

1 **Title:** Accumulation of biologically fixed nitrogen by legumes cultivated as cover crops in
2 Switzerland

3
4 **Authors:** Lucie Büchi¹, Claude-Alain Gebhard², Frank Liebisch³, Sokrat Sinaj¹, Hans
5 Ramseier², Raphaël Charles^{1,*}

6
7 **Affiliations**

8 ¹ Agroscope, Institute for Plant Production Sciences, 1260 Nyon 1, Switzerland

9 ² School of Agricultural, Forest and Food sciences HAFL, 3052 Zollikofen, Switzerland

10 ³ ETH Zurich, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Universitätsstrasse 2, 8092 Zürich,
11 Switzerland

12

13 ***Corresponding author**

14 Raphaël Charles

15 Agroscope, Institute for Plant Production Sciences

16 Route de Duillier 50

17 CP 1012

18 1260 Nyon 1

19 Switzerland

20 Email: raphael.charles@agroscope.admin.ch

21 Phone: +41 58 460 46 59

22 **Abstract**

23 *Aims* Biological nitrogen fixation by legumes is expected to play a greater role in future
24 cropping systems. Our study evaluated 19 legume species grown as cover crops in Swiss
25 agroecosystems.

26 *Methods* Two field experiments were set up to monitor the biomass production and nitrogen
27 content of 19 legumes and two non-legumes. The proportion of nitrogen derived from
28 atmospheric N₂ (%Ndfa) was assessed using the ¹⁵N natural abundance method. In parallel, a
29 pot experiment was set up to determine the species-specific B values necessary to apply this
30 method.

31 *Results* Some species produced an important amount of biomass in three months, up to 6.86 t
32 DM/ha for *Vicia faba*. Five species, *Lathyrus sativus*, *Pisum sativum*, *Vicia sativa*, *V. villosa*
33 and *V. faba*, acquired more than 100 kg N/ha through biological fixation. Important amounts
34 of nitrogen were also derived from the soil. %Ndfa values showed high variability between
35 and within species, ranging from 0% to almost 100%.

36 *Conclusions* Some legumes showed high N accumulation even in a short growing period, and
37 could play an important role in fixing renewable nitrogen in crop rotation.

38

39

40 **Keywords**

41 ¹⁵N natural abundance method, B value, legumes, nitrogen accumulation, symbiotic N₂
42 fixation

43 **Introduction**

44 With the ongoing decrease of fossil fuel reserves, the reliance of agricultural systems on
45 industrial fertilizers, especially nitrogen fertilizers, must be challenged. In this respect, the
46 revival of legumes in crop rotation plays an important role (Bohlool et al. 1992). About 20-22
47 million tons of nitrogen (N) are fixed each year globally by crop legumes through biological
48 fixation, compared to 85 million tons of N applied as fertilizer (Peoples et al. 2009). Thus, a
49 potential for an increased utilization of biologically fixed N exists. Legumes are used as seed
50 or forage crops, as cover crops between cash crops, or associated with other crops. In Europe,
51 the area sown with grain legumes has decreased from 4.6% to 1.8% of arable land in fifty
52 years (Bues et al. 2013) and varied regionally between 0.5% and 6.5% of the arable land (GL-
53 Pro 2005). The environmental benefits of introducing grain legumes in the crop rotation are
54 largely brought by N fixation (Nemecek et al. 2008). Legumes modify the N cycle in different
55 ways and proportions, depending on their utilization. As grain crops, the input of legumes to
56 the N pool is limited as a great amount of N is exported with the grain (Peoples et al. 2009).
57 In contrast, cultivated in intercropping, legumes are known to transfer N to the associated
58 crop or plants in arable and grassland systems (Høgh-Jensen and Schjoerring 1994; Dahlin
59 and Stenberg 2010; Pirhofer-Walzl et al. 2012; Frankow-Lindberg and Dahlin 2013) and in
60 arable systems (Jensen 1996ab; Moyer-Henri et al. 2006; Hauggaard-Nielsen et al. 2009). As
61 cover crops, legumes are expected to offer additional agro-system services, along with N
62 fixation (Thorup-Kristensen et al. 2003; Justes et al. 2012). Similarly to non-legume cover
63 crops, legumes can increase N availability to the succeeding crop as well as reduce N
64 leaching, sustain soil organic matter formation, improve soil structure and reduce weed
65 pressure (Thorup-Kristensen et al. 2003; Justes et al. 2012). However, the additional N inputs
66 brought by legumes to the cycle can promote weed growth or increase N leaching and
67 denitrification (Thorup-Kristensen et al. 2003; Hofstra and Bouwman 2005; Justes et al.
68 2012). Therefore, the balance between positive and negative effects of legumes strongly
69 depends on species-intrinsic properties such as growth rate, N uptake ability and symbiotic
70 association rate, and on extrinsic factors such as timing of seeding, destruction modalities,
71 pedo-climatic conditions, and soil type. Thus, legumes have to be properly managed in order
72 to increase their usefulness in agricultural systems (Unkovich et al. 1997).
73 Consequently, the amount of N accumulated by the crops during the growing period is a key
74 factor for the optimal integration of legumes in a rotation. In addition, the separate evaluation
75 of the different N origins is important in order to estimate the amount of N derived from
76 fixation and the amount accumulated from the soil solution. Biological N fixation is highly

77 variable depending on the species and on environmental conditions. The proportion of total
78 plant N resulting from biological fixation (%Ndfa) can vary from 5% to 97% (Unkovich and
79 Pate 2000). Many parameters determine the intensity of N fixation, particularly legume
80 species, soil fertility, fertilization rate, soil tillage and climatic conditions (Jensen 1996c;
81 Carranca et al. 1999; van Kessel and Hartley 2000).

82 Several methods aim at evaluating the rate of biological N fixation (Unkovich et al. 2008).
83 Among these, the ^{15}N natural abundance method has been rather successfully applied in
84 agricultural systems (Amarger et al. 1979; Shearer and Kohl 1986; Unkovich et al. 2008). The
85 use of this method requires an accurate evaluation of the so-called "B value", which
86 corresponds to the " $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of shoots of legumes that are solely dependent upon N_2 fixation and
87 sampled at the same growth stage as the field plants" (Unkovich et al. 2008). B values can be
88 found in the literature for several legume species. However, they are known to be influenced
89 by several factors such as cultivar, growth stage, rhizobial strain, and soil conditions. So,
90 ideally, B values have to be assessed in the same conditions as the field experiment, with
91 plants to be sampled at the same growth stage as the plants sampled in the field, and with the
92 same rhizobial strains.

93 In this study, 19 legume species were cultivated in two field experiments in Switzerland, in
94 order to assess their potential use as cover crops in the context of a short three-months
95 growing period. In particular, we aimed to evaluate the performance of each species in terms
96 of biomass production, N accumulation, and the amount of N derived from biological fixation
97 by the ^{15}N natural abundance method. At the same time, specific B values were determined in
98 a pot experiment for the 19 legumes.

99

100

101 **Materials & Methods**

102 Nineteen legume species were chosen according to their actual or potential use as cover crops
103 in Swiss agroecosystems, including some Mediterranean species (Table 1). *Phacelia* (*Phacelia*
104 *tanacetifolia*) and oat (*Avena sativa*) were used as non-legume reference cover crops.

105

106 *Field experiments*

107 The field experiments were conducted in 2011 at two different sites in Switzerland (Changins:
108 $46^\circ 24' \text{ N} - 06^\circ 14' \text{ E}$, 426 m asl; Zollikofen: $46^\circ 59' \text{ N} - 07^\circ 28' \text{ E}$, 544 m asl). The two sites
109 had similar soil clay content and pH, showed comparable cumulative rainfall from seeding to
110 harvest, but differed markedly in the soil organic matter and mineral N content (0-90 cm,

111 bare soil) in mid November (Table 2). The cover crops were sown in plots (10 m²) with a plot
112 seeder at the beginning of August, after a winter wheat crop (straw exported, ploughed).
113 Seeding rates were adapted from recommended rates for cash crops to fit to a utilization as
114 cover crops (Table 1). Target plant density was homogenised among species as a function of
115 plant architecture and to offer a consistent high soil cover. The experimental design followed
116 a randomized complete block design with three replicates. No fertilization and no inoculation
117 with specific rhizobia were done, in order to correspond to basic management of cover crops.
118 At the beginning of November, the whole plot surfaces were machine harvested at 5 cm and
119 total aboveground dry matter of each crop was determined (drying at 65°C for 48h). The
120 biomass N content (%N) was determined according to the Swiss standard methods (FAL et al.
121 2004). Shoot N yields (N_{tot} in kg/ha) were calculated from the product of biomass and N
122 concentration (%N).

123 The measurement of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values and additionally the %C and/or %N of the samples was
124 performed using a Flash EA 1112 Series elemental analyzer (Thermo Italy, former CE
125 Instruments, Rhodano, Italy) coupled to a Finnigan MAT Delta^{plus}XP isotope ratio mass
126 spectrometer (Finnigan MAT, Bremen, Germany) via a 6-port valve (Brooks et al. 2003) and
127 a ConFlo III (Werner et al. 1999). The measurements of the δ values and used laboratory
128 standards followed the scheme described by Werner and Brand (2001). The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values are
129 expressed in ‰ and represent the deviation from atmospheric ¹⁵N concentration assumed to
130 be constant at 0.3663 atom% ¹⁵N. For each legume, the part of N derived from atmospheric
131 N₂ (%N_{dfa}) was computed using the ¹⁵N natural abundance method (Amarger et al. 1979;
132 Unkovich et al. 2008). This method takes advantage of the naturally higher ¹⁵N concentration
133 of the N available in the soil compared to atmospheric N₂.

$$134 \quad \%N_{dfa} = 100 \frac{\delta^{15}N_{ref} - \delta^{15}N_{leg}}{\delta^{15}N_{ref} - B}$$

135 where $\delta^{15}N_{leg}$ is the isotopic composition of the N-fixing legume, $\delta^{15}N_{ref}$ is the isotopic
136 composition of a non-N₂-fixing species (reference species), and B is the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of shoots of the
137 respective legume when grown in total dependence upon N₂ fixation. B values were assessed
138 specifically for this study in a separate pot experiment (see below).

139 For each cover crop species, %N_{dfa} was computed separately for each site and each replicate
140 (to reduce the influence of spatial variation in ¹⁵N abundance on the %N_{dfa} estimates),. To
141 obtain accurate estimations of %N_{dfa}, the use of several reference plants is recommended
142 (Unkovich et al. 2008). Ideally, the reference plants should have a root system and N uptake
143 ability similar to the legumes studied, in order to correctly reflect the N pool available for the

144 legumes in the soil. It is assumed that dicot species are closer to legumes than grasses in terms
145 of root system (Unkovich and Pate 2000), but both types of species have been used in the
146 literature. Here phacelia was chosen as a dicot reference plant, and oat as an alternative
147 monocot reference, known to grow well as cover crop on the studied sites. Alternatively with
148 oat and phacelia as reference plants, twelve %Ndfa values were provided in combination with
149 the two sites and three replicates.

150 When necessary, the %Ndfa values $<0\%$ ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the legume species higher than the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of
151 the reference plant) were corrected to 0% (11 cases from 228). The %Ndfa values $>100\%$
152 ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the legume species smaller than the corresponding B value) were rounded to 100%
153 (20 cases from 228), following the recommendations of Unkovich et al. (2008) for use when
154 less than 10% of legume samples are concerned. For these cases, an alternative correction
155 method was also applied for comparison. This method consisted in using the lowest $\delta^{15}\text{N}$
156 values observed in the field as the full N_2 fixing reference instead of the measured B value,
157 which is sometimes proposed when B values cannot be determined experimentally (Peoples et
158 al. 1997, 2002; Unkovich et al. 2008; Roscher et al. 2011).

159 The total quantity of N symbiotically fixed in the aboveground biomass Ndfa (in kg/ha) was
160 computed from the product of %Ndfa and Ntot. For each legume in each replicate, the mean
161 %Ndfa between the values obtained from the two reference plants was used. The quantity of
162 N accumulated from the soil was derived by subtraction of Ndfa from the total N content of
163 dry biomass.

164 Overall and within site analyses of variance were performed to test for the effect of sites and
165 species on the main variables presented here. All data and statistical analyses were done using
166 R 3.1.1 (R Core Team 2014).

167

168 *Determination of the B values*

169 B values are known to be influenced by several important factors. The rhizobial strains
170 responsible for N fixation may exhibit differential isotopic fractionation properties (Ledgard
171 1989; Unkovich et al. 1994, 2008). Different host cultivars or genotypes can show divergent
172 isotopic fractionation (Unkovich et al. 1994), which is also influenced by soil moisture and
173 nutrition levels (Ledgard 1989). Just after emergence, shoot $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ reflects the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the seed
174 reserves and then decreases gradually with plant growth as symbiotic activity increases and
175 shoots accumulate fixed N (Unkovich et al. 1994, 2008; Boddey et al. 2000). Thus plant
176 growth stage also influences B values. The harvested plant organs have to be identical for B
177 value determination and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ field measures, because roots and shoots exhibit contrasted

178 $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, with accumulation of ^{15}N in nodules (Turner and Bergersen 1983; Yoneyama et al.
179 1986). Thus, the best way to obtain reliable B values is to experimentally determine these
180 values under the same conditions as the studied setting. For these reasons, an experiment
181 where all 19 legume species were grown in 10 L pots (3 replicates) was set up in 2011 in the
182 same period, in Zollikofen, close to field conditions. The pots were placed outside, protected
183 from the rain by a plastic cover, with ambient light and temperature conditions.
184 The pots were filled with quartz sand (washed and sterilized at 105°C for 24 hours), and then
185 inoculated with a soil suspension obtained from a fresh soil sample from Changins. This soil
186 was chosen because, in preceding years, it supported the cultivation of various legume crops
187 with the appropriate rhizobium inoculation. The seeds were sterilized using the method
188 described in Hellsten and Huss-Danell (2000). The pre-germinated seedlings were then
189 transplanted into the pots (8 plants/pot). Pots were watered as needed with an N-free nutrient
190 solution adapted from Unkovich et al. (1994). The nutrient solution contained distilled water
191 and macronutrients (in mM): MgSO_4 (2), CaCl_2 (2), K_2SO_4 (2), KH_2PO_4 (0.5), K_2HPO_4 (0.5),
192 Fe-EDTA (1) and trace elements (in μM) H_3BO_3 (4.5), MnCl_2 (1.8), ZnCl_2 (1), CuSO_4 (0.3),
193 Na_2MoO_4 (0.1), CoCl_2 (0.2).
194 After harvest, aboveground biomass was dried at 50°C for 72 hours and then analyzed to
195 determine shoot $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ sample ratios as described above, and to compute the corresponding
196 B values. For each legume, the mean B value was used in order to assess %Ndfa.

197

198

199 **Results**

200 *B values*

201 B values differed significantly between species (Table 3), ranging from -2.6‰ for *Cicer*
202 *arietinum* to -0.08‰ for *Vicia faba* (Table 4). Most of them (14 out of 19) lay between 0‰
203 and -1‰. Five species, *Vicia sativa*, *Trifolium alexandrinum*, *Lens culinaris*, *Glycine max* and
204 *Cicer arietinum*, showed B values lower than -1‰. Intraspecific variation in B value was low,
205 except in *Trigonella foenum-graecum* (Figure 1).

206

207 *Biologically fixed and total nitrogen concentration*

208 The mean isotopic composition $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ measured in the two field experiments was lower in the
209 legumes than in the two reference species (Table 4). The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of phacelia was consistently
210 higher than that of oat. Some legumes also showed high $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in the field: *Cicer*
211 *arietinum*, *Glycine max*, *Lupinus albus*, *Trifolium subterraneum*, and *Trigonella foenum-*

212 *graecum*. The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values were generally higher in Zollikofen than in Changins (respectively
213 1.83 and 0.09, $p < 0.001$, Table 3). The values of the two reference plants, oat and phacelia,
214 were also markedly lower in Changins (Table 4).

215 Consequently, this site showed higher mean %Ndfa than Zollikofen (respectively 68% and
216 59%), though this difference was not significant ($p < 0.05$, Table 3), due to the high variability
217 between species, ranging from 7% to 100% (Figure 2). Species differed significantly in their
218 %Ndfa ($p < 0.001$, Table 3), and the interaction with the experimental site was also significant
219 ($p = 0.010$, Table 3). Four species, *Trifolium repens*, *Lathyrus sativus*, *Vicia villosa* and *Vicia*
220 *pannonica*, showed a mean %Ndfa higher than 80%, and two species, *Cicer arietinum* and
221 *Glycine max*, a mean %Ndfa lower than 20%.

222 The use of the alternative method to assess %Ndfa in the cases where it exceeded 100% led to
223 the following changes in the mean estimated values of the concerned species: for *Lathyrus*
224 *sativus* from 84.5% to 82.7%, for *Trifolium incarnatum* from 75.7% to 68.0%, for *Trifolium*
225 *repens* from 84.0% to 83.5%, for *Trifolium resupinatum* from 74.4% to 59.7%, for *Vicia*
226 *faba*, from 78.6% to 74.5%, for *Vicia pannonica* from 87.6% to 79.2% and for *Vicia villosa*
227 from 87.2% to 82.4%.

228 The %Ndfa obtained with phacelia as reference plant was systematically higher than that
229 estimated with oat as reference plant (mean difference of 7%, paired t-test: $p < 0.001$), due to
230 their difference in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. However, the correlation between the mean %Ndfa estimated with
231 oat and phacelia was very high (Kendall's rank correlation tau: 0.89, $p < 0.001$).

232 Nitrogen concentration in shoots also varied between species ($p < 0.001$, Table 3), with mean
233 values lower than 2% for *Lupinus albus* and mean values higher than 4% for *Lathyrus sativus*,
234 *Vicia pannonica*, *Vicia sativa* and *Vicia villosa* (Table 4). Nitrogen concentration %N
235 correlated positively with %Ndfa at the two sites (Kendall's rank correlation tau: 0.65,
236 $p < 0.001$ in Changins, and 0.56, $p < 0.001$ in Zollikofen). In Zollikofen, %Ndfa apparently
237 reached a limit around 80%, regardless of the %N value achieved, whereas in Changins,
238 %Ndfa continued to increase with increasing %N values (Table 4). The mean carbon/nitrogen
239 ratio (C/N) varied between species ($p < 0.001$, Table 3), from 9.8 in *Vicia pannonica* to 32.5 in
240 *Lupinus albus* (Table 4). As expected, oat and phacelia had high C/N ratios, of 36.7 and 35.7
241 respectively. The average N concentration and C/N ratio were similar between sites (Table 3).

242

243 *Biomass production and nitrogen accumulation*

244 The mean dry biomass accumulated by legumes during the three months period was highly
245 variable ($p < 0.001$, Table 3), ranging from a minimum of 0.75 t/ha in *Cicer arietinum* to a

246 maximum of 6.86 t/ha in *Vicia faba* (Table 4). For comparison, the two non-legume reference
247 plants reached a mean biomass of 4.21 t/ha in oat and 5.37 t/ha in phacelia. The corresponding
248 total amounts of N accumulated above ground were 16 kg/ha for *Cicer arietinum* and 186
249 kg/ha for *Vicia faba* (Table 4). Oat and phacelia accumulated respectively 53 kg/ha and 64
250 kg/ha, exclusively from the soil solution. Concerning the quantity of N acquired through
251 biological N₂ fixation, five species, *Pisum sativum*, *Vicia sativa*, *Lathyrus sativus*, *Vicia*
252 *villosa* and *Vicia faba*, exceeded 100 kg/ha (Table 4). The quantity of N derived from the soil
253 by the legumes could reach high values, similar to those observed for the two non-legume
254 reference plants. In particular, *Glycine max* removed on average 70 kg N/ha from the soil in
255 three months, which was more than the uptake of oat and phacelia.
256 The quantities of N accumulated were significantly linked to biomass production and the rates
257 varied as a function of the sources. Modeled by linear regression, this led to a total
258 accumulation rate of about 25 kg of N / t of DM (Changins: $N_{tot}=16+25*DM$, $R^2=0.73$,
259 $p<0.001$; Zollikofen: $N_{tot}=18+25*DM$, $R^2=0.58$, $p<0.001$), with 18 kg N / t DM coming from
260 the atmosphere (Changins: $N_{dfa}=12+18*DM$, $R^2=0.43$, $p=0.002$; Zollikofen:
261 $N_{dfa}=9+17*DM$, $R^2=0.36$, $p=0.006$) and 7 kg N / t DM from the soil (Changins:
262 $N_{soil}=4+7*DM$, $R^2=0.33$, $p=0.010$; Zollikofen: $N_{soil}=9+7*DM$, $R^2=0.62$, $p<0.001$).
263 The amount of aboveground biomass was not correlated with either %N (Kendall's rank
264 correlation tau: -0.18, $p=0.30$ in Changins, -0.16, $p=0.37$ in Zollikofen) or %Ndfa (Kendall's
265 rank correlation tau: -0.02, $p=0.95$ in Changins, 0.03, $p=0.89$ in Zollikofen).

266
267

268 **Discussion**

269 *B values*

270 In general, the B values measured in this study were in the range of the values found in the
271 literature, except for *Cicer arietinum* (lower values) and *Vicia faba* (higher values) (Figure 1).
272 The species for which no literature values were found tended to have B values similar to those
273 of closely related species. For example, *Trifolium incarnatum* had values similar to the other
274 *Trifolium* species, *Lupinus albus* similar to *Lupinus angustifolius* (Figure 1), and *Vicia*
275 *pannonica* similar to *Vicia faba*.

276 The values found in the literature show that B values vary significantly between species but
277 above all within species (Figure 1 and Online Resource 1). Notably, literature B values ranged
278 from -3.61 (Okito et al. 2004) to +1 (Turner and Bergersen 1983) for *Glycine max*, from -0.95
279 (Yoneyama et al. 1986) to +3.14 (Turner and Bergersen 1983) for *Medicago sativa*, from -

280 1.65 (Shipanski and Drinkwater 2012) to +1.88 (Kohl and Shearer 1980) for *Trifolium*
281 *pratense*, from -2.48 (Riffkin et al. 1999) to +0.78 (Unkovich et al. 2008) for *Trifolium repens*
282 and from -0.78 (Unkovich et al. 2008) to +2.68 (Bergersen and Turner 1983) for *Trifolium*
283 *subterraneum*. These variations within species can be explained by several factors, such as
284 crop variety, developmental stage, rhizobial strain, pedoclimatic conditions, which are known
285 to influence B values (Unkovich et al. 1994, 2008). These could thus explain the variations
286 observed between literature data and our own results on one hand and among literature data
287 on the other hand. This indicates the necessity to identify specific B values when
288 simultaneously studying different species. When specific B values cannot be determined,
289 using the median value of literature values could be a good alternative. However the
290 comparison of the median literature values with the B values determined in this study showed
291 important discrepancies for some species such as *Cicer arietinum*, *Vicia faba*, *Lens culinaris*
292 and *Trifolium subterraneum* (Figure 1). Mean %Ndfa based on the median literature B values
293 differed from %Ndfa evaluated in this study by more than 10% for *Lens culinaris*, *Trifolium*
294 *subterraneum* and *Vicia faba*. However, this apparently reasonable difference would have led
295 to a significant misestimation of the quantity of N acquired through biological fixation by
296 those species.

297

298 *Biologically fixed nitrogen*

299 For the species that had no growth problem on our experimental sites, the %Ndfa values
300 observed were similar to those reported in literature (Unkovich and Pate 2000; Peoples et al.
301 2009). The %Ndfa depends not only on the species but also on the quantity of N available
302 from the soil (Høgh-Jensen and Schjoerring 1994; Unkovich and Pate 2000). Here, lower
303 %Ndfa were observed in Zollikofen compared to Changins, which can be explained by the
304 difference in the soil mineral N values measured at the end of the growing period (124 kg
305 N/ha in Zollikofen compared to 78 kg N/ha in Changins) and the higher soil organic matter
306 content in Zollikofen. In addition, %Ndfa attained an upper limit around 80% in Zollikofen,
307 while higher values were observed in Changins for the same resulting %N in the aboveground
308 biomass. Some species also showed differential response to the two sites. In particular,
309 *Glycine max*, *Lupinus albus* and *Trigonella foenum-graecum* achieved very low %Ndfa in
310 Zollikofen, although they produced rather reasonable amounts of dry matter. This could be
311 due to the absence of the appropriate symbiotic rhizobia in the Zollikofen soil, in contrast to
312 Changins where *Lupinus albus* and *Glycine max* are regularly cultivated. It also suggests that
313 the capacity to establish successful symbiosis may depend on complex plant-rhizobia-soil

314 interactions or on local conditions such as soil pH or moisture at the onset of the field
315 experiment. The high soil pH measured at both sites in this study could have affected a
316 sensitive species like *Lupinus albus*, but the lime activity of soil is known to be low at
317 Changins. Although the lime activity at Zollikofen was unknown, *Lupinus albus* grew well
318 there, presumably making use of soil N. Here %Ndfa values were not correlated with dry
319 matter yield, showing that species producing low biomass were not necessarily limited by a
320 poor functioning of the N₂ fixation processes but rather by soil or climatic limiting factors.
321 The present study showed that some species were able to fix more than 100 kg/ha of N during
322 a period of just three months. In particular, *Vicia faba* fixed a lot of N (150 kg/ha), confirming
323 the observations by Lopez-Bellido et al. (2006) for this crop in wheat rotation in Spain. Some
324 species with low %Ndfa exhibited very high accumulation from the soil N, similar to what
325 was observed for the two non-N₂-fixing reference species. Similarly, better fixing legumes
326 absorbed also a significant amount of N from the soil solution. These results show that
327 legume cover crops could also play an important role as catch crops. Due to the very large
328 amounts of nitrogen accumulated by legumes, proper management is required to reduce N
329 leaching potential. Besides climatic conditions, the C/N ratio of the residues is the main factor
330 influencing the dynamics of mineralization of the nitrogen accumulated by the cover crops
331 and consequently its availability for the succeeding crop and the risk of leaching (Thorup-
332 Kristensen and Nielsen 1998; Justes et al. 2009). An optimal management will take into
333 account the timing and modality of the breakdown of biomass, as well as the identity of the
334 succeeding crop (Justes et al. 2012).

335 In Switzerland, cover crops are mainly used in the following systems: short term cover after
336 rapeseed, before winter wheat; long term cover before spring crops (e.g. summer cereals,
337 sugar beet, sunflower, maize). To avoid too much N accumulation and to optimise C/N ratio,
338 legumes are generally seeded in mixture with other species (e.g. oat, phacelia). In addition,
339 the destruction of the cover crops is performed at minimum one month before the seeding of
340 spring crops. Our results will thus help to identify the best performing legumes in terms of
341 biomass and amount of fixed nitrogen and to design new cover crop mixtures.

342 This study evaluated the nitrogen accumulated in the aboveground biomass (shoots), but it is
343 necessary to consider the N contained in the roots to accurately estimate the total N brought
344 by the legumes into the system. Although shoot:root N ratios are expected to vary with
345 growth conditions, the total N accumulated (shoot + root) could be estimated from published
346 values of such ratios. Applying the specific values of Unkovich and Pate (2000) to our data,
347 we obtained total plant N amount of 27 kg/ha for *Cicer arietinum* (shoot:root N ratio: 1.4),

348 137 kg/ha for *Lens culinaris* (1.8), 126 kg/ha for *Lupinus albus* (1.4), 143 kg/ha for *Medicago*
349 *sativa* (1.0), 310 kg/ha for *Vicia faba* (1.5) and 235 kg/ha for *Vicia sativa* (2.1).

350 Rhizodeposition is also an important process responsible for N input in the soil, although its
351 contribution to soil N is difficult to quantify (Fustec et al. 2010). N deposition values ranging
352 from 4% to 71% of total plant N were reported by Fustec et al. (2010). These cumulative
353 quantities of N are so substantial, showing that legumes could be successfully used as short
354 period cover crops if the aim is to increase N inputs in the rotation.

355

356 *Limits of the work*

357 The applicability and precision of the ^{15}N natural abundance method depends strongly on the
358 accurate determination of the B values and on the choice of the reference plants (Pate et al.
359 1994; Unkovich et al. 1994). The precision of the used B values is particularly important at
360 high %Ndfa estimates (Unkovich et al. 2008). Thus, the used B values should be determined
361 under conditions most similar to the field conditions including rhizobial strain and
362 developmental stage of plants.

363 Nevertheless, some species exhibited lower $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values than their respective B values,
364 leading to unrealistic values of %Ndfa greater than 100% at Changins. This could be due to
365 differences in the growth conditions or in effective rhizobial-plant association between the
366 field and pot situation (Unkovich et al. 2008). Further, it shows that the use of a field
367 inoculum for the B value determination does not guarantee identical rhizobium symbiosis,
368 although in our experiment, the source of soil suspension was obtained from one of the
369 experimental sites, historically cultivated with different legume species (including *Glycine*
370 *max* and *Lupinus albus*, but not *Cicer arietinum*). These deviating values were rounded to
371 100%, but the use of the alternative correction method slightly decreased the estimates. The
372 use of several soil solutions to experimentally determine B values should thus be
373 recommended, but would considerably increase the effort and time required and probably
374 goes beyond the aim of most of the studies using the ^{15}N natural abundance method.

375 The effect of the choice of the reference plant was observed in our experiments by
376 systematically lower values of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in oat compared to phacelia. These low $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values for
377 oat lead to several estimations of %Ndfa < 0, occurring when the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the legumes in the
378 field were higher than that of the reference plant (9 cases with oat, only 2 cases with phacelia
379 as reference plant). In these cases, the symbiosis with rhizobia was probably absent or not
380 effective, or large amounts of N were available from the soil. This suggests that oat was
381 indeed not the best choice for a reference plant in our experiment, although it grew well as

382 cover crop. This confirms that dicot species are probably better reference plants for legumes
383 due to their more similar root systems (Unkovich and Pate 2000). Gehring and Vlek (2004)
384 showed that the use of non-N₂-fixing legume references allowed to overcome the problem of
385 negative %Ndfa estimates.

386 The accuracy of %Ndfa estimations is also influenced by the level of the soil ¹⁵N abundance.
387 Values of reference $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ higher than 2% (or 4%) are generally recommended for use in
388 %Ndfa computation (Unkovich et al. 2008). Here the soil ¹⁵N available for plants (estimated
389 from the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the non-fixing plants oat and phacelia) in the Changins site was lower than in
390 Zollikofen, and was lower than 2% in oat. Similarly, it is recommended that the difference
391 between the reference $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and B values should be at least 5‰ (Högberg 1997). With the low
392 $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in Changins, this minimal difference could not be attained. This can lead to poor
393 accuracy in estimating %Ndfa (Unkovich et al. 1994), as found at this site.

394

395 *Conclusions*

396 Our study on the performance of 19 legume species, potentially useful as cover crops, showed
397 important differences in terms of biomass production and N accumulation. In addition, this
398 study provided specific B values to compare the legume species in terms of symbiotic activity
399 and N accumulation. Substantial amounts of N were accumulated by *Vicia faba*, *Vicia villosa*
400 and *Lathyrus sativus*. However, taking into account the seed cost per hectare of the different
401 legumes, the cultivation of *Vicia villosa* in Changins and *Vicia sativa* in Zollikofen would be
402 recommended based on the aims and results of this study. The 150 kg/ha of N biologically
403 fixed by *Vicia faba* in only three months corresponds to about 230 kg/ha oil equivalent in the
404 form of mineral N fertilizer (ecoinvent Centre 2014), making a major contribution to the
405 overall favorable results of legumes in environmental life cycle impact assessments (Nemecek
406 et al., 2008). Legumes support the development of the succeeding crop through N inputs to
407 the system, contribute to the constitution of soil organic matter, and modify the availability of
408 phosphorus (Gallet et al. 2003; Peoples et al. 2009). The best performing legumes are also
409 good candidates for use in crop mixtures, as they promote the performance of associated
410 species. The association of complementary species potentially enlarges the services provided
411 by cover crops and increases their profitability. In addition, legumes can play an important
412 role in mitigating climate change (Jensen et al. 2012). Thus the important and multiple
413 services provided by legume cover crops bring new and interesting perspectives for the
414 management of the non-productive intercrop period, and the revival of legumes in
415 agroecosystems must be a priority in the near future.

416

417

418 **Acknowledgments**

419 The authors thank Astrid Oberson for her valuable comments, the BSc students from
420 Zollikofen (B value experiment) and all the people who helped in the field and lab work. We
421 also thank Annika Ackermann from the Grassland Sciences group (Prof. Nina Buchmann) at
422 ETH Zurich for stable isotope ratio analyses. The authors are also grateful to Drs. Larry
423 Siwajek and Bernard Jeangros for their respective contributions on the manuscript. This study
424 was partly funded by the Federal Office for Agriculture and by the Swiss National Science
425 Foundation in the framework of the National Research Program NRP 68 "Sustainable Use of
426 Soil as a Resource", grant 406840-143063.

427 **References**

428

429 Amarger N, Mariotti A, Mariotti F, Durr JC, Bourguignon C, Lagacherie B (1979) Estimate
430 of symbiotically fixed nitrogen in field grown soybeans using variations in ^{15}N Natural
431 abundance. *Plant Soil* 52:269-280

432

433 Bergersen FJ, Brockwell J, Gault RR, Morthorpe L, Peoples MB, Turner GL (1989) Effects of
434 available soil nitrogen and rates of inoculation on nitrogen fixation by irrigated soybeans and
435 evaluation of d^{15}N methods for measurement. *Aust J Agr Res* 40:763-780

436

437 Bergersen FJ, Turner GL, Amarger N, Mariotti F, Mariotti A (1986) Strain of *Rhizobium*
438 *lupini* determines natural abundance of ^{15}N in root nodules of *Lupinus* species. *Soil Biol*
439 *Biochem* 18:97-101

440

441 Bergersen FJ, Turner GL (1983) An evaluation of ^{15}N methods for estimating nitrogen
442 fixation in a subterranean clover-perennial ryegrass sward. *Aust J Agr Res* 34:391-401

443

444 Boddey RM, Peoples MB, Palmer B, Dart PJ (2000) Use of the ^{15}N natural abundance
445 technique to quantify biological nitrogen fixation by woody perennials. *Nutr Cycl*
446 *Agroecosyst* 57:235-270

447

448 Bohlool BB, Ladha JK, Garrity DP, George T (1992) Biological nitrogen fixation for
449 sustainable agriculture: A perspective. *Plant Soil* 141:1-11

450

451 Brooks PD, Geilmann H, Werner RA, Brand WA (2003) Improved precision of coupled $\delta^{13}\text{C}$
452 and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ measurements from single samples using an elemental analyzer/isotope ratio mass
453 spectrometer combination with a post-column six-port valve and selective CO_2 trapping;
454 improved halide robustness of the combustion reactor using CeO_2 . *Rapid Commun Mass Sp*
455 17:1924-1926

456

457 Bues A, Preissel S, Reckling M, Zander P, Kuhlman T, Topp K, Watson C, Lindström K,
458 Stoddard FL, Murphy-Bokern D (2013) The environmental role of protein crops in the new
459 common agricultural policy. European Parliament, Directorate General for Internal Policies,
460 Policy Department B: Structural and Cohesion Policies, Agricultural and Rural Development

461
462 Carlsson G, Palmborg C, Huss-Danell K (2006) Discrimination against ^{15}N in three N_2 -fixing
463 *Trifolium* species as influenced by *Rhizobium* strain and plant age. *Acta Agric Scand* 56:31–
464 38
465
466 Carranca C, de Varennes A, Rolston D (1999) Biological nitrogen fixation by fababean, pea
467 and chickpea, under field conditions, estimated by the ^{15}N isotope dilution technique. *Eur J*
468 *Agron* 10:49-56
469
470 Dahlin AS, Stenberg M (2010) Transfer of N from red clover to perennial ryegrass in mixed
471 stands under different cutting strategies. *Eur J Agron* 33(3):149–156
472
473 Doughton JA, Vallis I, Saffigna PG (1992) An indirect method for estimating ^{15}N isotope
474 fractionation during nitrogen fixation by a legume under field conditions. *Plant Soil* 144:23–
475 29
476 ecoinvent Centre (2014) ecoinvent Data - The Life Cycle Inventory Data V3.1. Swiss Centre
477 for Life Cycle Inventories, Dübendorf, ISBN 3-905594-38-2. Available at
478 <http://www.ecoinvent.org>
479
480 Eriksen J, Høgh-Jensen H (1998) Variations in the natural abundance of ^{15}N in ryegrass/white
481 clover shoot material as influenced by cattle grazing. *Plant Soil* 205:67–76
482
483 Evans J, Turner GL, O'Connor GE, Bergersen FJ (1987) Nitrogen fixation and accretion of
484 soil nitrogen by field grown lupins. *Field Crops Res* 16:309–322
485
486 FAL, RAC, FAW (2004) Méthodes de référence des stations fédérales de recherches
487 agronomiques. *Agroscope*, vol. 2, Zurich-Reckenholz
488
489 Fan F, Zhang F, Song Y, Sun J, Bao X, Guo T, Li L (2006) Nitrogen fixation of faba bean
490 (*Vicia faba* L.) interacting with a non-legume in two contrasting intercropping systems. *Plant*
491 *Soil* 283:275–286
492
493 Frankow-Lindberg BE, Dahlin AS (2013) N_2 fixation, N transfer, and yield in grassland
494 communities including a deep-rooted legume or non-legume species. *Plant Soil* 370:567-581

495
496 Fustec J, Lesuffleur F, Mahieu S, Cliquet JB (2010) Nitrogen rhizodeposition of legumes. A
497 review. *Agron Sustain Dev* 30:57–66
498
499 Gallet A, Flisch R, Ryser JP, Nösberger J, Frossard E, Sinaj S (2003) Uptake of residual
500 phosphate and freshly applied diammonium phosphate by *Lolium perenne* and *Trifolium*
501 *repens*. *J Plant Nutr Soil Sci* 166:557-567
502
503 Gehring C, Vlek PLG (2004) Limitations of the ¹⁵N natural abundance method for estimating
504 biological nitrogen fixation in Amazonian forest legumes. *Basic Appl Ecol* 5:567-580
505
506 GL-Pro (2005) Guidelines for growing grain legumes in Europe. GL-Pro Concerted
507 Action, p. 8
508
509 Guimarães A, de Moraes R, Urquiaga S, Boddey R, Alves B (2008) Bradyrhizobium strain
510 influences the ¹⁵N natural abundance quantification of biological N₂ fixation in soybean. *Sci*
511 *Agric* 65:516-524
512
513 Hauggaard-Nielsen H, Gooding M, Ambus P, Corre-Hellou G, Crozat Y, Dahlmann C, Dibet
514 A, Von Fragstein P, Pristeri A, Monti M (2009) Pea–barley intercropping for efficient
515 symbiotic N₂-fixation, soil N acquisition and use of other nutrients in European organic
516 cropping systems. *Field Crops Res* 113:64-71
517
518 Hellsten A, Huss-Danell K (2000) Interaction effects of nitrogen and phosphorus on
519 nodulation in red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.). *Acta Agr Scand B-S P* 50:135-142
520
521 Herridge DF, Marcellos H, Felton WL, Turner GL, Peoples MB (1995) Chickpea increases
522 soil N fertility in cereal systems through nitrate sparing and N₂ fixation. *Soil Biol Biochem*
523 27:545–551
524
525 Hofstra N, Bouwman AF (2005) Denitrification in agricultural soils: summarizing published
526 data and estimating global annual rates. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosys* 72:267–278
527

528 Högberg P (1997) ^{15}N natural abundance in soil–plant systems: Tansley Review No. 95. *New*
529 *Phytol* 137:179–203
530

531 Høgh-Jensen H, Schjoerring JK (1994) Measurement of biological dinitrogen fixation in
532 grassland: comparison of the enriched ^{15}N dilution and the ^{15}N abundance methods at
533 different nitrogen application rates and defoliation frequencies. *Plant Soil* 166:153–163
534

535 Holdensen L, Hauggaard-Nielsen H, Jensen ES (2007) Short-range spatial variability of soil
536 $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ natural abundance - effects on symbiotic N_2 -fixation estimates in pea. *Plant Soil*
537 298:265–272
538

539 Jensen ES (1996a) Grain yield, symbiotic N_2 fixation and interspecific competition for
540 inorganic N in pea-barley intercrops. *Plant Soil* 182:25-38
541

542 Jensen ES (1996b) Compared cycling in a soil-plant system of pea and barley residue
543 nitrogen. *Plant Soil* 182:13–23
544

545 Jensen ES (1996c) Nitrogen and grain legumes: advantages and problems to balance. *Grain*
546 *legumes* 14, pp 22
547

548 Jensen ES, Peoples MB, Boddey RM, Gresshoff PM, Hauggaard-Nielsen H, Alves BJR,
549 Morrison MJ (2012) Legumes for mitigation of climate change and the provision of feedstock
550 for biofuels and biorefineries. A review. *Agron Sustain Dev* 32:329-364
551

552 Justes E, Mary B, Nicolardot B (2009) Quantifying and modelling C and N mineralization
553 kinetics of catch crop residues in soil: parameterization of the residue decomposition module
554 of STICS model for mature and non mature residues. *Plant Soil* 325:171–185
555

556 Justes E, Beaudoin N, Bertuzzi P, Charles R, Constantin J, Dürr C, Hermon C, Joannon A, Le
557 Bas C, Mary B, Mignolet C, Montfort F, Ruiz L, Sarthou J.P, Souchère V, Tournebize J,
558 Savini I, Réchauchère O (2012) The use of cover crops to reduce nitrate leaching: Effect on
559 the water and nitrogen balance and other ecosystem services. Synopsis of the study report
560 INRA, France
561

562 Kohl DH, Shearer G (1980) Isotopic fractionation associated with symbiotic N₂ fixation and
563 uptake of NO₃⁻ by plants. *Plant Physiol* 66:51–56
564

565 Kyei-Boahen S, Slinkard A, Walley F (2002) Isotopic fractionation during N₂ fixation by
566 chickpea. *Soil Biol Biochem* 34:417–420
567

568 Ledgard S.F, Freney JR, Simpson JR (1984) Variations in natural enrichment of ¹⁵N in the
569 profiles of some Australian pasture soils. *Aust J Soil Res* 22:155-164
570

571 Ledgard SF (1989) Nutrition, moisture and rhizobial strain influence isotopic fractionation
572 during N₂ fixation in pasture legumes. *Soil Biol Biochem* 21:65–68
573

574 López-Bellido L, López-Bellido RJ, Redondo R, Benítez J (2006) Faba bean nitrogen fixation
575 in a wheat-based rotation under rainfed Mediterranean conditions: effect of tillage system.
576 *Field Crops Res* 98:253–260
577

578 López-Bellido FJ, López-Bellido RJ, Redondo R, López-Bellido L (2010) B value and
579 isotopic fractionation in N₂ fixation by chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and faba bean (*Vicia*
580 *faba* L.). *Plant Soil* 337:425–434
581

582 Mariotti A, Mariotti F, Amarger N, Pizelle G, Ngambi J-M, Champigny M-L, Moyse A
583 (1980) Fractionnements isotopiques de l'azote lors des processus d'adsorption des NO₃⁻ et de
584 fixation de l'azote atmosphérique par les plantes. *Physiol Vég* 18:163–181
585

586 Moyer-Henry KA, Burton JW, Israel DW, Rufty TW (2006) Nitrogen transfer between plants:
587 a ¹⁵N natural abundance study with crop and weed species. *Plant Soil* 282:7–20
588

589 Nemecek T, von Richthofen JS, Dubois G, Casta P, Charles R, Pahl H (2008)
590 Environmental impacts of introducing grain legumes into European crop rotations. *Eur J*
591 *Agron* 28:380–393
592

593 Okito A, Alves BRJ, Urquiaga S, Boddey RM (2004) Isotopic fractionation during N₂ fixation
594 by four tropical legumes. *Soil Biol Biochem* 36:1179–1190
595

596 Pate JS, Unkovich MJ, Armstrong EL, Sanford P (1994) Selection of reference plants for ^{15}N
597 natural abundance assessment of N_2 fixation by crop and pasture legumes in south-west
598 Australia. *Aust J Agric Res* 45:133–147
599

600 Peoples MB, Turner GL, Shah Z, Aslam M, Ali S, Maskey S, Bhattari S, Afandi F, Schwenke
601 G, Herridge D (1997) Evaluation of the ^{15}N natural abundance technique for measuring N_2
602 fixation in experimental plots and farmers' fields. In: Rupella OP, Johansen C, Herridge DF
603 (eds) *Extending nitrogen fixation research to farmers fields*. ICRISAT, Patancheru, India, pp
604 57–75
605

606 Peoples MB, Bowman A, Gault R, Herridge D, McCallum M, McCormick K, Norton R,
607 Rochester I, Scammell G, Schwenke G (2001) Factors regulating the contributions of fixed
608 nitrogen by pasture and crop legumes to different farming systems of eastern Australia. *Plant*
609 *Soil* 228:29–41
610

611 Peoples MB, Boddey RM, Herridge DF (2002) Quantification of nitrogen fixation. In: Leigh
612 GJ (ed) *Nitrogen fixation at the millenium*. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, pp 357-389
613

614 Peoples MB, Brockwell J, Herridge DF, Rochester IJ, Alves BJR, Urquiaga S, Boddey RM,
615 Dakora FD, Bhattarai S, Maskey SL, Sampet C, Rerkasem B, Khan DF, Hauggaard-Nielsen
616 H, Jensen ES (2009) The contributions of nitrogen fixing crop legumes to the productivity of
617 agricultural systems. *Symbiosis* 48:1–17
618

619 Pirhofer-Walzl K, Rasmussen J, Høgh-Jensen H, Eriksen J, Soegaard K, Rasmussen J (2012)
620 Nitrogen transfer from forage legumes to nine neighbouring plants in a multi-species
621 grassland. *Plant Soil* 350:71–84
622

623 R Core Team, 2014. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation
624 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
625

626 Riffkin PA, Quigley P, Kearney G, Cameron F, Gault R, Peoples M, Thies J (1999) Factors
627 associated with biological nitrogen fixation in dairy pastures in south-western Victoria. *Aust J*
628 *Agric Res* 50:261–272
629

630 Roscher C, Thein S, Weigelt A, Temperton V, Buchmann N, Schulze ED (2011) N₂ fixation
631 and performance of 12 legume species in a 6-year grassland biodiversity experiment. *Plant*
632 *Soil* 341:333-348
633

634 Schipanski ME, Drinkwater LE (2012) Nitrogen fixation in annual and perennial legume–
635 grass mixtures across a fertility gradient. *Plant Soil* 357:147–159
636

637 Shah Z, Shah SH, Peoples MB, Herridge DF (1997) Surveys of N₂ fixation of lentil,
638 mungbean, and blackgram in farmers' fields in Northwest Frontier Province, Pakistan. In:
639 Rupela OP, Johansen C, Herridge DF (eds) *Extending nitrogen fixation research to farmers'*
640 *fields*. ICRISAT, Patancheru, India, pp 333–343
641

642 Shah Z, Shah SH, Peoples MB, Schwenke GD, Herridge DF (2003) Crop residue and
643 fertiliser N effects on nitrogen fixation and yields of legume–cereal rotations and soil organic
644 fertility. *Field Crops Res* 83:1-11
645

646 Shearer G, Kohl DH (1986) N₂ fixation in field settings: estimates based on natural ¹⁵N
647 abundance. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 13:699–756
648

649 Shearer G, Kohl D, Harper JE (1980) Distribution of ¹⁵N among plant parts of nodulating and
650 non-nodulating isolines of soybeans. *Plant Physiol* 66:57–60
651

652 Steele K (1983) Quantitative measurements of nitrogen turnover in pasture systems with
653 particular reference to the role of ¹⁵N. In: *Nuclear techniques in improving pasture*
654 *management*. Proceedings, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, pp 17–35
655

656 Steele K, Bonish P, Daniel RM, O'Hara G (1983) Effect of rhizobial strain and host plant on
657 nitrogen isotopic fractionation in legumes. *Plant Physiol* 72:1001–1004
658

659 Thorup-Kristensen K, Nielsen NE (1998) Modelling and measuring the effect of nitrogen
660 catch crops on the nitrogen supply for succeeding crops. *Plant Soil* 203:79-89
661

662 Thorup-Kristensen K, Magid J, Jensen LS (2003) Catch crops and green manures as
663 biological tools in nitrogen management in temperate zones. *Adv Agron* 79:227–302

664
665 Turner GL, Bergersen FJ (1983) Natural abundance of ^{15}N in root nodules of soybean, lupin,
666 subterranean clover and lucerne. *Soil Biol Biochem* 15:525–530
667
668 Unkovich MJ, Pate JS (2000) An appraisal of recent field measurements of symbiotic N_2
669 fixation by annual legumes. *Field Crops Res* 65:211–228
670
671 Unkovich MJ, Pate JS, Armstrong EL (1994) Potential precision of the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ natural
672 abundance method in field estimates of nitrogen fixation by crop and pasture legumes in
673 South-West Australia. *Aust J Agric Res* 45:119–132
674
675 Unkovich, MJ, Pate, JS, Sanford P (1997) Nitrogen fixation by annual legumes in Australian
676 Mediterranean agriculture. *Aust J Agric Res* 48:267-293
677
678 Unkovich M, Herridge D, Peoples M, Cadisch G, Boddey B, Giller K, Alves B, Chalk P
679 (2008) Measuring plant associated nitrogen fixation in agricultural systems. Australian Centre
680 for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR), Australian Government, Canberra
681
682 van Kessel C, Hartley C (2000) Agricultural management of grain legumes: has it led to an
683 increase in nitrogen fixation? *Field Crops Res* 65:165-181
684
685 Werner RA, Bruch BA, Brand WA (1999) ConFlo III - An interface for high precision $\delta^{13}\text{C}$
686 and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ analysis with an extended dynamic range. *Rapid Commun Mass Sp* 13:1237-1241
687
688 Werner RA, Brand WA (2001) Referencing strategies and techniques in stable isotope ratio
689 analysis. *Rapid Commun Mass Sp* 15:501-519
690
691 Yoneyama T, Fujita K, Yoshida T, Matsumoto T, Kambayashi I, Yazaki J (1986) Variation in
692 natural abundance of ^{15}N among plant parts and in $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ fractionation during N_2 fixation in
693 the legume–rhizobia symbiotic system. *Plant Cell Physiol* 27:791–799
694

695 **Table and figure legends**

696

697 **Table 1** Targeted plant density of the studied legumes species (english name, latin name and
698 cultivar when available)

699

700 **Table 2** Characteristics of the two sites used for the field experiments. The temperature
701 corresponds to the mean value from sowing (beginning of August) to harvest (beginning of
702 November), and the rainfall to the cumulated rainfall values during the same period. SOM:
703 soil organic matter

704

705 **Table 3** Analyses of variance for the variables measured. Factors: site and species. df: degree
706 of freedom, MS: mean square, p: p-value. Significant p-values at 0.05 are indicated in bold

707

708 **Table 4** Mean values of the variables measured for each species, separately for the two sites.
709 The p-value from the analysis of variance within each site is indicated below, along with the
710 corresponding 5% LSD. Ndfa: nitrogen derived from atmospheric N₂, Ntot: total aboveground
711 nitrogen, C/N: carbon/nitrogen ratio

712

713 **Fig. 1** B values from the pot experiment (boxplots) and from the literature (crosses, the
714 median literature value is represented by the circle). The literature value points for *Lupinus*
715 *albus* correspond to data for *Lupinus angustifolius*. For data sources see Online Resource 1

716

717 **Fig. 2** %Ndfa for each legume species, for the two different sites. White: Changins, black:
718 Zollikofen. Each box represents the distribution of the n=6 estimations (3 replicates x 2
719 reference plants) of %Ndfa in each site. Different letters (within each site) indicate significant
720 differences in mean %Ndfa according to a Tukey HSD post hoc test performed independently
721 for each site (within site analyses of variance for differences among species are significant at
722 each site)

723

724 **Table 1**

Species	Latin name	Cultivar	Targeted plant density [pl/m²]
Chickpea	<i>Cicer arietinum</i>	unknown, from Canada	150
Soybean	<i>Glycine max</i>	Gallec	150
Grasspea	<i>Lathyrus sativus</i>	Merkur	150
Lentil	<i>Lens culinaris</i>	unknown, from Canada	150
White lupin	<i>Lupinus albus</i>	Amiga	150
Alfalfa	<i>Medicago sativa</i>	Sanditi	1000
White sweet clover	<i>Melilotus albus</i>	unknown	1500
Field pea	<i>Pisum sativum</i>	Hardy	100
Berseem clover	<i>Trifolium alexandrinum</i>	Tigri	600
Crimson clover	<i>Trifolium incarnatum</i>	Carmina	800
Red clover	<i>Trifolium pratense</i>	Suez	1000
White clover	<i>Trifolium repens</i>	Pepsi	2500
Persian clover	<i>Trifolium resupinatum</i>	Lightning	1500
Subterranean clover	<i>Trifolium subterraneum</i>	Daliak	500
Fenugreek	<i>Trigonella foenum-graecum</i>	unknown	400
Faba bean	<i>Vicia faba</i>	Fuego	150
Hungarian vetch	<i>Vicia pannonica</i>	Beta	250
Common vetch	<i>Vicia sativa</i>	Ebena	250
Hairy vetch	<i>Vicia villosa</i>	unknown	250
Oat	<i>Avena sativa</i>	Expander	400
Phacelia	<i>Phacelia tanacetifolia</i>	Boratus	400

725

726

Table 2

	Climate		Soil			
	Temperature [°C]	Rainfall [mm]	Clay [%]	pH	SOM [%]	mineral N [kg/ha]
Changins	15.8	233	23	7.4	2.0	78
Zollikofen	13.9	199	20	7.7	4.0	124

728 **Table 3**

	B value			$\delta^{15}\text{N}$			%Ndfa		%N		Biomass		Ndfa		Ntot		C/N	
	df	MS	p	df	MS	p	MS	p	MS	p	MS	p	MS	p	MS	p	MS	p
site	-	-		1	86.87	<0.001	1888	0.121	0.02	0.772	2.72	0.098	3534	0.058	1936	0.037	16.1	0.203
error	-	-		4	0.82		488		0.23		0.59		512		203		7.0	
species	18	0.92	<0.001	18	6.02	<0.001	2989	<0.001	4.17	<0.001	14.24	<0.001	10742	<0.001	12662	<0.001	179.7	<0.001
site:species	-	-		18	2.31	<0.001	542	0.010	0.31	<0.001	0.99	<0.001	1471	0.007	1545	<0.001	15.1	<0.001
error	36	0.04		72	0.41		248		0.10		0.28		646		529		3.4	

729

Table 4

Species	B value	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$		N [%]		Biomass [tDM/ha]		Ndfa [kg/ha]		Ntot [kg/ha]		C/N	
	Pots	C ^a	Z ^a	C	Z	C	Z	C	Z	C	Z	C	Z
<i>Cicer arietinum</i>	-2.60	1.32	3.98	2.03	2.25	1.11	0.40	3	2	22	9	21.5	20.4
<i>Glycine max</i>	-1.46	0.81	5.36	2.88	1.75	4.83	3.41	55	4	143	60	16.2	24.3
<i>Lathyrus sativus</i>	-0.54	-0.39	0.87	4.05	4.44	3.99	2.95	149	101	161	129	10.7	9.6
<i>Lens culinaris</i>	-1.18	-0.37	0.49	3.03	3.39	2.23	3.20	50	81	67	108	14.1	13.0
<i>Lupinus albus</i>	-0.74	0.70	4.47	1.60	1.24	5.60	4.68	40	9	88	59	27.8	37.2
<i>Medicago sativa</i>	-0.79	0.64	0.81	2.95	3.68	2.03	2.27	27	62	60	83	14.9	12.5
<i>Melilotus albus</i>	-0.91	0.15	1.39	3.03	3.50	1.72	1.57	32	35	52	55	14.8	12.7
<i>Pisum sativum</i>	-0.56	0.13	1.09	3.01	3.14	5.52	4.46	115	102	166	139	14.4	12.9
<i>Trifolium alexandrinum</i>	-1.11	0.43	0.71	2.33	2.54	3.24	3.19	35	59	76	81	18.5	17.2
<i>Trifolium incarnatum</i>	-0.67	-0.58	1.57	3.22	2.77	3.27	3.25	90	57	105	90	13.6	15.3
<i>Trifolium pratense</i>	-0.83	-0.19	1.14	3.42	3.38	1.40	1.61	36	37	47	54	13.1	13.3
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	-0.53	-0.28	0.66	3.77	3.95	0.97	1.47	32	47	36	58	11.4	11.2
<i>Trifolium resupinatum</i>	-0.81	-0.51	0.72	2.88	3.28	2.17	2.61	46	65	62	85	14.2	14.2
<i>Trifolium subterraneum</i>	-0.81	-0.08	3.20	3.44	2.83	1.70	1.49	43	19	59	43	12.7	15.2
<i>Trigonella foenum-graecum</i>	-0.42	0.84	4.37	2.28	1.93	3.84	1.76	62	7	90	33	19.6	22.9
<i>Vicia faba</i>	-0.08	0.29	1.17	2.71	2.68	7.45	6.27	172	129	204	169	16.5	17.1
<i>Vicia pannonica</i>	-0.14	-0.34	1.12	4.23	4.80	1.90	2.00	78	74	80	96	10.2	9.5
<i>Vicia sativa</i>	-1.09	-0.36	0.58	4.04	4.00	3.54	4.39	107	131	143	176	10.4	10.2
<i>Vicia villosa</i>	-0.35	-0.50	1.15	4.44	4.32	3.57	3.23	159	100	159	135	10.0	10.3
<i>Avena sativa</i>		1.48	5.07	1.04	1.40	3.60	4.82			38	68	40.5	32.9
<i>Phacelia tanacetifolia</i>		2.24	6.13	1.05	1.34	5.23	5.50			55	74	37.5	33.8
site mean ^b	-0.82	0.09	1.83	3.12	3.15	3.16	2.85	70	59	96	88	15.0	15.7
p-value ^c	<0.001	0.040	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
LSD 5%	0.32	1.14	0.96	0.54	0.50	1.04	0.72	55	23	47	26	3.2	2.9

731


^aC: Changins; Z: Zollikofen

732


^bThe site means and analyses of variance are computed without the two reference plants oat and phacelia

733

^cWithin site analyses of variance for differences among species



line figure 2
[Click here to download line figure: Fig2.eps](#)



[Click here to download Electronic supplementary material: ESM_1.pdf](#)