- Running head: Soil shapes floral chemistry, bee health From plant fungi to bee parasites: mycorrhizae and soil nutrients shape floral chemistry and bee pathogens Julie K. Davis^{1,2*}, Luis A. Aguirre³, Nicholas A. Barber⁴, Phil C. Stevenson^{5,6}, and Lynn S. Adler³ ¹ Stockbridge School of Agriculture, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA ² Department of Entomology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14850, USA ³Department of Biology, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA ⁴Department of Biological Sciences, San Diego State University, San Diego, CA 92182, USA ⁵ Jodrell Laboratory, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Surrey TW9 3AB, UK ⁶Natural Resources Institute, University of Greenwich, Chatham, Kent ME4 4TB, UK *corresponding author: id982@cornell.edu, ph 413-387-3124

24 Abstract

25 Bee populations have experienced declines in recent years, due in part to increased disease 26 incidence. Multiple factors influence bee-pathogen interactions, including nectar and pollen 27 quality and secondary metabolites. However, we lack an understanding of how plant interactions 28 with their environment shape bee diet quality. We examined how plant interactions with the 29 belowground environment alter floral rewards and, in turn, bee-pathogen interactions. Soil-30 dwelling mycorrhizal fungi are considered plant mutualists, although the outcome of the 31 relationship depends on environmental conditions such as nutrients. In a 2x2 factorial design, we 32 asked whether mycorrhizal fungi and nutrients affect concentrations of nectar and pollen 33 alkaloids (anabasine and nicotine) previously shown to reduce infection by the gut pathogen 34 *Crithidia* in the native bumblebee *Bombus impatiens*. To ask how plant interactions affect this 35 common bee pathogen, we fed pollen and nectar from our treatment plants, and from a 36 wildflower pollen control with artificial nectar, to bees infected with Crithidia. Mycorrhizal 37 fungi and fertilizer both influenced flowering phenology and floral chemistry. While we found 38 no anabasine or nicotine in nectar, high fertilizer increased anabasine and nicotine in pollen. 39 AMF decreased nicotine concentrations, but the reduction due to AMF was stronger in high than 40 low-nutrient conditions. AMF and nutrients also had interactive effects on bee pathogens via 41 changes in nectar and pollen. High fertilizer reduced Crithidia cell counts relative to low 42 fertilizer in AMF plants, but increased Crithidia in non-AMF plants. These results did not 43 correspond with effects of fertilizer and AMF on pollen alkaloid concentrations, suggesting that 44 other components of pollen or nectar were affected by treatments and shaped pathogen counts. 45 Our results indicate that soil biotic and abiotic environment can alter bee-pathogen interactions

46 via changes in floral rewards, and underscore the importance of integrative studies to predict

47 disease dynamics and ecological outcomes.

48 Key words: bee decline, bee parasites, Bombus impatiens, Crithidia, mycorrhizae, multi-

49 trophic, trypanosome, soil, alkaloid, floral chemistry

50 Introduction

67

51 Pollinators provide critical ecosystem services important for both natural ecosystem 52 resilience and crop yield, and bees are major contributors to these services (Klein et al. 2003). 53 Many bee species are experiencing population declines due to a range of factors, including 54 increased disease incidence (Cameron et al. 2011, Goulson et al. 2015). Host-pathogen dynamics 55 do not occur in isolation, and diet quality may act as a buffer against disease stressors. For 56 instance, protein can enhance bee immunocompetence (Alaux et al. 2010). Furthermore, 57 environmental factors, including soil conditions and species interactions, shape the quality of 58 floral resources (Adler et al. 2006). However, we lack a comprehensive understanding of how 59 biotic and abiotic factors, such as soil microbes and nutrients, shape bee-pathogen outcomes 60 mediated by diet quality. Due to the importance of pollinators for diversity in natural ecosystems 61 and yield in crop systems (Ashman et al. 2004, Klein et al. 2007), bottom-up effects of soil 62 conditions on bees could have widespread ecological and economic applications. 63 Secondary metabolites in plants can provide resistance against plant antagonists but also 64 may shape interactions with pollinators. Alkaloids in nectar can deter or attract pollinators 65 depending on concentration and pollinator taxa (Detzel and Wink 1993, Adler 2000, Kessler et

- al. 2008), and nectar compounds could benefit pollinators by reducing bee pathogen load

(Manson et al. 2010, Richardson et al. 2015). In particular, consuming the alkaloids nicotine and

anabasine, found in Solanaceous plants, reduced infection by the gut pathogen *Crithidia* in the

bumblebee *Bombus impatiens* at ecologically-relevant concentrations (Richardson et al. 2015). However, these effects can be dose-dependent (Anthony et al. 2015), and in some studies, no effect was detected (Biller et al. 2015, Thorburn et al. 2015). Given that some bee species have declined in recent years, due in part to pathogens (Potts et al. 2010), and the largely unknown role of floral traits in pollinator-pathogen dynamics (McArt et al. 2014, Koch et al. 2017), it is critical to explore factors that influence flower-bee-pathogen interactions.

75 Fine scale shifts in the diverse sugars, secondary compounds, and other nutrients in 76 nectar (Bentley and Elias 1983) may alter bee-pathogen interactions, and it is thus important to 77 study bee-disease dynamics in the context of real nectar. Sugar concentration and composition 78 can influence Crithidia growth (Conroy et al. 2016, Palmer-Young and Thursfield 2017) directly 79 or possibly synergistically with secondary metabolites in nectar (Palmer-Young et al. 2017). Few 80 studies have examined the effects of secondary compounds in real nectar on bee pathogens 81 (Tiedeken et al. 2016). To address this, we tested the effect of plant growing environment on 82 bumble bee pathogens via changes in floral chemistry using real nectar and pollen.

83 While some studies have examined the effect of nectar secondary chemistry on pollinator 84 pathogens, comparatively few have examined pollen secondary chemistry. Pollen is the male 85 gamete and is typically more defended than nectar with higher concentrations of secondary 86 compounds (Cook et al. 2013, Palmer-Young et al. 2019), and therefore may have a stronger 87 effect on bee pathogen loads. Furthermore, adequate dietary protein from pollen is important for honeybee and bumblebee immune response, and other dietary constituents, including amino acid 88 89 and lipid levels, may also shape bee tolerance to infection (reviewed in Koch et al. 2017). A diet 90 including both pollen and nectar is ecologically realistic, and because pollen may have higher

91 concentrations of secondary compounds, it is crucial to understand the combined role of these92 diet constituents on bee pathogens.

93 Plants interact with a range of organisms that can affect nectar and pollen traits, which 94 may scale up to alter bee-pathogen interactions. For example, plants widely associate with 95 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), which can enhance nutrient absorption (Brundrett and 96 Tedersoo 2018) and induce systemic expression of proteins and genes involved in defense in root 97 and foliar tissues (Liu et al. 2007, Campos-Soriano et al. 2010). We therefore hypothesize that 98 AMF could alter expression of secondary chemistry in floral reward tissues *via* a systemic 99 defense response. Moreover, because plant benefit from AMF depends on the relative 100 availability of soil nitrogen and phosphorus (Johnson 2010), and soil nutrients can shape nectar 101 secondary chemistry (Adler et al. 2006), AMF and nutrients may interactively influence defense 102 expression, including in floral tissue. Therefore, AMF have the potential to affect floral traits 103 including defensive chemistry via both changes in nutrient uptake and other pathways involved 104 in defense. To date, no study has examined the effect of AMF on floral secondary chemistry, 105 other than floral volatiles (Becklin et al. 2011), although there is evidence that AMF can increase 106 or decrease pollinator attraction via changes in floral display (Barber and Soper Gordon 2015). 107 By examining how plant interactions with AMF and nutrients affect floral chemistry and 108 bee-pathogen dynamics, our study addresses a novel pathway by which belowground interactions

affect pollinator health. Specifically, we asked whether floral traits (flowering phenology, nectar

110 volume, and mean value or variation in pollen and nectar alkaloid and sugar concentrations)

111 change in response to plant nutrient or mycorrhizal status; and whether AMF and nutrient effects

112 on pollen and nectar affect pathogen levels in bumblebees infected with *Crithidia*. We

109

113 hypothesized that if effects of AMF on secondary metabolism depend on soil nutrients (Getman-

114 Pickering et al, unpublished data), AMF would increase alkaloids more under low than high 115 nutrient conditions. Alternatively, if AMF effects on alkaloid levels are due to up-regulation of 116 defense pathways, rather than nutrient status (Vannette and Hunter 2009), we would expect 117 plants grown with AMF to have higher floral alkaloids regardless of fertilizer treatment. We 118 expected plants grown with high fertilizer to have higher floral alkaloids, consistent with a 119 previous study of nutrient effects on nectar (Adler et al. 2006). We predicted that diet from 120 treatment groups with high alkaloid concentrations would reduce *Crithidia* cell counts. 121 Furthermore, because variation in diet quality could affect plant-pollinator interactions (Kessler 122 et al. 2012, Wetzel et al. 2016), we assessed mean value and variation in floral chemical traits. 123 Methods 124 Study System 125 We selected Nicotiana tabacum L. (cultivated tobacco; Solanaceae) as a model system 126 because it is colonized by AMF (Andrade et al. 2013) and produces plentiful nectar containing 127 the alkaloids nicotine and anabasine (Detzel and Wink 1993, Adler et al. 2006) that can reduce 128 Crithidia bombi in Bombus impatiens (Richardson et al. 2015). Rhizophagus irregularis 129 (previously Glomus intraradices) is a commercially available species of AMF used in agriculture 130 and restoration. It increases alkaloid concentrations in root and leaf tissues of N. tabacum 131 (Andrade et al. 2013), but its effect on floral chemistry is unknown. Bombus impatiens (common 132 eastern bumblebee) is native to the eastern US (Williams et al. 2014). While B. impatiens is not 133 in decline, it is related to several bee species that are in decline, associated with pathogen 134 incidence (Cameron et al. 2011). It is therefore a useful model species to study pollinator-135 pathogen dynamics. Bombus spp. are infected by the hind gut pathogen Crithidia bombi, which 136 is found in up to 80% of bees at some sites (Gillespie 2010). *Crithidia* reduces queen colony

founding success, size and fitness (<u>Brown et al. 2003</u>), and worker foraging and learning abilities
(Gegear et al. 2006, Otterstatter and Thomson 2006).

139

140 Experimental Design

141 Starting in November 2016, we grew 200 tobacco plants in the greenhouse in a 2x2 142 blocked factorial design, manipulating presence and absence of AMF, and high and low levels of 143 fertilizer. Each block contained four plants, one from each treatment group. We collected pollen 144 and nectar and analyzed nicotine and anabasine concentrations. We also collected and pooled 145 pollen and nectar from plants for laboratory bioassays with bees. We fed Crithidia-infected bees 146 pollen and nectar from plants in each treatment combination, and included a control group with a 147 diet of wildflower pollen blend and artificial nectar to determine whether tobacco nectar and 148 pollen in general reduced *Crithidia* counts relative to a typical laboratory experimental diet.

149 We broadcast N. tabacum seeds into sterilized potting soil/sand mixture and kept 150 seedlings fertilized uniformly throughout early development. When seedlings were ready for 151 transplant in April 2017, we transferred them to 10 cm bleach-sterilized pots with sterilized 152 potting soil/sand mixture. At this point, plants were randomly assigned AMF treatments (~50 153 plants/treatment) and inoculated with either 1.71 g of 500 spores/g inoculum Rhizophagus 154 irregularis (Premier Tech, Quebec, Canada) in perlite carrier, or the same AMF and perlite 155 mixture that had been autoclaved. In May, we added high $(4.02 \text{ g} \pm 0.05 \text{ g})$ or low $(1.005 \text{ g} \pm -$ 156 0.05 g) NPK fertilizer, as in Adler et al. (2006) to plants assigned high and low fertilizer 157 treatments (for details see Appendix S1, Plant propagation and administering treatments). 158 In early April, after administering AMF/fertilizer treatments, we noticed an aphid 159 outbreak in the greenhouse. We quantified (scored 0-3) and manually removed aphids on two 160 sampling dates in April, and estimated proportion of leaf area that had mold resulting from aphid

161	honeydew (see Appendix S1, Aphid and mold quantification). Because aphid incidence and mold
162	were associated with AMF or fertilizer treatments (Appendix S1, Aphid and mold
163	quantification), we included these as separate predictors in our models to account for potential
164	effects of aphids and mold on responses. Collinearity was low in all cases (VIF < 2.0),
165	suggesting that we could separate effects of aphids and mold from treatment effects.
166	
167	Quantifying Mycorrhizal Colonization
168	We sampled roots after all nectar and pollen collection was complete, between August
169	25 th -31 st , 2017. Samples were taken from the center of the root ball, washed in tap water, then
170	stained with trypan blue and mounted on microscope slides (Appendix S1, Mycorrhizal
171	inoculation quantification and validation). Colonization was confirmed using a compound
172	microscope at 400 magnification and the grid intersect method outlined in McGonigle et al.
173	(1990). AMF treatment increased the likelihood a plant would have arbuscules by 79% (residual
174	deviance = 795.12 on 79 df, $p < 0.001$), and quadrupled mean arbuscular colonization (residual
175	deviance = 868.16 on 87 df, $p < 0.001$), indicating treatments were effective. Colonization was
176	not affected by the fertilizer treatment ($p > 0.09$), but colonized plants were more likely to have
177	aphids (Appendix S1, Mycorrhizal inoculation, quantification and validation).
178	

179 Measuring Plant Traits

Plant and floral traits. The date of first flowering (first open flower with five dehisced anthers)
was recorded for each plant, ranging from April 27th until the experiment ended on August 31st,
2017. During the last week of the experiment we measured plant height and leaf number to
estimate treatment effect on size. From the first two flowers, we measured nectar volume using
50 µL glass micro-capillary tubes (Fisher Scientific, Hampton, New Hampshire, USA) and a

185 digital caliper and nectar sugar concentration using a refractometer. To determine treatment 186 effect on nectar and pollen alkaloids, pollen (from the first several flowers a plant produced until 187 minimum amount for analysis was obtained) and nectar (from the first 2-4 flowers as needed for 188 a volume of 25 µL) were collected between 0700 and 1300 and stored at -80°C until chemical 189 analysis. To collect pollen, we plucked dehiscing anthers from the filament, holding flowers 190 upside-down to reduce the risk of contaminating nectar. After we removed pollen, we separated 191 sepals and ovaries from the floral tube so that nectar remained in the floral tube. By holding the 192 flower at an angle, we were able to prevent the nectar from contacting the site of phloem 193 exposure. Nectar contaminated with pollen or other fluids, or that made contact with the damage 194 site was discarded. After completing collection of pollen and nectar for chemical analysis, we 195 collected nectar (using a 200 µL micropipetter) and pollen for the bee bioassay from subsequent 196 flowers. For 52 plants, we analyzed a separate set of pollen from late-season sampling dates to 197 test for correlations between early and late-season alkaloids (Appendix S1, Pollen and Nectar 198 *Chemistry*).

199

200 *Nectar and pollen alkaloids*. Because some plants died or never flowered, our analysis of floral 201 chemistry included 120 plants; 33 AMF-/high fertilizer, 27 AMF-/low fertilizer, 31 AMF+/ high 202 fertilizer, and 28 AMF+/low fertilizer. We weighed approximately 6 mg pollen samples for 203 extraction but unfortunately did not record exact weights. After extraction, we confirmed that 204 pollen weights approximated this target value and did not differ between treatments (Appendix 205 S1, Pollen and Nectar Chemistry). We therefore used the target value of 6 mg of pollen to 206 calculate anabasine and nicotine in µg/mg. We also analyzed our data using post-extraction 207 weights to calculate alkaloids/mg pollen, but chose to present results using an estimate of 6 mg 208 due to high variability in post-extraction weights (Appendix S1, *Pollen and Nectar Chemistry*).

Bee-Crithidia Bioassays

211	Preparing nectar and pollen. We used nectar and pollen from treatment plants to determine how
212	AMF and fertilizer affected bee interactions with a gut pathogen. During collection, we pooled
213	nectar and pollen within plants in 1.5 mL micro-centrifuge tubes and stored in a -20°C freezer.
214	We ultimately pooled pollen and nectar samples within treatment group for use in
215	bioassays. When we pooled pollen, we separated it from anthers using a modified insect vacuum
216	(BioQuip Products, Inc. Compton, California, USA) outfitted with a 25-µm filter
217	(CellMicroSieves, BioDesign Inc. of NY, Carmel, New York, USA) to catch pollen, overlaid
218	with a piece of mesh to remove anthers.
219	
220	Treatment Diets. We conducted week-long bioassays from early January through early February
221	2018. To assess the effect of diet from plants grown under different soil conditions on Crithidia-
222	infected B. impatiens (BioBest LTD, Leamington, Ontario, Canada), infected bees were provided
223	with pollen and nectar from one of the four treatment combinations, or a control diet. The control
224	diet contained a wildflower pollen blend collected by honeybees from an organic farm in North
225	Dakota, USA and artificial sugar water mimicking the 12:15:10 glucose:fructose:sucrose ratios
226	in N. tabacum nectar (Tiedge and Lohaus 2017) and the 16% overall sugar concentration
227	observed in our nectar. We note that the control diet is not intended to be interpreted as non-
228	mycorrhizal, but rather a comparison of tobacco nectar and pollen to a more standardized lab diet
229	typically used in experiments. Because preliminary trials suggested pure tobacco pollen may be
230	toxic to bees (data not shown), we mixed tobacco pollen from each treatment group in a 1:1 ratio
231	with the control wildflower pollen. To prepare pollen for bee consumption, we mixed 1 g of each
232	pollen treatment with 1 mL of distilled water, adding small amounts of water to reach the

consistency of moist paste. We stored this in a -20°C freezer until use, adding small amounts of 233 234 water as needed when pollen dried out. For concentrations of anabasine and nicotine in bee 235 diets, see Table S2. Bees received 330 μ L of nectar and 0.013 ± SE 0.006 g of pollen on the first 236 day of the experiment. Nectar was topped off to 330 µL each day. Bees received fresh pollen 237 each day, unless they still had adequate amounts that had not dried out. 238 239 Infecting bees. Experimental worker bees were infected using inoculum made from bee colonies 240 maintained in the laboratory that had been initially infected with *Crithidia* from wild *B*. 241 *impatiens* (Stone Soup Farm, Hadley, Massachusetts, USA, 42.363911 N, -72.567747 W) in fall 242 2014, transferring to new colonies as needed. Following methods outlined in Richardson et al. 243 (2015), we made inoculum from a *Crithidia*-infected colony (Appendix S1, *Inoculating bees*), 244 and infected experimental bees with 15-20 μ L of inoculum so that they received 9-12,000 245 *Crithidia* cells; all bees on a single day were given only one inoculum volume depending on 246 availability, such that variation due to quantity of cells provided was part of variation due to 247 inoculation date. Both concentrations are well within natural variation in feces (Otterstatter and 248 Thomson 2006). Bees that did not consume inoculum were excluded from the trial. We housed 249 experimental bees in a deli cup modified for pollen and nectar feeding (Appendix S1, Bee 250 *containers*) in a dark incubator at 28°C. We monitored and recorded bee death daily. Surviving 251 bees were dissected seven days after inoculation and Crithidia cells were assessed with the same 252 methods used to make inoculum. We measured the marginal cell of the right forewing as an 253 estimate of body size. Sample sizes were limited by food availability in the low-fertilizer 254 treatments, which produced far fewer flowers (and therefore less nectar and pollen). Initial and 255 final sample sizes (due to deaths and escapes) were: AMF+/high fertilizer (55 initial, 33 final

256	bees), AMF+/low fertilizer (10 initi	al, 3 final), AMF-/high fertilizer (60 initial, 41 final), AMF-
-----	--------------------------------------	---

257 /low fertilizer plants (14 initial, 9 final), and control diet (58 initial, 30 final).

258

259	Measuring Consumption. To measure pollen and nectar consumption, we weighed pollen and
260	nectar dispensers with their rations before placing them in deli cups on the fourth day post-
261	inoculation. The next day, we weighed them again and used the difference to estimate
262	consumption. To account for evaporation, we simultaneously ran control consumption trials
263	every time we measured consumption (10 replicates of control pollen and nectar, 10 replicates of
264	tobacco nectar), which mimicked the experimental feeding setup without bees.
265	
266	Statistical Analyses
267	All analyses were performed using R version 3.4.1 (R Core Team, 2019), and plots were made
268	using ggplot2 (Wickham 2016). For all analyses we fit a set of candidate models and used the
269	AICcmodavg package to perform model selection (shown in Table S3). We compared top models
270	to one that excluded each term of interest (e.g., AMF, fertilizer), using ANOVA to test for a
271	significant effect on the response. To assess pairwise differences between treatments, we used
272	the emmeans package, adjusting for multiple comparisons using false discovery rate (FDR). To
273	assess treatment effects on plant size and nectar volume, we fit linear models using AMF,
274	fertilizer, their interaction, block, aphids and mold (VIF < 2) in the top models. We used number
275	of leaves/cm to represent plant size (Appendix S1 Plant Size).
276	We used the cosme package to conduct a Cox Proportional Hazards test of treatment
277	effect on flowering date. The global model included fertilizer, AMF, their interaction, block,
278	mold and aphid level. Survival analysis estimates differences in the time to an event (flowering),
279	while accounting for censored values (plants that failed to flower).

280 To assess plant treatment effects on pollen anabasine and nicotine concentrations 281 (ng/mg), we performed two analyses. Overall, 21% and 14% of plants had no pollen nicotine and 282 anabasine, respectively, so we analyzed likelihood of having the compound using a binomial 283 model and the MASS package. We then fit a generalized linear model with a negative binomial 284 distribution to test treatment effects on concentration of pollen nicotine/anabasine using all 285 plants, including those with zero values. We added a squared term of sampling date to test for 286 quadratic effect of sampling date, due to patterns observed in exploratory analyses. We used the 287 *car* package to test for collinearity among multiple predictors. There was not strong collinearity 288 between sampling date and treatment (VIF < 2 in both cases), indicating that the effect of 289 treatment was not confounded with that of sampling date. The global models included AMF, 290 fertilizer, their interaction, block, sampling date, squared sampling date, mold and aphid level. 291 The top model for anabasine included AMF, fertilizer, sampling date and squared sampling date; 292 it did not include aphids, mold, block, or the AMF by fertilizer interaction. The top model for 293 nicotine included AMF, fertilizer, their interaction, sampling date and squared sampling date, 294 and did not include aphids, mold or block. During data exploration, we noticed that variation in 295 chemical concentration differed between treatments. Because inter-plant variation in pollen 296 chemistry may be ecologically significant, we used a Levene test to evaluate whether variance 297 differed by treatment. We evaluated the correlation between anabasine and nicotine 298 concentrations using a Kendall rank correlation test. 299 To assess effects of AMF and fertilizer on *Crithidia* counts, we used two approaches. 300 First, we analyzed treatments excluding the control diet to compare the effect of AMF, fertilizer 301 and their interactions on pathogen load. We note that all four treatments incorporated equal ratios 303 facilitate bee survival but note that this also means our diets are a conservative evaluation of the 304 strength of treatment effects. We conducted this analysis using a generalized linear model with 305 AMF, fertilizer, their interaction, colony, inoculation date, bee size (estimated as wing marginal 306 cell length), pollen and nectar consumption, and anabasine and nicotine consumption (calculated 307 as mg pollen consumed * concentration of each compound in diet treatment) in the global model, 308 with a negative binomial distribution. Our top model included all covariates except inoculation 309 date, and measures of pollen/nectar and nicotine/anabasine consumption. We used a *post hoc* 310 chi-squared test to test residual deviance. Next, we analyzed differences in counts between all 311 diets, treating diet as a single five-level factor. The goal of this additional analysis was to ask if 312 tobacco diets differed from the control diet. The global models had diet, colony, inoculation date, 313 bee size, nicotine consumption, anabasine consumption and either pollen or nectar consumption 314 as covariates, and a negative binomial distribution. Replication was too low to include both pollen and nectar consumption as covariates in the same global model. The top model included 315 316 diet treatment (5 levels), bee size and colony, but not nectar or pollen consumption. To assess 317 differences between tobacco and control diets, we performed a post hoc generalized linear 318 hypothesis test using the *multcomp* package, adjusting for multiple comparisons using FDR. For 319 both analyses testing the effect of diet on Crithidia counts, we ran models excluding the diet 320 treatment with low replication (AMF+, low fertilizer), and found that fertilizer and AMF still 321 significantly affected *Crithidia* in similar directions (Appendix S1, *Crithidia counts*). Separately, 322 we tested whether diet treatment affected consumption of pollen or nectar using a linear model 323 (Appendix S1, Consumption). We conducted Cox Proportional Hazards tests to assess diet effect 324 on bee survival. Because replication was too low to use the five-level factor of diet as a 325 predictor, we excluded the control diet and tested the effects of fertilizer and AMF separately.

326

327 **Results**

328 Treatment effects on plant size and floral traits

329 High fertilizer increased plant size by 22% ($F_{9,96} = 6.6$, p < 0.001) and likelihood of 330 flowering by a factor of 2.1 relative to low fertilizer (Figure S1; log likelihood = -713.33, = p < 100331 0.001). AMF increased plant size by about 15% ($F_{9.96} = 6.6$, p = 0.002) but reduced the 332 likelihood of flowering by a factor of -0.7 (Appendix S1, AMF and nutrients influence flowering 333 *phenology*, Figure S1; log likelihood = -671.7, p < 0.001). Aphids did not affect flowering time 334 (log likelihood = -663.75, p = 0.094) or size (F_{9.96} = 6.6, p = 0.094). We concluded that AMF 335 and fertilizer treatments had no effect on nectar sugar concentration after finding no variation in 336 the first 40 plants sampled (10 per treatment combination; all plants had 16% sucrose 337 equivalents). In contrast with previous work on N. tabacum nectar (Adler et al. 2006), we did not 338 find anabasine or nicotine in any of our nectar samples. While this finding was unexpected, it is 339 possible that differences in abiotic factors between our study site and that of previous work 340 account for this result (Appendix S1, Pollen and Nectar Chemistry). 341 AMF had no effect on the likelihood of anabasine in pollen ($\chi^2 = 57.789$, N=115, p =0.092), while plants sampled at later dates were more likely to have anabasine ($\chi^2 = 85.839$, 342 N=115, p < 0.001). Fertilizer had no effect on the likelihood of anabasine in pollen and was not 343 344 in the top model. However, high fertilizer increased anabasine concentration by 484% compared to low fertilizer (Figure 1; $\chi^2 = 94.949$, N = 115, p < 0.001). AMF had a non-significant trend to 345 increase pollen anabasine concentration by 56% (Figure 1; $\chi^2 = 85.888$, N=115, p = 0.072). 346 Sampling date had a positive quadratic effect on pollen anabasine concentration ($\chi^2 = 92.165$, N 347 348 = 115, p < 0.001), such that anabasine concentrations peaked mid-season. AMF and fertilizer did

not interact, and this term was not in the top model. We found similar results using post-

extraction weights, except that the effect of AMF became significant (Appendix S1, *Pollen and Nectar Chemistry*).

352 Neither AMF nor fertilizer affected the likelihood pollen would have nicotine ($\chi^2 > 77, p$ > 0.21 for both), but plants that flowered later were more likely to have nicotine ($\chi^2 = 147.83$, p < 353 354 0.001). Plants with high fertilizer had 173% more pollen nicotine than those with low fertilizer 355 (log likelihood = -614.884 on 111 df, p = 0.003). However, these effects depended on the 356 interaction between fertilizer and AMF, such that AMF plants had reduced nicotine 357 concentration under high, but not low fertilizer conditions (Figure 1; log likelihood = -607.242 358 on 110 df, p = 0.044). Alone, AMF did not significantly affect nicotine concentration (log 359 likelihood = -608.183, p = 0.082). Sampling date had a positive quadratic effect on nicotine 360 concentration (log likelihood = -68.624 on 109 df, p = 0.02), such that nicotine concentrations 361 peaked mid-season. We found similar results using post-extraction weights, except that the 362 interaction between AMF and fertilizer was no longer significant (Appendix S1 Pollen and 363 *Nectar Chemistry*). 364 High fertilizer increased pollen anabasine ($F_{1,113} = 0.828, p < 0.001$) and nicotine ($F_{1,113} =$ 365 10.97, p = 0.001) concentration variance compared to low fertilizer. AMF did not affect anabasine concentration variance ($F_{1,112} = 1.20$, p = 0.276), but marginally decreased nicotine 366 367 concentration variance ($F_{1,113} = 3.68$, p = 0.058). Anabasine and nicotine concentration were not correlated (coefficient = 0.154, p = 0.099), and there was no correlation between early and late-368 369 season anabasine or nicotine concentrations (Appendix S1, Pollen and Nectar Chemistry). 370

371 Treatment effects on pathogen counts and bee survival via changes in nectar and pollen

372 When we excluded the control diet and tested the interaction of AMF and fertilizer on 373 Crithidia counts, AMF and fertilizer interacted such that diet from plants grown without AMF 374 and low fertilizer resulted in the lowest Crithidia counts (Figure 2; interaction term log 375 likelihood = -508.07 on 81 df, p = 0.04). Alone, neither AMF (log likelihood = -509.63 on 82 df, 376 p = 0.211), nor fertilizer (log likelihood = -510.72 on 82 df, p = 0.104) affected Crithidia. 377 Colony significantly affected counts (log likelihood = -514.82 on 81 df, p = 0.001), and larger 378 bees had lower infection (log likelihood = -508.73 on 81 df, p = 0.028). Pairwise comparisons 379 revealed that for plants grown without AMF, diet from high fertilizer treatments increased 380 Crithidia counts compared to low fertilizer (p = 0.010). In contrast, for plants grown with AMF, 381 diet from high fertilizer treatments had no effect on Crithidia counts compared to those grown 382 with low fertilizer (p = 0.975). For plants grown with high fertilizer, there was a trend for AMF 383 to result in lower *Crithidia* counts than those grown without AMF (p = 0.053). 384 Diet had a significant effect on Crithidia counts when we included the control diet 385 (wildflower pollen and sugar solution) in the analysis (log likelihood = -711.64 on 118 df, p =386 0.040). When we compared the control diet to the four tobacco diets using non-orthogonal 387 contrasts, the control did not differ from plants grown with AMF regardless of fertilizer 388 treatment (p > 0.46). Of plants without AMF, diet from plants with high fertilizer did not differ 389 from the control (p = 0.328), and plants grown with low fertilizer had lower *Crithidia* counts 390 than the control (p = 0.035). Colony affected *Crithidia* counts (log likelihood = -711.88, $p < 10^{-1}$ 391 0.001), and larger bees had lower infection (log likelihood = -707.80, p = 0.013). There was no 392 effect of AMF (Wald test = 1.18, df = 1, p = 0.277) or fertilizer (Wald statistic = 1.36, df = 2, p =

393 0.2443) on survival. Pollen consumption and nectar consumption did not differ between diet

394 treatments, and diet treatment was not included in the top model of nectar consumption

395 (Appendix 1, *Consumption*).

396

397 Discussion

398 AMF and nutrients affect pollen and nectar secondary chemistry

399 We found that soil nutrients and mycorrhizal fungi affect pollen alkaloid concentrations. 400 High nutrients increased pollen nicotine and anabasine concentrations (Figure 1). This is 401 consistent with previous work showing that fertilizer increased nectar secondary compounds 402 (Adler et al. 2006), but to our knowledge is the first study to examine effects of belowground 403 environment on pollen secondary chemistry. Secondary metabolite production can be costly 404 (Züst et al. 2011), and high fertilizer plants may have more resources for defense production. The 405 effect of AMF on pollen alkaloids was more subtle. No study to our knowledge has researched 406 the effect of mycorrhizal fungi on nectar or pollen secondary chemistry, although one study 407 found that higher AMF colonization was negatively correlated with floral volatile compound 408 emission rate and diversity (Becklin et al. 2011). In our study, AMF modified effects of fertilizer 409 on nicotine in pollen (Figure 1). The increased suppressive effect of AMF on nicotine under high 410 fertilizer could be due to AMF-plant competition for nitrogen, an important ingredient in alkaloid 411 synthesis (Xi et al. 2008); under high resource conditions, plants and AMF may compete for, 412 rather than equally share, resources (Walder and van der Heijden 2015). This finding supports 413 our hypothesis that the effect of AMF on alkaloids is conditional on soil nutrients. On the other 414 hand, we did not find support for the hypothesis that AMF effect on alkaloids is due to defense 415 up-regulation alone, because AMF had inconsistent effects on alkaloids across fertilizer 416 treatments (Figure 1).

417 AMF and nutrients affected pollen alkaloid concentrations, but we did not detect any 418 anabasine or nicotine in nectar. Other work also found higher and more diverse secondary 419 compounds in pollen than nectar (Cook et al. 2013, Palmer-Young et al. 2019), consistent with 420 Optimal Defense Theory, which predicts that plants invest more defense in tissues more directly 421 related to fitness. Since many plants face pollen theft by ineffective pollinators (Solís-Montero et 422 al. 2015), and floral alkaloids can reduce floral larceny (Barlow et al. 2017), reduced nicotine in 423 plants with AMF and high fertilizer suggests a potential cost of the AMF-plant mutualism that 424 depends on nutrient availability. While this hypothesis would need to be tested in the presence of 425 floral antagonists, it is consistent with other studies showing that AMF exists along a mutualist-426 parasite continuum (reviewed in Johnson 2010).

427 In addition to having higher mean anabasine and nicotine concentrations, plants grown 428 with high fertilizer had more variable anabasine and nicotine concentrations than those grown 429 with low fertilizer. Unpredictable nectar quality can alter pollinator behavior and increase 430 outcrossing in wild Nicotiana species (Kessler et al. 2012). If soil conditions increase variability 431 of floral resources, there could be important implications for plant population dynamics or crop 432 yields. Future studies should also examine whether soil conditions affect variance of pollen 433 macronutrients; a recent meta-analysis showed that variation in, rather than the mean, of plant 434 nutrient traits is an important limiting factor for herbivorous insect pest performance (Wetzel et 435 al. 2016). If belowground conditions cause variation in nutritive quality of floral rewards, and if 436 pollinators, which can be thought of as a specialized type of herbivore, respond to nutrient 437 variation as insect pests do, then increased variation in floral resource nutritive quality could 438 have a detrimental effect on pollinator growth and survival.

439

440 AMF and nutrients affect bee pathogens via changes in floral rewards

441 We found that plant interactions with the belowground environment can affect pathogen 442 cell counts in pollinators via changes in nectar and/or pollen. Although other work has shown 443 that pollen and nectar quality can affect bee resistance to pathogens (Brunner et al. 2014, 444 Richardson et al. 2015), this is the first study to demonstrate that plant interactions with their 445 abiotic and biotic environment can shape bee-pathogen interactions. Plants have a well-446 established ability to structure communities. This can happen directly, such as when plant 447 diversity drives arthropod diversity (Potts et al. 2003), or indirectly when plants act as 448 intermediaries of species interactions (Strauss 1997). Our results demonstrate the potential for 449 multitrophic consequences of plant-environment interactions on pathogens of pollinators. 450 Treatment effects on *Crithidia* counts could not be explained by changes in floral 451 alkaloid concentrations. Because anabasine- and nicotine-containing sucrose solutions reduced 452 Crithidia pathogen counts in bumblebees previously (Richardson et al. 2015) and fertilizer 453 increased nectar anabasine concentrations in N. tabacum (Adler et al. 2006), we hypothesized 454 that high fertilizer would reduce Crithidia counts by increasing nectar or pollen anabasine and 455 possibly nicotine. However, pollen with low mean anabasine reduced Crithidia most, and pollen 456 with high anabasine resulted in intermediate Crithidia counts (Figure 1, Figure 2). In one study, 457 nectar nicotine and anabasine reduced Crithidia independently but not in tandem (Thorburn et al. 458 2015), which could explain some of our results; nicotine and anabasine could have either neutral, 459 synergistic or antagonistic interactions at varying concentrations. However, we cannot rule out 460 the possibility of a mechanism other than alkaloids affecting *Crithidia* counts. 461 Other components of pollen or nectar could act with or independent of alkaloids to reduce

462 *Crithidia* counts. For example, excessive soil nutrients can decrease amino acid concentrations in

463 pollen, with consequences for bumblebee larval survival (Ceulemans et al. 2017). Bumblebees 464 consuming a pollen-limited diet have reduced expression of immune genes (Brunner et al. 2014), 465 which could be due to lack of protein or other pollen constituents. Alternatively, diets rich in 466 certain constituents could have promoted *Crithidia* growth by providing the pathogen with a 467 more beneficial food source. Future studies should examine ecological factors that shape pollen 468 and nectar constituents other than alkaloids, and manipulate presence and concentrations in bee 469 diet to identify mechanisms mediating belowground effects on bee-pathogen interactions.

470 In conclusion, our results demonstrate that abiotic and biotic soil components change

471 floral defensive chemistry and traits that affect bumblebee pathogens. These results suggest

472 potential novel costs of the mycorrhizae-plant mutualism via changes in floral reward chemistry,

473 and pose exciting directions for studying context dependency of mutualisms in communities.

474 Acknowledgements

This research took place on occupied lands of the Wbanaki Confederacy and Nipmuc nation. We
thank E. Stone, E. Palmer-Young, E. Amponsah, B. Joyce, G. LoCascio, R. Pasquale, D. Farman
and K. Urban-Mead for feedback and help with data collection, Z. Getman-Pickering, J. Thaler
and two reviewers for comments on the manuscript, BioBest (Ontario, Canada) for bee colonies,

479 Premier Tech Biotechnologies (Quebec, Canada) for AMF inoculum, and H. Grab, C.

480 Sutherland, the UMass Amherst Quantitative Statistics Group and Cornell Statistical Consulting

481 Unit for advice on data analysis. Funding was provided by the UMass Amherst Honors College

482 Research Fellowships, Torrey Plant Biology Fellowship, Nauset Garden Club, a PGAV

483 Pollinator Research Grant, NIH 1 R01 GM122062-01, NSF-DEB-1258096, and USDA-AFRI

484 2013-02536. Opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are

those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the funding agency.

486 **References**

- 487 Adler, L. S. 2000. The ecological significance of toxic nectar. Oikos 91:409–420.
- Adler, L. S., M. Wink, M. Distl, and A. J. Lentz. 2006. Leaf herbivory and nutrients increase
 nectar alkaloids. Ecology Letters 9:960–967.
- 490 Alaux, C., F. Ducloz, D. Crauser, and Y. L. Conte. 2010. Diet effects on honeybee
- 491 immunocompetence. Biology Letters.
- 492 Andrade, S. a. L., S. Malik, A. C. H. F. Sawaya, A. Bottcher, and P. Mazzafera. 2013.
- 493 Association with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi influences alkaloid synthesis and accumulation in
- 494 *Catharanthus roseus* and *Nicotiana tabacum* plants. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum
- 495 35:867–880.
- 496 Anthony, W. E., E. C. Palmer-Young, A. S. Leonard, R. E. Irwin, and L. S. Adler. 2015. Testing
- dose-dependent effects of the nectar alkaloid anabasine on trypanosome parasite loads in
 adult bumblebees. PLOS ONE 10:e0142496.
- 499 Ashman, T.-L., T. M. Knight, J. A. Steets, P. Amarasekare, M. Burd, D. R. Campbell, M. R.
- 500 Dudash, M. O. Johnston, R. J. Mitchell, M. T. Morgan, and W. G. Wilson. 2004. Pollen
- 501 limitation of plant reproduction: ecological and evolutionary causes and consequences.
 502 Ecology 85:2408–2421.
- Barber, N. A., and N. L. Soper Gordon. 2015. How do belowground organisms influence plant–
 pollinator interactions? Journal of Plant Ecology 8:1–11.
- 505 Barlow, S. E., G. A. Wright, C. Ma, M. Barberis, I. W. Farrell, E. C. Marr, A. Brankin, B. M.
- 506 Pavlik, and P. C. Stevenson. 2017. Distasteful nectar deters floral robbery. Current Biology
 507 27:2552-2558.e3.
- 508 Becklin, K. M., G. Gamez, B. Uelk, R. A. Raguso, and C. Galen. 2011. Soil fungal effects on
- floral signals, rewards, and aboveground interactions in an alpine pollination web.

- 510 American Journal of Botany 98:1299–1308.
- 511 Bentley, B., and T. S. Elias. 1983. The Biology of Nectaries. Columbia University Press.
- 512 Biller, O. M., L. S. Adler, R. E. Irwin, C. McAllister, and E. C. Palmer-Young. 2015. Possible
- 513 synergistic effects of thymol and nicotine against *Crithidia bombi* parasitism in bumble
 514 bees. PLOS ONE 10:e0144668.
- 515 Brown, M. J. F., R. Schmid-Hempel, and P. Schmid-Hempel. 2003. Strong context-dependent
- virulence in a host-parasite system: reconciling genetic evidence with theory. Journal of
 Animal Ecology 72:994–1002.
- 518 Brundrett, M. C., and L. Tedersoo. 2018. Evolutionary history of mycorrhizal symbioses and

519 global host plant diversity. New Phytologist 220:1108–1115.

- Brunner, F. S., P. Schmid-Hempel, and S. M. Barribeau. 2014. Protein-poor diet reduces hostspecific immune gene expression in *Bombus terrestris*. Proc. R. Soc. B 281:20140128.
- 522 Cameron, S. A., J. D. Lozier, J. P. Strange, J. B. Koch, N. Cordes, L. F. Solter, and T. L.
- 523 Griswold. 2011. Patterns of widespread decline in North American bumble bees.
 524 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108:662–667.
- 525 Campos-Soriano, L., J. M. García-Garrido, and B. S. Segundo. 2010. Activation of basal defense
- mechanisms of rice plants by *Glomus intraradices* does not affect the arbuscular
 mycorrhizal symbiosis. New Phytologist 188:597–614.
- 528 Ceulemans, T., E. Hulsmans, W. V. Ende, and O. Honnay. 2017. Nutrient enrichment is
- 529 associated with altered nectar and pollen chemical composition in *Succisa pratensis*
- 530 Moench and increased larval mortality of its pollinator *Bombus terrestris* L. PLOS ONE
 531 12:e0175160.
- 532 Conroy, T. J., E. C. Palmer-Young, R. E. Irwin, and L. S. Adler. 2016. Food limitation affects

533	parasite load and survival of Bombus impatiens (Hymenoptera: Apidae) infected with
534	Crithidia (Trypanosomatida: Trypanosomatidae). Environmental Entomology 45:1212-
535	1219.
536	Cook, D., J. S. Manson, D. R. Gardner, K. D. Welch, and R. E. Irwin. 2013. Norditerpene
537	alkaloid concentrations in tissues and floral rewards of larkspurs and impacts on
538	pollinators. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology 48:123-131.
539	Detzel, A., and M. Wink. 1993. Attraction, deterrence or intoxication of bees (Apis mellifera) by
540	plant allelochemicals. Chemoecology 4:8–18.
541	Gegear, R. J., M. C. Otterstatter, and J. D. Thomson. 2006. Bumble-bee foragers infected by a
542	gut parasite have an impaired ability to utilize floral information. Proceedings of the
543	Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 273:1073-1078.
544	Gillespie, S. 2010. Factors affecting parasite prevalence among wild bumblebees. Ecological
545	Entomology 35:737–747.
546	Goulson, D., E. Nicholls, C. Botías, and E. L. Rotheray. 2015. Bee declines driven by combined
547	stress from parasites, pesticides, and lack of flowers. Science 347:1255957.
548	Johnson, N. C. 2010. Resource stoichiometry elucidates the structure and function of arbuscular
549	mycorrhizas across scales. New Phytologist 185:631-647.
550	Kessler, D., S. Bhattacharya, C. Diezel, E. Rothe, K. Gase, M. Schöttner, and Baldwin. 2012.
551	Unpredictability of nectar nicotine promotes outcrossing by hummingbirds in Nicotiana
552	attenuata. The Plant Journal 71:529–538.
553	Kessler, D., K. Gase, and I. T. Baldwin. 2008. Field experiments with transformed plants reveal
554	the sense of floral scents. Science 321:1200–1202.
555	Klein, A., I. Steffan–Dewenter, and T. Tscharntke. 2003. Fruit set of highland coffee increases

- with the diversity of pollinating bees. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series
 B: Biological Sciences 270:955–961.
- 558 Klein, A.-M., B. E. Vaissière, J. H. Cane, I. Steffan-Dewenter, S. A. Cunningham, C. Kremen,
- and T. Tscharntke. 2007. Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world
- 560 crops. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 274:303–313.
- 561 Koch, H., M. J. Brown, and P. C. Stevenson. 2017. The role of disease in bee foraging ecology.
- 562 Current Opinion in Insect Science 21:60–67.
- 563 Liu, J., I. Maldonado-Mendoza, M. Lopez-Meyer, F. Cheung, C. D. Town, and M. J. Harrison.
- 564 2007. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis is accompanied by local and systemic
- alterations in gene expression and an increase in disease resistance in the shoots. The
 Plant Journal 50:529–544.
- Manson, J. S., M. C. Otterstatter, and J. D. Thomson. 2010. Consumption of a nectar alkaloid
 reduces pathogen load in bumble bees. Oecologia 162:81–89.
- 569 McArt, S. H., H. Koch, R. E. Irwin, and L. S. Adler. 2014. Arranging the bouquet of disease:
- 570 floral traits and the transmission of plant and animal pathogens. Ecology Letters 17:624–571 636.
- McGonigle, T. P., M. H. Miller, D. G. Evans, G. L. Fairchild, and Swan. 1990. A new method
 which gives an objective measure of colonization of roots by vesicular—arbuscular
 mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytologist 115:495–501.
- Otterstatter, M. C., and J. D. Thomson. 2006. Within-host dynamics of an intestinal pathogen of
 bumble bees. Parasitology 133:749–61.
- 577 Palmer-Young, E. C., I. W. Farrell, L. S. Adler, N. J. Milano, P. A. Egan, R. R. Junker, R. E.
- 578 Irwin, and P. C. Stevenson. 2019. Chemistry of floral rewards: intra- and interspecific

579	variability of nectar and pollen secondary metabolites across taxa. Ecological
580	Monographs 89:e01335.
581	Palmer-Young, E. C., B. M. Sadd, R. E. Irwin, and L. S. Adler. 2017. Synergistic effects of floral
582	phytochemicals against a bumble bee parasite. Ecology and Evolution 7:1836–1849.
583	Palmer-Young, E. C., and L. Thursfield. 2017. Pollen extracts and constituent sugars increase
584	growth of a trypanosomatid parasite of bumble bees. PeerJ 5:e3297.
585	Potts, S. G., J. C. Biesmeijer, C. Kremen, P. Neumann, O. Schweiger, and W. E. Kunin. 2010.
586	Global pollinator declines: trends, impacts and drivers. Trends in Ecology & Evolution
587	25:345–353.
588	Potts, S. G., B. Vulliamy, A. Dafni, G. Ne'eman, and P. Willmer. 2003. Linking bees and
589	flowers: how do floral communities structure pollinator communities? Ecology 84:2628-
590	2642.
591	R Core Team (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
592	statistical computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org
593	Richardson, L. L., L. S. Adler, A. S. Leonard, J. Andicoechea, K. H. Regan, W. E. Anthony, J. S.
594	Manson, and R. E. Irwin. 2015. Secondary metabolites in floral nectar reduce parasite infections
595	in bumblebees. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 282.
596	Solís-Montero, L., C. H. Vergara, and M. Vallejo-Marín. 2015. High incidence of pollen theft in
597	natural populations of a buzz-pollinated plant. Arthropod-Plant Interactions 9:599–611.
598	Strauss, S. Y. 1997. Floral characters link herbivores, pollinators and plant fitness. Ecology
599	78:1640–1645.
600	Thorburn, L. P., L. Adler, R. Irwin, and E. C. Palmer-Young. 2015. Variable effects of nicotine,
601	anabasine, and their interactions on parasitized bumble bees. F1000Research 4.

602	Tiedeken, E. J., P. A. Egan, P. C. Stevenson, G. A. Wright, M. J. F. Brown, E. F. Power, I.
603	Farrell, S. M. Matthews, and J. C. Stout. 2016. Nectar chemistry modulates the impact of
604	an invasive plant on native pollinators. Functional Ecology 30:885–893.
605	Tiedge, K., and G. Lohaus. 2017. Nectar sugars and amino acids in day- and night-flowering
606	Nicotiana species are more strongly shaped by pollinators' preferences than organic acids
607	and inorganic ions. PLoS ONE 12.
608	Tihelka, E. 2017. The immunological dependence of plant-feeding animals on their host's
609	medical properties may explain part of honey bee colony losses. Arthropod-Plant
610	Interactions:1–8.
611	Vannette, R. L., and M. D. Hunter. 2009. Mycorrhizal fungi as mediators of defence against
612	insect pests in agricultural systems. Agricultural and Forest Entomology 11:351-358.
613	Walder, F., and M. G. A. van der Heijden. 2015. Regulation of resource exchange in the
614	arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Nature Plants 1:15159.
615	Wetzel, W. C., H. M. Kharouba, M. Robinson, M. Holyoak, and R. Karban. 2016. Variability in
616	plant nutrients reduces insect herbivore performance. Nature 539:425-427.
617	Wickham, H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York, 2016.
618	Williams, P. H., R. W. Thorp, L. L. Richardson, and S. R. Colla. 2014. Bumble bees of North
619	America: an identification guide. Princeton University Press.
620	Xi, X., C. Li, and F. Zhang. 2008. Tobacco plants can use nitrogen taken up before mechanical
621	wounding to synthesize nicotine afterwards. Plant Signaling & Behavior 3:87–90.
622	Züst, T., B. Joseph, K. K. Shimizu, D. J. Kliebenstein, and L. A. Turnbull. 2011. Using knockout
623	mutants to reveal the growth costs of defensive traits. Proceedings of the Royal Society
624	of London B: Biological Sciences 278:2598–2603

625	Figure	Legends
		<u> </u>

627	Figure 1. Effects of AMF and fertilizer treatments on pollen anabasine and nicotine
628	concentrations. Effect of AMF and fertilizer on anabasine and nicotine concentrations. Error
629	bars represent +/- SE. Results shown are back-transformed model estimates.
630	
631	Figure 2. Effects of diet on Crithidia cell counts in bees. Effect of AMF and nutrients on
632	Crithidia counts. Black bar shows control diet, and error bars show +/- SE. Cell counts are back-
633	transformed estimates from the full model comparing control diet to all four diet types.
634	
635	
636	
637	
638	
639	
640	
641	
642	







