This is the accepted manuscript version of the journal article, published in Journal of Applied Phycology, which is made available for scholarly purposes only, in accordance with the journal's author permissions. The final authenticated version is available online at: <a href="https://doi.org/lean.o

The inhibition of anaerobic digestion by model phenolic compounds representative of those from *Sargassum muticum*

John J Milledge^{1*}, Birthe V Nielsen¹ and Patricia J Harvey¹

¹ University of Greenwich, Algae Biotechnology Research Group, Faculty of Engineering and Science, Central Avenue, Chatham Maritime, Kent, ME4 4TB

^{*}Corresponding author j.j.milledge@gre.ac.uk

Abstract

1

- 2 Practical yields of biogas from the anaerobic digestion of macroalgae, and Sargassum
- 3 muticum in particular, are substantially below the theoretical maximum. There is considerable
- 4 conjecture about the reasons for the relatively low practical methane yields from seaweed and
- 5 polyphenols are suggested as one of the elements in the low yield of methane from brown
- 6 seaweeds. However, there appears to be little information on the effect of specific phenolics
- 7 on defined substrates.
- 8 This paper examines the effect of some simple phenolic compounds, representative of those
- 9 reported in Sargassum muticum, on methane production from a range of model substrates.
- Three simple phenolics were selected, gallic acid, epicatechin and phloroglucinol; at four
- addition levels, 0, 0.5, 3.5 and 7.5% w/w of substrate; for four substrates, a readily digested
- simple organic substance, glycerol, and three polymers found in seaweed, cellulose, alginic
- acid and the sodium salt of alginic acid.
- Alginic acid and its sodium salt were found to be recalcitrant with average methane yields
- equivalent to only 23% 28% of their theoretical methane potential. Methane yield was
- further reduced by the presence of high concentrations (7% of substrate equivalent to 17.5 mg
- 17 L⁻¹) of phloroglucinol and epicatechin. None of the phenolic compounds studied appeared to
- inhibit the breakdown of the simple and readily digested compound, glycerol. Low methane
- 19 yield in seaweed may be due to the recalcitrance of complex hydrocolloids and phenolic
- 20 inhibition of the breakdown of more complex molecules in the initial hydrolysis stage of
- anaerobic digestion, but further research is required.

Keywords

22

- anaerobic digestion; polyphenols; gallic acid; phloroglucinol; epicatechin; seaweed; algae;
- 24 macroalgae; Sargassum muticum; Phaeophyta; Japanese wireweed

36

Abbreviations 27 AD Anaerobic Digestion 28 Average Ave 29 MP Methane Potential 30 Dry Weight Standard Deviation dw 31 32 SD Volatile Solids 33 VS Weight 34 wt 35

1 Introduction

Seaweeds are considered as among the most potentially significant future sources of 38 sustainable biofuels. Unlike terrestrial crops cultivated for biofuel, many algae species grow 39 in brackish or salt water avoiding competition for agricultural land and fresh water required 40 for food production (Menetrez 2012; Dijk and Schoot 2015; Barbot et al. 2016; Milledge and 41 Harvey 2016b). Sargassum muticum is a brown seaweed that is an invasive species to 42 Europe. Attempts to eradicate S. muticum have failed (Josefsson and Jansson 2011), and 43 methods are being researched for its valorisation to encourage harvesting and control (Balboa 44 et al. 2015; Milledge et al. 2015a). S. muticum has been suggested as a source of 45 biochemicals, nutraceuticals and pharmaceuticals (Milledge et al. 2015a; Rodrigues et al. 46 2015); a biorefinery feedstock (Balboa et al. 2015); and a biofuel feedstock (Milledge et al. 47 48 2015b; Soto et al. 2015b; Milledge and Harvey 2016a).

49 50

51

52

53

54

37

Anaerobic digestion (AD) is generally the process of choice for energy production from high water content biomass, and biogas produced from AD is being used to make the most of a number of biomass wastes by turning them into renewable energy (Weiland 2010; Barbot et al. 2016). It is a safe and cost-effective way to dispose of unwanted organic waste, and for this reason a favoured solution for industry and governments (Cave 2013; Lou et al. 2013; Nguyen et al. 2014; Linville et al. 2015).

555657

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69 70

71

72

73

Seaweed was used as a feedstock for industrial production of biogas using AD in the 19th century (Biomara 2014; Discover Tiree 2014), and seaweed as feedstock for AD has been, and is, the subject of considerable of research (Lewis et al. 2011; Milledge et al. 2014; Ward et al. 2014; Centre for Process Innovation (CPI) 2016). Although various groups assessing the suitability of seaweed AD generally found that seaweeds were mostly a suitable biomass for AD (Sutherland and Varela 2014), practical yields of biogas from the AD of macroalgae are considerably below the theoretical maximum. The typical methane yield from seaweed of ~0.2 m³ CH₄ g⁻¹ VS (Alvarado-Morales et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2015) is <50 % of that from common commercially exploited feedstocks (Golueke et al. 1957; Nallathambi Gunaseelan 1997; Banks and Zhang 2010; Nguyen et al. 2014; Astals et al. 2015). The methane potential of Sargassum muticum is also low at ~0.13 L CH₄ g⁻¹ VS less than 27 % of theoretical maximum methane yield (Jard et al. 2013; Soto et al. 2015b; Milledge and Harvey 2016a). The Consortium for Algal Biofuel Commercialisation (CAB-Comm), established to conduct research to enable commercial viability of alternative liquid fuels produced from algal biomass, found in a sensitivity analysis that increasing CH₄ yield from the anaerobic digestion of seaweed was the most important factor in improving process energy balance and reducing greenhouse gas emissions (Mayfield 2015); thus, further research on the factors reducing practical methane yields is vital.

74 75 76

77 78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

There is considerable conjecture about the reasons for the relatively low practical methane yields from seaweed compared to their theoretical values (Milledge et al. 2014; Sutherland and Varela 2014; Ward et al. 2014; Soto et al. 2015b; Tabassum et al. 2016). However, polyphenols are suggested as one of the elements in the low yield of methane from brown seaweeds (Hierholtzer et al. 2013; Ward et al. 2014; Barbot et al. 2016; Pérez et al. 2016; Tabassum et al. 2016). Phenols are a diverse group of compounds that have a hydroxyl group bonded to a benzene or benzenoid ring, and are widely distributed in plants and algae with >8,000 phenolic compounds being separated from terrestrial and marine organisms (Savithramma et al. 2014; Pérez et al. 2016). Tannins are an extremely heterogeneous group of phenolic compounds of particular interest in both plants and algae as they interact with

aqueous solutions of proteins and other biological macromolecules to form insoluble 86 precipitates (Holdt and Kraan 2011; Shannon and Abu-Ghannam 2016). They can be divided 87 into 3 group; a) Hydrolysable tannins which on heating with hydrochloric or sulphuric acids 88 yield gallic or ellagic acids; b) Non-hydrolysable tannins, oligomers or polymers of flavanol 89 (Flavan-3-ols); and c) phlorotannins, polymers of phloroglucinol (1,3,5-benzenetriol) which 90 are found primarily in seaweeds (Holdt and Kraan 2011; Daglia 2012; Farvin and Jacobsen 91 92 2013; Tanniou et al. 2013; Soto et al. 2015a; Sanchez-Camargo et al. 2016). Phenolic compounds are believed to damage microbial cells by altering membrane permeability, 93 causing leakage of intracellular components and inactivation of essential enzymatic systems, 94 95 with lower molecular weight phenolics beings more toxic to microorganisms than high molecular weight compounds (Monlau et al. 2014). A few phenolic extracts from S. muticum 96 97 have shown antimicrobial activity against some aerobic bacteria (Tanniou et al. 2014). 98 However, there are few reports on the characterisation of polyphenols from algae, although both Glombitza et al. (1982) and Montero et al. (2016) have identified some of the phenolics 99 present in S. muticum. Tabassum et al. (2016) found an association between the high phenolic 100 content in Ascophyllum nodosum and reduced methane yields, and Moen et al. (1997) found 101 102 that biogas production was improved in Ascophyllum nodosum when polyphenols were 'fixed' by formaldehyde. However, there appears to be little information on the effect of 103 specific phenolics on defined substrates; thus, this paper attempts to examine the effect of 104 105 some simple representative phenolic compounds on methane production from a range of substrates. 106

2 Materials and methods

Three simple phenolics were selected to each represent a hydrolysable phenolic, a non-109 hydrolysable phenolic and a phlorotannin. Gallic acid has been found to be present in a 110 111 number of different seaweeds including Sargassum, and is the most common standard for total phenolic analysis (Rodríguez-Bernaldo de Quirós et al. 2010; Farvin and Jacobsen 2013; 112 Kang et al. 2015; Klejdus et al. 2017). Catechin is a non-hydrolysable flavanol (flavan-3-ol) 113 which has been used as a phenol standard (Rattaya et al. 2015; Wikandari et al. 2015). Both 114 catechin and its epimeric-isomer epicatechin have been found in a range of brown seaweeds, 115 with Sargassum muticum containing 2620 µg g⁻¹ dw of epicatechin, although no catechin was 116 found (Yoshie et al. 2000; Fernando et al. 2016); thus, epicatechin was selected as model 117 simple non-hydrolysable phenolic. Phloroglucinol is the basis of phlorotannins, the 118 predominant polyphenol in many seaweeds and Sargassum muticum (Glombitza et al. 1982; 119 Holdt and Kraan 2011; Moorthi and Balasubramanian 2015; Montero et al. 2016), and a 120 standard for total phlorotannin analysis (Tanniou et al. 2014; Sanchez-Camargo et al. 2016); 121 therefore, phloroglucinol was selected as the third model phenolic. 122 123

Brown seaweed can contains high levels of phenolics with levels of 14% dw being reported for some species (Holdt and Kraan 2011). *Sargassum muticum* can contain >6% dw polyphenols (Gorham and Lewey 1984; Connan et al. 2006), with Tanniou et al. (2014) reporting values of 0.7-3.5% for *S. muticum* sampled along its European range from Norway to Portugal. Four phenolic addition levels were used 0, 0.5, 3.5 and 7.5% of the substrate to cover the potential concentration range of phenolic compounds in *S. muticum* and the inhibitory effect of phenolics on methane production from AD.

Four substrates were used, a readily digested simple organic substance, glycerol, and three polymers found in seaweed, cellulose, alginic acid and the sodium salt of alginic acid.

107

108

124

125

126

127

128

129

130 131

132

- Glycerol is readily broken down in AD to produce biogas, and 'waste' glycerol has been 134
- considered as both a substrate and co-substrate with S. muticum for AD (Viana et al. 2012; 135
- Oliveira et al. 2015; Milledge and Harvey 2016a). Alginates are a major component of the 136
- cell-wall of brown algae accounting for up to 40% of the dry weight (Jung et al. 2013), most 137
- commonly as cationic salts containing either sodium, calcium and magnesium (Kaplan 1998; 138
- Rehm 2009; Holdt and Kraan 2011). Brown algae have carbohydrate-rich cell-walls with the 139
- 2 main polysaccharides being alginates and sulphated polysaccharides. The sulphated 140
- polysaccharides crosslink with cellulose microfibrils, while the alginates are associated with 141
- phenolic compounds to form a network in which the cellulose and sulphated carbohydrates 142
- 143 are embedded. Cell wall rigidity is controlled by alginate structure and polyphenol cross-
- linking (Salmeán et al. 2017). The hydrolysis of seaweed-derived polysaccharides, 144
- particularly alginates, is considered the rate-limiting step in the AD of seaweed (Moen et al. 145
- 146 1997; Sutherland and Varela 2014).

- The methane potential was measured for 12 combinations of substrate (glycerol, alginic acid 148
- sodium salt (sodium alginate), alginic acid and cellulose) and phenolic (gallic acid, 149
- 150 phloroglucinol and epicatechin) for 4 levels phenolic addition 0, 0.5, 3.5 and 7% of the mass
- of the substrate. 151

152 153

155

156 157

158 159

160

161

2.1 Materials

Substrates 154

- a) Glycerol reagent grade Fisher Scientific CAS No. 56-81-5
- b) Cellulose Sigmacell cellulose powder Type 20 20 µm Sigma CAS No. 9004-34-6
- c) Alginic Acid sodium salt (sodium alginate) Aldrich CAS No. 9005-38-3
- d) Alginic Acid Acros organics CAS No. 9005-32-7

Phenolics

- a) Gallic Acid 97.5 102.5 titration Sigma CAS No. 149-91-7
- b) Phloroglucinol ≥ 99.0% (HPLC) Aldrich CAS No 108-73-6
- c) (-)Epicatechin- Sigma CAS No 490-46-0

162 163 164

165

2.2 Methane potential determination

- Methane Potential (MP) was analysed using an automatic methane potential test system 166
- (AMPTS II, Bioprocess Control, Sweden). The equipment consists of a water-bath with 167
- controlled temperature and 15 x 500 mL glass digestion bottles with 15 CO₂ fixing bottles, 168
- each one connected to one of the 15 digestion bottles and a tipping cup volumetric gas 169
- measuring device. 170

- The 500 mL glass bottles were filled with inoculum, substrate and made-up to a volume of 172
- 400 mL with deionised water. The inoculum was collected from an internal recirculation 173
- granular sludge anaerobic digester treating papermaking liquid waste at Smurfit Kappa 174
- Townsend Hook Paper Makers, Mill Street, Snodland, Kent, UK, and stored for 48 hours at 175
- 37 °C to reduce gas output prior to use. The inoculum was blended using a handheld blender 176
- 177 (Phillips Billy HR 1340/A) to give a consistent suspension immediately prior to use. The ash
- and CHNOS analysis of the inoculum solids was 31.85% ash, 33.36% C, 4.85% H, 24.01% 178
- O, 5.46% N and 0.48% S of the dry weight (Milledge and Harvey 2016a). Three experimental 179
- replicates using 1 g of model substrate with an inoculum-to-substrate ratio on a volatile solid
- 180
- basis of 9:1 were carried out, together with a control containing inoculum, but no additional 181
- substrate. 182

After filling and sealing of the digestion bottles, the headspace was flushed with nitrogen.

Bottles were incubated in a water bath at a mesophilic temperature of 37 °C for 28 days. The

content of each bottle was mixed throughout the test by a slowly rotating agitator at 30 rpm,

operating for 60 s at a time interval of 60 s. Biogas from each digester was passed through

fixing bottles containing 80 mL of 3 M NaOH solution (containing thymolphthalein

indicator) for fixation of carbon dioxide, and the resultant methane subsequently measured in

a tipping cup volumetric gas measuring device submerged in deionised water. Methane

volume and temperature data were recorded continuously, and volumes were normalised to

standard conditions (standard atmospheric pressure, 0 °C, dry gas).

192 193 194

The pH was measured (Hauna Instruments HI221) for each sample at end of the MP test.

195 196

2.3 Statistical Analysis

197 IBM SPSS Statistics 23 was used for three-way and two-way Analysis of and Variance

198 (ANOVA) with data tests for Skewness (0.5 to -0.5)), Kurtosis (1 to -1) and normality

199 (Kolmogorov–Smirnov (>0.05) and Shapiro-Wilks (>0.05)). A three-way ANOVA was

200 performed to compare the effect of substrate (4 variants), potential phenolic inhibitor (3

variants) and potential phenolic inhibitor concentration (4 variants) and their high order

interactions on final total methane production from the MP test. A series of 3 two-way

203 ANOVAs were performed for each phenolic examining the effect of substrate (4 variants),

and potential phenolic inhibitor concentration (4 variants) and their high order interactions on

205 final total methane production from the MP test.

206 207

211

Excel 2013 (Microsoft) was used for one-way ANOVA and all other statistical analyses. A

one-way ANOVA was conducted to compare the effect of phenolic concentration on final

209 total methane production after MP test and pH for each of the 12 combinations of substrate

and potential phenolic inhibitor.

3 Results

212 **3.1 pH**

- 213 The pH varied little across the range of experiments as shown in Table 1. There was no
- statistically significant effect (P < 0.05) of phenolic concentration in any of the 12
- combinations of phenolic and substrate.

216

217

218

3.2 Methane production

The final methane yields from the 28 day MP test for the range of substrate and phenolic concentrations are shown in Table 2.

219 cor 220

- A one-way ANOVA, for each of the 12 combinations of substrate and potential phenolic
- inhibitor, for the effect of phenolic concentration on final total methane production after MP
- test, found that phenolic concentration was only significant for one combination of substrate
- and phenolic, epicatechin and alginic acid (highlighted by bold type and the superscript *).
- 225 However, student t-tests of the final total methane production for the lowest (0%) and highest
- 226 (7%) phenolic concentrations for each of the 12 combinations of substrate and phenolic
- showed that for both the cellulose and gallic acid combination and the alginic acid sodium
- salt and phloroglucinol combination the final total methane production was significantly

lower (P<0.05) for the highest phenolic concentration relative to the lowest (0%) (highlighted by the superscript *).

231232

233

234235

The three-way ANOVA comparing the effect of substrate (4 variants), potential phenolic inhibitor (3 variants) and potential phenolic inhibitor concentration (4 variants) and their high order interactions on final total methane production from the MP test showed that substrate, phenolic and the interaction of substrate and phenolic all had a highly significant effect (P<0.01) on final methane yield.

236237238

239

240

The series of 3 two-way ANOVAs for each phenolic examining the effect substrate (4 variants), potential phenolic inhibitor concentration (4 variants) and their high order interactions on final total methane production from the MP test also found that the effect of substrate on final methane yield was highly significant (P<0.01)

241242243

The grand means (average mean) for the final methane yields for the four substrates without the addition of phenolic are shown in Table 3, and illustrate that highest gas yields were achieved with glycerol or cellulose as substrates.

 $\begin{array}{c} 245 \\ 246 \end{array}$

247

244

4 Discussion

recalcitrance of the alginates.

The substrate is a dominant factor in methane potential. Alginic acid and its sodium salt 248 appear to be recalcitrant with average methane yields of 73 and 76 mL CH₄ g⁻¹ substrate dw. 249 equivalent to only 23% and 28% of their theoretical methane potential as calculated from 250 elemental compositions ((C₆H₈O₆)_n and (C₆H₇NaO₆)_n) using the "Buswell equation" (Symons 251 and Buswell 1933; Buswell and Mueller 1952; Heaven et al. 2011). Østgaard et al. (1993) 252 found mannitol and laminaran were reduced to less than 5% of the initial values within 24-48 253 hours in an anaerobic digester, but over 30% of the alginate content remained after 30 days. 254 Moen et al. (1997) found that the successful biological degradation of A. nodosum was 255 dependant on the breakdown of alginate, and the hydrolysis of seaweed-derived 256 polysaccharides, particularly alginates, is considered the rate-limiting step in the AD of 257 seaweed (Moen et al. 1997; Sutherland and Varela 2014). Sodium alginate has been shown to 258 be an anti-bacterial element not only binding to bacteria, but also killing them (Kraan 2012). 259 The methane potential of S. muticum is 0.06-0.13 L CH₄ g⁻¹ VS, 16-27 % of that calculated by 260 the "Buswell" equation from the ultimate analysis (Jard et al. 2013; Soto et al. 2015b; 261 Milledge and Harvey 2016a). S. muticum has been used for alginate production (Zhao et al. 262 2008; Liu et al. 2013), with a yield of 5-11% (Critchley et al. 1986; Gonzalez-Lopez et al. 263 2012), and thus one factor in the low yield of methane from S. muticum could be the 264

265266267

268

269

270271

272

273

274

275

276

One approach to improving biogas may be to treat the seaweed prior to anaerobic digestion to break down recalcitrant polymers, such as alginic acid, to more readily digested simple molecules A variety of pre-treatment methods for biomass disruption, such as mechanical, thermal, enzymatic and thermo-chemical treatment, have been shown to improve methane production by 19% - 68% (Barbot et al. 2015). However, the energy and financial costs of these procedures may offset any potential gain from increased biogas output (Barbot et al. 2016). A biorefinery approach where alginates are removed prior to biogas production is another potential method of improving the economics of seaweed biogas production (Langlois et al. 2012; Milledge et al. 2014). The extraction of alginate, laminaran and fucoidan can reduce the amount of fermentable compounds available in seaweed to produce

bioenergy by half (Bruton et al. 2009), but the biomass from a range Irish seaweeds after the extraction of alginic acid and other potential high-value commercial compounds were found to have a similar methane yield per gram of volatile solid to that of the original seaweed (Tedesco and Stokes 2017). However, the economic success of a biorefinery producing alginates and biogas is highly dependent on the price of alginate (Langlois et al. 2012). The immense potential scale of algal fuel production could result in the creation of such large quantities of algal non-fuel materials that the market price is dramatically reduced (Milledge and Heaven 2014). Bruton et al. (2009) have suggested that the world market growth for phycocolloids is only a few percent per year and that any large additional supply could rapidly saturate the market.

Alginates are used in the marine environment by organisms that have alginate lyases, found in some marine molluscs, fungi and bacteria, but generally absent from most organisms (Østgaard et al. 1993). Typical inocula for anaerobic digesters are from municipal sewage sludge and animal manure slurry, but inocula containing higher proportions of bacteria capable of fermenting marine phycocolloids have been shown to increase methane production (Sutherland and Varela 2014). The addition of bacteria from the rumen of Ronaldsay sheep, which had a diet almost entirely of seaweed, was found to increase the methane yield (0.253 L CH₄ g⁻¹ VS) and volatile solid utilisation (67%) from the anaerobic digestion of *Laminaria hyperborean* (Sutherland and Varela 2014). The granulated sludge inoculum from paper waste treatment, which was effective in the anaerobic breakdown of cellulose (a major part of paper waste), may not be ideal for seaweed, but inocula containing bacteria capable of fermenting marine phycocolloids, such as those from Ronaldsay sheep, are not currently widely available.

The three-way ANOVA found that the phenolic and the interaction of substrate and phenolic all had a highly significant effect (P<0.01) on final methane yield. Different phenolics appear to interact with different substrates reducing methane yield.

Concentrations of 7 % gallic acid, equivalent to 17.5 mg L⁻¹, significantly reduced methane yield from cellulose by 34%. Gallic acid at a concentration of 10 mg L⁻¹ has been shown to inhibit biogas production from starch by up to 75 % (Mousa and Forster 1999). Gallic acid, thus, may be a phenolic inhibitor of starch and cellulose digestion, and plays a role in the reduction of methane production from seaweeds where cellulose can make up 7-30% of the dry weight of seaweed depending on species (Tiwari and Troy 2015). High levels of gallic acid could also be important inhibitors in biomass from terrestrial crop residues that contain significant amounts of cellulose.

Concentrations of 7 % phloroglucinol equivalent to 17.5 mg L⁻¹ significantly reduced methane yield from the sodium salt of alginic acid to such an extent the methane yield was below the yield from the inoculum alone without substrate. Although not statistically significant, the presence of 7 % phloroglucinol also reduced average methane yield >50% from alginic acid. Methane yield from AD of *A. nodosum* was found to increase when the polyphenols present were fixed with low levels of formaldehyde, and polyphenols may be inhibiting alginate lyases (Moen et al. 1997). Hierholtzer et al. (2013) found that there was no significant effect from the presence phloroglucinol or phlorotannins extracted from *L. digitata* (2-200 mg L^{-1}) on the methane production from the AD of a model sodium acetate substrate. However, at the very highest concentration of phlorotannins (200 mg L^{-1}) there was

a 20 % reduction in methane yield, and scanning electron micrographs found that at this concentration there was damage to the cell wall of the anaerobic bacteria in the digester. Low molecular weight phlorotannins from Sargassum thunbergii have also been found to damage the cell walls and membranes of gram negative bacteria (Shannon and Abu-Ghannam 2016). Phloroglucinol is the basis of phlorotannins, the predominant polyphenol in many seaweeds including S. muticum, and at high concentration may be an additional element, together with the recalcitrance of alginic acid, in the low methane production from many brown seaweeds and S. muticum. Nevertheless, the mode of action of phlorotannins on anaerobic microorganisms remains obscure and there is little information available regarding their influence on mixed microbial cultures found in anaerobic digesters (Hierholtzer et al. 2013), and there is a need for considerable research on the influence of phlorotannins on the various bacterial types involved in anaerobic digestion.

The concentration of epicatechin was found to have a statistically significant effect on methane yield from alginic acid; a 7 % concentration of epicatechin, equivalent to 17.5 mg L⁻¹, reducing methane yield by 73%. Wikandari et al. (2015) found that very high levels of epicatechin 5g L⁻¹ inhibited the methane production from a beef extract model substrate by >90%, but that lower levels 0.5 g L⁻¹ had no statistically significant effect. A phenolic concentration of 54 mg L⁻¹ has been shown to reduce methane yields from olive oil production waste by ~35% (Battista et al. 2014). Very high concentrations of epicatechin may inhibit methane production from both terrestrial plants and seaweeds.

None of the phenolic compounds studied appeared to inhibit the breakdown of the simple and readily digested compound, glycerol. Indicating that phenolic compounds probably inhibit the breakdown of more complex molecules in the initial hydrolysis stage of anaerobic digestion. Co-digestion of glycerol and *S. muticum* increased the biogas yield by 27 % when compared to the individual materials digested separately (Milledge and Harvey 2016a). A further potential explanation of this synergistic effect in co-digestion of glycerol and *S. muticum* could be the reduction in the level of phlorotannins and their inhibitory effect on the breakdown of alginates.

This work has shown that a major contributor to the low methane yield is the recalcitrance of alginic acid and its sodium salt. High concentrations (7% of substrate equivalent to 17.5 mg L⁻¹) of epicatechin further reduce methane yield from alginic acid, whilst high concentrations of phloroglucinol reduce the methane yield from the sodium salt of alginic acid. This study only assessed single phenolic compounds, and in real systems, there are mixtures of phenols. López et al. (2011) have suggested that mixtures of phenolic can act either synergistically or antagonistically. Further work is required to study other phenolic compounds derived from seaweeds and their action and interaction on biogas production and the bacteria and their biochemical pathways.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the EPSRC project number EP/K014900/1 (MacroBioCrude: Developing an Integrated Supply and Processing Pipeline for the Sustained Production of Ensiled Macroalgae-derived Hydrocarbon Fuels) and the University of Greenwich. The assistance of colleagues at the University of Greenwich, and Smurfit Kappa Townsend Hook Paper Makers for the provision of the inoculum.

376

377

378

379

380

381

382 383

384 385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396 397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404 405

406

407

408

409

410

414

415

References

- Alvarado-Morales M, Boldrin A, Karakashev DB, Holdt SL, Angelidaki I, Astrup T (2013) Life cycle assessment of biofuel production from brown seaweed in Nordic conditions. Bioresour Technol 129:92-99
 - Astals S, Musenze RS, Bai X, Tannock S, Tait S, Pratt S, Jensen PD (2015) Anaerobic co-digestion of pig manure and algae: Impact of intracellular algal products recovery on co-digestion performance. Bioresour Technol 181:97-104
 - Balboa E, Moure A, Domínguez H (2015) Valorization of *Sargassum muticum* biomass according to the Biorefinery Concept. Mar Drugs 13:3745
 - Banks C, Zhang Y (2010) Optimising inputs and outputs from anaerobic digestion processes Technical Report. DEFRA, Southampton
 - Barbot Y, Al-Ghaili H, Benz R (2016) A review on the valorization of macroalgal wastes for biomethane production. Mar Drugs 14:120
 - Barbot Y, Thomsen C, Thomsen L, Benz R (2015) Anaerobic digestion of *Laminaria japonica* waste from industrial production residues in laboratory- and pilot-scale. Mar Drugs 13:5947
 - Battista F, Fino D, Ruggeri B (2014) Polyphenols concentration's effect on the biogas production by wastes derived from olive oil production. Chem Eng Trans 38:373-377
 - Biomara (2014) A short history of seaweed exploitation in the western British Isles http://www.biomara.org/understanding-seaweed/the-importance-of-seaweed-across-the-ages. Searched 27 January 2014
 - Bruton T, Lyons H, Lerat Y, Stanley M, Rasmussen MB (2009) A review of the potential of marine algae as a source of biofuel in Ireland. Sustainable Energy Ireland, Dublin
 - Buswell AM, Mueller HF (1952) Mechanism of methane fermentation. Ind & Eng Chemistry 44:550-552
 - Cave S (2013) Anaerobic digestion across the UK and Europe. Northern Ireland Assembly, Belfast
 - Centre for Process Innovation (CPI) (2016) The SeaGas project. CPI. http://seagas.co.uk/. Searched 7 July 2016
 - Chen H, Zhou D, Luo G, Zhang S, Chen J (2015) Macroalgae for biofuels production: Progress and perspectives. Renew Sust Energy Rev 47:427-437
 - Connan S, Delisle F, Deslandes E, Gall EA (2006) Intra-thallus phlorotannin content and antioxidant activity in Phaeophyceae of temperate waters. Botanica Marina 49:39-46
 - Critchley AT, Farnham WF, Morrell SL (1986) An account of the attempted control of an introduced marine alga, *Sargassum-muticum*, in southern England. Biol Conserv 35:313-332
 - Daglia M (2012) Polyphenols as antimicrobial agents. Curr Opin Biotechnol 23:174-181
 - Dijk Wv, Schoot JRvd (2015) An economic model for offshore cultivation of macroalgae. EnAlgae project, Swansea
 - Discover Tiree (2014) Brown Gold. http://www.isleoftiree.com/about-tiree/the-land/. Searched 27 January 2014 Farvin KHS, Jacobsen C (2013) Phenolic compounds and antioxidant activities of selected species of seaweeds from Danish coast. Fd Chem 138:1670-1681
 - Fernando IP, Kim M, Son KT, Jeong Y, Jeon YJ (2016) Antioxidant activity of marine algal polyphenolic compounds: A mechanistic approach. J Medicinal Fd 19:615-628
- Glombitza KW, Forster M, Farnham WF (1982) Antibiotics from algae .25. Polyhydroxyphenyl ethers from the brown alga *Sargassum muticum* (*yendo*) *fensholt* 2. Botanica Marina 25:449-453
- 413 Golueke CG, Oswald WJ, Gotaas HB (1957) Anaerobic digestion of algae. Appl Microbiol 5:47-55
 - Gonzalez-Lopez N, Moure A, Dominguez H (2012) Hydrothermal fractionation of *Sargassum muticum* biomass. J Appl Phycol 24:1569-1578
- Gorham J, Lewey SA (1984) Seasonal changes in the chemical composition of *Sargassum muticum*. Marine Biol 80:103-107
- Heaven S, Milledge JJ, Zhang Y (2011) Comments on 'Anaerobic digestion of microalgae as a necessary step to make microalgal biodiesel sustainable'. Biotechnol Adv 29: 164-167 doi:DOI:
- Hierholtzer A, Chatellard L, Kierans M, Akunna JC, Collier PJ (2013) The impact and mode of action of
 phenolic compounds extracted from brown seaweed on mixed anaerobic microbial cultures. J Appl
 Microbiol 114:964-973
- Holdt S, Kraan S (2011) Bioactive compounds in seaweed: functional food applications and legislation. J Appl Phycol 23:543-597
- Jard G, Marfaing H, Carrere H, Delgenes JP, Steyer JP, Dumas C (2013) French Brittany macroalgae screening:
 Composition and methane potential alternative sources of energy and products. Bioresour
 Technol 144:492-498

- Josefsson M, Jansson K (2011) NOBANIS Invasive alien species fact sheet *Sargassum muticum*. http://www.nobanis.org/files/factsheets/Sargassum_muticum.pdf. Searched 4 April 2014
- 430 Jung KA, Lim SR, Kim Y, Park JM (2013) Potentials of macroalgae as feedstocks for biorefinery. Bioresour 431 Technol 135:182-190
- Kang N et al. (2015) Gallic acid isolated from *Spirogyra sp.* improves cardiovascular disease through a vasorelaxant and antihypertensive effect. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol 39:764-772
- Kaplan D (1998) Biopolymers from renewable resources. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Klejdus B, Plaza M, Šnóblová M, Lojková L (2017) Development of new efficient method for isolation of phenolics from sea algae prior to their rapid resolution liquid chromatographic—tandem mass spectrometric determination. J Pharm Biomed Anal 135:87-96
 - Kraan S (2012) Algal polysaccharides, novel applications and outlook. In: Chang C-F (ed) Carbohydrates comprehensive studies on glycobiology and glycotechnology. vol 22. InTech, Rijeka, Croatia.
 - Langlois J, Sassi JF, Jard G, Steyer JP, Delgenes JP, Helias A (2012) Life cycle assessment of biomethane from offshore-cultivated seaweed. Biofuels Bioprod Biorefining 6:387-404
 - Lewis J, Salam F, Slack N, Winton M, Hobson L (2011) Product options for the processing of marine macro-algae Summary Report. The Crown Estates, Redcar.
 - Linville JL, Shen Y, Wu MM, Urgun-Demirtas M (2015) Current state of anaerobic digestion of organic wastes in North America. Curr Sust/Renew Energy Rep 2:136-144
 - Liu F, Pang SJ, Gao SQ, Shan TF (2013) Intraspecific genetic analysis, gamete release performance, and growth of *Sargassum muticum* (Fucales, Phaeophyta) from China. Chin J Ocean Limnol 31:1268-1275
 - López A, Rico M, Rivero A, Suárez de Tangil M (2011) The effects of solvents on the phenolic contents and antioxidant activity of Stypocaulon scoparium algae extracts. Fd Chem 125:1104-1109
 - Lou XF, Nair J, Ho G (2013) Potential for energy generation from anaerobic digestion of food waste in Australia waste management & research. J Int Solid Wastes Public Cleansing Assoc, ISWA 31:283-294
 - Mayfield SP (2015) Consortium for Algal Biofuel Commercialization (CAB-COMM) Final Report. Cal-CAB San Diego.
 - Menetrez M (2012) An overview of algae biofuel production and potential environmental impact. Environ Sci Technol 46: 7073-85
 - Milledge JJ, Harvey PJ (2016a) Ensilage and anaerobic digestion of *Sargassum muticum*. J Appl Phycol 28:3021-3030
 - Milledge JJ, Harvey PJ (2016b) Potential process 'hurdles' in the use of macroalgae as feedstock for biofuel production in the British Isles. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 91:2221-2234
 - Milledge JJ, Heaven S (2014) Methods of energy extraction from microalgal biomass: a review. Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol 13:301-320
 - Milledge JJ, Nielsen BV, Bailey D (2015a) High-value products from macroalgae: the potential uses of the invasive brown seaweed, *Sargassum muticum*. Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol 15:67-88
 - Milledge JJ, Smith B, Dyer P, Harvey P (2014) Macroalgae-derived biofuel: a review of methods of energy extraction from seaweed biomass. Energies 7:7194-7222
 - Milledge JJ, Staple A, Harvey P (2015b) Slow pyrolysis as a method for the destruction of Japanese Wireweed, Sargassum muticum. Environ Nat Resour Res 5:28-36
 - Moen E, Horn S, Østgaard K (1997) Biological degradation of Ascophyllum nodosum J Appl Phycol 9:347-357
 - Monlau F, Sambusiti C, Barakat A, Quéméneur M, Trably E, Steyer JP, Carrère H (2014) Do furanic and phenolic compounds of lignocellulosic and algae biomass hydrolyzate inhibit anaerobic mixed cultures? A comprehensive review. Biotechnol Adv 32:934-951
 - Montero L et al. (2016) Anti-proliferative activity and chemical characterization by comprehensive twodimensional liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry of phlorotannins from the brown macroalga *Sargassum muticum* collected on North-Atlantic coasts. J Chromatogr A 1428:115-125
 - Moorthi PV, Balasubramanian C (2015) Antimicrobial properties of marine seaweed, *Sargassum muticum* against human pathogens. J Coast Life Medicine 3:122-125
- Mousa L, Forster CF (1999) The use of trace organics in anaerobic digestion. Process Saf Environ Prot 77:37-42
- Nallathambi Gunaseelan V (1997) Anaerobic digestion of biomass for methane production: a review. Biomass Bioenergy 13:83-114
- Nguyen H, Heaven S, Banks C (2014) Energy potential from the anaerobic digestion of food waste in municipal solid waste stream of urban areas in Vietnam. Int J Energy Environ Eng 5:365-374
- Oliveira JV, Alves MM, Costa JC (2015) Optimization of biogas production from *Sargassum sp.* using a design of experiments to assess the co-digestion with glycerol and waste frying oil. Bioresour Technol 175:480-485

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448 449

450

451

452 453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468 469

470

471 472

473

474

475

476

- Østgaard K, Indergaard M, Markussen S, Knutsen SH, Jensen A (1993) Carbohydrate degradation and methane
 production during fermentation of *Laminaria saccharina* (Laminariales, Phaeophyceae). J Appl Phycol
 5:333-342
- 489 Pérez MJ, Falqué E, Domínguez H (2016) Antimicrobial action of compounds from marine seaweed. Mar Drugs 14:52
 - Rattaya S, Benjakul S, Prodpran T (2015) Extraction, antioxidative, and antimicrobial activities of brown seaweed extracts, *Turbinaria ornata* and *Sargassum polycystum*, grown in Thail. Int Aquat Res 7:1-16
 - Rehm BHA (ed) (2009) Alginates: biology and applications. Microbiology Monographs, vol 13. Springer, Heidelberg.
 - Rodrigues D et al. (2015) Chemical composition of red, brown and green macroalgae from Buarcos Bay in Central West Coast of Portugal. Fd Chem 183:197-207
 - Rodríguez-Bernaldo de Quirós A, Lage-Yusty MA, López-Hernández J (2010) Determination of phenolic compounds in macroalgae for human consumption. Fd Chem 121:634-638
 - Salmeán AA et al. (2017) Insoluble $(1 \rightarrow 3)$, $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-glucan is a component of cell walls in brown algae (Phaeophyceae) and is masked by alginates in tissues. Sci Rep 7:2880
 - Sanchez-Camargo AD, Montero L, Stiger-Pouvreau V, Tanniou A, Cifuentes A, Herrero M, Ibanez E (2016) Considerations on the use of enzyme-assisted extraction in combination with pressurized liquids to recover bioactive compounds from algae. Fd Chem 192:67-74
 - Savithramma N, Linga Rao M, Venkateswarlu P (2014) Isolation and identification of phenolic compounds from *Boswellia ovalifoliolata Bal. & Henry* and their free radical scavenger activity. Int J Drug Deliv Technol 4:14-21
 - Shannon E, Abu-Ghannam N (2016) Antibacterial derivatives of marine algae: an overview of pharmacological mechanisms and applications. Mar Drugs 14:81-104
 - Soto M, Falqué E, Domínguez H (2015a) Relevance of natural phenolics from grape and derivative products in the formulation of cosmetics. Cosmetics 2:259
 - Soto M, Vazquez MA, de Vega A, Vilarino JM, Fernandez G, de Vicente ME (2015b) Methane potential and anaerobic treatment feasibility of *Sargassum muticum*. Bioresour Technol 189:53-61
 - Sutherland A, Varela J (2014) Comparison of various microbial inocula for the efficient anaerobic digestion of *Laminaria hyperborea*. BMC Biotechnol 14:7
 - Symons GE, Buswell AM (1933) The methane fermentation of carbohydrates. J Am Chem. Soc 55:2028-2036
 - Tabassum MR, Xia A, Murphy JD (2016) Seasonal variation of chemical composition and biomethane production from the brown seaweed *Ascophyllum nodosum*. Bioresour Technol 216:219-226
 - Tanniou A et al. (2013) Green improved processes to extract bioactive phenolic compounds from brown macroalgae using *Sargassum muticum* as model. Talanta 104: 44-52
 - Tanniou A et al. (2014) Assessment of the spatial variability of phenolic contents and associated bioactivities in the invasive alga *Sargassum muticum* sampled along its European range from Norway to Portugal. J Appl Phycol 26:1215-1230
 - Tedesco S, Stokes J (2017) Valorisation to biogas of macroalgal waste streams: a circular approach to bioproducts and bioenergy in Ireland. Chemi Zvesti 71:721-728
 - Tiwari B, Troy D (eds) (2015) Seaweed sustainability: food and non-food applications. 1 edn. Academic Press, Amsterdam.
- Viana MB, Freitas AV, Leitão RC, Pinto GAS, Santaella ST (2012) Anaerobic digestion of crude glycerol: a review. Environ Technol Rev 1:81-92
 - Ward AJ, Lewis DM, Green B (2014) Anaerobic digestion of algae biomass: a review. Algal Res 5:204-214
- Weiland P (2010) Biogas production: current state and perspectives Appl Microbiol and Biotechnol 85:849-860 Wikandari R, Sari NK, A'Yun Q, Millati R, Cahyanto MN, Niklasson C, Taherzadeh MJ (2015) effects of
 - Wikandari R, Sari NK, A'Yun Q, Millati R, Cahyanto MN, Niklasson C, Taherzadeh MJ (2015) effects of lactone, ketone, and phenolic compounds on methane production and metabolic intermediates during anaerobic digestion. Appl Biochem and Biotechnol 175:1651-1663
 - Yoshie Y, Wang W, Petillo D, Suzuki T (2000) Distribution of catechins in Japanese seaweeds. Fish Sci 66:998-1000
- Zhao FJ, Liu FL, Liu JD, Ang PO, Duan DL (2008) Genetic structure analysis of natural *Sargassum muticum* (Fucales, Phaeophyta) populations using RAPD and ISSR markers. J Appl Phycol 20:191-198

Table 1 Effect of substrate and various concentrations (0, 0.5, 3.5 and 7% of substrate dry weight) of Gallic acid, Phloroglucinol or Epicatechin on final pH after 28 day MP test. (Average Ave, Standard Deviation SD, n=3)

Phenolic & Phenolic	Substrate								
Concentration									
	Alginic Acid								
	Glycerol		S	Sodium Salt		Alginic Acid		Cellulose	
	Ave	SD	Ave	SD	Ave	SD	Ave	SD	
0% Gallic	7.28	0.05	7.78	0.21	7.03	0.29	7.27	0.10	
0.5% Gallic	7.11	0.01	7.42	0.28	7.05	0.16	7.23	0.06	
3.5% Gallic	7.23	0.15	7.25	0.34	7.22	0.11	7.32	0.09	
7.0% Gallic	7.27	0.10	7.42	0.25	7.16	0.17	7.40	0.06	
0% Phloroglucinol	7.28	0.05	7.29	0.07	7.14	0.08	7.11	0.07	
0.5% Phloroglucinol	7.11	0.01	7.34	0.15	7.18	0.07	7.05	0.01	
3.5% Phloroglucinol	7.23	0.15	7.25	0.07	7.12	0.04	7.12	0.08	
7.0% Phloroglucinol	7.27	0.10	7.39	0.10	7.20	0.09	7.08	0.06	
0% Epicatechin	7.21	0.13	7.22	0.03	7.69	0.01	7.13	0.03	
0.5% Epicatechin	7.21	0.14	7.25	0.02	7.72	0.02	7.11	0.01	
3.5% Epicatechin	7.11	0.02	7.22	0.01	7.70	0.00	7.21	0.18	
7.0% Epicatechin	7.20	0.15	7.20	0.02	7.89	0.19	7.10	0.01	

Table 2 Effect of substrate and various concentrations (0, 0.5, 3.5 and 7% of substrate dry weight) of Gallic acid, Phloroglucinol or Epicatechin on final average methane yield after 28 day MP test. (Average Ave, Standard Deviation SD, n=3). Shaded figures are the P values from a one-way ANOVA conducted to compare the effect of phenolic concentration on final total methane production after 28 day MP test

Phenolic & Phenolic	Substrate							
Concentration			Alginic	Acid				
	Glycerol		Alginic Acid Sodium salt		Alginic Acid		Cellulose	
	Average Gas yield mL CH ₄ g ⁻¹ substrate dw							
	Ave	SD	Ave	SD	Ave	SD	Ave	SD
0 Gallic	223	84	42	52	56	29	309	26
0.5% Gallic	186	114	59	34	38	51	306	33
3.5% Gallic	186	86	31	36	18	20	216	74
7.0 Gallic	223	113	68	23	63	66	203	33
P Value	0.98		0.74		0.58		0.07^{*}	
0 % Phloroglucinol	130	80	77	24	76	98	124	77
0.5% Phloroglucinol	201	49	15	70	49	73	221	12
3.5% Phloroglucinol	84	133	59	45	87	54	152	86
7.0% Phloroglucinol	115	67	-37	35	37	75	178	15
P value	0.46		0.07^{*}		0.84		0.29	
0 % Epicatechin	181	72	99	40	183	39	158	32
0.5% Epicatechin	208	48	126	35	218	5	183	3
3.5% Epicatechin	238	25	125	25	200	5	80	88
7.0% Epicatechin	206	64	133	25	50	88	151	20
P value	0.68		0.60		0.01#		0.13	

Table 3 Grand means for the final methane yields for the four substrates without the addition of phenolic (Average Ave, Standard Deviation SD, n=12).

Substrate								
Glycerol		Alginic Acid Sodium Salt		Alginic Acid	1	Cellulose		
Average Gas yield mL CH ₄ g ⁻¹ substrate dw								
Ave	SD	Ave	SD	Ave	SD	Ave	SD	
178	75	76	40	73	54	183	92	