## On Being Ugly in Public: The Politics of the Grotesque in Naked Protests

#### **Abstract**

Sexualised naked protest using young and attractive women's bodies have long featured in the repertoire of protesting interventions in public space. Anti-rape feminist groups and non-human animal rights activist groups, in particular, have mobilised these bodies to attract attention to their causes. Contemporary debates have suggested that these sorts of protest are objectionable, and that they are entwined with contemporary rape culture. This paper complicates these accounts by considering what happens when the naked body is presented as a grotesquery in the service of these apparently emancipatory politics.

Analysing two instances of naked protest as case studies, this paper examines what happens to naked protest when the bodies protesting are 'ugly' or are rendered so. The analysis suggests that naked protest featuring bodies which are 'ugly' harbours the possibility to mobilise a transgressive politics beyond contemporary rape culture. This paper has implications for better understanding how to mobilise protest in a way that is transgressive and bold without further enshrining rape culture as the normative background against which they take place.

## **Key words**

Protest, feminism, non-human animal rights, ugly, grotesque, rape culture

#### The Contested Politics of Nakedness

Both non-human animal rights protests and feminist protests have mobilised the naked body as part of their protesting repertoire. The People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA) are notorious for this, and their iconic 1994 campaign: 'We'd rather go naked than wear fur' featuring naked supermodels of the epoch has been repeated on nearly an annual basis by the activist group. Similarly, anti-rape protesters have used sexualised imagery to draw attention to their causes. The ethics of female nakedness within these forms of protest have been widely debated (see for discussion Pace, 2005; Mika, 2006; Deckha, 2008; O'Keefe, 2011, Bongiorno et al 2013; Wrenn, 2015). Much of this scholarship interrogates the ways in which misogyny, sexist double standards, and hetero-patriarchal desirability are mobilised as part of these protests, including their complicity with contemporary rape culture <1>.

This paper complicates these current debates by considering the implications of the ugly naked body as a protesting tool. In order to do this, I revisit what we know about naked non-human animal rights protests and naked feminist protests. The critical possibilities of ugly naked protest as uncanny carnivalesques are imagined. I then consider what it means to be an ugly body in protests by examining two instances where the sexual desirability of a naked protester is disavowed in the service of the protest; Femen's 'sex bomb' protest of 2012, and Lush's anti animal-testing protest, also

of 2012. The paper concludes by considering what potential there is, if any, in ugly protest for transforming the *status quo*, against a background of contemporary rape culture.

#### The Enchantment of Naked Protest

Naked protests have form. From the public spaces that these bodies occupy, to the sorts of bodies that you will find there, from the notoriety of nakedness as a form of protest, to the role of the audience who witness the protest, naked protests take *specific forms*. Interlaced, these forms become vehicles to convey political messages which are meaningful within the specific time-spaces and socio-cultural contexts in which they occur. Naked protests also have form; Brett Lunceford (2012: 1) traces the naked protest back to ancient Greece. He notes, along with Philip Carr-Gomm (2010), that though nakedness might at first appear to be an unusual way in which to rouse a political sentiment, it has, over the last century, and even over the last few decades, become an increasingly popular protest mechanism. Mobilised to draw attention to political problems – from 'Breasts not Bombs', to free the nipple protests, to so-called lactivist protests – the human (usual female) body laid bare as a political act is prolific. What are the implications of naked protests for contemporary feminist politics?

Issues of social and spatial gendered justice have never been more pertinent in contemporary post-industrialist societies. In particular, questions of how the aged, (dis)abled, sexed, classed, raced and gendered body appears in public space, and the politics of this appearance, tell us a lot about the socio-cultural dynamics that sustain inequality and injustice in public space. Nirmal Puwar (2004) outlines how this operates through the mobilisation of specific forms of 'consecrated somatic norms'. How certain bodies are able to occupy public space in certain ways and at certain times, and how this marks how spaces which might at first appear neutral are in fact laced with masculinist, ethnocentric – we might add heterocentric – codes which cast the white, middle class, able-bodied male body as a norm against which all other bodies are measured. Bodies which do not fit are cast as out-of-place, as 'trespassers', 'space invaders', whose out-of-place-ness marks that body as Other.

Puwar gives us this analysis in the context of seats of power in the English capital. She remarks how throughout the city of Westminster from the Houses of Parliament, through Whitehall to Trafalgar Square, colonial white hetero-masculinity is actually engraved into the very architecture of the space. But what happens when Trafalgar Square – with its surrounding embassies and various national galleries – becomes a site of political refusal?

Butler (2011) has outlined how public squares – Tahir Square in Egypt, for instance – when used as sites of resistance harbour the potentiality to transform the politics of the state through, in part, the forging of an alternative polis. Of course, these can be only temporary subversions, yet they mark disruptions in dominant discourses of subjectification that saturate public space. What, given this, happens in stylised naked protest which have as their object rights for non-human animals and feminist politics? What does the intervention of naked bodies in public space do to the consecrated somatic norm of public space? And what of the *polis* of the consecrated somatic norm of these protests?

The naked body has been used by environmental groups such as PETA, or Lush, to protest against the testing of cosmetics on non-human animals, the killing of non-human animals for fur, to promote veganism, to promote fake leather, to protest against the desecration of Canadian tar sands, to protest against packaging, and to protest against dairy farming, to name only a few examples (Deckha, 2008; Aronczyk, 2013, Wrenn, 2015). Deckha (2008) and Wrenn (2015) have separately expressed concern that the type of naked body that is presented in these protests is the female, able-bodied, sometimes celebrity, often young, white, and conventionally attractive body. Moreover, this naked body is often presented in a state of violence – bloodied, screaming, bound, gagged, caged, branded - to make it appear even more vulnerable (Wrenn, 2015; Deckha, 2008, Fanghanel, 2019). It is exposed, objectified: to-be-looked at (Mulvey, 1989). Situating the human female body in this way, in the service of justice for nonhuman animals, reinforces patriarchal scripts of masculine power over feminine submission (Glasser, 2001: 57) and normalises not only the notion that naked women are bodies to be consumed by a masculinist gaze within the heteronormative contemporary socio-cultural context in which these protests emerge, but that there is something compelling – sexy even – about these bodies in a state of physical violence (Wrenn, 2015: 139; Fanghanel, 2019).

Feminist protests such as those manifested by Femen, or by SlutWalk, also deploy nakedness as part of their protesting repertoire. The Femen protest group, who were founded in Ukraine in 2008, are well-known for their topless and bare-breasted protests <2> whereas SlutWalk protests are famous for inviting their participants to dress 'like sluts' in ostensibly 'sexy' outfits <3>. Not unlike the naked protests undertaken by non-human animal protesters, these are not usually protests where the protesters are entirely naked - not the sort of protest we see in the 'naked curse', for instance (Stevens, 2006; Oriola, 2012) or the women of Meira Paibi protest against the violence of the Indian army (Veneracion-Rallonza, 2014: 260) - instead, both Femen and SlutWalk present an easily consumable spectacle of nakedness (Debord, 1994 [1967]). By mobilising usually young, usually thin, usually white, attractive, able-bodied women in naked protest, Femen and SlutWalk give us a commodified version of the naked protest (O'Keefe, 2014, Fanghanel, 2019). One that is easy to consume, one that titillates, one that is complicit with capitalism and one which, like non-human animal rights protests, glamourises sexualised violence through its presentation of women wailing or screaming and allowing their bodies to be dragged around on the ground by police or security services (Eileraas, 2014; Reestorff, 2014: 487; Green, 2016). Importantly, it is one which, in the words of Puwar (2004), consecrates a somatic norm within these protests.

Naked protests of this sort have been condemned for the ways in which, rather than upsetting an unjust *status quo*, they use political tools – eroticised nakedness in a state of violence – which actually make them complicit in sustaining a contemporary context which allows rape culture to thrive, and for exploitation, objectification and abuse to continue unabated (Adams, 2016 [1990]; Glasser 2001; O'Keefe, 2011). In part, they achieve this by mobilising what Adams (2016 [1990]) refers to as the 'absent referent'. When a woman is treated in a state of violence, according to Adams (2016 [1990]: 23), she reminds the witness of the violence that is suffered by non-human animals. By

standing-in for those animals, she becomes, herself, absented. Part of the effect of this sort of intervention into public space comes from the shock that these images provoke (Deckha, 2008). Criticism about protests which mobilise the naked female body draws attention to how, for instance, the Femen group, or PETA themselves, become complicit in the very injustice that they seek to subvert. This happens in part, through the spectacularisation of the naked protest, and by mobilising consecrated somatic norms of naked protest which magnifies this spectacle.

# Spectacular, Uncanny Carnival

For Debord (1994 [1967]), everything is spectacle. The spectacle marks how the 'relationship between people is mediated by images' which divorce representations from the work of their production (1994 [1967]: thesis 4). A spectacle – from the Latin, meaning public show – holds in tension a performative element and an audience who sees this performance. As such, there is a scopophilic, or to-be-looked-at element to these protests. They are protests in which part of the function of the protest is to be seen (Mulvey, 1989). And for onlookers to take pleasure in the seeing.

Debord describes spectacle as a world view, or perhaps an epistemology, which becomes the medium through which sense is made of social life and which structures everything within a capitalist context. Spectacular protests – or bodies that are spectacularised – emerge within an already-spectacularised society where the image being consumed – here, the naked protest – is divorced from the production of that image. Part of the way in which it is possible to produce this image is because it occurs in a socio-cultural context of thriving rape culture, which both venerates and denigrates women along normative lines of heteropatriarchal imaginaries of the feminine. Rape culture renders unexceptional women's experiences of harassment, abuse, or trouble.

Theorists of naked protest have offered the possibility that alongside the spectacularisation of the naked female body, this type of protest functions as a form of carnivalesque (Lunceford, 2012: 31, O'Keefe, 2014: 6-7). Bakhtin's (1984:10) notion of the carnivalesque is well known. He describes it as a 'temporary liberation from...the established social order', an inversion of prevailing hierarchies, structures, and statuses which, for a bounded space/time, leads to renewal and regeneration: leads to something else becoming potential. Bruner's (2005) analysis of carnivalesque protest illustrates how, as an interjection, protest can disrupt the dominant politics of the state and might initially appear to be an (albeit temporary) affront to the spectacularisation of social life. If the spectacle functions because we take it for granted, the way in which the carnival jars with what we know has the potentiality to provoke a different sort of critical awareness - or distance from - what we know. And certainly, this potentiality is latent in the protests of Lush or Femen, even if, as O'Keefe's (2011) and Puwar's (2004) work demonstrates, mobilising a consecrated somatic norm of young women wearing sexy underwear in public is not particularly subversive, and as Russo (1995: 58) reminds us, the carnivalesque, with its only temporary disordering remains 'essentially conservative'.

Grotesquery – incorporating the earthly, the chthonic – is a constituent feature of the Bakhtinesque carnivalesque (Bakhtin, 1984: 19). With its emphasis on disorder, the topsy-turvy, the inside-out, the comic, the carnal, the excessive, the interaction between

the world turned upside down and the uncanny horror of the 'open, protruding, extended, secreting body' is what forges uncanny carnival (Russo, 1994: 62). Here, the uncanny draws on Freudian understandings of a self that is composed of drives – Eros, Thanatos – whose subjectivity is forged through antagonisms between the id, ego, and super-ego. For Freud (2003[1920]), the uncanny is an unsettling recollection of the base desire of Id; the terror that what has been repressed – the fear, the violence, the desire – will overwhelm the subject. Uncanniness – the strangely familiar, the unknown known – is horrifying because it is unsettling, nauseating, even abject (Kristeva, (1982 [1980]). An uncanny carnivalesque protest marks a rupture in the status quo, in that which is known, or canny. It reminds the onlooker of their depraved fear of the diseased, disgusting, deathly, and the grotesque.

The ACT UP protests of late 1980s and 1990s illustrate this form of grotesque, uncanny, carnivalesque protests. ACT UP - a protest group at the forefront of campaigns for support for people with the AIDS virus – mobilised a number of techniques to promote awareness about their campaigns including 'savvy' media campaigns, and humorous, unsettling, sometimes sinister interventions in public space which characterised the 'campy humour' of their politics (Reed, 2005: 189). 'Kiss-ins' - public assemblies of same-sex kissing in public space - and 'die-ins' - where protesters 'draw police-style chalk outlines around each other's 'dead' bodies' (Reed, 2005: 201, 195), pull into public space acts which are usually intimate and private. Mass kissing in public acts as an affront to the heteronormativity which even today composes how public space is constructed. 'Dying' speaks to the visceral and primordial fear that casts death and decay as abject horrors. The shock of the 'die-in' emerges through this appeal to the uncanny and the grotesque, even if the shock is only fleeting. As forms of grotesque protest, the approaches of groups like ACT UP, which so plainly accost a public with things that it does not want to see or to know about, helps us to understand the two contemporary cases that we analyse here. Refusing to let the unsettling unknown (uncanny) be unknowable, this confrontation becomes abject because it violates the consecrated order of politics, disease, who can speak, who can be heard, and where this happens (DeLuca, 1999).

Analysis of how uncanny spectacles constitute what protest means, or does, or can do, must also attend to the socio-cultural context in which these protests take place. For ACT UP, the affluent, middle class, professionalization of its actors inflects the successfulness and the clout of these protests, even if AIDS sufferers were otherwise stigmatised in the 1980s and 1990s (Reed, 2005: 183). ACT UP cannot be divorced from the North American context in which it predominantly took place, either. This wealthy, post-industrialist, capitalist setting both made the conditions for ACT UP possible and the need for ACT UP necessary. It would be quite another story to consider what ACT UP would look like, how ACT UP would feel, if it were not taking place in the USA, but in South Africa, Haiti, or the Ukraine (see Chávez, 2012 for a discussion, and Semigina, 2015).

We will see this exemplified more closely in the case studies of naked protest that I describe in the next section. The two protests that I focus on here occur in differently capitalist settings; one in a shop window on one of London's busiest shopping streets in the UK and one outside an underground station of post-communist Kiev. We see what

happens when the bodies which participate in those protests do not conform to the consecrated somatic norm of these naked protests, or are refused this capacity: when they are grotesque, or rendered so.

## **Animal Test Subject and Sex Bomb**

In 2012, Lush cosmetics launched a global campaign protesting against animal testing. Lush is a cosmetics and toiletries manufacturer which has a reputation for running political campaigns about global environmental and humanitarian issues (Aronczyk, 2013). The protest took the form of a ten hour 'endurance performance' in which a male performer (who was the director of the piece), played the 'scientist', and a female, who played the 'animal' acted out a scenario where the 'animal' was violently experimented on by the 'scientist'. He was wearing a long white lab coat, a blue medical cap and mask. She was dressed in a full length leotard which was the same colour as her skin, giving the appearance that she was naked. With her hair tied up off her face, the 'animal' was as exposed to the on-looking public as the 'scientist' was covered.

In this performance, we saw the 'animal' force-fed, having liquid injected into her eyes, electrodes were attached to her head to simulate the giving of electric shocks. We saw her measured and manhandled. The front of her hair was shaved off, and one of her eyebrows was entirely plucked off by the 'scientist'. We could only see his eyes and his hands as he worked on his subject for the day. Unlike the 'scientist's' impassive face, every expression on the 'animal's' face – every impression of pain that the scientist's experimentations exerted on her body – was visible: all the more so because of her positioning in this shop window in this busy shopping area.

A video <4> of the event (which has to date been watched online nearly three million times) made and narrated by Lush, makes explicit that the purpose of this performance is to draw attention to the use of non-human animals in cosmetics testing to show the 'reality' of how testing feels for non-human animals who are used in these tests. In this video of the protest, we saw the 'animal' crying, we saw her looking forlorn, and we saw her resigned to her fate. The scientist, in contrast, appeared cool and unaffected by her pain. All the while that this performance unfolded, members of the public were walking past the window, they took photos with their phones, the press reported the story, and promotional pictures were taken for Lush's own campaign materials. In the words of the campaign manager, Tamsin Omond, 'people are watching and looking with complete shock in their eyes, and trying to find out what is going on' (Lush, 2012:2.37).

The video which accompanies this performance tells us that the 'animal' is a 'young girl called Nicole, who is 23 years old, a vegan, and a performance artist' (Lush, 2012: 0.28), and that as a 'campaigner' this was an issue that mattered to her (Rosario, 2015: 98). We can also see from the video of the protest that she appears to be young, able-bodied, and appears to be white. The male scientist also appears to be young, white and able-bodied. Though he is the director of the piece, unlike the female performer, we do not hear from him either in the promotional video or in the furore the followed it. His anonymity and concealment in the performance is matched only by the way in which he disappears from scrutiny after it. Such a thing normalises the violence of his action and continues to objectify the already exposed 'animal' performer.

This protest attracted a lot of media intention – as many naked protests do – yet some of the critiques of this protest pointed to the gendered dynamic of the coupling (a male 'scientist' abusing a female 'animal'). The performer herself commented that onlookers – not realising at first what story this protest was supposed to be telling – mistook it as a 'performance intended to highlight domestic violence' (Rosario, 2015: 98). The intention of this campaign is very clearly to promote social justice and to foster a transformed politics of equality between human and non-human animals. Yet, because the gendered dynamics of the performance and the violence that was incorporated into it, Lush was accused of eroticising violence and of creating a performance where violence against women was titillating and attention-grabbing.

Yet, both the performer (Cataldo-Davies), and Lush, distanced themselves from the suggestion that what the 'animal' endured 'brought her any form of pleasure', or was, in any way sexualised (Rosario, 2015: 101). Despite her conformation to the 'consecrated somatic norm' of naked protest (young, attractive, thin, female, in a state of violence), those involved with the protest are telling us that it was not sexy. What does this denial tell us about this protest? Before we answer this question, I want to bring a different voice into dialogue with the context for asking this question in the first place.

The Femen protest group is famous for topless protests. Femen say that they protest against dictatorial regimes, the proliferation of sex-work (which they describe as a 'genocide against women'), and organised religious oppression of women (Femen, n.d.). In the past, they have protested against regimes of oppression in the Ukraine, in Russia, in Belarus, against the Pope, and against Islamic veiling practice (to name only a few instances). Femen protests are characterised by their confrontational tone. Barebreasted, these self-styled 'Amazons' write political slogans on their torsos, and, wearing vinoks <5>, storm buildings or monuments, shouting their slogans ('nudity is freedom', The Atlantic, 2018). Protests tend to come to an end when the women are arrested or otherwise removed from the site. Commenters have noted that what we might call the 'consecrated somatic norm' of Femen conforms to a specific bodily aesthetic of athletic, usually white, thin, apparently able-bodied conventionally attractive bodies (O'Keefe, 2011; Zychowicz, 2015). Indeed, their 'pop star' aesthetic becomes part of their 'brand' of protest (Zychowicz, 2011), which uses 'beauty as a weapon' (The Atlantic, 2018a, b). Against this background, I want to talk about one particular protest which troubles this representation.

'Sex Bomb' was an intervention in public space which was intended to protest against the Euro 2012 football tournament taking place in Ukraine and which was expected to attract male tourists to the brothels of Ukraine. In this protest, a Femen campaigner positioned herself in the entrance of a metro station in Kiev. Smoking a cigarette from a long cigarette holder, wearing a bright yellow wig, knee high socks, red PVC elbow length gloves, a black garter over her knee, red heart-shaped sun-glasses and zebra-print high heels, the performer had the words 'sex bomb' written on her naked torso.

Other members of Femen surrounded her, but these were fully clothed in bright pink boiler suits, playing the 'bomb disposal squad'. They ran around her with loud-speakers shouting 'Danger! Danger! Sex bomb!', 'Please Keep Back!', 'Run, People, Run!'. The 'sex bomb', meanwhile, smiled and blew kisses at the surrounding onlookers, and adopted

sexually provocative poses, coquettishly posing on the pavement like a centrefold. She appeared amused and delighted at the 'panic' she was causing.

Whilst the disposal bomb team comprised Femen protesters who conform to their own somatic norm – thin, young, white, able-bodied, conventionally attractive – the 'sex bomb' performer was exceptional. A parody of a sexually attractive woman or a 'sex bomb', she was dressed in deliberately gaudy colourful clothes. In contrast to the smooth tight skin of the other protesters in other Femen campaigns, she was older and fatter than the other women. Her breasts hung low on her body. These are not the pert and round, full breasts which have confronted Vladimir Putin or the Pope, this is what we might call a grotesque body. Her thighs were dimpled with cellulite, her stomach – large and round – hung over her thighs. A badly-fitting thong completed the parody of the sexy woman: the bombshell.

Though hers is a non-conforming Femen body, her appearance in this protest should not be understood as a conscious diversification within the Femen brand. Femen, whose protests, we have seen, are composed mostly of conventionally attractive women is not opening itself up to protesters outside of its own consecrated somatic norm. Instead, this non-conforming body is given to this spectacle precisely because she is not a 'sex bomb'. This potentially cruel Bakhtinesque ironic laughter here emerges because within a normative imaginary of beauty, the sex bomb is nothing of the sort. Dressed up as a simulacra of sexy femininity, this parody is all the more vicious because it works by acknowledging that many onlookers would not find the sex bomb conventionally attractive, and that she is, in fact, conventionally ugly. The presence of this performer seems to enshrine, rather than destabilise norms of beauty, of aesthetic femininity, and of heteronormative desire.

## **Becoming Grotesque**

What emerges in these two protests are two nearly-naked women who present different manifestations of the consecrated somatic norms of these naked protests; one who conforms, and one who does not, but both of whom are also presented to us as ugly bodies. Whether a body whose beauty has been erased, in the context of the Lush protest, or a body whose beauty is ironicised, as in the case of Femen, both bodies have some sort of interplay with the grotesque, and with rape culture which values some women's bodies, and denigrates others. How far does this complicate what we already know about naked protest?

Baker (2010:5) demonstrates how ugliness has become intimately incorporated into constructions of gendered subjectification. Whereas for women, ugliness has classically been associated with inner evil, and even exterior beauty has been thought to hide an inner 'physical and moral deformity', for men it was entirely possible for an ugly exterior to hide a benevolent, moral and wise inner life. Carmichael's (1972) typology of ugliness demonstrates how ugliness and morality have become embroiled with each other. Ugliness, for Carmichael, is wont to maleficence, wantonness, wretchedness, despicableness. Given its qualities, that which we call grotesque, or ugly, has perhaps unsurprisingly, ordering functions. Yet, more than simply an ordering force, a grotesque body is interactive. It is composed via sociality, through carnivalesque laughter and through transgressiveness (Russo, 1994: 8). Yet, ugliness is not simply the opposite of

beauty. As Morgan (1991: 45) notes, ugliness is also contrasted with that which is plain, or ordinary. Ugliness is held in a sort of tension with beauty because both have the capacity to excite strong feeling (Baker, 2010: 22). Ugliness might have its own kind of splendour (Morgan, 1991: 45).

The grotesque – meaning wildly formed, boldly odd – is out of place. It is abject and it deviates from that which is normal, or the 'intended design' of things (Baker, 2010: 17). It is by designating something as Other, that that which is One can be formed. Beauty is 'whole, discrete, coherent, defined' (Baker, 2010: 17). As Foucault (1994 [1970]), Douglas (1966) and Kristeva (1982 [1980]) amongst others, have shown us, matter out of place – matter which exceeds codification – is a source of anxiety, even a source of anger in contemporary social life. We see this reflected in how both of these protests interact in this assemblage of how they are created and interpreted.

Ugliness and deformity provokes laughter that, according to Russo (1994:7), is both carnivalesque, as we have seen, and uncanny. Russo offers Bakhtin's image of the 'laughing senile pregnant hag' as a way into understanding this. This figure of the hag is an evocative one. The hag, from the Old English *hægtesse*, meaning 'witch', is also cognate with haga, from which the far more benign word 'hedge' has its etymological origins. The hedge, or haga, marks the boundary between the *polis* of the settlement – the protection of the sovereign – and the unruly world beyond it (beyond the pale, the point beyond which banishment operates, Agamben, 1998). The *hægtesse* – the witch, or goddess, or wise-woman – straddling the boundary between order and chaos, between what is knowable and what is not, marking the point between the what is 'within the compass' of what is permissible and what happens in the hinterlands, is inevitably grotesque (Baker, 2010: 75).

Simultaneously a figure of decrepitude and renewal – of 'pregnant death, a death that gives birth' – encompassed within the ambivalent body, the senile, pregnant hag disturbs because she is a ludicrous impossibility, and exceeds normative ordering (Bakhtin, 1984: 25; Russo, 1994). Yet, though we laugh at the absurdity of this body, it is also terrifying because of its possibilities. Uncannily linking death with life, markedly open – laughing – and tied to the world, its embodied contradictions are powerfully unsettling – almost nauseating – because they speak to the uncanny horror that is expressed when the abject threatens the integrity of the self (Kristeva, (1982 [1980]). And this integrity is menaced by the liminal position of the hag, who, with one foot in one world, and one in another, is neither of one, nor of another, and in this ambivalence, poses problems everywhere (Turner, 1969).

# **Becoming a Grotesque Protest**

In both the Lush protest and the Femen protests we encounter naked bodies which are mired in the gender dynamics of the socio-cultural contexts in which they take place (including a rape culture which sustains an imagery of women's bodies in a state of violence as normative). And so have somehow figured themselves as ugly, or been thusly figured.

Citing the work of medieval philosopher, William of Auvergne, Baker (2010: 17) suggests ugliness comes from the malformation which attends having too much or too

little of something. To be disproportioned, or incomplete, or in the wrong place, or in the wrong way, is ugly. Consider the somatic norm against which naked protests are measured – those that we usually see in the protests of PETA, or Femen's other interventions – the thin, white, able-bodied, attractive bodies that fill media reports of these naked, topless, bloodied protests. How does the making-grotesque of the animal test subject and the sex-bomb happen? And what does this mean for these politics?

Cataldo-Davies's performance as the 'animal test subject' was, we are told, not sexualised:

We did not perform a sexy version of oppression or create a teasing "naughty" campaign.... Our aim was most certainly not to titillate. The bodysuit was not attractive (regardless of how the mainstream media may have presented or written about it). The costume made her an anonymous test subject and stripped her of the accoutrements of sexuality or eroticism. (Omond, 2012)

Similarly, Cataldo-Davies confirms that they 'attempted to keep the costumes as androgynous as possible, both in the design of her body suit and [the] loose-fitting white overalls and mask' worn by the 'scientist' (Rosario, 2015: 98). Cataldo-Davies explained she would have preferred to protest naked, and that she chose to protest wearing a suit that made her appear to be naked because she wanted to give the appearance of being as much like an animal as possible without infringing the law by actually being naked (Rosario, 2015: 98). Certainly, the body-stocking which covered her meant that the image was safe for use on social media in a way that it would not have been had she been without it. The body suit, Omond tells us, was 'not attractive'. It was not for titillation, it was not sexy, or erotic. The crescendo of the scene, at the end of the ten hour performance, sees the 'animal' have a bin liner placed over her head as she is carried into the street and place on a pile of rubbish bags on the pavement. This final humiliation for the 'animal' compounds the dehumanisation of the test subject and is intended to remind the audience of the way in which animals used for testing are commodified, consumed and discarded. The act recalls the 'die-ins' of ACT UP, by treating the animal as a corpse to be unceremoniously disposed of. It also recalls taboos around death and anxiety about the abject, and begins the work of rendering this protest grotesque.

In other naked protests that promote non-human animal rights, activists wear flesh-style body suits, depicting muscles, sinew and veins, which give the appearance that their skin has been flayed from their bodies. Whilst the performance might be one which shocks the onlooker because it is so grotesque and suggestive of violence, even these protests are usually performed by young, able-bodied, thin, white women. In early modern literature, this discursive practice of making the otherwise beautiful body ugly is understood by Baker (2010: 165) as a vehicle through which to preserve virtue and purity. Against an ascetic Christian background, Baker argues, the beautiful and the beguiling are viewed with suspicion that is redeemed by making the self ugly. It is why, though tales are told of both male and female saints who have disfigured themselves, women have done so to escape the attention of lascivious men who seek to rape them (for instance, Saint Rose of Lima, Saint Ebba), whereas men have done so to punish themselves for their own lascivious thoughts or deeds (see Pipkin, 2013:174).

Wegenstein's (2018: 119-222) account of Saint Agatha's disfigurement takes this analysis further; women who are uglified become sacred and set apart. That which is sacred cannot be touched; it is both fragile and dangerous. It is this way that ugliness might open up the possibility of resisting heteronormativity, patriarchy, and the 'heterosexual gaze, avant la lettre'.

Self-uglification becomes a form of gendered, virtuous purification and of refusal. And we can see echoes of this in Cataldo-Davies's and Omond's insistence that the 'animal' in this protest is 'stripped' of the 'accoutrements of sexuality'. Given, as demonstrated elsewhere by Deckha (2008), Glasser (2011) and Bongiorno et al (2013), that the naked protests of non-human animals rights movements are in fact firmly situated within an economy where naked the naked body is legible as a sexualised text, the emphasis on desexualised nakedness here can be interpreted as an attempt to operate outside of rape culture. And yet, if this is the lens through which we interpret the lack of sexiness of this protest, we can see that attempts to claim ugliness as a position from which to mobilise politics, which are tied to a claim for purity or virtue, are also complicit in a rape culture which valorises feminine purity and virtue. Rooted as it is in the postindustrialist and capitalist context of the city of London, this uglification occurs in a completely different context to that described by Baker (2010) and Pipkin (2013). Yet, through it, we can see how uglification which operates as a means to escape sexual harassment in those early modern instances, haunts the contemporary antagonisms about sexuality, desire and female bodies in public space, that are at play here.

Against this background, the grotesquery of the sex bomb complicates this story further. Presented as a parody of sexy femininity, this protester appears to be much older, and is certainly fatter than the usual naked bodies we see in Femen protests. Accompanied by a 'bomb disposal squad', who, dressed in hot-pink boiler suits, have no trouble conforming to the consecrated somatic norm of Femen protests, the contrast between this fat, old body and the younger, tauter bodies of the women running around her, is necessarily political.

The ageing female body – also associated with decay, with death – manages still to inspire vitriol, pity and horror (Ussher, 2006). The fat body is 'emphatically, excessively, unforgivably, carnal' (Baker, 2010: 119). The public performance of sexual desire of a reviled body, here, also echoes the kiss-ins of ACT UP and other queer rights protests. Manifesting sexual desire in bodies that we do not want to see (old bodies, fat bodies, queer bodies, disabled or diseased bodies) harkens back to the uncanny, unsettling qualities of this presentation of desire, and its capacity to trouble how public spaces are constituted. The conjunction of these characteristics in the sex bomb is what makes this protest grotesque.

If the purpose of the protest is to warn male tourists visiting the Ukraine for the Euro 2012 football tournament away from Ukraine's brothels, then this old and ugly body is intended to be repulsive. The protest functions by suggesting that no-one would choose to have sex with such a grotesque body, and yet, this is what might be waiting for them in the brothels of Kiev. The twin meanings of sex bomb – sexy woman, and actual bomb of sex – prevents this body from becoming anything other than ugly. The menace of a fat, ugly, woman-as-bomb who might explode and disfigure, disgust, and pollute those

around her recalls anxiety about the abjectification of the self that we encounter in Kristeva's (1982 [1980]) work.

Unlike the body of the Lush protester whose body is rendered grotesque by lack – lack of hair and of eyebrows, lack of sexual accoutrements – sex bomb is always-already ugly because of her excessive size, and this is heightened by her vulgar movements, her illfitting attire, and the incongruity between this figure and the sexiness she is parodying. Yet, like the senile pregnant hag, this body is also laughing; revelling in the trouble she is causing, seemingly completely at ease with the shock and horror that she, as sex bomb, provokes. She can discursively be all the more condemned because she is grotesque, and yet does not seem to care about it. A vernacular understanding of the sex bomb protest understands this laughter as one between the crowd, and the 'bomb disposal squad' (who, themselves, are not ugly). We are supposed to laugh at the sex bomb. However, carnivalesque laughter will not so easily compartmentalise itself. Laughter for Bakhtin (1984: 12) is generative. Even degradation and deathliness brings renewal. The sex bomb, from an analytical perspective, is also laughing at everyone: the crowd, the onlookers, even the other protesters. Certainly, though this sex bomb figure is offered to the witnesses of the protest not to celebrate her form, but to horrify them, in acknowledgement that the sight of her is awful - that an encounter with her, dangerous - the sex bomb is also laughing at this idea. It is laughter which unsettles, which is subversive, and which implicates us all.

## The Ugly Truth?

If naked protests usually operate within an economy sustained, in part, by the proliferation of rape culture, where naked female bodies are offered up in order to grab attention and to make headlines for political causes, does the ugly body mark a rupture to this dynamic? Can this confront rape culture?

According to Carmichael (1972: 497), 'ugliness is evidently nothing positive', and nothing can be recuperated from an ugly body. Baker (2010:7) is suspicious of claims that ugliness can straightforwardly be free from gendered oppression. As she highlights, ugly bodies have a normative function. They mark the limits of acceptability, and are cast as 'other'. The power that ugliness has, in its early modern manifestations is to mark a body as virtuous, or as profane and undesirable. For women to deface themselves, or to be defaced, is presented as either a punishment for bad or unruly behaviour, or an attempt to preserve their chastity or purity (Baker, 2010: 175,179). Both manifestations re-inscribe the ugly body as one which is still subject to normative constructions of idealised femininity. For a resistance to be mounted, something else needs to shift for the ugliness to be outside the realm of hetero-patriarchal rape culture which valorises the chaste feminine, and scorns the failed feminine.

Russo (1995: 10) suggests that as an excessive, abundant, emaciated, putrefying force, ugliness indeed enshrines the borderland between what kinds of bodies we will see and which we will not. She suggests that the normalising forces of the distinctions between what is ugly and what is not, are very potent. The urge towards homogeneity is profound. This is why exceeding norms is risky. And this danger brings with it the sort of vilification that ugly women's bodies receive (see Douglas, 1992). At the same time, being marginal, being dangerous, is also to be powerful (Russo, 1995: 60). Kristeva

(1977), Lorde (1985), and Rich (1984) tell us the same stories. Occupying the margin – here, being designated as ugly – harbours the potentiality to scrutinise and to call to account the centre. The hedge-dwelling hag, at the periphery of what is accepted, has in her sights the violence of how norms are consecrated.

And yet, choosing to make the self ugly, or refusing to not be ugly, can be thought as revolt, even at it skirts perilously close to being denigrated within a rape culture which disdains ugly female bodies. Ugliness is fascinating. It harbours its own scopohilic draw, perhaps because, as Mulvey (1989: 19) suggests, it recalls the terror of castration; the image of the open wound. If scopopholia is usually associated with the passive looked-at female body and the active - looking - male gaze, the erotic, striptease element of the looking takes on a transgressive potency when the gazed-at is ugly or deformed (Wegenstein, 2018: 219). Baker (2010: 159) illustrates how women who have historically refused to live within the constraints of the obligation to be beautiful, have also considered themselves to be free, or unencumbered. And the story of Sun Pu-erh which Halprin (1996:3) recounts also attests to this freedom. In an evocative exploration of what we might recuperate from ugliness - or rather, what we might appropriate - Morgan (1991, 45) advocates for a feminist revolt which 'revalorises the domain of the 'ugly"; in which women undergo surgery to adopt 'fleshy mutations... to produce what culture constitutes as 'ugly' so as to destabilise the 'beautiful'; to 'have one's face and breasts surgically pulled down...and have wrinkles sewn and carved into one's skin' (1991: 46).

To make the self ugly can be 'abhorrent to contemplate' (Carmichael, 1972: 498). And such a move might prove absurdly profane. But, this notion of abhorrence – from the Latin meaning 'recoil, shrink back in terror from' – evokes the terrifying outsider potential of ugliness. Moreover, to make the self ugly – to destroy the eyebrow, to shave the hairline, to suffer painfully and in public – as we saw in the Lush protest, also means accepting a normative understanding of what ugliness is in the first place. Occupying such a devalorised position for the self, even when it is not one which is attributed to oneself, as we see from Omond's and Cataldo-Davies's comments, certainly holds power because of ugliness's relationship to the mainstream. If it were not ugly to be ugly – if ugliness did not exist – then the taking of this position would not foster the transgressive potential that it does.

We might think that to be speaking in the language of beauty and ugliness is to reinscribe, rather than resist the normative devalorisation of what is ugly. Bring this thought into a dialogue with the work of the performance artist Orlan. Her 1990-1995 performance entitled 'The Reincarnation of Saint-ORLAN' consisted of her transforming her face, through different surgical interventions into a patchwork of of renaissance figures of beauty. Thus, a nose from Psyche, the forehead of Mona Lisa, the eyes of Diana... paragons of beauty in themselves, converged onto her face to create a hybrid of elite beauty. The end result is one that gives her face an unsettling, otherworldly appearance. This intervention adopts what Phelan and Lave (1998: 291) recognise as a Brechtian estrangement from beauty. Thus, Orlan turns beauty on its head, in perhaps the same way that we see ugliness transformed in these protests.

Indeed, when we compare the denied beauty and assumed ugliness of the Lush protest to the parodied beauty and assumed ugliness of the sex bomb protest, we can see a different sort of potency emerge. Sex bomb might, quite outside of the discursive narrative of her ugliness constructed by Femen, be causing the most disruption to public space of any of these naked protests.

Femen's decision to centre the protest around the undressed body of a woman whose body does not conform to *their* usual somatic norms foregrounds a body which is more confrontational and less easy for onlookers to consume. Though the sex bomb is offered to the protest – making a spectacle of herself (Russo, 1995: 60) – because she is cast as ugly, her refusal to *be* ugly harbours the potentiality to break prevailing norms which sustain rape culture. Blowing kissing to the crowd, laughing, posing for photographers, flirting with them, sex bomb is *becoming a sex bomb*. Though intended to appear ridiculous, her performance of a sexually alluring woman is one which seemingly refuses to accept her supposed ugliness. She waves coquettishly and interacts with the crowd, as if she were Marilyn Monroe, or some other manifestation of iconic, glamourous, consecrated somatic norm of feminine beauty. She does not permit herself to be read as ugly, even if she is thusly read by the crowd and by her fellow protesters. More than simply a rejection of the male gaze – as we saw in the Lush protest – this is a reappropriation of it.

Sex bomb refuses simultaneously to be easy to look at (like other Femen protests, like other non-human animal rights protests) and she refuses to be ugly. Like the Lush protest, this is not an easy protest to consume, it is thus less spectacular, but more than the Lush protest, sex bomb's performance appears to completely refuse the possibility that she might be ugly, or that ugliness is not something that can be valorised. And it is here that we might begin to see some possibilities for naked protest outside of rape culture; by refusing the capacity rape culture has to designate and denigrate some bodies and not others. Of course, within the context of this protest, this activist's lascivious, fat, and old body was presented as something to be frightened of, to run away from, so its transgressive potential is curtailed by Femen themselves. We are not out of the woods yet, but are we approaching the hinterlands?

## **Ugly as Transgression**

Much existing research about naked protests, including that which I have contributed to myself, emphasises the way in which the sort of naked body we might expect to encounter on one of these protests is figured as an aesthetically pleasing body that is easy to look at, to photograph, and to disseminate across the world (as is the case with Femen, PETA, Lush, and SlutWalk protests) (Pace, 2005; O'Keefe, 2011; Wrenn, 2015; Fanghanel, 2019). Those bodies certainly transgress norms of what we do in public space through their nakedness, yet they are not, in themselves, wholly transgressive. Indeed, by eroticising violence, and by offering the naked female body to be objectified, both non-human animal rights protests and anti-rape protests rely on the fact that they emerge in capitalist socio-cultural contexts where erotic violence is titillating in order to convey their political messages. In the pursuit of social and spatial justice, they rely on the fact of rape culture to function, and they are themselves complicit in its

proliferation. At the same time, the stories that are told by the bodies that we have explored in this paper complicate this picture.

By deliberately mobilising ugliness as a political weapon, these protests might be thought to halt the interminability of rape culture in different ways. By defacing herself – or allowing herself to be defaced – the protestor in Lush's front window attempts to move the discussion of non-human animal rights out of the sexualised realm that we usually encounter in these sorts of protests. That she denies her own beauty can be interpreted as a move to make her body legible as a text outside of rape culture. It resonates with the abjectification and self-negation that Baker (2010) describes in her analysis of women whose freedom is found in forging ugliness (see also Halprin, 1996). At the same time, for ugliness to function in this way, it must be conceived within an economy which knows what is ugly in the first place.

This is where the potency of the sex bomb's refusal to be ugly emerges. Sure, she is offered up to the protest because she is supposed to be ugly, but her performance is one that also refuses the possibility of this. She marks a break with the construction of ugliness as something that is a problem. By refusing to be ugly, as hag she might refuse some of the power of ugliness to denigrate, but because this ugliness operates within a rape culture which precisely does denigrate ugly women, this ugliness – which she appears to ferociously disavow – holds on to its power to disturb and perturb its audience.

The power emerges, in part, because of the uncanniness of the grotesque body. Femen's brand of self-proclaimed 'pop-feminism' claims that beauty is their weapon (Tayler, 2013), meanwhile non-human animal rights groups like PETA admit that 'provocative, attention-grabbing actions are sometimes necessary to get people talking about issues that they would otherwise prefer not to think about'. Attractive naked bodies - usually those of models and celebrities in the case of PETA - are mobilised to grab this attention. In Femen protests, protesters explain that they have weaponised beauty; that their 'blonde, curly hair, flowers...make up' is intended to irritate the male on-looker; that this beauty is intended to be provocative; that beauty is in the hands of the protesters, and not men 'any more' (The Atlantic a, 3.14, 4.10). Yet, elsewhere Femen tell us that traditional feminism comprises 'old fashioned, old grumpy women, [who are] not attractive for young girls like [them]' (The Atlantic b, 4.41). Reclaiming beauty certainly resonates with post-feminist ideas of self determination and agency, but the rejection of historic feminist struggles, with the rejection of women who are 'old', 'grumpy', 'old-fashioned' marks how invested the Femen group remain in conventional beauty, ageism, and, indeed, rape culture.

These feminist and non-human animal rights protests may want to provoke the onlooker with their weaponised, naked beauty, but in these protests it is the grotesque body that does so, in ways that the protesters themselves may not have anticipated. It angers and troubles. We saw this in violent and aggressive responses to both the Femen and the Lush protest. And it appears to be the uncanniness of this body which is what makes it potent. Like the power of ACT UP's interventions with public space, or Orlan's confronting work on beauty, the manifestation of the ugly body in all its flaws reminds us of decay, of disease, of death and also the horror of the laughing senile pregnant hag

(Bahktin, 1984). By refusing even the grounds upon which ugliness exists, in the end it is the 'sex bomb' who transcends the terrain upon which the text of her body is read. Even if she is recaptured into legibility as an uncanny creature, it is this capacity to terrify by sliding around these representations that she harbours the capacity truly to trouble the rape culture upon which these protests habitually rely to function.

Ugliness fosters potentiality that might be as transgressive as it is normative (see Halprin, 1996, Baker, 2010). In this paper we have considered how the concept of ugliness and the grotesque interact with the consecrated somatic norm – that which we will accept to see – of naked protest. We have seen how rape culture sustains and is sustained by interactions with these norms of nakedness. Yet, none of this stops these intervention from having the potentiality to transform contemporary politics, or to foster social justice that is not paid for by the oppression of others. But in order for naked protest to become revolutionary, we must attend to the dynamic between the politics of space, the ethics of desire, and the systems of power that intersect them. We might do this by opening ourselves hag-like to horror of becoming-grotesque whilst also refusing the limitations what is grotesque in the first place.

## Acknowledgement

Thanks to the two anonymous reviewers who helpfully provided prompt comments on this paper.

#### Notes

- 1. Rape culture is understood as the normalisation of violence against women in contemporary social life.
- 2. See https://femen.org/category/gallery/, accessed 25th Sept 2018
- 3. This has since been attenuated in recent iterations of the SlutWalk with emphasis more on inclusivity rather than reclaiming the label 'slut'.
- 4. See https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f4K9iSyj\_lk accessed 15th Nov 2018
- 5. These are flower crowns, which are part of Ukrainian folkloric traditions, representing virginity and innocence

#### References

Adams, CJ. (2016 [1990]) The Sexual Politics of Meat, London: Bloomsbury

Aronczyk, M. (2013) 'Market(ing) Activism: Lush cosmetics, ethical oil and the self-mediation of protest', *JOMEC Journal*: 1-20

Baker, N. (2010) *Plain Ugly: The Unattractive Body in Early Modern Culture*, Manchester: Manchester University Press

- Bakhtin, M. (1984) Rabelais and his World, Bloomington: Indiana University Press
- Bongiorno, R. Bain, P. and Hasalm, N. (2013) 'When sex doesn't sell: Using sexualised images of women reduces support for ethical campaigns', *PLoS ONE* 8 (12): e83311
- Bruner, M L. (2006) 'Carnivalesque Protest and the Humorless State', *Text and Performance Quarterley*, 25 2
- Butler, J. (2011) 'Bodies in Alliance and the politics of the Street' Lecture held in Venice, 7 September 2011, in the framework of the series *The State of Things*, organized by the Office for Contemporary Art Norway (OCA)
- Carmichael, PA. (1972) 'The sense of ugliness', *The Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism*, 30 4 495-498
- Carr-Gomm, P. (2010) A brief history of nakedness, London: Reaktion Books
- Chávez, K R. (2012) 'ACT UP, Haitian Migrants, and alternative memories of HIV/AIDs', *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 98 1 63-68
- Debord, G. (1994 [1967]) The Society of the Spectacle, New York: Zone Books
- Deckha, M. (2008) 'Disturbing images: PETA and the feminist ethics of animal advocacy', *Ethics and the Environment* 13 (2): 35-67
- DeLuca, K M. (1999) 'Unruly arguments: The body rhetoric of Earth First!, ACT UP, and Queer Nation', *Argumentation and Advocacy*, 36 1 9-21
- Douglas, M. (1966) Purity and Danger, Abindgon: Routledge
- Douglas, M. (1992) Risk and Blame: Essays in Cultural Theory, London: Routledge
- Eileraas, K. (1997) 'Witches, Bitches and Fluids: Girl Bands Performing Ugliness as Resistance', *The Drama Review*, 41 (3) 122-139
- Eileraas, K. (2014) 'Sex(t)ing revolution, Femen-izing the Public Square: Aliaa Magda Elmahdy, nude protest and transnational body politics', *Signs: Journal of women in culture and society*, 40 (1): 40-52
- Fanghanel, A. (2019). Disrupting rape culture, Bristol, Bristol University Press
- Foucault, M. (1994:[1970]) *The Order of Things: An Archaeology of the Human Sciences*, New York, Vintage Books
- Freud, S. (2003 [1920]) *Beyond the pleasure principle, and other writings*, London, Penguin Classics
- Glasser, CL. (2011) 'Tied oppressions: An analysis of how sexist imagery reinforces speciesist sentiment', *The Brock Review* 12 (1): 51-68
- Green, K. (2016) dir. *Ukraine is not a Brothel* [film], USA: Magnolia Pictures
- Halprin, S. (1996) Look at my ugly face: Myths and Musings on Beauty and Other Perilous Obsessions with Women's Appearance, London, Penguin

- Kristeva J. (1982 [1980]) *Powers of Horror: An Essay on Abjection*. Roudiez, L. (trans) New York: Columbia University Press
- Kristeva, J. (1977) 'Heretique de L'amour' Tel Quel (74) Winter: 30-49
- Lorde, A. (1985) 'Poetry is not a Luxury', *Sister Outsider: Essays and Speeches*, Berkeley: California, Crossing Press pp 36-40
- Lunceford, BL. (2012) *Naked Politics: Nudity, Political Action, and the Rhetoric of the Body*, Lexington Books
- Lush (2012) 'Lush Fighting Animal Testing: Live Demonstration at Regents Street' [online video], available at: <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f4K9iSyj\_lk">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f4K9iSyj\_lk</a>
- Mika, M. (2006) 'Framing the Issue: Religion, secular ethics and the case of animal rights mobilization', *Social Forces*, 85 (2): 915-941
- Morgan, K. P. (1991). Women and the knife: Cosmetic surgery and the colonization of women's bodies. Hypatia, 6(3), 25-53.
- Mulvey, L. (1989) Visual and other pleasures Palgrave Macmillan, London.
- O'Keefe, T. (2011) 'Flaunting Our Way to Freedom? SlutWalks, Gendered Protest and Feminist Futures'. In: *New Agendas in Social Movement Studies*, November 2011, National University of Ireland Maynooth
- Omond, T. (2012) 'Lush's human performance art was about animal cruelty not titillation', *The Guardian Newspaper*, 27<sup>th</sup> April 2012 available at <a href="https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2012/apr/27/lush-animal-cruelty-performance-art">https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2012/apr/27/lush-animal-cruelty-performance-art</a>,
- Oriola, T. (2012) 'The Delta creeks, women's engagement and Nigeria's oil insurgency', British Journal of Criminology, 52 534-555
- Pace, L. (2005) 'Image events and PETA's Anti-Fur Campaign', *Women and Language*, 28 (2): 33-41

People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (n.d.) 'Why does PETA sometimes use nudity in its campaigns?' available at: <a href="https://www.peta.org/about-peta/faq/why-does-peta-sometimes-use-nudity-in-its-campaigns/">https://www.peta.org/about-peta/faq/why-does-peta-sometimes-use-nudity-in-its-campaigns/</a>

- Phelan, P., & Lane, J. (Eds.). (1998). The ends of performance. NYU Press.
- Pipkin, A. C. (2013). *Rape in the republic, 1609-1725: formulating Dutch identity.* Leiden, Brill NV
- Puwar, N. (2004) Space Invaders: Race, Gender and Bodies out of Place, Oxford: Berg
- Reed, TV. *The Art of Protest: Culture and Activism from the civil rights movement to the streets of Seattle*, Minneapolis, University of Minnesota Press
- Rich, A. (1984) 'Notes towards a politics of location' *Feminist postcolonial theory: A reader*, 29-42.
- Rosario, C. (2015) 'Animals and angels', Performance Research 20 (2) 96-101

Russo, M. (1994) *The Female Grotesque: Risk, Excess and Modernity*, New York, London: Routledge

Semigina, T. (2015) 'HIV-activism in a post-socialist state: the case of Ukraine', *Kyiv-Mohyla Law and Politics Journal*, 1 113-133

Stevens Jr, P. (2006) 'Women's aggressive use of genital power in Africa', *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 43(4):592-599

Tayler, J (2013) 'The Woman Behind Femen's Topless Protest Movement', *The Atlantic,* 13<sup>th</sup> March 2013 available at:

https://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2013/03/the-woman-behind-femens-topless-protest-movement/273970/

Ussher, JM. (2006) *Managing the Monstrous Feminine: Regulating the reproductive body*, Hove: Routledge

Veneracion-Rallonza, M. L. (2014). Women's naked body protests and the performance of resistance: Femen and Meira Paibi protests against rape. Philippine Political Science Journal, 35(2), 251-268.

Wegenstein, B. (2018). Agatha's Breasts on a Plate: "Ugliness" as Resistance and Queerness. In On the Politics of Ugliness (pp. 219-235). Palgrave Macmillan, Cham.

- Wrenn, CL. (2015) 'The role of professionalization regarding female exploitation in the nonhuman animal rights movement', *Journal of Gender Studies*, 24 (2): 131-146
- Zychowicz, J. (2011) 'Two bad words: Femen and feminism in Independent Ukraine', Anthropology of East Europe Review 29 (2): 215-227
- Zychowicz, J. (2015) 'Performing protest: Femen, nation and the marketing of resistance', *Journal of Ukrainian Politics and Society*, 1 (1): 74-104