich^{13,14}, Marc Ancrenaz^{1,15}, Lian Pin Koh¹⁶, Nadine Zamira¹⁷, Jesse. F. Abrams^{18,19},
14 Herbert H.T. Prins²⁰, Cyriaque N. Sendashonga²¹, Daniel Murdiyarso^{10,22}, Paul R. Furumo²³, Nicholas
15 Macfarlane⁴, Rachel Hoffmann²⁴, Marcos Persio²⁵, Adrià Descals²⁶, Zoltan Szantoi^{27,28}, Douglas Sheil²⁹

16 ¹ Borneo Futures, Bandar Seri Begawan, Brunei Darussalam.

17 ² Durrell Institute of Conservation and Ecology, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK.

18 ³ School of Biological Sciences, University of Queensland, St Lucia, Australia.

19 ⁴ Science and Knowledge Unit, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.

20 ⁵ World Agroforestry Center (ICRAF), University of The Philippines Los Baños, Laguna, Philippines.

21 ⁶ Institute for Marine & Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia.

22 ⁷ Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Management, the University of Hawai'i at

23 Mānoa, HI, USA.

24 ⁸ Department of Environmental Studies, New York University, New York, NY, USA.

25 ⁹ Asian School of the Environment, Nanyang Technological University of Singapore, Singapore.

26 ¹⁰ Department of Environmental Systems Science, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland.

27 ¹¹ Center for International Forestry Research, Bogor, Indonesia.

28 ¹² UN Environment Programme World Conservation Monitoring Centre (UNEP-WCMC), Cambridge,
29 UK.

30 ¹³ School of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK.

31 ¹⁴ Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The
32 Netherlands.

33 ¹⁵ Kinabatangan Orang-Utan Conservation Programme, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia.

34 ¹⁶ Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, Singapore.

35 ¹⁷ Rainforest Alliance, Washington, DC, USA.

36 ¹⁸ Department of Ecological Dynamics, Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research, Berlin,
37 Germany.

38 ¹⁹ Global Systems Institute and Institute for Data Science and Artificial Intelligence, University of
39 Exeter, Exeter, United Kingdom.

40 ²⁰ Animal Sciences Group, Wageningen University, the Netherlands.

41 ²¹ IUCN Policy and Programme Group, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.

42 ²² Department of Geophysics and Meteorology, IPB University, Bogor, Indonesia.

43 ²³ Earth System Science, Stanford University, CA, USA.

44 ²⁴ Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Cambridge, UK.

45 ²⁵ Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal do Pará, Belém, Brazil.

46 ²⁶ Centre de Recerca Ecològica i Aplicacions Forestals, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Barcelona, Spain.

47 ²⁷ European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Ispra, Italy.

48 ²⁸ Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa.

49 ²⁹ Department of Ecology and Natural Resource Management, Norwegian University of Life Sciences,
50 Ås, Norway.

51 *e-mail: emeijaard@gmail.com

52 **Abstract**

53 Delivering the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) requires balancing demands on land between
54 agriculture (SDG 2) and biodiversity (SDG 15). The production of vegetable oils, and in particular
55 palm oil, illustrates these competing demands and trade-offs. Palm oil accounts for 40%¹ of the
56 current global annual demand for vegetable oil as food, animal feed, and fuel (210 million tons²
57 (Mt)), but planted oil palm covers less than 5-5.5%³ of total global oil crop area (ca. 425 Mha)⁴, due
58 to oil palm's relatively high yields⁵. Recent oil palm expansion in forested regions of Borneo,
59 Sumatra, and the Malay Peninsula, where >90% of global palm oil is produced⁵, has led to substantial
60 concern around oil palm's role in deforestation. Oil palm expansion's direct contribution to regional
61 tropical deforestation varies widely, ranging from 3% in West Africa to 47% in Malaysia⁶. Oil palm is
62 also implicated in peatland draining and burning in Southeast Asia. Documented negative
63 environmental impacts from such expansion include biodiversity declines, greenhouse gas
64 emissions, and air pollution. However, oil palm generally produces more oil per area than other oil
65 crops⁷, is often economically viable in sites unsuitable for most other crops, and generates
66 considerable wealth for at least some actors⁸. Global demand for vegetable oils is projected to
67 increase by 46% by 2050⁹. Meeting this demand through additional expansion of oil palm versus
68 other vegetable oil crops will lead to substantial differential effects on biodiversity, food security,
69 climate change, land degradation, and livelihoods. Our review highlights that, although substantial
70 gaps remain in our understanding of the relationship between the environmental, socio-cultural and
71 economic impacts of oil palm, and the scope, stringency and effectiveness of initiatives to address
72 these, there has been little research into the impacts and trade-offs of other vegetable oil crops.

73 Greater research attention needs to be given to investigating the impacts of palm oil production
74 compared to alternatives for the trade-offs to be assessed at a global scale.

75 Over the past 25 years, global oil crops have expanded rapidly, with major impacts on land use⁹. The
76 land used for growing oil crops grew from 170 million ha (Mha) in 1961 to 425 Mha in 2017⁴ or ~30%
77 of all cropland world-wide¹⁰. Oil palm, soy, and rapeseed together account for >80% of all vegetable
78 oil production with cotton, groundnuts, sunflower, olive, and coconut comprising most of the
79 remainder (Table 1, Figure 1). These crops, including soy (125 Mha planted area⁴) and maize (197
80 Mha planted area⁴), are also used as animal feed and other products.

81 Oil palm is the most rapidly expanding oil crop. This palm originates from equatorial Africa where it
82 has been cultivated for millennia, but it is now widely grown in Southeast Asia. Between 2008 and
83 2017, oil palm expanded globally at an average rate of 0.7 Mha per year⁴, and palm oil is the leading
84 and cheapest edible oil in much of Asia and Africa. While it has been estimated that palm oil is an
85 ingredient in 43% of products found in British supermarkets¹¹, we lack comparable studies for the
86 prevalence of other oils.

87 As a wild plant, the oil palm is a colonising species that establishes in open areas. Cultivated palms
88 are commonly planted as monocultures, although the tree is also used in mixed, small-scale and
89 agroforestry settings. To maximize photosynthetic capacity and fruit yields, oil palm requires a warm
90 and wet climate, high solar radiation, and high humidity. It is thus most productive in the humid
91 tropics, while other oil crops, except coconut, grow primarily in subtropical and temperate regions
92 (Table 1). Moreover, because oil palm tolerates many soils including deep peat and sandy substrates,
93 it is often profitable in locations where few other commodity crops are viable. The highest yields
94 from planted oil palm have been reported in Southeast Asia⁵. Yields are generally lower in Africa¹²
95 and the Neotropics⁵, likely reflecting differences in climatic conditions including humidity and cloud
96 cover¹², as well as management, occurrence of pests and diseases, and planting stock¹³.

97 Palm oil is controversial due to its social and environmental impacts and opportunities. Loss of
98 natural habitats, reduction in woody biomass, and peatland drainage that occur during site
99 preparation are the main direct environmental impacts from oil palm development¹⁴. Such
100 conversion typically reduces biodiversity and water quality and increases greenhouse gas emissions,
101 and, when fire is used, smoke and haze^{5,15}. Industrial oil palm expansion by large multi-national and
102 national companies is also often associated with social problems, such as land grabbing and conflicts,
103 labour exploitation, social inequity¹⁶ and declines in village-level well-being¹⁷. In producer countries,
104 oil palm is a valued crop that brings economic development to regions with few alternative
105 agricultural development options⁸, and generates substantial average livelihood improvements
106 when smallholder farmers adopt oil palm¹⁸. Here we review the current understanding of the
107 environmental impacts from oil palm cultivation and assess what we know about other oil crops in
108 comparison. Our focus is on biodiversity implications and the environmental aspects of
109 sustainability, and we acknowledge the importance of considering these alongside socio-cultural,
110 political, and economic outcomes.

111 **DEFORESTATION AND OIL PALM EXPANSION**

112 A remote sensing assessment found that oil palm plantations covered at least 19.5 Mha globally in
113 2019 (Figure 2), of which an estimated 67.2% were industrial-scale plantings and the remainder
114 smallholders³. With 17.5 Mha, Southeast Asia has the largest area under production, followed by
115 South and Central America (1.31 Mha), Africa (0.58 Mha) and the Pacific (0.14 Mha). However, the
116 actual area under oil palm production could be 10–20% greater than the area detected from satellite
117 imagery, i.e. 21.5–23.4 Mha, because young plantations (< ca. 3 years), open-canopy plantations, or
118 mixed-species agroforests were omitted³. Estimates suggest that the proportion of oil palm area
119 under smallholder cultivation (typically less than 50 ha of land per family¹⁹) varies from 30–60% in
120 parts of Malaysia and Indonesia¹⁷ to 94% in Nigeria⁵.

121 The overall contribution of oil palm expansion to deforestation varies widely and depends in part on
122 assessment scope (temporal, spatial) and methods. We reviewed 23 studies that reported land use
123 or land cover change involving oil palm (Table S1 and S2). In Malaysian Borneo, oil palm was an
124 important contributor to overall deforestation²⁰. Here, new plantations accounted for 50% of
125 deforestation from 1972 to 2015 when using a 5-year cut-off to link deforestation and oil palm
126 development²¹ (Figure 3, Figure S2, Table S3). In contrast, one global sample-based study suggested
127 that between 2000 and 2013, just 0.2% of global deforestation in “Intact Forest Landscapes” was
128 caused by oil palm development²².

129 The degree to which oil palm expansion has replaced forests (defined as naturally regenerating
130 closed canopy forests) varies with context. From 1972 to 2015, around 46% of new plantations
131 expanded into forest, with the remainder replacing croplands, pasturelands, scrublands (including
132 secondary forest regrowth), and other land uses⁵. Individual studies reported forest clearance
133 ranging from 68% of tracked oil palm expansion in Malaysia and 44% in the Peruvian Amazon, to just
134 5–6% in West Africa, Central America, and South America excluding Peru (Figure 3). In general, oil
135 palm expansion in the Neotropics is characterized by the conversion of previously cleared lands
136 instead of forests^{23,24}, although the extent to which oil palm displaces other land uses into forests
137 remains uncertain. In Indonesia and Malaysian Borneo, industrial plantation expansion and
138 associated deforestation have declined since ca. 2011^{6,25}. However, smallholder plantings developed
139 to support demand by industrial palm oil mills may be increasing. To date, only two studies have
140 clearly differentiated between forest clearing by smallholders and industrial plantations (Table S2).
141 In Peru, 30% of smallholder plantings resulted in deforestation²⁶, while in Sumatra, Indonesia 39% of
142 smallholder expansion was into forest²⁷. While we still lack broader understanding of the
143 deforestation impacts of smallholders²⁷, recent studies from Indonesian Borneo show that like
144 industrial actors, smallholders sometimes convert fragile ecosystems such as tropical peatlands into

145 oil palm plantations²⁸. Other oil crops have not yet been mapped globally with similar levels of
146 accuracy, precluding detailed assessments and comparisons.

147 **OIL PALM'S DIRECT IMPACTS ON SPECIES**

148 The International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species²⁹
149 documents 321 species for which oil palm is a reported threat, significantly more than for other oil
150 crops (Figure 4, Table 1). Species threatened by oil palm made up 3.5% of the taxa threatened by
151 annual and perennial non-timber crops (9,088 species) and 1.2% of all globally threatened taxa
152 (27,159 species) in 2019 (Supplementary Materials, Table S4). These species include orangutans
153 *Pongo* spp., gibbons *Hylobates* spp. and the tiger *Panthera tigris*. Species threat lists, however, are
154 incomplete as most plant groups have not been comprehensively assessed, and the focus of threat
155 studies may be biased toward certain oil crops. For example, perennial crops (oil palm, coconut,
156 olive) might be more easily identified as a threat to a species than annual crops, because perennial
157 crops facilitate long-term studies that are more difficult with annual crops that may not be planted
158 every year. Also, the IUCN Red List focuses on threats in the recent past, and is thus biased toward
159 crops with recent rapid expansion. Better information is needed for all oil crops about where they
160 are grown, and how their expansion has affected and could affect natural and semi-natural
161 ecosystems and biodiversity. We note that because coconut is primarily grown in tropical island
162 nations it stands out as a particular threat for rare and endemic species with small ranges³⁰ (Table 1).

163 Oil palm plantations contain lower species diversity and abundance for most taxonomic groups
164 when compared to natural forest^{31,32}. Plant diversity in some plantations is less than 1% of that in
165 natural forests³¹, but because oil palm is perennial, associated plant diversity may exceed that of
166 annual oil crops (Table 1). One study found 298 plant species in the oil palm undergrowth³³, and
167 another found 16 species of fern on oil palm trunks³⁴, while a meta-analysis of plant diversity in a
168 range of annual crops, including oil crops, found between one and 15 associated plant species³⁵.

169 Plant diversity in any oil croplands also depends on management choices such as tillage, weeding
170 and the use of herbicides or other chemicals.

171 Recorded mammal diversity in oil palm is 47–90% lower than in natural forest^{36,37}, and strongly
172 depends on the proximity of natural forests. Oil palm plantations generally exclude forest specialist
173 species^{38,39}, which are often those species of greatest conservation importance. For example, forest-
174 dependent gibbons (Hylobatidae) cannot survive in stands of monocultural oil palm, but can make
175 use of interspersed forest fragments within an oil palm matrix³¹. Some species, although unable to
176 survive solely in oil palm, will utilise plantations. For instance, planted oil palm in Malaysian Borneo
177 supported 22 of the 63 mammal species found in forest habitats³⁶, and 31 of 130 bird species⁴⁰, most
178 of them relatively common species. Oil palm in Guatemala and Brazil supported 23 and 58 bird
179 species, respectively^{39,41}, while 12 species of snakes were found in a Nigerian oil palm plantation⁴².

180 Various species will enter plantations to feed on oil palm fruit, including Palm-nut Vultures
181 *Gypohierax angolensis*⁴³ and Chimpanzee *Pan troglodytes*⁴³ in Africa and porcupines (Hystricidae),
182 civets (Viverridae), macaques (Cercopithecidae), elephants (Elephantidae) and orangutans in
183 Southeast Asia⁴⁴. The highest diversity of animal species in oil palm areas, however, is generally
184 found in the wider landscape that includes remnant patches of native vegetation^{45,46}. Factors that
185 are likely to positively influence biodiversity values in both industrial-scale and smallholder
186 plantations include higher landscape heterogeneity, the presence of large forest patches and
187 connectivity among these⁴⁷, and the plant diversity and structure of undergrowth vegetation. For
188 example, in palm areas where there is systematic cattle grazing, bird and dung beetle abundance
189 and diversity increase^{48,49}.

190 Oil palm cultivation involves the introduction and spread of invasive species including the oil palm
191 itself (noted in Madagascar and Brazil's Atlantic Forests⁵⁰), as well as non-native cover crops and
192 nitrogen-fixing plants (e.g., *Mucuna bracteata* or *Calopogonium caeruleum*). Similarly, management
193 of oil palm plantations can increase the local abundance of species such as Barn Owls *Tyto alba*,

194 introduced into plantations to control rodents⁵¹. Oil palm plantations also support pests such as the
195 Black Rat *Rattus rattus*, pigs *Sus* spp., and beetles such as the Asiatic Rhinoceros Beetle *Oryctes*
196 *rhinoceros* and the Red Palm Weevil *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus*⁵². Such species can impact palm oil
197 production negatively, for example in reducing oil palm yields through damage to the palm or fruit
198 predation⁵³. They also have a range of local effects, both positive and negative for biodiversity,
199 including animals that prey on them, such as snakes, owls, monkeys and cats⁵⁴, while the extra food
200 provided by oil palm fruits can increase pig populations resulting in reduced seedling recruitment in
201 forests neighbouring oil palm⁵⁵.

202 Management within oil palm areas to retain riparian reserves and other set-asides containing
203 natural forest may contribute to pollination and pest control within the plantation, although they
204 may also harbour pests and disease⁵⁶. Studies to date suggest overall limited, or neutral, effects of
205 such set-asides on pest control services, spill over of pest species, or oil palm yield⁵⁷. There are also
206 plenty of unknowns, for example, the African beetle *Elaiodobius kamerunicus* has been introduced
207 as an effective oil palm pollinator and is now widely naturalised in Southeast Asia and America
208 where it also persists in native vegetation and visits the inflorescences of native palms but its
209 impacts, if any, are unexamined (DS pers. obs.). No systematic analysis has been conducted to assess
210 the impact of non-native and invasive species associated with other oil crops.

211 Smallholder plantations tend to be smaller and more heterogeneous than industrial developments,
212 which potentially benefits wildlife, but this remains poorly studied³². A handful of studies indicate
213 that smallholdings support a similar number of, or slightly more, bird and mammal species than
214 industrial plantations, e.g. ⁵⁸. However, species in smallholder plantations may be more exposed to
215 other pressures, such as hunting, when compared to industrial plantations⁵⁸.

216 **OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS**

217 Oil palm plantations have a predominantly negative net effect on ecosystem functions when
218 compared to primary, selectively logged or secondary forest¹⁵. The clearance of forests and drainage
219 of peatlands for oil palm emits substantial carbon dioxide⁵⁹. Oil palms can maintain high rates of
220 carbon uptake⁶⁰ and their oil can potentially be used to substitute fossil fuels, and thus contribute
221 towards sustainable energy (SDG 7) and climate change response (SDG 13). Yet, biofuel from oil
222 palm cannot compensate for the carbon released when forests are cleared and peatlands drained
223 over short or medium time-scales (<100 years)⁶¹. Moreover, the carbon opportunity cost of oil palm,
224 which reflects the land's opportunity to store carbon if it is not used for agriculture, is not very
225 different from annual vegetable oil crops⁶¹ (Table 1).

226 Oil palm plantations, and the production of palm oil, can also be sources of methane⁶² and nitrous
227 oxide⁶³, both potent greenhouse gases that contribute further to climate change, although the
228 former is sometimes used as biogas, reducing net greenhouse gas release⁶⁴. Other emissions
229 associated with oil palm development include elevated isoprene production by palm trees, which
230 influences atmospheric chemistry, cloud cover and rainfall, although how this affects the
231 environment remains unclear⁶⁵. In addition, there is some evidence that emissions of other organic
232 compounds, e.g., estragole and toluene⁶⁶, are also higher in oil palm plantations than in forest, but
233 these emissions appear minor compared to isoprene⁶⁷.

234 Forest loss and land use conversion to oil palm impact the local and regional climate, although the
235 extent of these impacts remains debated⁶⁸. For example, increased temperatures and reduced
236 rainfall recorded over Borneo since the mid-1970s are thought to relate to the island's declining
237 forest cover which is partly due to the expansion of oil palm, with climate changes being greater in
238 areas where forest losses were higher⁶⁹. Indeed, oil palm plantations tend to be hotter, drier and
239 less shaded than forests due to their less dense canopy, and often have higher evapotranspiration
240 rates than forests⁷⁰. A drier hotter climate increases the risk of fire and concomitant smoke
241 pollution, especially in peat ecosystems⁷¹. In addition to human health consequences (e.g.,

242 respiratory diseases, conjunctivitis), such fires can impact wildlife⁷² and atmospheric processes. For
243 example, aerosols from fires can scatter solar radiation, disrupt evaporation, and promote drought⁶⁸.
244 Few of these relationships are well-studied.

245 Conversion of natural forests to oil palm plantations increases run-off and sediment export due to
246 loss or reduction of riparian buffers, reduced ground cover, and dense road networks⁷³. Streams
247 flowing through plantations tend to be warmer, shallower, sandier, more turbid, and to have
248 reduced abundances of aquatic species such as dragonflies (Anisoptera) than streams in forested
249 areas⁷⁴. Fertilizers, pesticides, and other chemicals used on plantations also impact water quality and
250 aquatic habitats⁷⁵. The effluent from most modern mills is minimized, but release into local rivers
251 has caused negative impacts to people and to aquatic and marine ecosystems⁷⁶. Some hydrological
252 impacts may be viewed as positive: for example, construction of flood-control channels and
253 sedimentation ponds for palm oil effluent can benefit some water birds⁷⁷.

254 Drainage of peatlands and other wetlands to establish oil palm disrupts hydrological cycles,
255 potentially impacting neighbouring forests and other habitats⁷⁸. The protection and restoration of
256 riparian buffers and reserves within oil palm plantations is therefore key to preserving water quality,
257 with recent research also showing the importance of these landscape features for biodiversity and
258 ecosystem function⁷⁹. Riparian reserve widths required by law in many tropical countries (20–50 m
259 on each bank) can support substantial levels of biodiversity, maintain hydrological functioning, and
260 improve habitat connectivity and permeability for some species within oil palm⁷⁹. However, research
261 is urgently needed regarding minimum buffer width and size requirements under different contexts,
262 for different taxa, and for different oil crops.

263 **THE FUTURE OF OIL PALM**

264 Demand for agricultural commodities is growing. Some predict that palm oil production will
265 accelerate across tropical Africa⁸⁰. However, due to current socio-cultural, technical, political and

266 ecological constraints only around one-tenth of the potential 51 million ha in the five main
267 producing countries in tropical Africa is likely to be profitably developed in the near future¹³,
268 although this might change as technological, financial and governance conditions improve⁸¹. The
269 expansion of oil palm in the Neotropics is also uncertain because of greater challenges the sector
270 faces compared to Southeast Asia, including lower yields, high labour costs, volatile socio-political
271 contexts, and high investment costs⁵. Although the importance of these factors varies from country
272 to country, in general the expansion of the palm oil industry in the Americas depends heavily on
273 economic incentives and policies, and access to international markets.

274 Meeting the growing demand for palm oil, while adhering to new zero deforestation policies⁸², and
275 consumer pressure to be more sustainable, will likely require a combination of approaches, including
276 increasing yields in existing production areas especially those managed by smallholders⁹, and
277 planting in deforested areas and degraded open ecosystems such as man-made pastures⁶⁰. These
278 strategies span a land-sparing and land-sharing continuum, with higher-yielding oil palm cultivation
279 sparing land and perhaps reducing overall impacts on biodiversity³⁸, although intermediate
280 strategies on the sparing-sharing continuum may be better at meeting broader societal goals⁸³.
281 Irrespective of the optimal strategy, replanting with high-yielding palms or implementing land
282 sharing agroforestry techniques are challenging for smallholders, who often lack resources and
283 technical knowledge, and may not be able to access improved varieties required to increase yields⁸⁴.
284 In such situations, provision of technical support from government agencies, non-government
285 organisations or private companies may help smallholders choose intensification over clearing more
286 land to increase palm oil production¹².

287 The extent to which biofuel demand by international markets will drive oil palm expansion remains
288 unclear. There is resistance from environmental non-governmental organizations and governments,
289 including the European Union, the second-largest palm oil importer after India⁵, to the use of palm
290 oil as a biofuel to replace fossil fuels and meet climate change mitigation goals. Such resistance is

291 related to the high CO₂-emissions from oil palm-driven deforestation and associated peatland
292 development⁸⁵. Nonetheless, if oil palm is developed on low carbon stock lands, estimates suggest it
293 may have lower carbon emissions per unit of energy produced than other oil crops like European
294 rapeseed⁸⁶. Consistent and comparable information on the extent and consequences of other oil
295 crops is urgently required to encourage more efficient land use⁶¹.

296 **GOVERNANCE OPTIONS**

297 Efforts to address the impacts of oil palm cultivation and palm oil trade have been the focus of
298 several initiatives. For example, the two main producer countries have set up the Malaysian
299 Sustainable Palm Oil and Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil certification schemes, which mandate that
300 oil palm producers comply with a set of practices meant to ensure social and environmentally
301 responsible production. International concerns related to deforestation have been addressed
302 through the High Carbon Stock and High Conservation Value approaches⁸⁷, which are methodologies
303 that guide identification and protection of lands with relatively intact forest or value for biodiversity,
304 ecosystem services, livelihoods and cultural identity. These frameworks are used by producers to
305 meet the requirements of palm oil sustainability initiatives including certification under the
306 Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) standard. This standard was recently expanded to
307 include protection, management, and restoration of riparian areas within certified plantations, a
308 prohibition on new planting on peat, and compliance with the standard is now being used to meet
309 corporate zero-deforestation commitments⁵. There is evidence for positive impacts of RSPO
310 certification achieved through improved management practices, including changes in agrochemical
311 use, improved forest protection, and reduced fires and biodiversity losses, although these effects
312 remain small^{88,89}.

313 Many producers and traders of palm oil have now committed to “zero deforestation”. A 2017 cross-
314 commodity survey⁹⁰ found that companies in the palm oil sector have the highest proportion of no-

315 deforestation commitments across four commodity supply chains (palm oil, soy, timber and cattle)
316 linked to global deforestation. Although most of these commitments have been made by retailers
317 and manufacturers⁹⁰, oil palm growers have also made such pledges. In 2018, 41 of the 50 palm oil
318 producers with the largest market capitalization and land areas had committed to address
319 deforestation, with 29 of them pledging to adhere to zero deforestation practices⁹¹. These
320 commitments have been identified as a factor in declining expansion of oil palm in Malaysia and
321 Indonesia^{6,25}, although low commodity prices have likely also contributed⁶. Such private supply chain
322 initiatives like certification and zero-deforestation commitments may be most effective in reducing
323 environmental impacts when leveraged with public and institutional support such as plantation
324 moratoria for certain areas and national low-carbon rural development strategies⁹², as has been
325 demonstrated, for example, in Brazilian soy production⁹³.

326 **LAND USE TRADE-OFFS AMONG VEGETABLE OILS**

327 While the environmental impacts of oil palm on natural ecosystems are overwhelmingly negative,
328 such impacts also need to be considered in relation to other land uses, including competing
329 vegetable oil commodities, all of which have their own implications for biodiversity, carbon
330 emissions and other environmental dynamics (Table 1). Global vegetable oil production is expected
331 to expand at around 1.5% per year between 2017 and 2027⁹⁴, while use is projected to expand at
332 1.7% per year globally between 2013 and 2050 from a baseline of 165 million tons (Mt), including for
333 use in food, feed and biofuel⁹. Unless demand for oil decelerates, this implies an additional
334 production of an average of 3.86 Mt of vegetable oil per year. If this production was delivered by oil
335 palm alone, yielding ca. 4 tons of crude palm oil per ha^{5,7}, 31.3 Mha of additional vegetable oil
336 production land would be needed between 2020 and 2050. If, the addition instead all came from
337 soy, yielding about 0.7 tons of oil per ha⁹, 179 Mha of extra land, or nearly six times as much, would
338 be required. This simple calculation glosses over nuances of substitutability⁹⁵ or differential yield

339 increases among crops, but illustrates the magnitude of differences between land needed by oil
340 palm and other oil crops⁹⁶.

341 Understanding impacts is, however, not just a matter of comparing current and projected
342 distributions and yields of different crops and thus land needs, but also requires clarifying how each
343 hectare of land converted to an oil crop impacts both the environment and people. For example, soy
344 is known to have a large negative impact on biodiversity, with few vertebrates occurring in this
345 annual monoculture crop⁹⁷, and is responsible for loss of high biodiversity savanna and forest
346 ecosystems in South America⁹⁸. Thus, sustainable development, including simultaneous delivery of
347 SDGs 2 on agriculture and 15 on biodiversity (alongside contributions to SDG 7 on energy and SDG
348 13 on climate), must consider the wider trade-offs posed by sourcing global vegetable oils⁹⁹. One key
349 uncertainty is the extent to which demand can be met by increasing yields within established
350 vegetable oil croplands. An additional uncertainty is whether other options, for example microalgal-
351 derived lipids¹⁰⁰, may soon offer viable alternatives to meet demand for biofuel.

352 **THE WAY FORWARD**

353 The expansion of oil palm has had large negative environmental impacts and continues to cause
354 deforestation in some regions. Nevertheless, oil palm contributes to economic development⁵, has
355 improved welfare for at least some people¹⁷, and can be consistent with at least some conservation
356 goals especially when compared to other oil crops⁸¹. There remain substantial gaps in our
357 understanding of oil palm and the interaction between environmental, socio-cultural and economic
358 impacts of the crop, and the scope, stringency and effectiveness of governance initiatives to address
359 these⁵. None of these concerns and trade-offs are unique to oil palm: they also apply to other
360 vegetable oil crops^{30,98}, as well as other agricultural products¹⁰¹. Indeed, all land uses and not just
361 those in the tropics have impacts on their environment⁸, that can either be prevented or restored¹⁰².
362 Pressure on the palm oil industry has, however, apparently resulted in more research on the impacts

363 of palm oil production compared to other oils resulting in an urgent need to better study these
364 alternatives.

365 In a world with finite land and growing demands, we must consider global demands for food, fuel
366 and industrial uses hand-in-hand with environmental conservation objectives. Oil palm's high yields
367 mean that it requires less land to meet global oil demand than other oil crops. However, minimising
368 overall vegetable oil crop impacts requires evaluation for their past, current and projected
369 distribution and impacts, and review of their yields and global trade and uses. This information is
370 needed to enable better planning and governance of land use for all oil crops, matching risks and
371 opportunities with local conditions and realities, and to optimize the simultaneous delivery of the
372 SDGs.

373 LITERATURE CITED

- 374 1 USDA. Oil Seeds: World Markets and Trade. November 2019. (Foreign Agricultural Service,
375 United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC, 2019).
- 376 2 USDA-FAS. Oilseeds: World Markets and Trade. Circular Series FOP 8-10 August. (United
377 States Department of Agriculture Foreign Agricultural Service, Washington, DC, 2010).
- 378 3 Descals, A. *et al.* High-resolution global map of smallholder and industrial closed-canopy oil
379 palm plantations. Preprint at <https://essd.copernicus.org/preprints/essd-2020-159/>.
380 doi:10.5194/essd-2020-159 (2020).
- 381 4 FAOSTAT. Food and Agriculture Data. <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home>. (Food and
382 Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 2019).
- 383 5 Meijaard, E. *et al.* *Oil Palm and Biodiversity – A Situation Analysis*. DOI:
384 10.2305/IUCN.CH.2018.11.en. (IUCN Oil Palm Task Force, 2018).
- 385 6 Gaveau, D. L. A. *et al.* Rise and fall of forest loss and industrial plantations in Borneo (2000–
386 2017). *Cons. Lett.* **0**, e12622, doi:10.1111/conl.12622 (2019).
- 387 7 Johnston, M., Foley, J. A., Holloway, T., Kucharik, C. & Monfreda, C. Resetting global
388 expectations from agricultural biofuels. *Env. Res. Lett.* **4**, 014004, doi:10.1088/1748-
389 9326/4/1/014004 (2009).
- 390 8 Meijaard, E. & Sheil, D. The Moral Minefield of Ethical Oil Palm and Sustainable
391 Development. *Front. Forests Glob. Change* **2**, doi:10.3389/ffgc.2019.00022 (2019).
- 392 9 Byerlee, D., Falcon, W. P. & Naylor, R. L. *The Tropical Oil Crop Revolution: Food, Feed, Fuel,*
393 *and Forests*. (Oxford University Press, 2017).
- 394 10 Ramankutty, N. *et al.* Trends in Global Agricultural Land Use: Implications for Environmental
395 Health and Food Security. *Ann. Rev. Plant Biol.* **69**, 789-815, doi:10.1146/annurev-arplant-
396 042817-040256 (2018).
- 397 11 Independent. The guilty secrets of palm oil: Are you unwittingly contributing to the
398 devastation of the rain forests?. <https://www.independent.co.uk/environment/the-guilty->

- 399 [secrets-of-palm-oil-are-you-unwittingly-contributing-to-the-devastation-of-the-rain-](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/3211676218)
400 [1676218.html](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/3211676218). (2009).
- 401 12 Woittiez, L. S., van Wijk, M. T., Slingerland, M., van Noordwijk, M. & Giller, K. E. Yield gaps in
402 oil palm: A quantitative review of contributing factors. *Europ. J. Agron.* **83**, 57-77,
403 doi:10.1016/j.eja.2016.11.002 (2017).
- 404 13 Feintrenie, L., Gazull, L., Goulaouic, R. & Miaro III, L. Spatialized production models for
405 sustainable palm oil in Central Africa: Choices and potentials. Presented at Scaling Up
406 Responsible Land Governance. Annual World Bank Conference on Land and Poverty,
407 Washington DC, March 14-18, 2016. (2016).
- 408 14 Sheil, D. *et al.* The impacts and opportunities of oil palm in Southeast Asia. What do we
409 know and what do we need to know? *CIFOR Occ. Paper*, no. 51 (2009).
- 410 15 Dislich, C. *et al.* A review of the ecosystem functions in oil palm plantations, using forests as
411 a reference system. *Biol. Rev.* **92**, 1539-1569, doi:10.1111/brv.12295 (2017).
- 412 16 Li, T., M. *Evidence-based options for advancing social equity in Indonesian palm oil:*
413 *Implications for research, policy and advocacy.* (Center for International Forestry Research
414 (CIFOR), 2018).
- 415 17 Santika, T. *et al.* Does oil palm agriculture help alleviate poverty? A multidimensional
416 counterfactual assessment of oil palm development in Indonesia. *World Dev.* **120**, 105-117,
417 doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.04.012 (2019).
- 418 18 Krishna, V., Euler, M., Siregar, H. & Qaim, M. Differential livelihood impacts of oil palm
419 expansion in Indonesia. *Agric. Econ.* **48**, 639-653, doi:10.1111/agec.12363 (2017).
- 420 19 RSPO Smallholders Task Force. Smallholders. Retrieved from
421 <https://rspo.org/smallholders#definition>. (2012).
- 422 20 Gaveau, D. L. A. *et al.* Four decades of forest persistence, loss and logging on Borneo. *PLOS*
423 *ONE* **9**, e101654, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101654 (2014).
- 424 21 Gaveau, D. L. A. *et al.* Rapid conversions and avoided deforestation: examining four decades
425 of industrial plantation expansion in Borneo. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 32017, doi:10.1038/srep32017
426 (2016).
- 427 22 Potapov, P. *et al.* The last frontiers of wilderness: Tracking loss of intact forest landscapes
428 from 2000 to 2013. *Sc. Adv.* **3**, e1600821, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1600821 (2017).
- 429 23 Vijay, V., Pimm, S. L., Jenkins, C. N. & Smith, S. J. The Impacts of Oil Palm on Recent
430 Deforestation and Biodiversity Loss. *PLOS ONE* **11**, e0159668,
431 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159668 (2016).
- 432 24 Furumo, P. R. & Aide, T. M. Characterizing commercial oil palm expansion in Latin America:
433 land use change and trade. *Env. Res. Lett.* **12**, 024008, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aa5892
434 (2017).
- 435 25 Austin, K. G., Schwantes, A., Gu, Y. & Kasibhatla, P. S. What causes deforestation in
436 Indonesia? *Env. Res. Lett.* **14**, 024007, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aaf6db (2019).
- 437 26 Gutiérrez-Vélez, V., H. *et al.* High-yield oil palm expansion spares land at the expense of
438 forests in the Peruvian Amazon. *Env. Res. Lett.* **6**, 044029, doi:10.1088/1748-
439 9326/6/4/044029 (2011).
- 440 27 Lee, J. S. H. *et al.* Environmental Impacts of Large-Scale Oil Palm Enterprises Exceed that of
441 Smallholdings in Indonesia. *Cons. Lett.* **7**, 25-33, doi:10.1111/conl.12039 (2014).
- 442 28 Schoneveld, G. C., Ekowati, D., Andrianto, A. & van der Haar, S. Modeling peat- and
443 forestland conversion by oil palm smallholders in Indonesian Borneo. *Env. Res. Lett.* **14**,
444 014006, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aaf044 (2019).
- 445 29 IUCN. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2019-2.
446 <https://www.iucnredlist.org>. (Gland, Switzerland, 2019).

- 447 30 Meijaard, E., Abrams, J. F., Juffe-Bignoli, D., Voigt, M. & Sheil, D. Coconut oil, conservation
448 and the conscientious consumer. *Curr. Biol.* **30**, R757-R758, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.05.059
449 (2020).
- 450 31 Foster, W. A. *et al.* Establishing the evidence base for maintaining biodiversity and
451 ecosystem function in the oil palm landscapes of South East Asia. *Phil. Trans Roy. Soc. B: Biol.*
452 *Sc.* **366**, 3277, doi:10.1098/rstb.2011.0041 (2011).
- 453 32 Savilaakso, S. *et al.* Systematic review of effects on biodiversity from oil palm production.
454 *Env. Evidence* **3**, 4, doi:10.1186/2047-2382-3-4 (2014).
- 455 33 Germer, J. U. *Spatial undergrowth species composition in oil palm (Elaeis guineensis Jacq.) in*
456 *West Sumatra*, Kommunikations-, Informations- und Medienzentrum der Universität
457 Hohenheim, (2003).
- 458 34 Sato, T., Itoh, H., Kudo, G., Kheong, Y. S. & Furukawa, A. Species Composition and Structure
459 of Epiphytic Fern Community on Oil Palm Trunks in Malay Archipelago. *Tropics* **6**, 139-148,
460 doi:10.3759/tropics.6.139 (1996).
- 461 35 Letourneau, D. K. *et al.* Does plant diversity benefit agroecosystems? A synthetic review.
462 *Ecol. Appl.* **21**, 9-21, doi:10.1890/09-2026.1 (2011).
- 463 36 Wearn, O. R., Carbone, C., Rowcliffe, J. M., Bernard, H. & Ewers, R. M. Grain-dependent
464 responses of mammalian diversity to land use and the implications for conservation set-
465 aside. *Ecol. Appl.* **26**, 1409-1420, doi:10.1890/15-1363 (2016).
- 466 37 Pardo, L. E. *et al.* Land management strategies can increase oil palm plantation use by some
467 terrestrial mammals in Colombia. *Scient. Rep.* **9**, 7812, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-44288-y
468 (2019).
- 469 38 Phalan, B., Onial, M., Balmford, A. & Green, R. E. Reconciling Food Production and
470 Biodiversity Conservation: Land Sharing and Land Sparing Compared. *Science* **333**, 1289-
471 1291, doi:10.1126/science.1208742 (2011).
- 472 39 Almeida, S. M. *et al.* The effects of oil palm plantations on the functional diversity of
473 Amazonian birds. *J. Trop. Ecol.* **32**, 510-525, doi:10.1017/S0266467416000377 (2016).
- 474 40 Edwards, D. P. *et al.* Selective-logging and oil palm: multitaxon impacts, biodiversity
475 indicators, and trade-offs for conservation planning. *Ecol. Applic.* **24**, 2029-2049,
476 doi:10.1890/14-0010.1 (2014).
- 477 41 Nájera, A. & Simonetti, J. A. Can oil palm plantations become bird friendly? *Agrofor. Syst.* **80**,
478 203-209, doi:10.1007/s10457-010-9278-y (2010).
- 479 42 Akani, G. C., Ebere, N., Luiselli, L. & Eniang, E. A. Community structure and ecology of snakes
480 in fields of oil palm trees (*Elaeis guineensis*) in the Niger Delta, southern Nigeria. *Afr. J. Ecol.*
481 **46**, 500-506, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2028.2007.00885.x (2008).
- 482 43 Humle, T. & Matsuzawa, T. Oil palm use by adjacent communities of chimpanzees at Bossou
483 and Nimba Mountains, West Africa. *Int. J. Primatol.* **25**, 551-581,
484 doi:10.1023/B:IJOP.0000023575.93644.f4 (2004).
- 485 44 Ancrenaz, M. *et al.* Of pongo, palms, and perceptions – A multidisciplinary assessment of
486 orangutans in an oil palm context. *Oryx* **49**, 465–472, doi:10.1017/S0030605313001270
487 (2015).
- 488 45 Mitchell, S. L. *et al.* Riparian reserves help protect forest bird communities in oil palm
489 dominated landscapes. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **55**, 2744-2755, doi:10.1111/1365-2664.13233 (2018).
- 490 46 Deere, N. J. *et al.* Implications of zero-deforestation commitments: Forest quality and
491 hunting pressure limit mammal persistence in fragmented tropical landscapes. *Cons. Lett.*
492 **13**, e12701, doi:10.1111/conl.12701 (2020).
- 493 47 Knowlton, J. L. *et al.* Oil palm plantations affect movement behavior of a key member of
494 mixed-species flocks of forest birds in Amazonia, Brazil. *Trop. Cons. Sc.* **10**,
495 1940082917692800, doi:10.1177/1940082917692800 (2017).

496 48 Tohiran, K. A. *et al.* Targeted cattle grazing as an alternative to herbicides for controlling
497 weeds in bird-friendly oil palm plantations. *Agron. Sust. Dev.* **37**, 62, doi:10.1007/s13593-
498 017-0471-5 (2017).

499 49 Slade, E. M. *et al.* Can cattle grazing in mature oil palm increase biodiversity and ecosystem
500 service provision? . *The Planter* **90**, 655-665 (2014).

501 50 IUCN. Global Invasive Species Database (GISD). Species profile *Elaeis guineensis*. Available
502 from: <http://www.iucngisd.org/gisd/species>. [Accessed 27 February 2018]. (2015).

503 51 Wan, H. The introduction of barn owl (*Tyto alba*) to Sabah for rat control in oil palm
504 plantations. *Planter* **76**, 215-222 (2000).

505 52 Bessou, C. *et al.* *Sustainable Palm Oil Production project synthesis: Understanding and*
506 *anticipating global challenges*. (Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR), 2017).

507 53 Puan, C. L., Goldizen, A. W., Zakaria, M., Hafidzi, M. N. & Baxter, G. S. Relationships among
508 rat numbers, abundance of oil palm fruit and damage levels to fruit in an oil palm plantation.
509 *Intergr. Zool.* **6**, 130-139, doi:10.1111/j.1749-4877.2010.00231.x (2011).

510 54 Holzner, A. *et al.* Macaques can contribute to greener practices in oil palm plantations when
511 used as biological pest control. *Curr. Biol.* **29**, R1066-R1067, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2019.09.011
512 (2019).

513 55 Luskin, M. S. *et al.* Cross-boundary subsidy cascades from oil palm degrade distant tropical
514 forests. *Nature Comms.* **8**, 2231, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01920-7 (2017).

515 56 Mayfield, M. M. The importance of nearby forest to known and potential pollinators of oil
516 palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.; Areceaceae) in southern Costa Rica. *Econ. Botany* **59**, 190,
517 doi:10.1663/0013-0001(2005)059[0190:TIONFT]2 (2005).

518 57 Woodham, C. R. *et al.* Effects of replanting and retention of mature oil palm riparian buffers
519 on ecosystem functioning in oil palm plantations. *Front. Forests Glob. Change*,
520 doi:10.3389/ffgc.2019.00029 (2019).

521 58 Azhar, B. *et al.* The influence of agricultural system, stand structural complexity and
522 landscape context on foraging birds in oil palm landscapes. *Ibis* **155**, 297-312,
523 doi:10.1111/ibi.12025 (2013).

524 59 Wijedasa, L. S. *et al.* Denial of long-term issues with agriculture on tropical peatlands will
525 have devastating consequences. *Glob. Change Biol.* **23**, 977-982, doi:10.1111/gcb.13516
526 (2016).

527 60 Quezada, J. C., Etter, A., Ghazoul, J., Buttler, A. & Guillaume, T. Carbon neutral expansion of
528 oil palm plantations in the Neotropics. *Sc. Advan.* **5**, eaaw4418, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaw4418
529 (2019).

530 61 Searchinger, T. D., Wiersenius, S., Beringer, T. & Dumas, P. Assessing the efficiency of changes
531 in land use for mitigating climate change. *Nature* **564**, 249-253, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-
532 0757-z (2018).

533 62 Reijnders, L. & Huijbregts, M. A. J. Palm oil and the emission of carbon-based greenhouse
534 gases. *J. Cleaner Prod.* **16**, 477-482, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2006.07.054 (2006).

535 63 Murdiyarmo, D., Van Noordwijk, M., Wasrin, U. R., Tomich, T. P. & Gillison, A. N.
536 Environmental benefits and sustainable land-use options in the Jambi transect, Sumatra. *J.*
537 *Veget. Sc.* **13**, 429-438, doi:10.1111/j.1654-1103.2002.tb02067.x (2002).

538 64 Harsono, S. S., Grundmann, P. & Soebronto, S. Anaerobic treatment of palm oil mill
539 effluents: potential contribution to net energy yield and reduction of greenhouse gas
540 emissions from biodiesel production. *J. Cleaner Prod.* **64**, 619-627,
541 doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.07.056 (2014).

542 65 Hewitt, C. N. *et al.* Nitrogen management is essential to prevent tropical oil palm plantations
543 from causing ground-level ozone pollution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sc. USA* **106**, 18447,
544 doi:10.1073/pnas.0907541106 (2009).

- 545 66 Misztal, P. K. *et al.* Direct ecosystem fluxes of volatile organic compounds from oil palms in
546 South-East Asia. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **11**, 8995-9017, doi:10.5194/acp-11-8995-2011 (2011).
- 547 67 Guenther, A. *et al.* The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1
548 (MEGAN2. 1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions.
549 (2012).
- 550 68 Ellison, D. *et al.* Trees, forests and water: Cool insights for a hot world. *Glob. Env. Change* **43**,
551 51-61, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.01.002 (2017).
- 552 69 McAlpine, C. A. *et al.* Forest loss and Borneo's climate. *Env. Res. Lett.* **13**, 044009,
553 doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aaa4ff (2018).
- 554 70 Fan, Y. *et al.* Reconciling canopy interception parameterization and rainfall forcing frequency
555 in the community land model for simulating evapotranspiration of rainforests and oil palm
556 plantations in Indonesia. *J. Advan. Model. Earth Syst.* **11**, 732-751,
557 doi:10.1029/2018MS001490 (2019).
- 558 71 Crippa, P. *et al.* Population exposure to hazardous air quality due to the 2015 fires in
559 Equatorial Asia. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 37074, doi:10.1038/srep37074 (2016).
- 560 72 Nichol, J. Bioclimatic impacts of the 1994 smoke haze event in Southeast Asia. *Atmosph. Env.*
561 **31**, 1209-1219, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(96)00260-9 (1997).
- 562 73 Carlson, K. M. *et al.* Consistent results in stream hydrology across multiple watersheds: A
563 reply to Chew and Goh. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* **120**, 812-817,
564 doi:10.1002/2014JG002834 (2015).
- 565 74 Luke, S. H. *et al.* The effects of catchment and riparian forest quality on stream
566 environmental conditions across a tropical rainforest and oil palm landscape in Malaysian
567 Borneo. *Ecohydrol.* **10**, e1827, doi:10.1002/eco.1827 (2017).
- 568 75 Mayer, P. M., Reynolds, S. K., McCutchen, M. D. & Canfield, T. J. Meta-Analysis of Nitrogen
569 Removal in Riparian Buffers. *J. Env. Qual.* **36**, 1172-1180, doi:10.2134/jeq2006.0462 (2007).
- 570 76 Chellaiah, D. & Yule, C. M. Effect of riparian management on stream morphometry and
571 water quality in oil palm plantations in Borneo. *Limnologica* **69**, 72-80,
572 doi:10.1016/j.limno.2017.11.007 (2018).
- 573 77 Sulai, P. *et al.* Effects of water quality in oil palm production landscapes on tropical
574 waterbirds in Peninsular Malaysia. *Ecol. Res.* **30**, 941-949, doi:10.1007/s11284-015-1297-8
575 (2015).
- 576 78 Anda, M., Siswanto, A. B. & Subandiono, R. E. Properties of organic and acid sulfate soils and
577 water of a 'reclaimed' tidal backswamp in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Geoderma* **149**, 54-
578 65, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2008.11.021 (2009).
- 579 79 Luke, S. H. *et al.* Riparian buffers in tropical agriculture: Scientific support, effectiveness and
580 directions for policy. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **56**, 85-92, doi:10.1111/1365-2664.13280 (2019).
- 581 80 Wich, Serge A. *et al.* Will Oil Palm's Homecoming Spell Doom for Africa's Great Apes? *Curr.*
582 *Biol.* **24**, 1659-1663, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.05.077 (2014).
- 583 81 Sayer, J., Ghazoul, J., Nelson, P. & Boedhihartono, A. K. Oil palm expansion transforms
584 tropical landscapes and livelihoods. *Glob. Food Secur.* **1**, 114-119,
585 doi:10.1016/j.gfs.2012.10.003 (2012).
- 586 82 RSPO. RSPO and HCSA Collaborate to Implement No Deforestation in High Forest Cover
587 Landscapes. [https://rspo.org/news-and-events/news/rspo-and-hcsa-collaborate-to-](https://rspo.org/news-and-events/news/rspo-and-hcsa-collaborate-to-implement-no-deforestation-in-high-forest-cover-landscapes)
588 [implement-no-deforestation-in-high-forest-cover-landscapes](https://rspo.org/news-and-events/news/rspo-and-hcsa-collaborate-to-implement-no-deforestation-in-high-forest-cover-landscapes). (2018).
- 589 83 Law, E. A. *et al.* Mixed policies give more options in multifunctional tropical forest
590 landscapes. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **54**, 51-60, doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12666 (2017).
- 591 84 Budiadi *et al.* Oil palm agroforestry: an alternative to enhance farmers' livelihood resilience.
592 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Env. Sc.* **336**, 012001, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/336/1/012001 (2019).

- 593 85 Valin, H. *et al.* The land use change impact of biofuels consumed in the EU. Quantification of
594 area and greenhouse gas impacts. (ECOFYS Netherlands B.V., Utrecht, the Netherlands,
595 2015).
- 596 86 Thamsiroj, T. & Murphy, J. D. Is it better to import palm oil from Thailand to produce
597 biodiesel in Ireland than to produce biodiesel from indigenous Irish rape seed? *Appl. Energy*
598 **86**, 595-604, doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2008.07.010 (2009).
- 599 87 Rosoman, G., Sheun, S. S., Opal, C., Anderson, P. & Trapshah, R. The HCS Approach Toolkit.
600 (HCS Approach Steering Group, Singapore, 2017).
- 601 88 Carlson, K. M. *et al.* Effect of oil palm sustainability certification on deforestation and fire in
602 Indonesia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, 121-126, doi:10.1073/pnas.1704728114 (2018).
- 603 89 Furumo, P. R., Rueda, X., Rodríguez, J. S. & Parés Ramos, I. K. Field evidence for positive
604 certification outcomes on oil palm smallholder management practices in Colombia. *J.*
605 *Cleaner Prod.* **245**, 118891, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118891> (2020).
- 606 90 Donofrio, S., Rothrock, P. & Leonard, J. Tracking Corporate Commitments to Deforestation-
607 free Supply Chains, 2017. (Forest Trends, Washington, DC, 2017).
- 608 91 SPOTT. Palm oil: ESG policy transparency assessments. <https://www.spott.org/palm-oil/>.
609 (2018).
- 610 92 Furumo, P. R. & Lambin, E. F. Scaling up zero-deforestation initiatives through public-private
611 partnerships: A look inside post-conflict Colombia. **62**, 102055,
612 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102055> (2020).
- 613 93 Gibbs, H. K. *et al.* Brazil's Soy Moratorium. *Science* **347**, 377, doi:10.1126/science.aaa0181
614 (2015).
- 615 94 OECD and FAO. OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook 2018-2027. (2017).
- 616 95 Parsons, S., Raikova, S. & Chuck, C. J. The viability and desirability of replacing palm oil. *Nat.*
617 *Sust.* **3**, 412-418, doi:10.1038/s41893-020-0487-8 (2020).
- 618 96 Qaim, M., Sibhatu, K. T., Siregar, H. & Grass, I. Environmental, Economic, and Social
619 Consequences of the Oil Palm Boom. *Ann. Rev.* **12**, 321-344, doi:10.1146/annurev-resource-
620 110119-024922 (2020).
- 621 97 VanBeek, K. R., Brawn, J. D. & Ward, M. P. Does no-till soybean farming provide any benefits
622 for birds? *Agricult. Ecosyst. Env.* **185**, 59-64, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2013.12.007>
623 (2014).
- 624 98 Green, J. M. H. *et al.* Linking global drivers of agricultural trade to on-the-ground impacts on
625 biodiversity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **116**, 23202, doi:10.1073/pnas.1905618116 (2019).
- 626 99 Strona, G. *et al.* Small room for compromise between oil palm cultivation and primate
627 conservation in Africa. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, 8811, doi:10.1073/pnas.1804775115
628 (2018).
- 629 100 Ajjawi, I. *et al.* Lipid production in *Nannochloropsis gaditana* is doubled by decreasing
630 expression of a single transcriptional regulator. *Nature Biotech.* **35**, 647,
631 doi:10.1038/nbt.3865 (2017).
- 632 101 De Beenhouwer, M., Aerts, R. & Honnay, O. A global meta-analysis of the biodiversity and
633 ecosystem service benefits of coffee and cacao agroforestry. *Agric. Ecosyst. Env.* **175**, 1-7,
634 doi:10.1016/j.agee.2013.05.003 (2013).
- 635 102 Strassburg, B. B. N. *et al.* Global priority areas for ecosystem restoration. *Nature*,
636 doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2784-9 (2020).
- 637 103 Payán, E. & Boron, V. The Future of Wild Mammals in Oil Palm Landscapes in the Neotropics.
638 *Front. Forests Glob. Change* **2**, doi:10.3389/ffgc.2019.00061 (2019).
- 639 104 Maddox, T., Priatna, D., Gemita, E. & Salampessy, A. The conservation of tigers and other
640 wildlife in oil palm plantations Jambi Province, Sumatra, Indonesia. ZSL Conservation Report
641 No.7. (The Zoological Society of London, London, UK, 2007).
- 642 105 Ancrenaz, M. *et al.* *Pongo pygmaeus*. *IUCN Red List Threat. Sp.*, e.T17975A17966347 (2016).

643 106 Pangau-Adam, M., Mühlenberg, M. & Waltert, M. Rainforest disturbance affects population
644 density of the northern cassowary *Casuarius unappendiculatus* in Papua, Indonesia. *Oryx* **49**,
645 735-742, doi:10.1017/S0030605313001464 (2014).
646 107 Alamgir, M. *et al.* Infrastructure expansion challenges sustainable development in Papua
647 New Guinea. *PLOS ONE* **14**, e0219408, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0219408 (2019).
648 108 Katiyar, R. *et al.* Microalgae: An emerging source of energy based bio-products and a
649 solution for environmental issues. *Renew. Sust. Energy Rev.* **72**, 1083-1093,
650 doi:10.1016/j.rser.2016.10.028 (2017).
651 109 Nomanbhay, S., Salman, B., Hussain, R. & Ong, M. Y. Microwave pyrolysis of lignocellulosic
652 biomass—a contribution to power Africa. *Energy Sust. Soc.* **7**, 23, doi:10.1186/s13705-017-
653 0126-z (2017).
654

655 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to emeijaard@gmail.com.

656 **Acknowledgements** The development of this situation analysis was supported by the IUCN project
657 “Global Commons: Solutions for a Crowded Planet”, funded by the Global Environment Facility. DJB
658 received funding from the UK Research and Innovation’s Global Challenges Research Fund under the
659 Trade, Development and the Environment Hub project (project number ES/S008160/1). MP was
660 supported by the CNPq research productivity fellowships (#308403/2017-7). JGU was funded by
661 SNSF R4D-project Oil Palm Adaptive Landscapes.

662

663 **Author contributions**

664 EM, DS, and TB conceptualized this study and developed the initial manuscript, with KC, JGU, DG,
665 JSHL, DJB, SAW, MA, SW, LPK, JFA, ZS and AD assisting in the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation
666 of the data and further writing. ES, TS, JA, HP, CS, DM, PF, NM, RH, MP, and MS provided substantial
667 input into the text revisions, and NZ, JA, DJB, KC, DG, AD and JFA designed the graphics.

668

669 **Competing Interests statement**

670 None of co-authors in this study, except DJB, MP, and JGU, received funding for conducting this
671 review, although the information was partly based on a study funded by the Global Environment

672 Facility. EM, TB, DG, MA, SW, LPK, JGU, KC, NM and DS are members of and have received funding
673 from the IUCN Oil Palm Task Force, a group tasked by the IUCN members to investigate the
674 sustainability of palm oil. TB, DJB, MA, CS and NM work for conservation organizations and EM, MA
675 and MP have done work paid by palm oil companies or the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil.

676 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

677

678 **Figure 1. Main vegetable oil crops (see Table 1). (a) Harvested area from 1961 to 2017. (b)**

679 **Vegetable oil production from 1961 to 2014. Data from FAOSTAT⁴.**

680

681 **Figure 2. Maps of industrial and smallholder-scale oil palm from analysis of satellite imagery until**

682 **the second half of 2019³, and examples of species it affects negatively: (a) *Panthera onca* (Near**

683 **Threatened)¹⁰³ and *Ara macao* (Least Concern)³⁹; (b) *Pan troglodytes* (Endangered)⁸⁰; (c) *Panthera***

684 ***tigris* (Endangered)¹⁰⁴, *Helarctos malayanus* (Vulnerable)¹⁰⁴, *Pongo pygmaeus* (Critically**

685 **Endangered)¹⁰⁵, *Casuarius unappendiculatus* (Least Concern)¹⁰⁶, and *Dendrolagus goodfellowi***

686 **(Endangered)¹⁰⁷. The maps lack information on plantations < 3 years old and planted oil palm in**

687 **mixed agroforestry settings, but provide the most up-to-date estimates available. For each region**

688 **the percentages of intact (green) and non-intact forests (orange) are shown relative to the total**

689 **extent of forest ecosystems²².**

690

691 **Figure 3. Oil palm's estimated role in deforestation aggregated across studies, years, and regions.**

692 **Panel a depicts the contribution of oil palm to overall deforestation, while b shows the percentage**

693 **of all oil palm expansion that cleared forest (Supplementary Methods). There were no data for**

694 **Peru and South and Central America for panel a, and no global data for panel b. Southeast Asia (SE**

695 **Asia) excludes Indonesia and Malaysia, which are shown separately, while South America excludes**

696 **Peru. Each filled circle represents one time period from a single study, with individual studies**

697 **represented by distinct colours. The size of the circle corresponds to the relative number of area-**

698 **years represented in that time period (larger circles represent a larger study area and longer time**

699 **period of sampling). Boxplot middle bars correspond to the unweighted median across study-time**

700 periods; lower and upper hinges represent the 25th and 75th percentiles of study-time periods; and
701 whiskers extend from the upper (lower) hinge to the largest (smallest) value no further than 1.5
702 times the interquartile range from the hinge (Figure S2, Tables S2 and S3).

703

704 **Figure 4 - Species groups with more than 8 threatened species with the terms "palm oil" or "oil**
705 **palm" in the threats texts of the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species Assessments²⁹. In total 321**
706 **species assessments had oil palm plantations as one of the reported threats (301 when excluding**
707 **groups with < 8 threatened species), which constitutes 3.5% of threatened species threatened by**
708 **annual and perennial non-timber crops (9,088 species) and 1.2% of all globally threatened species**
709 **(27,159 species) in 2019 (Supplementary Material and Table S4). CR = Critically Endangered; EN =**
710 **Endangered; VU = Vulnerable.**

711

712

713

714 **Table 1. Overview of the major oil crops, typical production cycle, yields, main production**
715 **countries, biomes in which impacts primarily occur, carbon emissions, the number of threatened**
716 **species according to the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species²⁹ for which the specific crop is**
717 **mentioned as a threat, and the median species richness and median range-size rarity (amphibians,**
718 **birds and mammals) of species occurring within the footprint of each crop with first and third**
719 **quartile in brackets (IUCN Red List) (see Supporting Online Methods, Figure S1, Table S4). Carbon**
720 **emissions include carbon opportunity costs and production emissions⁶¹. “n/a” indicates that no**
721 **data are available.**

Oil crop	Type of crop	Oil yield (t ha ⁻¹) 108,109	Main oil production countries	Main biome impacted	Kg CO2e/MJ ⁶¹	# species threatened by crop ²⁹	Median Species Richness (number of species) ²⁹	Median range-size rarity (ha ha ⁻¹ 10e5) ²⁹
Oil palm <i>Elaeis guineensis</i>	Perennial (25 years cycle)	1.9–4.8	Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand	Tropical rainforest	1.2	321	472 [443, 504]	36 [27, 57]
Soybean <i>Glycine max</i>	Annual (~6 months cycle), rotated with other crops	0.4–0.8	China, USA, Brazil, Argentina	Subtropical grass savanna, temperate steppe, and broadleaf forest	1.3	73	278 [251, 462]	10 [5, 14]
Rapeseed <i>Brassica napus</i> and <i>B. campestris</i>	Annual (~6 months cycle). Rotated with other crops	0.7–1.8	China, Germany, Canada	Temperate steppe and broadleaf forest and taiga	1.2	1	227 [187, 308]	4 [3, 10]
Cotton <i>Gossypium hirsutum</i>	Annual (~6 months cycle). Rotated with other crops	0.3–0.4	China, India	Subtropical monsoon, dry and humid forest and temperate areas	1.2	35	299 [234, 347]	10 [7, 12]
Groundnuts or peanuts <i>Arachis</i>	Annual (4-5 months crop cycle).	0.5–0.8	China, India	Subtropical monsoon, dry and humid forest and	1.5	6	351 [308, 426]	11 [7, 16]

<i>hypogaea</i>	Rotated with other crops			temperate areas				
Sunflower <i>Helianthus annuus</i>	Annual (3-4 months crop cycle). Rotated with other crops	0.5–0.9	Ukraine, Russia	Temperate steppe and broadleaf forest	1.0	1	189 [177, 222]	3 [2, 9]
Coconut <i>Cocos nucifera</i>	Perennial (30 – 50 y cycle)	0.4–2.4	Philippines, Indonesia, India	Tropical and subtropical forest	n/a	65	317 [264, 414]	73 [35, 113]
Maize <i>Zea mays</i>	Annual (5-6 months crop cycle). Rotated with other crops	0.1–0.2	USA, China,	Temperate steppe and broadleaf forest	0.7	131	273 [222, 427]	9 [5, 20]
Olive <i>Olea europaea</i>	Perennial, long lived. Sometimes inter-cropped	0.3–2.9	Spain, Italy, Greece	Mediterranean vegetation	n/a	14	n/a	n/a

722







