1	Linking pore network characteristics extracted from CT images
2	to the transport of solute and colloid tracers in soils under
3	different tillage managements
4	*Diego Soto-Gómez <sup>1,2</sup> , Paula Pérez-Rodríguez <sup>1,2,3</sup> , Laura Vázquez Juíz <sup>1,2</sup> , J. Eugenio
5	López-Periago <sup>1,2</sup> , and Marcos Paradelo <sup>1,2,4</sup>
6	<sup>1</sup> Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry Group, Department of Plant Biology and
7	Soil Science, Faculty of Sciences, University of Vigo, E-32004 Ourense, Spain.
8	<sup>2</sup> Hydraulics Laboratory, Campus da Auga, Facultade de Ciencias, Campus da Auga,
9	University of Vigo.
10	3Laboratory of Hydrology and Geochemistry of Strasbourg (LHyGeS)
11	Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France.
12	<sup>4</sup> Department of Agroecology, Faculty of Sciences and Technology, Aarhus University,
13	Blichers Allé 20, P.O. Box 50, DK-8830 Tjele, Denmark
14	
15	*Corresponding author Phone: +34 988 387 070; fax: +34 988 387 001, mail:
16	disoto@uvigo.es
17	ABSTRACT

18 Understanding relations between quantitative information of soil structure from X-ray computed 19 tomography (CT) and soil functions is a hot topic in agronomy and soil science. The influence 20 of tillage on macroporosity (i.e., pores measured by  $CT > 240 \mu m$  in all directions) could be 21 linked with their effects on solute and colloid transport properties. The tillage will also have a crucial importance in the preferential flow, i.e., a direct flow through roots and earthworm pores. Increasing knowledge on the relationships between soil tillage, structure, and transport may contribute to a deep understanding of the key factors of soil management influencing productivity and crop health.

In this work, we used CT to characterize the macropore network (>0.24 mm) of sixteen columns (100 height × 84 diameter, mm) of adjacent plots with different soil managements: conventional with shallow tillage after sowing (4 samples), conventional with no tillage after sowing (4 samples), and organic (8 samples). The soil samples were installed in columns under a dripper, and the transport behavior was examined during a breakthrough of Br and 1-µm latex microspheres, in samples near saturation trying to reach an irrigation rate of ~10 mL h<sup>-1</sup> (5.1 mm h<sup>-1</sup>).

33 Transport of Br and latex microspheres was modeled using the two-region physical non-34 equilibrium model (dual porosity). The preferential flow was higher under organic management, although the pore water velocities were, in general, lower. The preferential flow of Br was 35 36 correlated with the total volume of CT-macropores and the local increase in the Hounsfield 37 value (i.e. CT matrix density, CT<sub>Matrix</sub>) surrounding the macropores. The denser lining, produced by the earthworms in the inner walls of the pores, was inversely correlated with the kinetic 38 exchange coefficient between mobile and immobile zones of the dual-porosity model. The 39 40 macropore roughness indicated by the CT-macropore surface area was correlated with the solute 41 dispersion coefficient and with the solute travel time. Finally, we found that the overall CT<sub>Matrix</sub> 42 density is inversely related to the preferential flow. The importance of the work lies in the improvement of the accuracy of predictions related to soil flow transport, especially the ones 43 44 that include particles traveling across the soil.

45

46 KEYWORDS: colloid transport; macroporosity; modeling; organic farming; soil
47 structure; soil management; soil tomography.

### 49 1 Introduction

Tillage modifies the natural soil structure by changing the bulk density, the size of the aggregates, the soil penetration resistance and the water holding capacity. The objective of tillage is to eliminate weeds and mix the soil increasing temporarily the oxygenation and the soil water holding capacity <sup>1</sup>. However, repeated tillage activities for several years lead to less structured and easily erodible soils <sup>2</sup>. No-tillage and other soil conservation methods try to decrease the biopore disruption and to preserve the natural soil pore network.

The pore network has strong effects on the ability of soil to allow the movement of water downwards and the transport soluble and particulate substances. Furthermore, the water availability and flow have a great importance in the crops: in the seedling emergence, in the size and number of roots, and in plant density <sup>3</sup>.

Conventional and conservation tillage may produce differences in the number, shape, size, and 60 61 continuity of the soil pores. No-tillage and minimum tillage techniques allow the soil to develop 62 a complex and well-connected pore network because they do not disrupt earthworm activity, 63 root channels and cracks<sup>4</sup>. The macropores and cracks represent only a small percentage of the 64 soil pores, but they have a huge influence on the transport of water, solutes and suspended 65 colloids. These pores can be used by the water to bypass the upper layers of the soil. Moreover, 66 colloidal particles with attached substances (facilitated transport) can travel faster through these channels, increasing the nutrient loss by leaching <sup>5</sup>. Particulate organic matter, labile colloidal 67 nutrients, virus, bacteria, and protozoa have limited mobility through the soil matrix but can 68 69 travel several meters in the soil by using preferential pathways (macropores) as earthworm and 70 root pores  $^{6}$ .

Usually, the role of macropores in solute and colloidal transport is studied by tracer experiments in soil columns or in the field, using soluble substances or colloids <sup>7,8</sup>, or measuring some of the macroscopic soil characteristics like the hydraulic conductivity and the air permeability <sup>9</sup>.

However, in the last years, X-ray CT has proved to offer important information on structural 74 75 parameters of the soil pore network system, such as pore topology and morphology, without 76 altering the sample <sup>10</sup>. This method has been successfully used to study the effects of soil 77 management (conventional tillage and no-tillage) on the soil pore structure, analyze the changes in the macroporosity with depth, and the pore size distributions <sup>11</sup>. Other works used CT images 78 to analyze the compaction consequences and their effects on the soil atmosphere and to 79 determine the bulk density without altering the sample <sup>12</sup>. CT can be used for visualization and 80 description of the roots <sup>13</sup>. In this case, there are some discrepancies between this method and a 81 82 destructive one: the CT underestimates the length of the roots due to the spatial resolution of the 83 scan.

Furthermore, CT techniques have been used successfully to estimate solute transport
parameters<sup>14,15</sup>. Solute breakthrough studies with a continuous CT monitoring showed that the
most of the solute transport occurred throughout the highly continuous biogenetic pores<sup>16</sup>.
Naveed et al. (2013)<sup>17</sup> found good correlations between soil air permeability and the equivalent
pore diameter divided by the tortuosity (both calculated from CT images).

In this work, we hypothesized that differences in soil structure created by different soil tillage
managements, inferred from the X-ray CT derived characteristics, would influence the transport
of solutes and colloids.

The objectives are: (i) to characterize the structure of a soil under different tillage managements and with different degrees of earthworm activity (deducted from the signs of surface alterations observed) ; (ii) to model the transport of Br and fluorescent microspheres; and (iii) to relate transport characteristics to CT derived characteristics in order to estimate the dynamic behaviour of colloidal particles in the soil.

97

#### 98 2 Material & Methods

## 99 2.1 Soil Sampling

100 Sixteen undisturbed columns (100 height  $\times$  84 diameter, mm) were collected using PVC cases 101 in January 2013 from two adjacent experimental parcels (Centro de Desenvolvemento 102 Agrogandeiro, Ourense, northwestern Spain, coordinates 42.099N -7.726W WGS84). Eight 103 undisturbed soil columns were sampled from a plot under organic management (Org) with a 104 long historical use devoted to root crops and vegetables, with the removal of the stubble. Two subzones with different earthworm activity were identified namely high (Org. A) and low (Org. 105 106 B) activity (we took 4 samples of each subzone). We consider that in these two subzones the 107 type of pores is similar whereas the difference lies in their number and shape. This was 108 deducted in the field from the signs of surface alteration. In a conventional zone, four columns 109 were taken from a plot devoted to spring cereal with no-till (Conv. NT) after sowing, so the 110 roots were preserved, and other four columns from a plot that was shallow-tilled (Conv. ST) 111 after sowing.

112 The columns were extracted vertically (2-12 cm depth). They were sealed immediately and 113 refrigerated at 4° C to prevent structure alteration before CT scanning and transport 114 experiments. Chemical properties and texture were almost identical in bulk samples adjacent to 115 each soil column with a pH, in 1:10 soil:water ratio, of 5.9  $\pm$ 0.05. Soil texture class is sandy 116 loam according to the USDA soil classification (Table 1).

117 The soil columns were also saturated from the bottom in order to get the saturated water content 118 ( $\theta_s$ ). After saturation, we let the columns drain for one hour ( $\theta$ ), to determine the range of 119 moistures expected during the transport experiments.

120

121 2.2 Macropore characterization with CT

The CT images were acquired with a dental 3D Cone-beam i-CAT scanner (Imaging Sciences
International LLC, PA, Hatfield, USA), using 120 kV, 5 mA current and a voxel size of 0.24
mm.

The raw data were processed with the free software Image-J version 1.52a<sup>18</sup>. Images were cropped to fit the soil enclosed into the column, and then were converted to binary using Sauvola's auto local thresholding analysis<sup>19</sup>, to segment soil matrix and macropores (samples of this segmentation appear in Figure 1). In order to apply this method, the following settings were used: radius of 50 pixels, parameter 1 (k value) of 0.3 and parameter 2 (r value) of 128 (default value). The value of each pixel is:

$$Pixel = (pixel > mean * (1 + k + (standard deviation / r - 1)))$$
(eq. 1)

The CT-macroporosity was defined as the soil volume fraction occupied by macropores larger 132 133 than 0.24 mm in any dimension, it was calculated by dividing the sum of pore voxels by the 134 number of all voxels. The number of pores, the surface area of pore walls and their volume were calculated using the Bone-J Particle Analyzer plugin in Image-J<sup>20</sup>. The binary images were 135 136 purified by discarding the noise (using the Despeckle noise plugin), and the connectivity was 137 also calculated with Bone-J. The skeleton of the pore network was analyzed, obtaining the 138 number of paths and branches, slab voxels, end-point voxels (dangling ends), and the real length  $(L_R)$  and Euclidean length  $(L_E)$  of each one. With these two parameters, we calculated the 139 tortuosity ( $\tau$ )<sup>21</sup> 140

141 
$$\tau = L_R/L_E \qquad (eq. 2)$$

142 Note that this tortuosity corresponds to macropores identified by image analysis. Henceforth,
143 we will refer to this parameter as CT-tortuosity. We are going to use the average value of all the
144 pores, and the tortuosity of the pores larger than 10 mm.

145 The circularity of each pore (for each slice of the stack) was calculated using the following146 formula

147 
$$Circularity = 4\pi \left(\frac{Area}{Perimeter^2}\right)$$
 (eq. 3)

148 The average CT number of the matrix  $(CT_{Matrix})$  represents the density of the matrix measured 149 by the X-ray absorbance using the Hounsfield scale (HU).  $CT_{Matrix}$  was calculated by excluding the macropores and the stones and considering the gray shade of each voxel using the criteria of Katuwal et al. (2015) <sup>22</sup>. We also separated the  $CT_{Matrix}$  values of the layer of voxels corresponding to the pore walls. In this layer, the HU was used to examine the density of the pore walls.

154

155 2.3 Breakthrough experiments

156 Red fluorescent polystyrene latex microspheres (Magsphere Inc., Pasadena, California) were 157 used as colloidal tracers. The particles have a diameter of  $1 \pm 0.11 \mu m$  with a density of 1.05 g 158 cm<sup>-3</sup>. The excitation and emission wavelengths of the fluorochrome are 505-545 and 560-630 159 nm, respectively.

160 The stock suspension, which contains  $4.55 \times 10^{10}$  microspheres mL<sup>-1</sup>, was diluted 1:200 in a 161 solution of 0.025 M of Br<sup>-</sup> (KBr) to obtain a suspension  $2.28 \times 10^8$  microspheres mL<sup>-1</sup>. Bromide 162 was used as an unreactive solute tracer for comparison with the colloid tracer.

163 The microspheres were kept in suspension during the experiment by the application of 100 ms
164 duration ultrasound pulses at the colloid reservoir at 1 s intervals, using an ultrasonic
165 homogenizer (Sonopuls HD 2200, Bandelin GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, Germany).

166 Each soil sample was mounted in a column on a stainless steel mesh No.18 (sieve opening = 1167 mm) attached to a polypropylene funnel that conducted the outflow from the bottom to an 168 automated fraction collector. Water and microsphere suspensions were distributed dropwise at 169 random points on the top soil surface by a robotic arm attached to the dripper. Flow boundary 170 conditions in all breakthrough experiments were: constant flux at the upper boundary with flow rates of approximately ~10 mL h<sup>-1</sup> (5.1 mm h<sup>-1</sup>) (when it was possible considering the 171 permeability of the soil) and seepage face at the bottom. Infiltration rate varied in some 172 columns, so the flow rate was occasionally reduced to avoid surface ponding. The fall height of 173 174 drops was less than 3 mm to prevent the disruption of the soil structure.

Before the breakthrough curve (BTC) experiments, flow was stabilized with deionized water 175 176 DW, and when steady state flow was reached, a pulse of microspheres suspended in the KBr 177 solution was applied ( $\approx$  2-3 PV). Pulses were followed by washing with DW ( $\approx$  6-10 PV). The 178 effluent fraction volume ( $\approx$  4-6 mL per tube) was determined by weighing, Br concentration was measured by automated colorimetry <sup>23</sup>, and the microsphere concentration was determined 179 by fluorescence (Jasco Fluorescence Spectrometer, Jasco FP-750). Photometric readings were 180 181 calibrated with the counting of microspheres trapped in 0.45-micron filters using fluorescence 182 microscopy and image analysis. Correlation between the two methods was linear (R > 0.997).

183 After the transport experiments, the columns were carefully sliced in sections  $\approx 5$  mm using a 184 nylon string and a spatula. A piston jack and a precision Vernier caliper were used to extract the 185 soil from the ring in 5 mm steps. The slices were placed in Petri dishes to identify microsphere 186 spots under a fluorescence laboratory magnifier. Then, soil pore walls stained with microsphere 187 aggregates were removed from the slices with perforating punches and saved in Eppendorf 188 tubes. The rest of the soil slices were stored apart in a bottle. So, the microspheres retained in 189 the contour of the macropores were quantified separately from the soil matrix as follows. The 190 content of the tubes and bottles was weighed and suspended in 10 mL (pore walls) and 20 mL 191 (matrix) of a non-ionic surfactant solution (Tween 20 in distilled water, 0.02%). Suspensions 192 were shaken and homogenized for 10 s with an ultrasonic homogenizer. Aliquots (0.5 mL each, 193 3 replicates) were immediately pipetted and diluted in appropriate volumes of 0.02% Tween 20 194 and filtered through nitrocellulose membranes (pore size 0.45 µm, diam. 47 mm). Particle 195 counting in the membranes was made using digital images obtained with a fluorescence 196 laboratory magnifier and a digital camera. Bulk density  $\rho_{\rm b}$  and the volumetric water content  $\theta$ 197 were determined at the end, after drying each slice at 105 °C.

198 The average pore-water velocity v (Table 2) was calculated from the irrigation rate q and the 199 average soil water content  $\theta_{avg}$ :

200 
$$v = q/\theta_{avg}$$
 (eq. 4)

The two-region physical non-equilibrium model was fitted to the experimental BTCs using the
 software STANMOD (CXTFIT Code). The optimal inverse solution was used to calculate the

transport parameters. This model assumes that the soil porosity can be divided into two different
 regions: mobile and immobile <sup>24</sup>. The transport model is given by:

206 
$$\theta_m \frac{\partial c_m}{\partial t} = \theta_m D \frac{\partial^2 c_m}{\partial x^2} - J_w \frac{\partial c_m}{\partial x} - \alpha (c_m - c_{im})$$
(eq. 5)

207

208 
$$\theta_{im} \frac{\partial c_{im}}{\partial t} = \alpha (c_m - c_{im}) - \theta_{im} \mu_{im} c_{im} \qquad (eq. 6)$$

209 Where:  $\theta$  is the volumetric water content [L<sup>3</sup> L<sup>-3</sup>]; *c* is the concentration [ML<sup>-3</sup>]; *D* is the 210 dispersion coefficient [L<sup>2</sup> T<sup>-1</sup>]; *x* and *t* are the distance [L] and time [T];  $J_w$  is the volumetric 211 water flux density [LT<sup>-1</sup>];  $\alpha$  is the first-order kinetic coefficient between mobile and immobile 212 zones [T<sup>-1</sup>]; and  $\mu$  is the first-order decay coefficient [T<sup>-1</sup>]. The subscripts *m* and *im* indicate the 213 mobile and immobile liquid regions. The dispersivity for the Br and MS (*d* and  $d_{MS}$ ) was 214 calculated by dividing the dispersion coefficient by the pore-water velocity.

215 We adjusted the following parameters:  $\beta$ , a dimensionless parameter for the partitioning in two-216 region transport model

$$\beta = \frac{\theta_{\rm m}}{\theta} \qquad ({\rm eq.}\ 7)$$

218 ;  $\omega$ , the dimensionless mass transfer coefficient

219 
$$\omega = \frac{\alpha L}{\theta v} \qquad (eq. 8)$$

220 ; and  $\mu$ , the dimensionless first order decay coefficient for the immobile region

222 
$$\mu = \frac{L \,\theta_{im} \,\mu_{im}}{\theta \nu}$$

223 The  $\mu$  was adjusted only for the microspheres to model irreversible trapping in the immobile 224 regions;  $\mu$  was set to zero (no irreversible trapping) for the transport of Br<sup>-</sup>.

- 225 The dimensionless 5%-arrival time of Br<sup>-</sup> ( $T_{5\%}$ ) was used to estimate the degree of preferential
- transport in the BTC.  $T_{5\%}$  was calculated by considering the period of time (in pore volumes) it
- took for 5% of bromide to reach the bottom of column (see details in Koestel et al. (2013)<sup>25</sup>).

## 229 3 Results & Discussion

## 230 3.1 Soil structure differences from image analysis

The CT parameters were analyzed with the Shapiro-Wilk test in order to check that the data of each variable were normally distributed, and there was one exception: the branch length average (cm). Consequently, to examine the differences among the soil managements, we used a single factor ANOVA with all the variables but with the average branch length. With this one, the test employed was the Kruskal-Wallis. Through these tests, we observed and corroborated significant differences between the CT features of the plots studied (Table 3).

237 The CT-macropores in the ST plot presented the shortest averaged length branches and the 238 most tortuous branches, while NT presented large and straight branches mostly generated by undisturbed decaying roots from the past crop. Bramorski et al. (2012)<sup>26</sup> proved that tortuosity 239 240 increases a 56% after tillage, improving the water and sediment storage. The pores of the NT 241 zone had, in general, the largest wall surface area, but they were not statistically different from 242 the ST plot. The CT-macropores in the Org. plot and NT had similar average branch length and 243 tortuosity, but the Org. A subzone had the largest CT-macropores because of the higher number 244 of earthworm burrows. The lower values of the wall surface area in the two Org. zones, A and 245 B, could be due to the type of pores: the walls of this pores were lined by earthworm cast, making the pores smooth and reducing their surface <sup>27</sup>. Root pores are responsible for the high 246 247 circularity in the NT columns. The ST plot showed a slightly lower circularity than the organic 248 plots, and that is because the Org. samples had, in some degree, earthworm pores, that are more 249 circular than the pores produced by the shallow tillage, a feature already noted by Gantzer & Anderson <sup>28</sup>. Nevertheless, the organic plots (A and B) can not reach the level of circularity of 250 251 the NT plot, and this can be explained by the type of vegetation: cultures have more circularity than grass and permanent vegetation <sup>29,30</sup>. It is important to note that the values showed in Table 252 253 3 are average values of all pores bigger than 0.24 mm, not only root or earthworm pores.

In the CT images, the tone of the pore walls of the plots with root and earthworm pores was slightly clearer than pore walls of the ST plot (HU values were as follows, Conv. ST, 136.4  $\pm$ 7.21; Conv. NT, 143.55  $\pm$  3.69; Org. A, 142.65  $\pm$  2.62; Org. B, 146.74  $\pm$  6.2), but there were no significant differences between the plots. However, this increase in the density of the soil in the areas surrounding the earthworm burrows was already pointed by Rogasik et al. (2014)<sup>31</sup>.

259

## 260 *3.2* Solute and colloid transport and modeling

261 Pulses of a suspension of microspheres in KBr (500 mL,  $\approx 2.5$  pore volumes, PV) were applied 262 in the NT and ST columns. For the Org. columns we used shorter pulses (350 mL  $\approx$  1.5 PV) to avoid the surface ponding observed in the first experiments. Mass balance of Br<sup>-</sup> in the transport 263 264 experiments indicates that  $15 \pm 5\%$  was not eluted after 10 PV. This imbalance is commonly found in tracer experiments in structured soil, and is typically ascribed to solute transfer 265 between mobile and immobile water regions of soil <sup>32</sup>, and suggests physical retention of 266 267 bromide in immobile zones. High organic matter content may also contribute to increasing 268 retention <sup>33</sup>. The similarity in the mass balance between treatment plots indicates that the soil 269 management had no influence on the unreactive transport. Poor relationships between soil macropore features and tracer transport were already reported for cracked paddy soils<sup>34</sup>. 270

The transport models fitted fairly well for most of the Br<sup>-</sup> in the columns ( $R^2 > 0.95$ , P <0.001; between observed and predicted BTC data), as can be seen in Figure 2. The poorest fittings were obtained for three columns of the Org. plot considering the R<sup>2</sup>: columns n# 10, 15 and 20, with R of 0.948, 0.943 and 0.946, respectively.

Table 2 summarizes the parameters of unreactive transport. The zones had similar transport parameters for  $Br^{-}$ , and only the solute dispersion coefficient (*D*) and the 5%-solute arrival time showed significant differences between zones.

The ST columns presented the largest D,  $40.3 \pm 22.8 \text{ cm}^2\text{h}^{-1}$ , but also had the largest deviations. Transport in ST may be influenced by the sharp density increase with depth and the associated pore network, namely a massive structure at the bottom crossed by few cracks. This pore network feature may expand the range of the pore water velocities, which can explain the large dispersion of Br. The NT also has a large D,  $38.8 \pm 6.2 \text{ cm}^2\text{h}^{-1}$ , and this can be due to the large wall surface area of the pores, i.e., many root channels with different lengths and geometries that also increase the span of pore water velocities. On the other hand, organic soil columns had smaller mean D than the NT (Student *t*-test, P < 0.05); with  $12.2 \pm 6.8 \text{ cm}^2\text{h}^{-1}$  for the Org. A, and  $15.0 \pm 10.1 \text{ cm}^2\text{h}^{-1}$  for the Org. B.

The  $T_{5\%}$  presented very small variation inside the groups. Values of this parameter were identical for the NT and ST soils, with  $0.322 \pm 0.001$  PV and  $0.325 \pm 0.007$  PV respectively. Means of this data showed significant differences between organic and conventional (*t*-test, P < 0.001). For the Org. A and Org. B the values were smaller  $0.235 \pm 0.003$  and  $0.207 \pm 0.002$  PV respectively (Figure 3). The values obtained are very similar to the ones reported by Koestel et al. (2012) <sup>35</sup>, with a T<sub>5%</sub> for the arable soils between 0.35 and 0.1. In this work, they also found a reduction of the T<sub>5%</sub> in the arable soils with minimum tillage in the same way as in our work.

294 There is a good correlation between D and 5%-arrival time (Figure 4B) (R = 0.545, P < 0.02). 295 That positive relation differs from the general negative relationship found by Koestel et al. (2012) <sup>35</sup>. However, there has to consider that the scale of our 5%-arrival time-dispersion 296 parameter defines a small subset of the region shown in Koestel et al. (2012) <sup>35</sup>. Our data covers 297 298 a rounded-shaped point cloud in the above reference that does not present a neat negative slope. The positive correlation may suggest that the larger the dispersion, the weaker preferential flow. 299 300 Furthermore, these soils have a big amount of organic matter that has a strong influence over the 301 dispersion and the 5%-solute arrival. Besides, the 5%-arrival is also related with the pore-water velocity (R = 0.620, P < 0.02), and has no significant correlation with the dispersivity (R =302 303 0.057). These relationships indicate that the correlation between D and 5%-arrival time in our 304 experiments can be spurious and the variation in the pore water velocity is the factor that determines the preferential flow. 305



The Smaller dispersion and the shorter  $T_{5\%}$  in the organic plots can be explained by the bypass

flow which in turn is favored by the earthworm pores. The effect of this type pores over the increasing of preferential flow, nutrient losses and tracer leachate was already demonstrated by many authors<sup>36–38</sup>, and is responsible for the shorter time that the Br needed for traveling along the soil. The preferential flow can also explain the lower dispersion. However, in this case, we consider that the earthworm lining that covers the walls is the main factor. The lined walls seem to increase the pore water velocities and decrease their range of variation<sup>27</sup>.

313 Inverse modeling of the microsphere BTCs (Figure 5) was carried out starting with the optimal set of parameters obtained for the Br dual porosity model. In this case, the addition of the 314 315 coefficient of decay,  $\mu$ , accounts for the irreversible retention of MS in the immobile zone. The 316 BTCs of two columns (n# 10 and 19) presented a complex shape that could not be used to fit the model (Figure 5E). Transport parameters of MS were not different between zones, but the 317 318 extreme values of the dispersion coefficient appear in the non-organic management: highest values were between 88 to 100 cm<sup>2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> in ST and the lowest 5 cm<sup>2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> in NT. The largest 319 dispersion of MS in the ST was the same as in the Br case, suggesting that the underlying 320 factors we conjectured for the large dispersion of Br can be valid for the MS. On the contrary, in 321 322 the NT soil, straight root pores that contribute to a large dispersion of Br had not the same 323 influence on the MS transport. And this happens even considering that these two zones have 324 similar pore-water velocities.

325

## 326 3.3 Structure-transport relationships

When comparing the best fitting transport parameters and the data obtained from the X-ray CT images, we observed some significant correlations. For example, the dispersion coefficient for Br and the average pore surface were linearly correlated (R = 0.803, P < 0.001) (Figure 4C). In general, this trend is preserved for each zone. The non-organic soils had the pores with the largest wall surface area ( $217 \pm 72 \text{ mm}^2$ ), and dispersion coefficient ( $39.5 \pm 15.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ h}^{-1}$ ). Note the smaller averages for the organic field ( $133 \pm 45 \text{ mm}^2$  and  $13.6 \pm 8.1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ h}^{-1}$ ). The dispersion of Br is also correlated with the average number of slab voxels per branch (R = 0.728, P < 0.001), this means that the pores with larger branches presented a larger dispersion coefficient. On the other hand, the walls of the earthworm burrows in the organic field appear to be lined by a dense matrix. Lining tends to reduce the exchange of solute between mobile and immobile regions <sup>39</sup>, that hinders the transport across the pore walls and decreases the spatial variation of distribution of transport velocities in the soil column. In consequence, in the plots with more earthworm pores we obtained smaller dispersion coefficients.

340 Best fitting model parameters can help to identify the dominant mechanisms of the transport of 341 MS. We observed several good correlations between dual porosity model parameters and 342 percentages of retention of MS and Br in the columns (Table 4). These correlations indicate that the model is consistent across most of the BTC experiments and soil management types. For 343 344 example, the retention of microspheres is well described by the dimensionless MS transfer coefficient between matrix and macropores ( $\omega_{MS}$ ). Therefore, the high values of  $\omega_{MS}$  the more 345 346 particles may enter into the matrix in which a first order kinetic coefficient of particle removal, 347  $\mu_{MS}$ , accounts for the trapping of the MS in the immobile region. Recall that the transport of Br 348 was also well explained by the transfer between matrix and macropores. The significance of 349 fitting the two-region model supports the hypothesis that the dual-porosity model describes the 350 variability in the unsaturated transport of solutes and colloids reasonably well.

351

The  $T_{5\%}$  in the overall columns is slightly correlated with the average pore surface area of the 352 walls (is more a trend than a correlation since the significance is quite lower), suggesting a 353 354 relation between preferential solute transport and the average pore surface (Figure 4A). That 355 relation can be interpreted as the pores with larger wall surface area (i.e., more roughness and 356 no lining) produce a physical retention in the transport of the Br. The greater preferential flow velocity in lined pores agrees with the well-known role of the earthworms in the fast transport 357 along preferential pathways  $^{40}$ . However, the relationship between  $T_{5\%}$  and pore wall surface 358 359 area in the ST columns is inverse to the rest of the zones (see Figure 4A). The reason for that inverse correlation is that the scale of arrival times in ST is compressed in a narrow interval
(0.29 to 0.37 PV) and we cannot conclude with certainty anything with only four similar
samples. However, if we discard these columns, the correlation is still valid.

363 The total end-point voxels and the size of the tails of the bromide BTC are also correlated (R =364 0.54). End-point voxels represent dangling paths that end in the matrix; their presence could 365 enhance solute transport between the mobile and immobile regions of the soil. The reversible 366 mobile-immobile transfer is typically associated to solute tailing in the BTC. The interesting 367 point here is that the macroscopic behavior of the dual-porosity transport is related to the 368 description of the structure. The CT<sub>Matrix</sub> shows a negative correlation with  $T_{5\%}$  (R= - 0.56; P < 369 0.02), which indicates that the denser the matrix, the faster the Br transport across macropores. 370 This relation suggests that a dense matrix difficult the solute transfer into immobile regions, 371 channeling the solute flux through the macropores.

The data found by Safadoust et al. (2014)<sup>41</sup> support the results obtained in this section. The bromide transport parameters are related to the porosity: the larger the percentage of macropores the larger the dispersion and the mass exchange rate between the mobile and immobile zones.

375 The CT<sub>Matrix</sub> of the entire column presents a negative correlation with the % of MS retained in 376 the upper half, i.e., from 0 to 5 cm depth, with R = -0.498; P < 0.05. There is a similar 377 correlation (R = - 0.439, P < 0.08) between the  $CT_{Matrix}$  and the % of MS retained in the matrix 378 regarding the total MS retention in the column (matrix and pore walls). We suggest that in 379 columns with a lighter  $CT_{Matrix}$  MS enter easily into the matrix, where are retained, and, on the 380 contrary, denser matrix favors the transport of the MS into macropores and decreases their 381 capture into the matrix. Is noteworthy that this correlation becomes statistically significant (Figure 6) after discarding the column number 19 (R = -0.643; P < 0.02). The column no. 19 of 382 383 the Org. B plot presented huge macropores ending in the PVC ring (i.e., walls of the column) 384 (Figure 1D); that configuration would enhance the transfer of MS into the matrix. Similarly, the 385 correlation between the Br recovery and CT<sub>Matrix</sub> increases after removing the column no. 19

386 (i.e., from R = 0.367 to R = 0.687; P < 0.02). We concluded that dead-end macropores and 387 lighter matrix favor the retention of solute and colloids into the matrix.

388

## 389 4 Conclusion

390 The influence of soil management on the soil structure and on the solute and colloid transport properties was studied by analysis of CT images of intact soil columns, followed by 391 392 breakthrough experiments of Br and microspheres. On the one hand, the CT characterization 393 allowed us to find significant differences between the studied managements. On the other hand, 394 the two-region physical non-equilibrium transport model fitted well the breakthrough of 395 bromide and polystyrene latex microspheres. Organic management showed the highest 396 preferential transport, which was related to the type of macropres: earthworm burrows with 397 lined walls. The presence of lined walls and preferential transport were related with the small 398 mass transfer coefficient between matrix and macropores in the dual-porosity model.

Indicators of the macropore network and matrix density obtained from CT and image analysis explained solute and colloid transport. Results showed a clear influence of the soil management on the morphological descriptors of the soil structure and transport properties. Correlations found in this work provide some experimental evidence of links between the geometry of the soil pore network and the transport.

404

# 405 **5** Acknowledgments

Authors want to acknowledge their fund sources: D.S.G. are funded by Pre-doctoral
Fellowship Program (FPU) of Spain's Ministry of Education FPU14/00681, and M.P.
and P.P.R are funded by a post-doctoral fellowship awarded by Xunta de Galicia (POSA/2013/171 *Plan I2C* and Gain program ED481B-2017/31, respectively). L.V.J. was
additionally funded by CIA and BV1 research contracts (FEDER, *Xunta de Galicia*).

414	scanner.
413	services USC for the CT image acquisition with their dental 3D Cone-beam i-CAT
412	the sampling in their plots, and Oscar Lantes at the archaeometry unit RIADT-CACTUS
411	Authors thank the Centro de Desenvolvemento Agrogandeiro (Ourense) for allowing

#### 416 6 References

- Coolman, R. M. & Hoyt, G. D. The Effects of Reduced Tillage on the Soil Environment.
   *Horttechnology* 3, 143–145 (1993).
- 419 2. Hevia, G. G., Mendez, M. & Buschiazzo, D. E. Tillage affects soil aggregation
  420 parameters linked with wind erosion. *Geoderma* 140, 90–96 (2007).
- 421 3. Rashidi, M. & Keshavarzpour, F. Effect of Different Tillage Methods on Grain Yield
  422 and Yield Components of Maize (Zea mays L.). *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 9, 274–277 (2007).
- 423 4. Cannell, R. Q. Reduced tillage in north-west Europe—A review. *Soil Tillage Res.* 5,
  424 129–177 (1985).
- 425 5. de Jonge, L. W., Kjaergaard, C. & Moldrup, P. Colloids and Colloid-Facilitated
- 426 Transport of Contaminants in Soils. *Vadose Zo. J.* **3**, 321 (2004).
- 427 6. McDowell-Boyer, L. M. *et al.* Particle transport through porous media. *Water Resour.*428 *Res.* 22, 1901–1921 (1986).
- 429 7. Soto-Gómez, D., Pérez-Rodríguez, P., López-Periago, J. E. & Paradelo, M. Sepia ink as
  430 a surrogate for colloid transport tests in porous media. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* 191, 88–98
  431 (2016).
- 432 8. Paradelo, M. *et al.* Effects of Past Copper Contamination and Soil Structure on Copper
  433 Leaching from Soil. *J. Environ. Qual.* 42, 1852 (2013).
- 434 9. Kjaergaard, C., Poulsen, T. G., Moldrup, P. & de Jonge, L. W. Colloid Mobilization and

- 435 Transport in Undisturbed Soil Columns. I. Pore Structure Characterization and Tritium
  436 Transport. *Vadose Zo. J.* 3, 413–423 (2004).
- Katuwal, S., Arthur, E., Tuller, M., Moldrup, P. & de Jonge, L. W. Quantification of Soil
  Pore Network Complexity with X-ray Computed Tomography and Gas Transport
  Measurements. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **79**, 1577 (2015).
- 440 11. Pires, L. F. *et al.* Soil structure changes induced by tillage systems. *Soil Tillage Res.* 165, 66–79 (2017).
- Lipiec, J. & Hatano, R. Quantification of compaction effects on soil physical properties
  and crop growth. *Geoderma* 116, 107–136 (2003).
- Perret, J. S., Al-Belushi, M. E. & Deadman, M. Non-destructive visualization and
  quantification of roots using computed tomography. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 39, 391–399
  (2007).
- 447 14. Anderson, S. H., Heinze, D. J. & Peyton, R. L. Assessment of Selected Methods for
  448 Estimating Chemical Transport Parameters from Computed Tomographic Imaging. in
  449 *Procedia Computer Science* 61, 460–465 (2015).
- 450 15. Anderson, S. H., Heinze, D. J. & Peyton, R. L. Computed Tomography-estimated
- 451 Transport Velocity and Chemical Dispersivity in Undisturbed Geomedia. *Procedia*452 *Comput. Sci.* 36, 643–648 (2014).
- Luo, L., Lin, H. & Halleck, P. Quantifying Soil Structure and Preferential Flow in Intact
  Soil Using X-ray Computed Tomography. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 72, 1058 (2008).
- 455 17. Naveed, M. *et al.* Correlating Gas Transport Parameters and X-Ray Computed
- 456 Tomography Measurements in Porous Media. *Soil Sci.* **178**, 60–68 (2013).
- 457 18. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat.*458 *Methods* 9, 676–682 (2012).
- 459 19. Sauvola, J. & Pietikäinen, M. Adaptive document image binarization. Pattern Recognit.

**460 33,** (2000).

- 461 20. Doube, M. *et al.* BoneJ: Free and extensible bone image analysis in ImageJ. *Bone* 47, 1076–1079 (2010).
- 463 21. Wu, Y. S., van Vliet, L. J., Frijlink, H. W. & van der Voort Maarschalk, K. The
- determination of relative path length as a measure for tortuosity in compacts using image
  analysis. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 28, 433–440 (2006).
- 466 22. Katuwal, S., Moldrup, P., Lamandé, M., Tuller, M. & De Jonge, L. W. L. W. Effects of
  467 CT Number Derived Matrix Density on Preferential Flow and Transport in a

468 Macroporous Agricultural Soil. Vadose Zo. J. 14, (2015).

- 469 23. van Staden, J. F., Mulaudzi, L. V & Stefan, R. I. On-line speciation of bromine and
  470 bromide using sequential injection analysis with spectrophotometric detection. *Anal.*471 *Bioanal. Chem.* 375, 1074–1082 (2003).
- 472 24. Toride, N., Leij, F. J. & Van Genuchten, M. T. The CXTFIT code for estimating
  473 transport parameters from laboratory or field tracer experiments. *Res Rep* Research R,
  474 1–138 (1995).
- 475 25. Koestel, J. K. *et al.* Links between soil properties and steady-state solute transport
  476 through cultivated topsoil at the field scale. *Water Resour. Res.* 49, 790–807 (2013).
- 477 26. Bramorski, J., De Maria, I. C., e Silva, R. & Crestana, S. Relations Between Soil Surface
  478 Roughness, Tortuosity, Tillage Treatments, Rainfall Intensity and Soil and Water Losses
- 479 From a Red Yellow Latosol. *Rev. Bras. Cienc. Do Solo* **36**, 1291–1297 (2012).
- 480 27. Pagenkemper, S. K. et al. The effect of earthworm activity on soil bioporosity –
- 481 Investigated with X-ray computed tomography and endoscopy. *Soil Tillage Res.* 146,
  482 79–88 (2015).
- 483 28. Gantzer, C. J. & Anderson, S. H. Computed tomographic measurement of macroporosity
  484 in chisel-disk and no-tillage seedbeds. *Soil Tillage Res.* 64, 101–111 (2002).

- 485 29. Rachman, A., Anderson, S. H. & Gantzer, C. J. Computed-Tomographic Measurement
  486 of Soil Macroporosity Parameters as Affected by Stiff-Stemmed Grass Hedges. *Soil Sci.*487 *Soc. Am. J.* 69, 1609 (2005).
- 488 30. Udawatta, R. P., Anderson, S. H., Gantzer, C. J. & Garrett, H. E. Agroforestry and Grass

Buffer Influence on Macropore Characteristics. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 70, 1763 (2006).

- 490 31. Rogasik, H., Schrader, S., Onasch, I., Kiesel, J. & Gerke, H. H. Micro-scale dry bulk
  491 density variation around earthworm (Lumbricus terrestris L.) burrows based on X-ray
  492 computed tomography. *Geoderma* 213, 471–477 (2014).
- 493 32. van Genuchten, M. T. & Wierenga, P. J. Mass Transfer Studies in Sorbing Porous Media
  494 I. Analytical Solutions 1. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 40, 473 (1976).
- 495 33. Larsbo, M., Koestel, J., Katterer, T. & Jarvis, N. Preferential Transport in Macropores is
  496 Reduced by Soil Organic Carbon. *Vadose Zo. J.* 15, (2016).
- 497 34. Zhang, Z. B., Peng, X., Zhou, H., Lin, H. & Sun, H. Characterizing preferential flow in
  498 cracked paddy soils using computed tomography and breakthrough curve. *Soil Tillage*499 *Res.* 146, 53–65 (2015).
- 500 35. Koestel, J. K., Moeys, J. & Jarvis, N. J. Meta-analysis of the effects of soil properties,
- site factors and experimental conditions on solute transport. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 16, 1647–1665 (2012).
- 503 36. Edwards, W. M., Shipitalo, M. J., Owens, L. B. & Norton, L. D. Water and nitrate
  504 movement in earthworm burrows within long-term no-till cornfields. *J. Soil Water*505 *Conserv.* 44, 240–243 (1989).
- Shipitalo, M. J., Edwards, W. M. & Redmond, C. E. Comparison of water movement
  and quality in earthworm burrows and pan lysimeters. *J. Environ. Qual.* 23, 1345–1351
  (1994).
- 509 38. Shipitalo, M. J., Dick, W. A. & Edwards, W. M. Conservation tillage and macropore

- factors that affect water movement and the fate of chemicals. *Soil Tillage Res.* 53, 167–
  183 (2000).
- 512 39. Jarvis, N. J. A review of non-equilibrium water flow and solute transport in soil

513 macropores: Principles, controlling factors and consequences for water quality.

514 *European Journal of Soil Science* **58**, 523–546 (2007).

- 515 40. Kautz, T. *et al.* Nutrient acquisition from arable subsoils in temperate climates: A
  516 review. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 57, 1003–1022 (2013).
- 517 41. Safadoust, A. et al. Comparison of three models describing bromide transport affected

518 by different soil structure types. Arch. Agron. Soil Sci. 62, 674–687 (2015).

520	7	Figures
-----	---	---------

522	Figure 1. 3D representation of columns from each plot. A) ST (column number 6); B) NT
523	(column number 7); C) Org. A (column number 8); and D) Org. B (column number 19).
524	Figure 2. Br modeling for one column of each zone. The two-region physical non-equilibrium
525	model (dual porosity) was used.
526	Figure 3. $T_{5\%}$ (in pore volumes) results for the column averages of each zone. <sup>a, b, c</sup> Factors with
527	same superscript in the key labels were not different ( $< 0.05$ ) using a single factor ANOVA.
528	Figure 4. Relation between: A) the average pore surface and the $T_{5\%}$ (in pore volumes); B) the
529	dispersion of Br and the $T_{5\%}$ (in pore volumes); and C) the average pore surface and the
530	dispersion of Br.
531	Figure 5. Microsphere modelling for: A), B), C) and D) One column of each zone; and E) Two
532	columns that we could not model: $n^{\circ}$ 10 (Org. A) and $n^{\circ}$ 19 (Org. B). C/C <sub>0</sub> is the relative
533	concentration.
534	Figure 6. Relation between the % of particles retained in the matrix and the $CT_{Matrix}$ .

A) ST, Nº 6



C) Org. A, Nº 8



Figure 1.

B) NT, Nº 7



D) Org. B, Nº 19





538 Figure 2.













544 Figure 4.





D)















#### 550 8 Tables

5	5	1
J	J	т

Table 1. Soil texture results for the three plots with standard deviations.

Management	% Coarse San	ed % Fine Sand	% Silt	% Clay	% Organic	
	( > 0.5mm)	(0.5 - 0.05 mm)	(0.05 - 0.002mm)	( < 0.002mm)	Matter	
Conv. NT	$46.2 \pm 0.5$	$26.1 \pm 0.9$	$5.7 \pm 2.9$	$10.9 \pm 1.2$	$11.1 \pm 2.6$	
( <i>n</i> =4)	1012 _ 010	2011 2019		10.7 _ 1.2		
Conv. ST	$42.9 \pm 2.4$	$28.3 \pm 1.7$	5.3 ± 4.1	$11 \pm 0.6$	$12.5 \pm 4.6$	
( <i>n</i> =4)		_0.0 _ 1.0				
Org.	$44.5 \pm 0.2$	$29 \pm 0.4$	8.1 ± 0.3	$9.2 \pm 0.7$	$8.5 \pm 0.5$	
(n = 8)						
552						

Zone	Column number	v (cm h <sup>-1</sup> )	$ heta_s$	heta	$D(cm^2 h^{-1})$	d (cm)	β	ω
	6	2.71	0.51	0.47	45	16.62	0.15	0.180
Conv.	12	3.48	0.43	0.40	28	8.05	0.09	0.270
ST	14	2.60	0.49	0.44	70	26.94	0.05	0.019
	16	2.67	0.43	0.37	18	6.75	0.23	0.150
Average		$2.86\pm0.41$	$0.46\pm0.04$	$0.42\pm0.04$	$40.25\pm22.75$	$14.59 \pm 9.32$	$0.13\pm0.08$	$0.15\pm0.10$
	2	3.41	0.41	0.4	37.2	10.91	0.10	0.056
Conv.	4	2.67	0.45	0.4	35	13.11	0.05	0.083
NT	5	2.51	0.42	0.4	35	13.94	0.08	0.077
	7	2.6	0.47	0.45	48	18.46	0.19	0.170
Average		$2.80\pm0.41$	$0.44\pm0.03$	$0.41\pm0.03$	$38.80 \pm 6.22$	$14.11\pm3.17$	$0.11\pm0.06$	$0.10\pm0.05$
	3	2.01	0.50	0.47	20.55	10.20	0.14	0.191
0	8	2.81	0.47	0.43	12	4.26	0.15	0.130
Org. A	9	0.36	0.51	0.47	12.3	34.39	0.02	0.004
	10	0.36	0.57	0.52	4	11.2	0.05	0.300
Average		$1.39 \pm 1.23$	$0.51\pm0.04$	$0.47\pm0.04$	$12.21\pm6.76$	$15.01 \pm 13.27$	$0.09\pm0.06$	$0.16\pm0.12$
	13	2.83	0.48	0.46	10	3.54	0.08	0.130
Que D	15	1.27	0.50	0.48	30	23.59	0.10	0.100
Org. B	19	0.73	0.47	0.44	8	10.89	0.06	0.001
	20	3.03	0.45	0.42	12	3.96	0.15	0.100
Average		$1.97 \pm 1.14$	$0.47\pm0.02$	$0.45\pm0.03$	$15.00\pm10.13$	$10.49\pm9.36$	$0.10\pm0.04$	$0.08\pm0.06$
554 $v$ [L T <sup>-1</sup> ] is the pore water velocity; $\theta_s$ is the saturated water content; $\theta$ is the volumetric water								

553 Table 2. Parameters of the moisture of each column and obtained from the Br<sup>-</sup> modelling.

content after saturation and a drainage of 1 hour; D is the dispersion coefficient for the bromide 555

 $[L^2 T^{-1}]$ ; d is the dispersivity [L];  $\beta$ , is a dimensionless parameter for the partitioning of bromide 556

557 in two-region transport model; and  $\omega$  is the dimensionless mass transfer coefficient of bromide. Table 3. CT macroporosity descriptors (with standard deviation) influenced by management

559 type, after a single factor ANOVA or a Kruskal-Wallis test (for the Average Branch length).

Conv. ST	Conv. NT	Org. A	Org. B
$7.56\pm3.38^{ab}$	$4.65 \pm 1.4^{b}$	$9.52\pm2.55^a$	$4.34\pm2.36^{b}$
$39.71 \pm 13.98$ <sup>ab</sup>	$26.57\pm8.98^{b}$	$55.73 \pm 11.71^{a}$	$25.62\pm15.67^{b}$
$2.15 \pm 1.00^{ab}$	$2.19\pm0.48^{a}$	$1.68\pm0.12^{a}$	$0.98\pm0.37^{b}$
$65669 \pm 18217^{ab}$	$89604 \pm 13468^{b}$	$88333\pm23452^a$	$45467 \pm 25580^{b}$
$20.7\pm5.99^{ab}$	$26.35\pm4.1^a$	$27.52\pm7.53^a$	$13.95\pm8.13^{b}$
$0.29\pm0.009^{a}$	$0.45\pm0.063^{b}$	$0.3\pm0.022^{\rm a}$	$0.3\pm0.019^{\mathrm{a}}$
$0.51\pm0.015^{a}$	$0.65\pm0.045^{b}$	$0.55\pm0.027^{a}$	$0.62\pm0.019^{\text{b}}$
$1.291\pm0.008^{b}$	$1.252\pm0.017^{a}$	$1.287\pm0.009^{ab}$	$1.279\pm0.013^{ab}$
$1.48\pm0.16^{b}$	$1.24\pm0.061^{a}$	$1.65\pm0.24^{\text{b}}$	$1.37\pm0.09^{ab}$
	$7.56 \pm 3.38^{ab}$ $39.71 \pm 13.98^{ab}$ $2.15 \pm 1.00^{ab}$ $65669 \pm 18217^{ab}$ $20.7 \pm 5.99^{ab}$ $0.29 \pm 0.009^{a}$ $0.51 \pm 0.015^{a}$ $1.291 \pm 0.008^{b}$ $1.48 \pm 0.16^{b}$	$7.56 \pm 3.38^{ab}$ $4.65 \pm 1.4^{b}$ $39.71 \pm 13.98^{ab}$ $26.57 \pm 8.98^{b}$ $2.15 \pm 1.00^{ab}$ $2.19 \pm 0.48^{a}$ $65669 \pm 18217^{ab}$ $89604 \pm 13468^{b}$ $20.7 \pm 5.99^{ab}$ $26.35 \pm 4.1^{a}$ $0.29 \pm 0.009^{a}$ $0.45 \pm 0.063^{b}$ $0.51 \pm 0.015^{a}$ $0.65 \pm 0.045^{b}$ $1.291 \pm 0.008^{b}$ $1.252 \pm 0.017^{a}$ $1.48 \pm 0.16^{b}$ $1.24 \pm 0.061^{a}$	$7.56 \pm 3.38^{ab}$ $4.65 \pm 1.4^{b}$ $9.52 \pm 2.55^{a}$ $39.71 \pm 13.98^{ab}$ $26.57 \pm 8.98^{b}$ $55.73 \pm 11.71^{a}$ $2.15 \pm 1.00^{ab}$ $2.19 \pm 0.48^{a}$ $1.68 \pm 0.12^{a}$ $65669 \pm 18217^{ab}$ $89604 \pm 13468^{b}$ $88333 \pm 23452^{a}$ $20.7 \pm 5.99^{ab}$ $26.35 \pm 4.1^{a}$ $27.52 \pm 7.53^{a}$ $0.29 \pm 0.009^{a}$ $0.45 \pm 0.063^{b}$ $0.3 \pm 0.022^{a}$ $0.51 \pm 0.015^{a}$ $0.65 \pm 0.045^{b}$ $0.55 \pm 0.027^{a}$ $1.291 \pm 0.008^{b}$ $1.252 \pm 0.017^{a}$ $1.287 \pm 0.009^{ab}$

560 <sup>a, b</sup> different superscript showed significant differences between groups with different

561

management at a probability value P < 0.05.

Table 4. Pearson's R coefficient for the correlation between some parameters of microsphere

_	
E	<b>ດ</b> ວ
3	05

modeling and the retention.

	$DMs (cm^2 h^{-1})$	<b>β</b> мs	W MS	$\mu_{MS}$
% MS Recovered	0.054	-0.553*	-0.673**	-0.796**
% MS Retained	-0.488	0.505	0.797**	0.803 <sup>a</sup>
Up_Retention (Retention in the upper half of the column)	-0.470	0.514	0.816**	0.839ª
Matrix_Retention	-0.410	0.637*	0.832*	$0.847^{a}$
Pore_Retention	-0.560*	-0.535	-0.073	-0.093
<b>Br</b> (%)	0.290	-0.540*	-0.628*	-

<sup>a</sup> High correlation results of the leverage influence from one single observation.

565  $D_{MS}$  is the dispersion coefficient for the MS [L];  $\beta_{MS}$ , is a dimensionless parameter for

the partitioning of MS in two-region transport model;  $\omega_{MS}$  is the dimensionless mass

transfer coefficient of MS; and  $\mu_{Ms}$  is the first-order decay coefficient [T<sup>-1</sup>] for the MS.