| 1 | Comparison of <i>in vitro</i> antibacterial activity of streptomycin-diclofenac loaded |
|----|--|
| 2 | composite biomaterial dressings with commercial silver based antimicrobial wound |
| 3 | dressings |
| 4 | Harshavardhan V. Pawar ¹ , John Tetteh ¹ , Philip Debrah ² , Joshua. S. Boateng ^{1*} |
| 5 | ¹ Department of Pharmaceutical, Chemical & Environmental Sciences, School of Science, |
| 6 | University of Greenwich at Medway, Central Avenue, Chatham Maritime, ME4 4TB, |
| 7 | Kent, UK. |
| 8 | ² Department of Pharmaceutics and Microbiology, School of Pharmacy, College of Health |
| 9 | Sciences, University of Ghana, Legon, P.O. Box LG43, Legon, Accra, Ghana. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | * Corresponding author: Joshua S. Boateng |
| 12 | Tel: + 44 (0) 208 331 8980, |
| 13 | Fax: +44 (0) 208 331 9805 |
| 14 | E-mail: J.S.Boateng@gre.ac.uk; joshboat40@gmail.com |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Declarations of interest: none |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |

20 Abstract

Infected chronic wounds heal slowly, exhibiting prolonged inflammation, biofilm formation, 21 bacterial resistance, high exudate and ineffectiveness of systemic antimicrobials. Composite 22 dressings (films and wafers) comprising polyox/carrageenan (POL-CAR) and 23 polyox/sodium alginate (POL-SA), loaded with diclofenac (DLF) and streptomycin (STP) 24 were formulated and tested for antibacterial activity against 2 x 10⁵ CFU/mL of *Escherichia* 25 coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus representing infected chronic 26 wounds and compared with marketed silver dressings. Minimum inhibitory concentration 27 (MIC) showed higher values for DLF than STP due to non-conventional antibacterial 28 activity of DLF. The DLF and STP loaded dressings were highly effective against E. coli, 29 P. aeruginosa and S. aureus. POL-SA dressings were more effective against the three types 30 31 of bacteria compared to POL-CAR formulations, whilst the DLF and STP loaded dressings showed greater antibacterial activity than the silver-based dressings. The films, showed 32 greater antibacterial efficacy than both wafers and silver dressings. STP and DLF can act 33 synergistically not only to kill the bacteria but also prevent their resistance and biofilm 34 formation compared to silver dressings, whilst reducing chronic inflammation associated 35 with infection. 36

37

38 Keywords: Antimicrobial dressing; bacterial infection; carrageenan; chronic wound;



41 **1** Introduction

A wound is an interruption in the defensive role of the skin in protecting against 42 harmful environmental agents [1]. Injury evokes wound healing comprising distinct phases 43 (haemostasis, inflammation, proliferation, migration and maturation) involving biochemical, 44 and molecular events that work sequentially towards tissue regeneration [2]. However, 45 wounds can get contaminated by microorganisms, especially during the proliferation stage 46 leading to infection. Persistent infection impairs wound healing causing repeating 47 inflammatory cycle, resulting in chronic wounds [3,4]. Prevention and control of infection 48 49 have been identified as essential aspects of wound management [5]. Effective management requires reducing exogenous microbial contamination, debridement, using appropriate 50 dressing(s) and administration of topical and systemic broad-spectrum antimicrobial agents 51 52 [6]. Topical agents such as povidone iodine and chlorhexidine acid are commonly employed, though their use is currently restricted to wound cleansing and skin swabs before surgical 53 incisions [1]. However, antibiotics have high specificity against infection and ultimately 54 improve wound healing at low concentrations [1,7]. Various commercial dressings have 55 been developed that release silver to prevent wound infections both *in vitro* [8] and *in vivo* 56 [9]. The emergence of microbial resistance has resulted in the need for more effective 57 treatments for wound infections [1]. Further, systemic antibiotic treatment is difficult in 58 chronic wounds such as diabetic foot ulcers due to poor blood circulation at the extremities 59 60 of diabetics [6].

Chronic wound infection also causes pain, excessive exudation and patient
discomfort and is a major source of cross-infection particularly antibiotic-resistant species.
Burns for example provide a protein-rich environment, favourable for microbial colonization
[10]. Most infected wounds involve *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*,

| 65 | Streptococci and Escherichia coli. S. aureus is considered a challenging microorganism in |
|----|--|
| 66 | wound infections [6] due to its ability to develop resistance against first line antibiotics. |
| 67 | Streptomycin (STP) has been used to treat wound infections [11] and for reducing |
| 68 | infection before skin grafting [12]. It's reported that diclofenac (DLF) has antibacterial |
| 69 | activity and acts synergistically with STP against Mycobacterium tuberculosis after systemic |
| 70 | administration [13]. Systemic STP in combination with DLF demonstrated synergistic |
| 71 | activity against 45 different strains of mycobacteria [14,15]. |
| 72 | This paper reports on the evaluation of antibacterial activity of STP and DLF loaded film |
| 73 | and wafer dressings against S. aureus, E. coli and P. aeruginosa. Minimum inhibitory |
| 74 | concentration (MIC) of STP and DLF in the dressings and <i>in vitro</i> antibacterial efficacy |
| 75 | (zone of inhibition) against the three microorganisms were evaluated using disk diffusion |
| 76 | assay and compared with three commercial silver containing dressings. To the best of our |
| 77 | knowledge, this is the first study comparing the antibacterial performance of streptomycin- |
| 78 | diclofenac loaded medicated POL-CAR and POL-SA dressings with commercial silver |
| 79 | loaded antimicrobial dressings for their antibacterial performance. |
| 80 | |
| | |

81 2 Methods

82

83 2.1 Materials

(Polyox[™] WSR 301 ≈4000 kDa) was a gift from Colorcon Ltd (Dartford, UK), κcarrageenan (Gelcarin GP 812) was from IMCD Ltd (Sutton, UK), Aquacel[®] Ag
(ConvaTech, Ltd.), Melgisorb[®] Ag (Mölnlycke Health Care, Ltd.) were gifted by the
manufacturers and Allevyn[®] Ag (Smith and Nephew, Ltd) obtained from a local pharmacy.
Nutrient agar and nutrient broth were purchased from Oxoid, UK. Diclofenac sodium,
streptomycin sulphate, glycerol, phosphate buffered saline (PBS) tablets, were purchased

from Sigma-Aldrich, (Gillingham, UK). Sodium alginate was purchased from Fisher
Scientific (Loughborough, UK). National Collection of Type Culture (NCTC) strains of *S. aureus* (A 29213), *E. coli* (DTCC 25922) and *P. aeruginosa* (A 10145), were used for
microbiological assays.

94

95 2.2 Preparation of composite polymer based dressings

Composite films and wafers (Table 1) were prepared as previously reported [16,17]. 96 Polymeric gels of POL-CAR and POL-SA gels were prepared as previously reported 97 98 [16,17]. In brief, blends of POL with CAR and POL with SA (weight ratio of 75/25 and 50/50 respectively) yielding 1% w/w of total polymer weight were prepared by stirring on a 99 100 magnetic stirrer at 70°C to form a uniform gel (POL-CAR-BLK and POL-SA-BLK). The 101 composition of the polymers, drugs used for the preparation of gels are summarised in Tables 2 and 3. DL gels of POL-SA and POL-CAR were prepared with 4 ml ethanolic solution of 102 DLF containing 100 mg and 250 mg of the drug to achieve 10% w/w for POL-SA gel and 103 104 for POL-CAR to achieve 25% w/w of DLF in the polymeric gel respectively. These gels were subsequently cooled to 40°C with constant stirring. Similarly, a 4 ml aqueous solution 105 containing 250 mg and 300 mg of STP was subsequently added to achieve a final STP 106 concentration of 25% w/w (POL-SA) and 30% w/w (POL-CAR) in the DL gels. 107

To obtain films the solutions (25g) were poured into Petri dishes (diameter 90 mm) and dried in an oven at 40°C for 18h, to obtain the films, while unplasticised polymeric solutions (10g) were freeze-dried to obtain wafers. To obtain the wafers, 10 gm of each homogeneous gel was transferred into 6 well moulds (diameter 35 mm) (Thermo-Fisher Scientific Nunc, Leicestershire UK), placed in a Virtis Advantage XL 70 freeze dryer (Biopharma Process Systems, Winchester, UK) and lyophilised using the automated lyophilisation cycle. This involved initially cooling samples from room temperature to -5°C and then -50°C over a period of 10 h (at 200 mTorr). An annealing step at -25°C for 2 h was
applied based on the preliminary DSC studies and its effect on the different formulations
was investigated. The frozen samples were then heated in a series of thermal steps to -25°C
under vacuum (20-50 mTorr) over a 24 h period. Secondary drying of the wafers was carried
out at 20°C (10 mTorr) for 7 h.

- 120
- 121

2.3 Bacterial sample preparation

Fresh broth cultures were prepared as reported by Labovitiadi *et al.*, [18] by 122 transferring a single bead unit into 10mL of nutrient broth and incubating for 24h. A loop 123 full of bacterial culture was streaked onto nutrient agar plate and incubated at 37°C for 24h 124 125 to yield separate colonies. Overnight bacterial cultures were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 126 min in an Accuspin 1 centrifuge (Fisher Scientific, UK), supernatant discarded and pellets suspended in 20mL of simulated wound fluid (SWF) [16]. This process was repeated twice 127 and final pellets re-suspended in 5mL SWF, followed by two fold dilutions in SWF. 128 129 Bacterial density was determined by measuring the dilute suspension at 500nm to yield the required density of 2 x 10^{5} CFU/mL [18]. 130

- 131
- 132

2.4 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of STP and DLF

The MIC for STP and DLF was evaluated as previously reported [19]. Briefly, three different stock solutions for each drug were prepared (Table 4) and STP required to obtain 10,000mg/L was calculated using equation 1. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of *S. aureus*, *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* were determined by establishing the MIC using a standard agar dilution method and 0.25-512mg/L calibration solutions of DLF and STP dilutions also prepared. 200µL of stock and diluted solutions (10,000mg/L, 1,000mg/L and 100mg/L respectively) were transferred into a Petri plate and 20mL of nutrient agar (stabilized at 45°C) added and mixed. The agar was allowed to set at room temperature and 0.1mL of 1×10⁵ CFU/mL of *S. aureus*, *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* were spread on separate Petri plates. These plates were incubated at 37°C for 24h and ensuring that all microorganisms had grown on drug free control plate. MIC is the lowest concentration of antimicrobial at which there was no visible growth of organisms. Growth of one or two colonies or a fine film of growth was disregarded.

$$W = \frac{1000}{P} \times V \times C \text{Eq.1}$$

147 *W* is the weight of actives (mg) dissolved in volume V (mL), *C* is final concentration 148 of solution (multiples of 1,000mg/L), *P* (785µg/mg) is the potency provided by the 149 manufacturer.

150

151 2.5 In vitro antibacterial activity of antimicrobial films, wafers and marketed silver 152 dressings

The disk diffusion method was used for the assessment of the antibacterial activity 153 of the DL films, wafers and commercial silver dressings. Solutions (2×10⁵ CFU/mL) of each 154 155 bacterial strain (S. aureus, E. coli and P. aeruginosa) was prepared as specified above (section 2.3) and 0.1mL of each strain spread separately on set nutrient agar media. The 156 inoculated microorganisms were incubated at 37±1°C for 4h to initiate growth of 157 microorganisms on the inoculated culture medium before placing the films, wafers and 158 marketed dressings. The films and marketed silver dressings were cut into 2cm diameter disc 159 160 shapes. However, due to difficulty of cutting thicker wafers into smaller discs, DL gels (2g) were free-dried in 2cm diameter containers to obtain the same diameter as the cut film discs. 161 Further, circular Whatmann[®] paper discs (2cm diameter), each wetted with reference 162 solutions (80µL) of STP and DLF at concentrations of 6mg/mL and 5mg/mL respectively 163 were used as positive controls. Negative controls were BLK films and wafers (2cm diameter) 164

| 165 | without any STP or DLF. The plates were then incubated at 37±1°C for 24 h after which the |
|-----|--|
| 166 | end zones of inhibition (ZOI) in millimetres, formed on the medium ($n = 3$), were measured. |
| 1(7 | |

168 2.6 Statistical analysis

169 Statistical data evaluation was performed using two tailed student t-test at 95% 170 confidence interval (Graph Pad Prism 4 software) with p value < 0.05 as the minimal level 171 of significance.

172

```
173 3 Results
```

174 3.1 MIC of STP and DLF

The MIC of STP and DLF was determined for known densities (2 x 10⁵ CFU/mL) of *S. aureus P. aeruginosa E. coli* commonly associated with infected chronic wounds. The
MICs of STP for *S. aureus* and *E. coli* ranged from 4 - 8mg/L but ranged from 8 - 16mg/L
for *P. aeruginosa*. MIC for DLF against *P. aeruginosa* was greater than 512mg/L and 256 512mg/L for *E. coli* and *S. aureus* respectively.

180

181 3.2 Antimicrobial activity of pure STP and DLF controls

The ZOI of the STP and DLF positive controls for S. aureus, P. aeruginosa and E. 182 coli are shown in Figure 1 (N and O). STP showed significantly (p < 0.05) lower ZOI 183 184 (3.2±0.1mm) for S. aureus compared to P. aeruginosa and E. coli. The maximum ZOI of P. aeruginosa was 4.1±0.1mm which was lower compared to E. coli (4.6±0.1mm) and was 185 statistically significant (p<0.05). DLF did not show ZOI for S. aureus, P. aeruginosa and E. 186 coli though there was no bacteria growing directly under the DLF disc (Figure 1, E. coli 187 plate O) implying that their effectiveness alone as antibacterial may be limited application 188 to infected wounds. 189

Antibacterial activity of POL-CAR films (2 x 10⁵ CFU/mL) 3.3 191 Figures 2 (A) and 3(A, B and C) show ZOI of POL-CAR-DL and POL-CAR-DL-192 193 20% GLY films against S. aureus, P. aeruginosa and E. coli. There was a significant difference observed for all POL-CAR-DL films against strains of bacteria (compared to 194 wafers and marketed dressing and DLF, STP discs. POL-CAR-DL and POL-CAR-DL-20% 195 GLY films showed a smaller ZOI for S. aureus but increased for P. aeruginosa and E. coli. 196 For S. aureus the ZOI for POL-CAR-DL and POL-CAR-DL-20%GLY films was 197 198 3.6 ± 0.1 mm and 3.5 ± 0.1 mm respectively which was significantly (p<0.05) higher than pure STP (3.2±0.1mm). For *P. aeruginosa*, the observed ZOI was higher than *S. aureus* but less 199 200 than E. coli. POL-CAR-DL and POL-CAR-DL-20%GLY films showed similar ZOI 201 $(4.3\pm0.1\text{mm})$ for *P. aeruginosa* which was higher than the control STP $(4.1\pm0.1\text{mm})$, however, the difference was not statistically significant (p > 0.05). The maximum ZOI of 202 POL-CAR-DL and POL-CAR-DL-20%GLY films was 4.8±0.2mm and 4.7±0.1mm 203 respectively, for *E. coli* which though higher than 4.6±0.2mm for the control STP were not 204 statistically significant (p>0.05). 205

- 206
- 207

3.4 Antibacterial activity of POL-SA films (2 x 10⁵ CFU/mL)

Figures 2 (B) and 4 (D, E and F) show the ZOI of POL-SA-BLK, POL-SA-DL and POL-SA-DL-9% GLY) films for *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli*. For *S. aureus*, the observed ZOI for POL-SA-DL and POL-SA-DL-9%GLY films was 4.6 ± 0.2 mm and 4.1 ± 0.2 mm respectively which was significantly (*p*<0.05) higher compared to the STP (3.2 ± 0.1 mm) control. The ZOI increased from 4.6 ± 0.2 mm (*S. aureus*) to 4.8 ± 0.2 mm (*P. aeruginosa*) and 5.0 ± 0.2 mm (*E. coli*) for POL-SA-DL films while for POL-SA-9%GLY films it increased from 4.1 ± 0.2 mm (*S. aureus*) to 5.1 ± 0.2 mm (*P. aeruginosa*) and 5.5 ± 0.2 mm (*E. coli*) respectively.

216

217 3.5 Antibacterial activity of POL-CAR and POL-SA wafers (2 x 10⁵ CFU/mL)

Figures 2 (C) and 5 (G, H, I and J) show the ZOI of POL-CAR and POL-SA (BLK 218 and DL) wafers for S. aureus, P. aeruginosa and E. coli bacterial strains. As was observed 219 for the films, the BLK (no drug) wafers did not show any ZOIs against all three 220 microorganisms (Figure 5, G and I). The ZOI of POL-CAR for S. aureus was 3.1±0.1mm 221 which increased to 3.3±0.1mm for POL-SA whereas STP had a value of 3.2±0.1mm which 222 was not statistically significant (p>0.05). For *P. aeruginosa*, the ZOI was higher than *S*. 223 aureus but less than E. coli. POL-SA-DL and STP showed similar ZOI of 4.1±0.2mm which 224 225 subsequently decreased for POL-CAR-DL (3.9±0.1mm). The maximum ZOI of POL-CAR-DL and POL-SA-DL wafers was respectively 4.5±0.1mm and 4.6±0.3mm for *E. coli*. 226

227

228 3.6 Antimicrobial efficacy of marketed wound dressings (2 x 10⁵ CFU/mL)

Figures 2 (D) and 6 (K, L and M) show the ZOI of silver loaded marketed dressings 229 (Table 5) (Aquacel[®] Ag, Melgisorb[®] Ag and Allevyn[®] Ag) for S. aureus, P. aeruginosa and 230 E. coli. There were very small ZOIs observed for all three different strains of microorganisms 231 in the presence of these marketed silver based dressings, though these bacteria were 232 233 completely absent in the area directly underneath the dressing as shown in figure 6 inset (M, S. aureus). The ZOI for S. aureus was increased for Allevyn[®] Ag foam dressing $(2.3 \pm$ 234 0.1mm) while all three marketed dressings showed a ZOI of 2.0±0.1mm for *P. aeruginosa*. 235 The ZOI for *E. coli* was higher for Allevyn[®] Ag foam dressing (2.9±0.0mm) compared to 236 Aquacel[®] Ag and Melgisorb[®] Ag (2.0±0.0mm). 237

238 4. Discussion

239 One of the overall objectives of the broad study was to compare the properties of dense dressings such as films to corresponding porous formulations such as freeze-dried wafers 240 relative to commercial silver based dressings. Drying in an oven only yields non porous 241 242 films and therefore it was important to freeze-dry other gels in a freeze-dryer. The reason for plasticising the films, was purely to improve the flexibility and ease of handling, to 243 fulfil one of the key functional performance requirements for film dressings. The 244 hypothesis for the comparison, was that the differences in physical properties (porosity), 245 which are known to significantly affect rate of hydration and swelling, will also 246 significantly affect the rate of drug diffusion out of the swollen gels and subsequently 247 affect the degree of antibacterial efficacy. The hypothesis for the comparison, was that the 248 249 differences in physical properties (porosity), which are known to significantly affect rate of 250 hydration and swelling, will also significantly affect the rate of drug diffusion out of the swollen gels and subsequently affect the degree of antibacterial efficacy. 251

Ineffective control of wound infections caused by antibiotic resistant strains of 252 253 pathogens has intensified the need to consider modifying current approaches including use of medicated dressings which can overcome resistance and reduce bacterial biofilm 254 formation. This study assessed the in vitro antibacterial activity of composite films and 255 wafers combining antibacterial (STP) and anti-inflammatory (DLF) drugs for targeting two 256 phases of wound healing. The two drugs were also selected based on their reported 257 synergistic antibacterial effect when administered systemically [14]. Many texts refer to 258 bacterial bio-burden greater than 10⁵ CFU/mL organisms per gram of tissue as a criterion 259 for infection [3,6]. In this study we used 2×10^5 CFU/mL of S. aureus, P. aeruginosa and E. 260 coli to evaluate antimicrobial efficacy of DL film and wafer dressings and compared their 261 performance against marketed silver dressings. 262

POL-CAR-BLK films did not show any zone against all three different 263 microorganisms (Figure 3 A) implying that the observed antibacterial effect was solely due 264 to the presence of STP and DLF. The formulated films, wafers and marketed dressings 265 showed antibacterial efficacy against bacterial bio-burden $2 \ge 10^5$ CFU/mL of S. aureus, P. 266 aeruginosa and E. coli. Both P. aeruginosa and E. coli are Gram-negative microorganisms 267 and required a higher MIC of STP compared to S. aureus. This means STP is more effective 268 against the Gram-positive microorganism S. aureus than the Gram-negative E. coli and P. 269 aeruginosa which is interesting, given the fact the S. aureus and related species are a major 270 271 cause of antibiotic resistance [14].

During the antibacterial study, the films and wafers swelled when placed on the highly water saturated agar gel under incubation, simulating a broken skin (wound) surface and this is to be expected. The swelling of the drug loaded polymeric dressings is an important characteristic as that is important to ensure ease of drug dissolution, diffusion out of the swollen gel and eventually release to reach the target bacterial organisms.

277 To kill the bacteria, STP and DLF must interact with the binding site, occupy a critical number of sites of the bacteria and remain there long enough to inhibit normal 278 biochemical reactions [20]. It's been reported that antimicrobial activity is either 279 concentration or time dependent [21,22]. Concentration dependent drugs include 280 aminoglycosides (e.g. STP), whose ability to kill bacteria is dependent on the presence of 281 282 high concentrations at the site of infection. At least a ratio of 10:1 is required for such concentration dependent antibiotics to effectively kill bacteria and prevent development of 283 resistance [21,23,24,25]. On the other hand, drug concentrations above the MIC should 284 remain for long periods of time at the site of infection in order to achieve antibacterial 285 action [21,22]. 286

287 In previous studies [13,14,15], it has been demonstrated that the concentrations required to kill S. aureus is higher than P. aeruginosa which is time dependent. DLF required 288 higher concentrations to kill the bacteria that are beyond those clinically achievable with 289 290 antibiotics, implying that DLF on its own could not effectively inhibit *P. aeruginosa* based infections. Dutta et al., [14] previously demonstrated that when DLF is used in vitro, it 291 showed higher MIC values compared to conventional antibiotic drugs such as STP but in 292 vivo, the amount of DLF required to protect an animal from Mycobacterium spp was much 293 lower. This suggests that DLF might be used as adjuvant to current to manage bacterial 294 295 infections [13,14,15] as was done in this study.

For S. aureus, different ZOIs were observed attributed to the rate of diffusion of STP 296 297 and DLF (films and wafers) and silver (commercial) from the dressings. Both POL-CAR; 298 POL-SA films had significantly higher ZOI suggesting a synergistic action between both drugs compared to each individual drug (refer to figure1). ZOI was ellipsoidal for POL-SA 299 films due to the rapid initial swelling and disintegration of the polymer matrix and rapid 300 301 diffusion of STP and DLF through the free flowing swollen gels (figure 4 E&F). Bajpai & Sharma [26] explained that the more rapid swelling of SA is due to the mannuronate block 302 where Ca²⁺ binds to the poly gluconate units which starts to disintegrate the swollen matrix 303 [26]. Differences in the ZOI of POL-CAR-DL and POL-SA-DL formulation could be related 304 to the two different polymers (CAR and SA), their percentage ratios used and their different 305 306 swelling mechanisms (surface wetting, hydration, hydrogel formation and erosion) [17] which subsequently affects rate of drug diffusion through the matrix and onto the bacterial 307 colonies. 308

Maximum ZOI was observed for POL-SA-DL and POL-SA-DL-9%GLY films due to rapid swelling and subsequently rapid diffusion of both STP and DLF from the swollen matrix. This supports the swelling and drug release data from previous studies [16,17].

All the DL films showed greater antibacterial activity compared to wafers which was interesting. Wafers generally have a higher loading capacity, faster hydration and cumulative percent drug release compared to films due to their generally more porous nature [27]. However, it was observed that higher drug loading in the wafers resulted in the formation of greater amounts of sodium sulphate which decreased the hydration capacity [16,17] of DL wafers subsequently affecting drug diffusion with a consequent decrease in ZOI compared to films but greater than the marketed dressings.

From a pharmaceutical perspective, these differences could be associated with the 319 total amounts of polymer present in films and wafers which resulted in the different 320 hydration rates and eventually different ZOIs. For example, the weights ranged from 22.1 321 322 mg and 30.3 mg for POL-CAR DL films and wafers respectively. This was also true for the 323 POL-SA DL films and wafers (17.9 mg and 24.6 mg for films and wafers respectively). It should be noted that though both formulations had similar diameters, their contents were 324 different as the films were cut out directly from a bigger sheet due to difficulty of removing 325 326 a film with small diameter whilst the wafers were cast directly into 2 cm diameter moulds due to ease of removal. It is very difficult to effectively cut a relatively thick wafer into 327 circular discs without damaging the structure due to their soft and porous nature. 328

More interestingly, the formulated film and wafer dressings, showed greater 329 antibacterial efficacy than marketed silver based antibacterial dressing which showed either 330 331 lower or absence of ZOIs for all three different microorganisms even though the area directly under the discs showed no microbial growth. This may be due to two reasons: (i) the lower 332 amounts of silver present in these dressings (Figure 6) relative to the combined 333 concentrations of STP and DLF present in the composite films and wafers and (ii) most 334 likely due to STP and DLF present in both films and wafers acting synergistically to kill the 335 bacteria and potentially inhibiting biofilm formation and resistance of the bacteria. DLF 336

337 consists of a secondary amino group and a phenyl ring, both ortho positions of which are occupied by chlorine atoms. This causes an angle of torsion between the two aromatic rings, 338 which presents structural similarities with phenothiazine and this is responsible for its 339 340 antibacterial activity against microorganisms such as E. coli, S. aureus and P. aeruginosa [14,15]. DLF's antibacterial activity involves the inhibition of bacterial DNA synthesis 341 whereas STP acts by binding to 30S ribosomal subunits in the microorganisms and 342 disrupting the initiation and elongation steps in protein synthesis. On the other hand, silver 343 in the presence of moisture, such as wound exudate, readily ionises to release silver ions 344 345 (Ag^{+}) which is involved in oxidation reactions by catalysing reactions between oxygen present in the cell and hydrogen from thiol groups. This results in disulphide bond formation, 346 347 ultimately inhibiting cell function due to changes in protein structure, resulting in protein 348 denaturation and enzyme inhibition [28]. The increased antibacterial activity of the film and wafer dressings suggests a potential application in chronic wound management. 349 Formulations administered for systemic use usually have to overcome the challenges 350 351 to drug absorption, metabolism, distribution and elimination before the drug reaches the target sites for activity, hence such systemic formulations tend not to always have direct in 352 vitro-in vivo correlations. For formulations such as wound dressings, intended for direct 353 application, where the drug(s) are in direct contact with the target tissues, a high positive in 354 *vitro – in vivo* correlation tends to exist due to minimal pharmacokinetic barriers. 355

Silver is a widely used anti-microbial agent effective against infection causative wound pathogens which are responsible for delayed wound healing and can be added to a range of composite dressings [29]. Silver containing wound dressings release silver ions which vary due to the different forms (silver sulfadiazine, ionic silver nanoparticles containing scaffolds, nanofiber containing silver nanoparticles, silver-containing activated carbon and fibres) and the amount of the silver present [1,30]. Although there are important

362 questions raised by Modak et al [31] in regards to the use of silver in infected wounds and formation of biofilms by the microorganisms, the versatile effect of silver carries a low risk 363 of resistance even though some studies in burn wounds have shown bacterial resistance to 364 365 silver sulfadiazine and silver nitrate by *Pseudomonas spp* [31]. Moreover, the antimicrobial effect of silver incorporated in a number of dressings depends on the release rate of silver 366 ions which influences the overall antimicrobial effect [32]. In comparative antimicrobial 367 efficacy studies, it was reported that certain types of methicillin resistant strains among S. 368 aureus, P. aeruginosa, and E. coli were less sensitive to Urgotul SSD[®], Bactigras[®], 369 Acticoat[®], Askina Calgitrol Ag[®] and Aquacel Ag[®] antimicrobial dressings [33]. 370 Furthermore, *in vivo* silver can bind to proteins present in biofilms instead of binding to the 371 372 bacterial cell walls, resulting in reduced antimicrobial effect against the bacteria [34]. 373 Another potential concern is that silver does not act specifically against bacteria but also acts on any host proteins. Therefore, if very few bacteria counts are present at the wound site, 374 then the effect on host tissue is greater which could slow down healing [35]. Concentrations 375 376 above 1mg/L (1 part per million) of silver reacts with wound exudate and could cause transient skin staining [36]. Li and co-authors suggested that bacterial resistance could be 377 induced when low concentrations of silver were used [37]. There is therefore the possibility 378 of these silver containing dressings inducing resistance from S. aureus and P. aeruginosa 379 which are known to be able to form biofilms in an infected chronic wound environment [38]. 380 However, because there was absence of bacteria in the immediate application area beneath 381 the marketed dressings, it implies the silvers dressing were effective to kill the bacteria in 382 only the applied area of a wound and could also potentially limit or completely prevent 383 infection from external sources. 384

386 **5** Conclusion

Composite polymer based dressings containing STP and DLF appear to show significantly higher inhibition of the three bacterial strains compared to silver containing commercial dressings. STP can help to reduce bacterial infection by its known antimicrobial action and potentially in synergy with DLF while the latter can also help to reduce the swelling and pain associated with injury due to its anti-inflammatory action. However, these will, require further investigations in an *in vitro* cell culture (for cell viability and cell migration/proliferation) and *in vivo* animal study.

394

395 Funding

396 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,

397 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

398 6 References

- [1] Boateng, J.S., Catanzano, O. 2015. Advanced Therapeutic Dressings for Effective
 Wound Healing--A Review. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*; 104, 3653-3680.
- 401 [2] Beldon, P. 2010. Basic science of wound healing. *Surgery (Oxford)*; 28, 409-412.
- 402 [3] White, R.J., Cutting, K., Kingsley, A. 2006. Topical antimicrobials in the control of
 403 wound bioburden. *Ostomy Wound Management*; 52, 26-30.
- 404 [4] Lipsky, B.A., Hoey, C. 2009. Topical Antimicrobial Therapy for Treating Chronic
 405 Wounds. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*; 49, 1541-1549.
- 406 [5] Kim, H., Makin, I., Skiba, J., Ho, A., Housler, G., Stojadinovic, A., Izadjoo, M.
- 407 2014. Antibacterial efficacy testing of a bioelectric wound dressing against clinical
 408 wound pathogens. *Open Microbiology Journal*; 8, 15-21.
- [6] Bowler, P.G., Duerden, B.I., Armstrong, D.G. 2001. Wound microbiology and
 associated approaches to wound management. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews;* 14,
 244-269.
- 412 [7] Ahmed, A., Getti, G., Boateng, JS. 2017. Ciprofloxacin-loaded calcium alginate
 413 wafers prepared by freeze-drying technique for potential healing of chronic diabetic
- 414 foot ulcers. *Drug Delivery and Translational Research*; doi: 10.1007/s13346-017-
- 415 0445-9.
- [8] Boateng, J.S, Burgos-Amador, R., Okeke, O., Pawar, H. 2015. Composite alginate
 and gelatin based bio-polymeric wafers containing silver sulfadiazine for wound
 healing. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*; 79, 63-71.
- [9] Ong, S.Y., Wu, J., Moochhala, S.M., Tan, M.-H., Lu, J. 2008. Development of a
 chitosan-based wound dressing with improved hemostatic and antimicrobial
 properties. *Biomaterials*; 29, 4323-4332.

| 422 | [10] | Church, D., Elsayed, S., Reid, O., Winston, B., Lindsay, R. 2006. Burn |
|-----|-------|--|
| 423 | wour | nd infections. Clinical Microbiology Reviews; 19, 403-434. |
| 424 | [11] | Howes, E.L. 1947. Topical use of streptomycin in wounds. The American |
| 425 | Jour | nal of Medicine; 2, 449-456. |
| 426 | [12] | Kilner, T.P., Peet, E., Calnan, J.S., Kernahan, D.A. 1951. Streptomycin as a |
| 427 | local | application to control wound infection prior to skin grafting: preliminary |
| 428 | repor | rt. British Journal of Plastic Surgery; 4, 74-78. |
| 429 | [13] | Dutta, N.K., Mazumdar, K., Dastidar, S.G., Park, JH. 2007. Activity of |
| 430 | diclo | fenac used alone and in combination with streptomycin against |
| 431 | Myce | obacterium tuberculosis in mice. International Journal of Antimicrobial |
| 432 | Agen | <i>ts;</i> 30, 336-340. |
| 433 | [14] | Dutta, N.K., Dastidar, SG. Kumar, A., Mazumdar, K., Ray, R., Chakrabarty, |
| 434 | A.N. | 2004. Antimycobacterial activity of the antiinflammatory agent diclofenac |
| 435 | sodiu | um, and its synergism with streptomycin. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology; |
| 436 | 35, 3 | 16-323. |
| 437 | [15] | Mazumdar, K., Dastidar, S., Park, J., Dutta, N. 2009. The anti-inflammatory |
| 438 | non-a | antibiotic helper compound diclofenac: an antibacterial drug target. European |
| 439 | Jour | nal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases; 28, 881-891. |
| 440 | [16] | Boateng, J.S., Pawar, H.V., Tetteh, J. 2013. Polyox and carrageenan based |
| 441 | comp | posite film dressing containing anti-microbial and anti-inflammatory drugs for |
| 442 | effec | tive wound healing. International Journal of Pharmaceutics; 441, 181-191 |
| 443 | (201 | 3). |
| 444 | [17] | Pawar, H.V., Tetteh, J., Boateng, J.S. 2013. Preparation, optimisation and |
| 445 | chara | acterisation of novel wound healing film dressings loaded with streptomycin |
| 446 | and c | liclofenac. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces; 102, 102-110. |

| 447 | [18] | Labovitiadi, O., Lamb, A.J., Matthews, K.H. 2012. In vitro efficacy of |
|-----|-------|--|
| 448 | antir | nicrobial wafers against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. |

- 449 *Therapeutic Delivery*; 3, 443-455.
- 450 [19] Andrews JM. 2001. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations.
 451 *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*; 48 (suppl 1): 5-16.
- 452 [20] Zhanel, G.G., Shroeder, C., Vercaigne, L., Gin, A.S., Embil, J., Hoban, D.J.
 453 2001. A critical review of oxazolidinones: an alternative or replacement for
- 454 glycopeptides and streptogramins? *Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases*; 12,
- 455 379-390.
- 456 [21] Craig, W. 1998. Choosing an antibiotic on the basis of pharmacodynamics.
 457 *ENT Journal*; 77 (Suppl 6):7-11.
- 458 [22] Nightingale, C. Pharmcokinetics and pharmacodynamics of newer
 459 macrolides. *Pediatric Infectious Diseases*, 1997; 16:438-43.
- Zhanel, G.G., Craig, W.A. 1994. Pharmacokinetic contributions to post antibiotic effects: focus on aminoglycosides. *Clinical Pharmacokinetics*; 27:377-
- 462 92.
- 463 [24] Zhanel, G.G., Waltky, A., Vercaigne, L., Karlowsky, J.A. Embil, J., Gin,
- 464 A.S., Hoban, D.J. 1999. The new fluoroquinolones: a critical review. *Canadian*465 *Journal of Infectious Diseases*; 10:207-38.
- 466 [25] Thomas, J.K., Forrest, A., Bhaunani, S.M., Hyatt, J.M., Cheng, A., Ballow, C.H.,
- 467 Schentag, J.J. 1998. Pharmacodynamic evaluation of factors associated with the
- 468 development of bacterial resistance in acutely ill patients during therapy.
- 469 *Antimicrobial Agents in Chemotherapy*; 42, 521-527.

| 470 | [26] | Bajpai, S.K., Sharma, S. 2004. Investigation of swelling/degradation |
|-----|------|--|
| 471 | beh | aviour of alginate beads crosslinked with Ca2+ and Ba2+ ions. Reactive and |
| 472 | Fun | actional Polymers; 59, 129-140. |
| 473 | [27] | Boateng, J.S., Matthew, K.H., Auffret, A.D., Humphrey, M.J., Stevens, |
| 474 | H.N | I., Eccleston, G. M. 2009. In vitro drug release studies of polymeric freeze-dried |
| 475 | waf | ers and solvent-cast films using paracetamol as a model soluble drug. |
| 476 | Inte | rnational Journal of Pharmaceutics; 378, 66-72. |
| 477 | [28] | Jung, W.K., Koo, H.C., Kim, K.W., Shin, S., Kim, S.H., Park, Y.H. 2008. |
| 478 | Ant | ibacterial activity and mechanism of action of the silver ion in Staphylococcus |
| 479 | aure | eus and Escherichia coli. Applied and Environmental Microbiology; 74, 2171- |
| 480 | 217 | 8. |
| 481 | [29] | Vermeulen, H., Van Hattem, J.M., Storm-Versloot, M.N., Ubbink, D.T. |
| 482 | 200 | 7. Topical silver for treating infected wounds. Cochrane Database of |
| 483 | Syst | tematic Reviews; DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005486.pub2. |
| 484 | [30] | Dowsett, C. 2004. The use of silver-based dressings in wound care. Nursing |
| 485 | Star | ndard; 19, 56-60. |
| 486 | [31] | Modak, S.M., Fox, C.L. 1981. Sulfadiazine silver-resistant pseudomonas in |
| 487 | bur | ns - new topical agents. Archives of Surgery; 116, 854-857. |
| 488 | [32] | Ovington, L.G. 2004. The truth about silver. Ostomy/wound management; |
| 489 | 50, | 1S-10S. |
| 490 | [33] | Aramwit, P., Muangman, P., Namviriyachote, N., Srichana T. 2010. In vitro |
| 491 | eval | luation of the antimicrobial effectiveness and moisture binding properties of |
| 492 | wou | and dressings. International Journal of Molecular Science. 11:2864-2874. |
| 493 | [34] | Mertz, P.M. 2003. Cutaneous biofilms: Friend or foe? Wounds-a |
| 494 | Con | npendium of Clinical Research and Practice; 15, 129-132. |

| 495 | [35] | Innes, M.E., Umraw, N., Fish, J.S., Gomez, M., Cartotto, R.C. 2001. The |
|-----|--------|---|
| 496 | use of | f silver coated dressings on donor site wounds: a prospective, controlled |
| 497 | matcl | ned pair study. Burns; 27, 621-627. |
| 498 | [36] | Percival, S.L., Bowler, P.G., Russell, D. 2005. Bacterial resistance to silver |
| 499 | in wo | und care. Journal of Hospital Infection; 60, 1-7. |
| 500 | [37] | Li, X.Z., Nikaido, H., Williams, K.E. 1997. Silver-resistant mutants of |
| 501 | Esche | erichia coli display active efflux of Ag+ and are deficient in porins. Journal of |
| 502 | Bacte | riology; 179, 6127-6132. |
| 503 | [38] | Braff, M.H., Jones, A.L., Skerrett, S.J., Rubens, C.E. 2007. Staphylococcus |
| 504 | aureu | s exploits cathelicidin antimicrobial peptides produced during early |
| 505 | pneur | nonia to promote staphylokinase-dependent fibrinolysis. Journal of Infectious |
| 506 | Disea | ses; 195, 1365-1372. |
| 507 | | |
| 508 | | |
| 509 | | |
| 510 | | |
| 511 | | |
| 512 | | |
| 513 | | |
| 514 | | |
| 515 | | |
| 516 | | |
| 518 | | |
| 519 | | |
| 520 | | |
| | | |

521 FIGURE LEGENDS

- 522 Figure 1: ZOI of control STP (N) and control DLF (O) for *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *E.*
- 523 *coli*. The inset of control DLF shows the absence of bacteria around the applied area of the
- 524 disk (mean \pm SD, n = 3).
- 525 Figure 2: Extracted data comparing the measured ZOI data (mm) of S. aureus, P.
- 526 *aeruginosa* and *E. coli* for the various formulations and marketed dressings tested.(A)
- 527 POL-CAR (DL and DL-20%GLY) films and STP and DLF (mean \pm SD, n = 3). (B) POL-
- 528 SA-DL and POL-SA-DL-9%GLY films and control STP and DLF (mean \pm SD, n = 3). (C)
- 529 POL-CAR-DL-An and POL-SA-DL-An wafers and control STP and DLF (mean \pm SD, n =
- 530 3). (D) The marketed dressings (Aquacel[®] Ag, Melgisorb[®] Ag, Allevyn[®] Ag (mean \pm SD,
- 531 *n*=3).
- 532 Figure 3: The digital images of ZOI of (A) POL-CAR-BLK, (B) POL-CAR-DL, (C) POL-
- 533 CAR-DL-20%GLY films observed for *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli*(mean \pm SD, 534 n=3).
- 535 Figure 4: The digital images of ZOI of (D) POL-SA-BLK, (B) POL-SA-DL, (C) POL-SA-
- 536 DL-9%GLY observed for *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli*(mean \pm SD, n = 3).
- 537 Figure 5: Digital images of ZOI of (G) POL-CAR-BLK-An, (H) POL-CAR-DL-An, (I)
- 538 POL-SA-BLK-An, (J) POL-SA-DL-An, observed for *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* 539 (mean \pm SD, n = 3).
- 540 Figure 6: Digital images of ZOI observed for *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* by (K)
- 541 Aquacel[®] Ag; (L) Melgisorb[®] Ag; and (M) Allevyn[®] Ag. Inset shows the absence of bacteria
- 542 in the immediate applied area of the dressing (mean \pm SD, n = 3).
- 543

548 Table 1: Formulations used to evaluate antimicrobial efficacy against S. aureus, P.
549 aeruginosa and E. coli.

| Formulation | CODE |
|-------------------|------|
| POL-CAR-BLK | Α |
| POL-CAR-DL | В |
| POL-CAR-DL-20%GLY | С |
| POL-SA-BLK | D |
| POL-SA-DL | Е |
| POL-SA-DL-9%GLY | F |
| POL-CAR-BLK-An | G |
| POL-CAR-DL-An | Н |
| POL-SA-BLK-An | Ι |
| POL-SA-DL-An | J |
| Aquacel® Ag | K |
| Melgisorb® Ag | L |
| Allevyn® Ag | Μ |
| STP | Ν |
| DLF | 0 |

| 554 | Table 2: Quantities of the polymers, drugs and GLY (varying amounts based on total solid |
|-----|--|
| 555 | weight) within composite polymer gels used for formulation of POL-CAR and POL-SA |
| 556 | (BLK and DL) films. |

| Formulation | POL | CAR | SA | GLY | DLF | STP | Total | % GLY |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|---------|
| 1 of manufold | (gm) | (gm) | (gm) | (gm) | (gm) | (gm) | weight | Content |
| | (gm) | Content |
| POL-CAR-BLK | 0.75 | 0.25 | - | 0.00 | - | - | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| POL-CAR-BLK | 0.75 | 0.25 | - | 0.10 | - | - | 1.10 | 9.09 |
| POL-CAR-BLK | 0.75 | 0.25 | - | 0.25 | - | - | 1.25 | 20.00 |
| POL-CAR-BLK | 0.75 | 0.25 | - | 0.50 | - | - | 1.50 | 33.33 |
| POL-CAR-BLK | 0.75 | 0.25 | - | 0.75 | - | - | 1.75 | 42.86 |
| POL-CAR-BLK | 0.75 | 0.25 | - | 1.00 | - | - | 2.00 | 50.00 |
| POL-CAR-DL | 0.75 | 0.25 | - | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 1.40 | 0.00 |
| POL-CAR-DL | 0.75 | 0.25 | - | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 1.50 | 6.67 |
| POL-CAR-DL | 0.75 | 0.25 | - | 0.25 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 1.65 | 15.15 |
| POL-CAR-DL | 0.75 | 0.25 | - | 0.50 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 1.90 | 26.32 |
| POL-CAR-DL | 0.75 | 0.25 | - | 0.75 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 2.15 | 34.88 |
| POL-CAR-DL | 0.75 | 0.25 | - | 1.00 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 2.40 | 41.67 |
| POL-SA-BLK | 0.50 | - | 0.50 | 0.00 | - | - | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| POL-SA-BLK | 0.50 | - | 0.50 | 0.10 | - | - | 1.10 | 9.09 |
| POL-SA-BLK | 0.50 | - | 0.50 | 0.25 | - | - | 1.25 | 20.00 |
| POL-SA-BLK | 0.50 | - | 0.50 | 0.50 | - | - | 1.50 | 33.33 |
| POL-SA-DL | 0.50 | - | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 1.20 | 0.00 |
| POL-SA-DL | 0.50 | - | 0.50 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 1.30 | 7.69 |
| POL-SA-DL | 0.50 | - | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 1.45 | 17.24 |
| POL-SA-DL | 0.50 | - | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 1.70 | 34.48 |

- 567 Table 3: Composition of polymers and drugs (varying quantity) present in composite

568 polymer gels used to produce composite freeze dried POL-CAR and POL-SA (BLK and

569 DL) wafers.

| Pure material | POL-CAR-BLK | POL-CAR-DL | POL-SA-BLK | POL-SA-DL |
|---------------|-------------|----------------|------------|-----------|
| | | (weight in gm) | | |
| POL | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| CAR | 0.25 | 0.25 | - | - |
| SA | - | - | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| STP | - | 0.30 | - | 0.25 |
| DLF | - | 0.25 | - | 0.10 |
| Total weight | 1.00 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 1.35 |

- **Table 4:** Stock solutions of STP and DLF used to evaluate MIC of *S. aureus*, *E. coli* and *P.*
- *aeruginosa* (mean \pm SD, n = 3).

| | Stock solution 1 | Stock solution 2 | Stock solution 3 |
|-----|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| STP | 10000 mg/L (254 mg of | 1000 mg/L (1 ml of stock | 100 mg/L (1 ml of stock |
| | STP + 20 ml of distilled | solution $1 + 9$ ml of distilled | solution $2 + 9$ ml of |
| | water) | water) | distilled water) |
| DLF | 10000 mg/L (200 mg of | 1000 mg/L (1 ml of stock | 100 mg/L (1 ml of stock |
| | DLF + 20 ml of distilled | solution $1 + 9$ ml of distilled | solution $2 + 9$ ml of |
| | water) | water) | distilled water) |



| Product | Formulation details | Silver content (mg/cm ²) |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Aquacel [®] Ag | Sodium carboxymethylcellulose with ionic silver | 0.08-0.09 |
| Melgisorb [®] Ag | Alginate dressing with silver sodium hydrogen zirconium phosphate | 0.08 |
| Allevyn [®] Ag | Polyurethane foam dressing with soft gel adhesive and silver sulphadiazine | 0.90 |
| | | |





599 Figure 1







Figure 2









- 639 Figure 5



Figure 6

- -