1	
2	
3	Mobile applications ('apps') for obesity
4	and weight management: current market
5	characteristics
6	
7	
8 9	Charoula K Nikolaou ¹ , Michael E J Lean ²
10	Affiliations: ¹ Biogov, Universite Catholique de Louvain, Collège Thomas More, Louvain
11	Belgium
12	² Human Nutrition, School of Medicine, University of Glasgow, Scotland
13 14 15	
16	Corresponding Author
17	Charoula-Konstantia Nikolaou
18	Universite Catholique de Louvain
19	Collège Thomas More
20	Place Montesquieu 2
21	Louvain-La-Neuve
22	1348
23	E-mail: charoulanikolaou@yahoo.co.uk
24	
25	Short Report Word count: Abstract= 200 Main text= 1,444
26	
27	Key Words: apps, mHealth, weight-management, weight-gain prevention
28	Conflict of interest: The authors declare there is no conflict of interest.
29	Funding: No funding
30	

Abstract (word limit= 199: word count=200)

mHealth is the fastest-developing eHealth sector, with over 100,000 health-apps currently
 available. Overweight/obesity is a problem of wide public concern which is potentially
 treatable/preventable through mHealth. This study describes the current weight management app-market.

37

Five app-stores (Apple, Google, Amazon, Windows, Blackberry) in UK, US, Russia, Japan, Germany, Italy, France, China, Australia, and Canada were searched for key words: 'weight', 'calorie', 'weight-loss', 'slimming', 'diet', 'dietitian' and 'overweight' in January/February 2016 using App-Annie (San Francisco, CA, USA) software. The ten most downloaded apps in the lifetime of an app were recorded. Developers' lists and the app descriptions were searched to identify any professional input with key words 'professional', 'dietitian', and 'nutritionist'.

A total of 28,905 relevant apps were identified; Apple-iTunes=8,559(4,634, 54% paid),
Google-Play=1,762 (597, 33.9% paid), Amazonapp=13,569 (4,821, 35.5% paid), Windows=
2,419 (819, 17% paid), Blackberry= 2,596 (940, 36% paid). The 28,905 identified apps focused
mainly on physical actity (34%), diet (31%), and recording/monitoring of exercise, calorieintake and body-weight (23%). Only 17 apps(0.05%) were developed with identifiable
professional input.

51

52 Apps on weight-management are widely available and very popular but currently lack 53 professional content-expertise. Encouraging app-development based on evidence-based 54 online approaches would assure content quality, allowing health-care professionals to 55 recommend their use.

Background (Short Report word limit=1,547: word count= 1,500)

Mobile-Health (mHealth), a subcategory of eHealth, covering interventions and practice 59 60 involving 'apps' and mobile devices is a new and fast-growing field. Blackberry introduced the 61 first mobile device with computing features in 2002, followed by Apple in 2007 and Google in 62 2008¹. In 2010, 'tablet' computers whose portability, and large screens expanded the mobile market further, were introduced by Apple and Google^{1,2}. Subsequent smartphones and 63 tablets with advanced features and capabilities, coupled with falling prices, increased the 64 ownership of these devices rapidly³. Unique subscriber-penetration currently stands at 79% 65 and 45% of the entire population in the developed and developing worlds, respectively⁴. 66

67

'Apps' are software programs developed to run on mobile devices to accomplish a specific
purpose⁵. There are more than 100,000 mHealth-apps available⁶ for downloading free or at
a nominal value from five stores; Apple-iTunes for iOS operating systems, Google-Play for
Android operating system, Amazonapp for Fire and Android operating systems, Windows and
Blackberry for their eponymous operating systems⁷.

73

74 Between 1980-2013 the global prevalence of overweight (BMI>25kg/m²) increased from 29% to 37% in men and from 30% to 38% in women⁸. Obesity prevalences (BMI>30kg/m²) now 75 approach or exceed 30% in US, UK, the Gulf States, Australia, and Canada⁸. In UK, obesity 76 prevalence now reaches about 40% by age 65⁹ and national physical activity 77 recommendations are met by 69.5% of adults¹⁰. The need for effective anti-obesity 78 79 interventions that will reach large population-sectors at low-cost is increasingly critical. A 80 recent systematic review concluded that self-directed interventions can promote weight-81 loss¹¹. Mobile-apps on weight-management could provide low-cost, self-directed 82 mechanisms to reach the 80% of young, obesity-prone, populations who own tablets or 83 smartphones¹². Healthcare professionals and public health services could suggest and re-84 inforce the movement towards prescription of more evidence-based apps. This study 85 quantifies and describes the current weight-management provision in the largest app-86 markets worldwide.

- 87
- 88

89 Methods

90

91 An electronic search was conducted to identify apps relevant to weight-management in the 92 five main app-stores, covering all devices and operating systems currently on the market: 93 Apple-iTunes, Google-Play, Amazonapp, Windows, and Blackberry. The app-stores in the 94 leading ten app-markets worldwide (UK, US, Russia, Japan, Germany, Italy, France, China, 95 Australia, and Canada) were searched using key-words: 'weight', 'calorie', 'weight-loss', 96 'slimming', 'diet', 'dietitian' and 'overweight'. App-Annie (San Francisco, CA, USA) software 97 was used for Apple-iTunes and Google-Play stores, and the provided search engines for 98 Windows, Amazonapp, and Blackberry stores. The software automatically converts English 99 into the appropriate language for searching.

100

101 Developers' lists and descriptions of all apps identified as relevant to weight-management 102 were searched with key-words: 'professional', 'dietitian' and 'nutritionist' in order to identify 103 professional input for development, and evidence of development for professional bodies, 104 universities or governmental Health Agencies. ANOVA on SPSS-23 was used to examine 105 differences between countries and online shops.

106

The five free, and five paid, most downloaded apps from 'Lifestyle/Health' and 'Fitness'
categories of the five app-stores for the same countries (total 500 apps) were identified for
more complete description, using App-Annie (San Francisco, CA, USA) software.

110

111 Results

112

A total of 28,905 unique apps relevant to weight-management were identified; AppleiTunes=8,559 (4,634, 54% paid), Google-Play=1,762 (597, 33.9% paid), Amazonapp=13,569 (4,821, 35.5% paid), Windows=2,419 (819, 17% paid), Blackberry=2,596 (940, 36% paid) (Table 1). They accounted for over two billion downloads over the apps' lifetimes. However, over half of all those downloads were generated by just 15 apps, 12 on physical activity and three on monitoring of calorie intake, physical activity, body weight, and sleeping patterns. Of these eleven apps were directly associated with a wearable device.

The 28,905 identified apps focused mainly on physical activity (34%), on diet (31%), and on recording and monitoring of exercise, calorie intake and body-weight (23%) (Figure 1). (Weight loss' or 'slimming' was specifically mentioned by 22,587 (78%) of apps relevant to weight-management. There were 53 apps aimed at prevention of diabetes, cancer or chronicdisease that included weight maintenance in their content. However, none of the 28,905 apps mentioned specifically the words 'obesity-prevention' or 'prevent weight-gain'.

127

There were few differences between countries in the total numbers of apps available. In all
countries, Amazonapp store contained significantly more weight-management apps
(p<0.001) and Apple iTunes had a largest proportion of paid apps (p<0.001).

131

The most downloaded free and paid apps in 'Lifestyle/Health' and 'Fitness' categories listed by the five app-stores in ten countries are shown in supplementary Table 1. In every country, and in every store, at least one app directed towards weight-management was among the top ten apps. In US, UK, Canada, Germany, and Russia all top ten apps were for weightmanagement. The actual numbers of downloads of these apps was not provided by all app stores.

138

Searching the developers' list and descriptions of the retrieved apps, only 17 (0.05% of 28,905) indicated that they were developed with the input from a professional, or for professional bodies, universities or governmental health agencies. Five of these were designed for use by health-care professionals, rather than directly by the public.

143

Among the top ten apps in 'Lifestyle/Health' and 'Fitness' categories in the ten countries (500 apps in total), only three apps (0.6%) were identified as having been developed by professional bodies.

147

148 **Discussion**

Interest and activity in mHealth is high. The total number of available mHealth apps is
growing rapidly, including an increase of 284% in the number of available mHealth apps since
2013¹³.

153 Over a quarter of approximately 100,000 mHealth apps are directed to some degree towards 154 weight-management. While weight-management apps are widely available in all stores and 155 in the largest app markets, use-patterns appear restricted. Consumers appear only to choose 156 amongst a small number of the most downloaded apps: only 15 of almost 30,000 apps 157 directed towards weight-management accounted for over half of all downloads. The US 158 Institution of Medical Information recently reported similarly that nearly half of all mHealth 159 apps downloads were for just 36 apps with the relatively low retention rates being at least 10% higher if the app has been advised by a healthcare professional¹³. Retention rate was 160 161 also 30% higher for prescribed fitness apps and 30-day retention rate was reports as 47% for health and fitness apps in 2012¹⁴. 162

163

164 Our results suggest that very few weight-management apps (0.05%) were developed by 165 official or professional sources, so quality might be of concern. Even those apps that were 166 developed with professional input are not backed up by clinical studies on the effectiveness 167 and validation of apps on health outcomes. Only three studies, to date, tested the 168 effectiveness of commercially available apps on health outcomes with Direito's being the 169 latest. He tested two commercially-available apps, aiming at increasing the physical activity of young people in New Zealand vs control over two months¹⁵. In this very small study, 51 170 171 participants were randomized but neither app significantly increased physical activity.

172

173 Few studies have assessed the quality of apps for controlling body-weight. Azar and 174 colleagues assessed 23 weight-loss apps for inclusion of behavioral theories using two 175 instruments: one on a traditional behavioural theory and the other on Fogg behavioural model. All assessed apps received low scores with both tools¹⁶. Pagoto and colleagues 176 177 assessed 30 weight-loss apps and found that they included only 19% of 20 pre-defined 178 behavioral strategies derived from an evidence-based weight-loss program¹⁷. Chen assessed 179 weight-loss apps in Australia. Most of the 28 selected apps for quality assessment provided 180 estimated energy requirements (86%) and used a food database to calculate energy intake (75%)¹⁸. Direito and colleagues assessed 40 apps from the New Zealand online stores: they 181 included an average of 8.1 (range 2-18) behavioural-change techniques¹⁹. 182

183

In view of the increased interest and activity in the mHealth sector, both the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in US²⁰ and the European Commission (EC)²¹ have published guidelines on mHealth apps. However, neither guideline provides any standards for the quality of app content. FDA merely intends to exercise enforcement discretion for lifestyle apps, while EC focuses on the legal framework for the sales of lifestyle and wellbeing apps.

189

190 An online study of this kind is inevitably limited by the quality of information presented by 191 the source material. Search terms may not identify all terminologies that may be used. It is 192 possible that more apps did involve some professional or official input, but if so that 193 information is not evident to potential users (public or professional). Healthcare industry and 194 public organizations across the world have accelerated mHealth dialogues, to include more 195 innovations including mobile/wireless technologies. However, to generate better health, and 196 specifically better self-directed weight-control for obesity-prevention, there is need to 197 incorporate more evidence-based methods into mHealth apps and reinforce movements like 198 iPrescribeapps where apps are developed by medical experts for specific medical conditions²², especially since retention rates of health and fitness apps are so much better 199 200 when those are prescribed instead of being self-selected. Researchers with evidence for 201 effective online weight-loss or weight-gain prevention programmes in RCT settings could be 202 encouraged to transfer their resources into an app form with greater reach. A new 'Apps For 203 Patients' category, restricted to those with professional, evidence-based content, would be 204 valuable, with subcategories for lifestyle-diseases, identifiable as for prevention or treatment.

205

In conclusion, mHealth offers potential to deliver improved, personalized, care while reducing healthcare-costs. For lifestyle-diseases and weight-management there are already many available apps but lack of professional, evidence-based content raises concerns about efficacy and patient/consumer safety. Encouraging app-development from tested and validated online-studies would offer confidence to both patients and healthcare-professionals.

211

212

- 215 Supplementary information is available at IJO's website

REFERENCES 218 219 220 221 1. Yoo JH. The meaning of information technology (IT) mobile devices to me, the 222 infectious disease physician. Infect Chemother. 2013;45(2):244–251. 223 2. Murfin M. Know your apps: an evidence-based approach to the evaluation of mobile 224 clinical applications. J Physician Assist Educ. 2013;24(3):38-40. 225 3. Boulos MN, Wheeler S, Tavares C, Jones R. How smartphones are changing the face of 226 mobile and participatory health care; an overview, with example from eCAALYX. 227 Biomed Eng Online. 2011 Apr;10:24. 228 4. GSMA. 2015. The Mobile Economy. 229 http://www.gsmamobileeconomy.com/GSMA_Global_Mobile_Economy_Report_20 230 15.pdf (accessed on 15/10/2015). 231 5. Wallace S, Clark M, White J. 'It's on my iPhone': attitudes to the use of mobile 232 computing devices in medical education, a mixed-methods study. BMJ Open. 2012 233 Aug;2:e001099. 234 6. European Commision. Healthcare in your pocket: unlocking the potential of mHealth. 235 2014. http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-14-394_en.htm (Accessed on 236 23/12/2015). 237 7. Payne KB, Wharrad H, Watts K. Smartphone and medical related app use among 238 medical students and junior doctors in the United Kingdom (UK): a regional survey. 239 BMC Med Inform Dec Mak. 2012 Oct;12:121. 240 8. NG M, Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children 241 and adults during 1980–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease 242 Study 2013 Lancet 243 9. Vlassopoulos A, Combet E, Lean ME. Changing distributions of body size and adiposity 244 with age. Int J Obesity. 2014 Jun 1;38(6):857-64. 245 10. Health and Social Care Information Centre. Statistics on Obesity, Physical Activity and 246 Diet- 2015. http://www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB16988/obes-phys-acti-diet-eng-247 2015.pdf (accessed on 25/05/2016). 248 11. Tang J, Abraham C, Greaves C, Yates T. Self-Directed Interventions to Promote Weight 249 Loss: A Systematic Review of Reviews. Inter Medical Research. 2014. Feb; 250 12.80% Of All Online Adults Now Own A Smartphone, Less Than 10% Use 251 http://techcrunch.com/2015/01/12/80-of-all-online-adults-now-Wearables.2015. 252 own-a-smartphone-less-than-10-use-wearables/ (accessed on 253 13. IMS Institute for Healthcare Informatics (2015). Patient Adoption of mHealth: Use, 254 Evidence and Remaining Barriers to Mainstream Acceptance. IMS Health 255 Incorporated.http://www.imshealth.com/files/web/IMSH%20Institute/Reports/Pati 256 ent%20Adoption%20of%20mHealth/IIHI_Patient_Adoption_of_mHealth.pdf 257 (accessed on 16/09/2016) 258 14. Farago Engagement: The Matrix Reloaded. (2012) Ρ. App 259 http://flurrymobile.tumblr.com/post/113379517625/app-engagement-the-matrix-260 reloaded (accessed on 16/09/2016). 261 15. Direito A, Jiang Y, Whittaker R, Maddison R. Apps for IMproving FITness and Increasing 262 Physical Activity Among Young People: The AIMFIT Pragmatic Randomized Controlled

263 Trial. J Med Internet Res. 2015 Aug 27;17(8):e210. doi: 10.2196/jmir.4568.

- 16. Azar KM, Lesser LI, Laing BY, Stephens J, Aurora MS, Burke LE, Palaniappan LP. Mobile
 applications for weight management: theory-based content analysis. Am J Prev
 Med. 2013 Nov;45(5):583-9. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2013.07.005.
- 267 17. Pagoto S, Schneider K, Jojic M, DeBiasse M, Mann D.Evidence-based strategies in
 268 weight-loss mobile apps. Am J Prev Med. 2013 Nov;45(5):576-82. doi:
 269 10.1016/j.amepre.2013.04.025.
- 18. Chen J, Cade JE, Allman-Farinelli M. The Most Popular Smartphone Apps for Weight
 Loss: A Quality Assessment. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth. 2015 Dec 16;3(4):e104. doi:
 10.2196/mhealth.4334.

274

275

- 19. Direito A, Dale LP, Shields E, Dobson R, Whittaker R, Maddison R.Do physical activity and dietary smartphone applications incorporate evidence-based behaviour change techniques? BMC Public Health. 2014 Jun 25;14:646. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-646.
- 276 20. Food and Drug Administration organization (FDA). Mobile Medical Applications.
 277 Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff. 2015.
 278 <u>http://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/.../UCM263366.pdf</u> (Accessed on 21/12/2015).
- 280 21. European Commission. Commission staff working document on the existing legal EU
 281 framework applicable to lifestyle and wellbeing apps. 2014. file:///C:/
 282 /Downloads/CommissionStaffWorkingDocumentontheexistingEUlegalframeworkapp
 283 licabletolifestyleandwellbeingapps.pdf. (accessed on 21/12/2015).
- 284 22. iPrescribeApps-From clinical problem to mobile solution. https://iprescribeapps.com/
 285 (accessed on 25/05/2016).



