

Tapanuli orangutan endangered by Sumatran hydropower scheme

The Tapanuli orangutan survives today in less than 1,200 km² of rainforest in northern Sumatra, Indonesia, in an area known as Batang Toru, where it was scientifically discovered¹ in 1997. Teeming with endangered fauna and flora, the Batang Toru forest has been partially felled and fragmented and parts of the remainder allocated to agriculture, mining, hydropower and geothermal-energy production. The Tapanuli orangutan is estimated to number just 767 individuals, divided among three subpopulations¹. Its total remaining habitat is merely a tenth the size of Sydney, Australia.

The most imminent threat to the Tapanuli orangutan is a \$1.6 billion hydropower and road-building scheme that will cut across its largest subpopulation (see figure 1). The scheme's main corporate proponent is North Sumatra Hydro Energy, a Jakarta-based firm, but it is being implemented by Sinohydro, China's national hydropower agency and the largest dam-builder in the world².

International funders are increasingly leery of the dam project. The World Bank's International Finance Corporation concluded that the project was too risky given its potentially severe impacts on imperilled fauna and flora³. It also confirmed that the dam and road infrastructure would slice through the only area of intact forest that still links the ape's three subpopulations—critical findings that are being downplayed by the project's proponents^{3,4}. For similar reasons, the Bank of China and Asian Development Bank have also declined to support the project³.




Figure 1. The Tapanuli orangutan and the hydrodam that imperils it in Sumatra, Indonesia. Orange dots show where Tapanuli orangutans or their nests were tallied before construction began, while the right panel shows current dam, earthworks, road and powerline construction and associated deforestation.

Despite strident reservations from scientists, conservationists, and many observers, the hydropower scheme at Batang Toru is charging ahead⁵. For instance, the project proponents claim that Tapanuli orangutans are now less abundant in the dam-project area than formerly documented⁶, but this is because the apes flee major disturbances like heavy earthworks and road building⁵. The proponents also fail to acknowledge that extensive road-building associated with the dam project will lead to elevated deforestation, logging and poaching that imperil the endangered ape^{5,7}.

37 The project's proponents are also doing their best to suppress opposition to their
38 project⁸. For instance, PanEco, a Swiss environmental group, was initially one of the
39 strongest opponents of the hydropower project but in 2019 its members were pressured to
40 reverse their position and support it⁸ while claiming all the project's environmental and
41 socioeconomic impacts can be mitigated⁹. This mirrors what is happening to other non-
42 governmental groups and scientists who were reportedly pressured to condone or approve the
43 project³, or threatened with lawsuits and deportation from Indonesia¹⁰.

44 Indonesia is not the only nation in which 'sustainable' energy production is critically
45 threatening biodiversity. More than 150 major hydrodams are slated for the Amazon Basin¹¹.
46 In Guinea, Africa, the Koukoutamba dam threatens to kill up to 1,500 critically endangered
47 chimpanzees in a national park¹². The Bumbuna dam in Sierra Leone destroyed crucial
48 chimpanzee habitat, while the Lom Pangar dam in Cameroon destroyed habitat for both
49 gorillas and chimpanzees¹³.

50 In our experience, a project like Batang Toru—which has spurred the most resolute
51 scientific and popular opposition imaginable—would fail in most nations or contexts. The
52 International Union for the Conservation of Nature has called for a moratorium on further
53 construction until the impacts of the dam project and its associated road, earthworks and
54 powerline infrastructure can be evaluated¹⁴. Although so far ignored, this urgent plea could
55 help to stave off the demise of one of our closest living relatives.

56

57 William F. Laurance

58 Centre for Tropical Environmental and Sustainability Science, College of Science and
59 Engineering, James Cook University, Cairns, Australia

60

61 Serge A. Wich

62 Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK

63 IUCN Species Survival Commission Primate Specialist Group

64

65 Onrizal Onrizal

66 University of Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

67

68 Gabriella Fredriksson

69 University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

70 University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

71

72 Graham Usher

73 Independent environmental consultant

74

75 Truly Santika

76 Natural Resources Institute, University of Greenwich, UK

77

78 Dirck Byler

79 IUCN Species Survival Commission Primate Specialist Group

80

81 Russell Mittermeier

82 IUCN Species Survival Commission Primate Specialist Group

83

84 Rebecca Kormos

85 IUCN Species Survival Commission Primate Specialist Group

86

87 Elizabeth A. Williamson
 88 IUCN Red List Authority Coordinator
 89
 90 Erik Meijaard
 91 Director of Borneo Futures, Brunei Darussalam
 92 IUCN Species Survival Commission Primate Specialist Group
 93
 94 e-mail: bill.laurance@jcu.edu.au and emeijaard@gmail.com
 95

96 References

- 97 1 Wich, S. A. *et al. Cons. Sc. Prac.* **1**, e33 (2019).
 98 2 Rochmyaningsih, D. *Science* **365**, 1064-1065 (2019).
 99 3 Jong, H. N. Available at: <https://news.mongabay.com/2020/03/tapanuli-orangutan-batang-toru-nshe-iucn-ape-dam/> (2020).
 100
 101 4 Alliance of Leading Environmental Researchers & Thinkers. Available at: <http://alert-conservation.org/indonesian-firm-using-deplorable-tactics-to-push-orangutankiller-project> (2018).
 102
 103 5 IUCN SGA/ARRC. Batang Toru Hydropower Project. Factcheck and References on Key Issues (IUCN SGA/ARRC, USA, 2020). Available at: <http://static1.1.sqspcdn.com/static/f/1200343/28292859/1588408298700/BatangToruFactcheckReport.pdf?token=lk0TiHoMOc0FBBjnJAta6Ghh3n4%3D>
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108 6 Santosa, Y. *et al.* Impact of Batang Toru hydropower construction on primary forest, orangutan population and habitat, drought and flood, greenhouse gases emission and socio-economic surroundings. (The Center of Study, Advocacy and Nature Conservation, 2018).
 109
 110
 111
 112 7 Foresthints. Available at: <https://www.foresthints.news/new-photos-show-tapanuli-orangutans-on-the-move> (2018).
 113
 114 8 Rochmyaningsih, D. *Science*, doi:10.1126/science.aaz4582 (2019).
 115 9 Purba, J. Available at: <https://rmolsumut.id/ian-singleton-dampak-plta-batangtoru-terhadap-kelangsungan-hidup-orangutan-tapanuli-dapat-direstorasi/> (2020).
 116
 117 10 Kompasiana. Available at: <https://www.kompasiana.com/maskurabdullah/5d8a1531097f361088780652/aktivis-asing-menggoyang-indonesia?page=all> (2019).
 118
 119
 120 11 Finer, M. and Jenkins, C. N. *PLoS ONE* **7(4)**:e35126.
 121 12 Watts, J. *The Guardian*. Available at: <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/feb/28/chinese-dam-project-in-guinea-could-kill-up-to-1500-chimpanzees> (2019).
 122
 123
 124 13 Kormos, R. *et al. PLOS ONE* **9**, e111671, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111671 (2014).
 125 14 IUCN. Available at: <https://www.iucn.org/news/secretariat/201904/iucn-calls-a-moratorium-projects-impacting-critically-endangered-tapanuli-orangutanorangutan>.
 126
 127 (2019).
 128