

**Title:**           **Reductions in Resting Blood Pressure in Young Adults when Isometric Exercise is Performed Whilst Walking**

**Authors:**       **Anthony W. Baross<sup>1</sup>, David A. Hodgson<sup>1</sup>, Sarah L. Padfield<sup>2</sup> and Ian L. Swaine<sup>2</sup>**

**From:**           **<sup>1</sup>-Sport and Exercise Science, University of Northampton, UK**

**<sup>2</sup>-Sports Science, University of Greenwich, UK**

**Corresponding author information:**       **Dr Anthony W. Baross, Sport and Exercise Science, University of Northampton, Park Campus, Boughton Green Road, Northampton, NN2 7AL, UK.**

**Email: [tony.baross@northampton.ac.uk](mailto:tony.baross@northampton.ac.uk)**

**Tel. 01604 892143**

**Fax 01604 720636**

**Affiliation address:**

**Mr D. A. Hodgson Sport and Exercise Science, University of Northampton, Park campus, Boughton Green Road, Northampton, NN2 7AL, UK.**

**[david.hodgson@northampton.ac.uk](mailto:david.hodgson@northampton.ac.uk), 01604 735500**

**Ms Sarah L. Padfield Sport and Exercise Science, Canterbury Christ Church University, Canterbury, Kent, UK, CT1 1QU; [sarahpadfield@hotmail.co.uk](mailto:sarahpadfield@hotmail.co.uk) 01227 767700**

**Prof I L Swaine, Sports Science, University of Greenwich, Chatham Maritime Kent, ME4 4TB; [i.l.swaine@greenwich.ac.uk](mailto:i.l.swaine@greenwich.ac.uk) 020 83318241**

## **Abstract**

Hypertension is a major global health problem and both aerobic and isometric training have been shown to reduce resting blood pressure. However, there are no studies that have explored the effects of simultaneous aerobic and isometric training. The purpose of this study was to compare the changes ( $\Delta$ ) in resting systolic (SBP), diastolic (DBP) and mean arterial (MAP) blood pressure after 6-weeks of either: (i) Simultaneous walking and isometric handgrip exercise (WHG); (ii) Walking (WLK); (iii) Isometric handgrip exercise (IHG); or Control (CON). Forty-eight healthy sedentary participants (age  $20.7 \pm 1.7$  yrs, mass  $67.2 \pm 10.2$  kg, height  $176.7 \pm 1.2$  cm, male  $n = 26$  and female  $n = 22$ ) were randomly allocated, to one of four groups ( $n=12$  in each). Training was performed under supervision  $4 \times \text{week}^{-1}$  and involved either; Treadmill walking for 30 minutes (WLK), isometric handgrip exercise  $3 \times 10\text{s}$  at 20%MVC (IHG), or simultaneous combination of the two (WHG). Resting SBP, DBP and MAP were recorded following 15 minutes rest, with the participants in the supine position, before and after the 6-week study period. Repeated measures analysis of covariance (RM ANCOVA) was used to determine the effects of the training interventions using the baseline blood pressure values as the covariate. Resting SBP was reduced by: 10.0 mmHg in WHG ( $P < 0.001$ ); 4.6 mmHg in WLK ( $P > 0.05$ ); 4.9 mmHg in IHG ( $P > 0.05$ ); and 0.1 mmHg in CON ( $P > 0.01$ ). These results show that the well-established effects of isometric exercise in reducing resting blood pressure can be further enhanced if performed in combination with walking, even when the former involves as little as  $6 \times 10\text{s}$  of additional exercise per session.

Key Words:

Simultaneous, Walking, Isometric Handgrip, Resting Blood Pressure.

## Introduction

Hypertension has been identified as a major health risk and one of the most prevalent risk factors for cardiovascular disease (CVD) and all-cause mortality (World Health Organisation, 2002; Williams *et al.*, 2004). It can be defined as a mean resting systolic blood pressure of  $\geq 140$  mmHg and/or a mean resting diastolic pressure of  $\geq 90$  mmHg (Kelley and Kelley, 2000; NICE, 2011). It is projected that with every 2 mmHg increase in systolic blood pressure, regardless of the initial blood pressure level, there is an associated 7-10% increased risk of ischemic heart disease or stroke. Estimates of the global prevalence of hypertension are thought to be around one billion (Chobanian *et al.*, 2003), with around a third of the European adult population suffering with hypertension. Increased physical activity has been reported as an important low-cost intervention for reducing the incidence, modifying the associated risks and for use in the treatment of hypertension (Chobanian *et al.*, 2003; Lambert *et al.*, 2009; Lee *et al.*, 2010).

Several meta-analyses have identified that various types of training can lower resting blood pressure (Kelley and Kelley, 2000; Cornelisson and Fagard, 2005; Murphy *et al.*, 2007; Lee *et al.*, 2010; Carlson *et al.*, 2013; Inder *et al.*, 2016). Aerobic training has been reported to lower resting systolic (SBP; -5 to -7 mmHg) and diastolic (DBP; -2 to -7 mmHg) blood pressure (Kelley *et al.*, 2001; Murphy *et al.*, 2007; Lee *et al.*, 2010). Resistance training has been shown to induce similar reductions (Kelley and Kelley, 2000). One particular form of resistance training (isometric training) has been shown to be especially potent in reducing resting blood pressure (relative to the amount of training performed). Previous research has identified a significant decrease in resting SBP (-5 to -13 mmHg) and DBP (-3 to -9 mmHg) following

either upper (Wiley et al., 1992; Millar et al., 2008) or lower body (Wiles et al., 2010; Devereux et al., 2011) isometric training.

Few studies have investigated the effects of combined exercise protocols on resting blood pressure (Maiorana et al., 2001; Sigal et al., 2007; Calders et al., 2011). The results from these studies are equivocal. Two studies reported no significant changes in resting blood pressure following combined aerobic and resistance training (Maiorana et al. 2001, Sigal et al., 2007). In contrast, Sigal et al. (2007) identified small non-significant reductions in SBP and DBP in aerobic training and combined training groups. Calders et al. (2011) recently identified significant decreases in SBP and DBP in combined and aerobic training groups compared to a control group. Importantly, the reductions in blood pressure were significantly greater in the combined training group (SBP=15 mmHg; DBP=2 mmHg) compared to the aerobic training group (SBP= 11mmHg; DBP=no change).

In these studies the two component modes of exercise were combined in a sequential way, where participants undertook one element of the training programme followed by the other (eg. resistance training followed by a 30 minute treadmill walk). However, there have not been any studies of the effects on resting blood pressure of combined simultaneous training involving aerobic exercise (eg walking) whilst performing isometric exercise (eg handgrip). Since there are simple, easy-to-use handgrip devices it is possible to perform this type of exercise whilst walking. This simultaneous approach would be advantageous because the time spent training would be reduced compared to a sequential protocol. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to compare the effects on resting systolic (SBP), diastolic (DBP) and mean arterial (MAP) blood pressure of 6-weeks of training, involving either (i) simultaneous walking and isometric

handgrip (WHG), (ii) walking only (WLK), (iii) isometric handgrip only (IHG) or (iv) control conditions (CON).

## Method

### Participants

A total of 48 healthy sedentary participants, who were University students (male,  $n = 26$  and female,  $n = 22$ ) were randomly allocated, to four groups which undertook six weeks of either: walking training (WLK;  $n = 12$ , female,  $n = 6$ , mean  $\pm$  SD: age  $20.7 \pm 1.6$  yrs, mass  $64.0 \pm 8.9$  kg, height  $172.2 \pm 4.2$  cm, SBP  $126.7 \pm 3.7$  bpm), isometric handgrip training (IHG;  $n = 12$ , female,  $n = 6$ , mean  $\pm$  SD: age  $20.9 \pm 2.0$  yrs, mass  $66.9 \pm 8.8$  kg, height  $176.6 \pm 6.7$  cm, SBP  $127.1 \pm 4.0$  bpm), simultaneous walking and handgrip training (WHG;  $n = 12$ , female,  $n = 5$ , mean  $\pm$  SD: age  $20.0 \pm 0.5$  yrs, mass  $68.8 \pm 10.4$  kg, height  $181.3 \pm 6.9$  cm, SBP  $127.8 \pm 4.5$  bpm) or acted as a control group (CON;  $n = 12$ , female,  $n = 5$ , mean  $\pm$  SD: age  $21.3 \pm 2.0$  yrs, mass  $69.2 \pm 12.5$  kg, height  $177.4 \pm 7.0$  cm, SBP  $127.9 \pm 4.2$  bpm). All University students were eligible for participation. However, volunteers were excluded if they reported any recent (6 months) history of medical treatment for serious illness such as high blood pressure, orthopaedic injury, viral illness or surgical procedure. Also, habitually-active students were excluded. Volunteers had to be able to confirm that they had not participated in regular exercise training (3 or more times per week) for 12 months prior to enrolment on our study. All baseline and post training measures in women, were taken during the same phase of the menstrual cycle. The CON group maintained their normal daily routine during the 6 week intervention period. After receiving institutional ethical approval each participant received a detailed information sheet explaining the experimental protocol and potential risks involved, then signed and completed an informed consent form and pre-test medical screening questionnaire.

### Procedure

## Baseline Measures

On the first of two initial visits to the laboratory participants were familiarised with the equipment and test procedures. During the second visit the resting baseline measures (heart rate and blood pressure) were recorded using a heart rate monitor (Polar Beat, Polar Electro, Kempele, Finland.) and an automatic blood pressure monitor (UA-767Plus, A&D Company, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Resting heart rate (HR) and blood pressure (BP, SBP, DBP and MAP) was recorded following 15 minutes rest, with the participants in the supine position. Three measurements were taken at 1 minute intervals and the average value was used to determine resting HR and BP (Liu et al., 2012).

This BP monitor has been reported to provide accurate measurement of systolic and diastolic blood pressure compared to mercury sphygmomanometry (SBP,  $-0.93 \pm 5.1$  mmHg; DBP,  $-0.41 \pm 4.73$  mmHg; Kobalava et al., 2003). However, the reproducibility of blood pressure measurement for this specific automated device has not been established. Several other automated devices, similar to the one used in the present study, have been assessed for reproducibility (Lewis et al., 2002). Automated devices are generally not as reproducible as mercury sphygmomanometry and have been shown to exhibit somewhat greater variation in repeated measurement values. Approximately 60% of measurements exhibited less than 5mmHg difference when using automated devices (whereas 80% of repeated measurements for mercury sphygmomanometry show less than 5 mmHg difference, Lewis et al., 2002)..

In our study, the BP measures were subsequently recorded again, following the 6 week training intervention. Following measurement of resting BP each participant's isometric handgrip maximum voluntary contraction (MVC) was determined for both the left and right hand, using a handgrip dynamometer (Zona Plus Hand Grip device, Zona Health B. V.,

Netherlands). The participants training torque was calculated as 20% of the recorded MVC. The 6-week training intervention was selected because, although many aerobic training programmes are around 12 weeks, many isometric resistance training programmes have been much shorter (4 weeks; Devereux et al., 2010). Therefore, we selected a 6-week programme to reflect the combination of aerobic and isometric training. We also used a lower isometric resistance training intensity than previously used, to take account of the fact that our participant groups including sedentary men and women.

### Training

Following the initial baseline measures the WLK group undertook 30 minutes of treadmill walking at an exercise intensity of 6.5 km.hr<sup>-1</sup>, on four days-a-week for 6 weeks, using a mains powered treadmill (GX100, Powerjog, Birmingham, UK). The WHG group completed the same walking protocol with the addition of 10 s of isometric handgrip exercise at 20% of their MVC, three times during the 30 minute walk (5 minutes, 15 minutes and 25 minutes). The three bouts of handgrip exercise were performed using each hand alternately (2 of the 3 bouts with the dominant hand). The IHG group completed the same isometric exercise training protocol (standing) without the treadmill walk (squeezing the handgrip device at 5, 15 and 25 minutes). All training sessions were performed at the University of Northampton in a consistent laboratory environment under supervision.

### Data Analysis

The data were assessed for normal distribution and for parametric assumptions (Field, 2005). Statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel, SPSS 20. Our participant group was a fairly homogeneous group (young, healthy University students) and therefore, all groups were fairly closely matched in relation to age and BMI. Furthermore, due to



therandomised nature of the allocation to groups it was assumed that there would be small, non-significant, differences between group baseline measures for age, BMI, smoking and initial level of fitness. However, it has been reported (Millar et al., 2007) that the magnitude of the blood pressure reductions are related to the initial resting values. Therefore, repeated measures analysis of covariance (RM ANCOVA) was used to determine the effects of the training interventions on resting blood pressure and to compare the group changes (delta) in resting blood pressure, using the baseline values as the covariate. Post hoc analysis (Bonferroni) was then used to further determine specific significant differences between groups. An alpha level of 0.05 was accepted as being significant.

## Results

### Subject Characteristics

Baseline data (Table 1) indicated that there were no significant differences between groups (WHG vs. WLK vs. IHG vs. CON) for body mass, resting heart rate (RHR), resting systolic (RSBP), diastolic (RDBP) and mean arterial (RMAP) blood pressure ( $P > 0.05$  in all cases).

### Table 1

Table 1. Control and trained groups resting baseline data

### *Effects of Training on Resting Blood Pressure*

#### Resting Systolic Blood Pressure

Resting SBP was reduced significantly after 6 weeks of simultaneous walking and handgrip training (WHG,  $127.8 \pm 4.5$  mmHg to  $117.8 \pm 3.6$  mmHg) versus the CON group ( $127.9 \pm 4.3$  mmHg to  $127.8 \pm 4.3$  mmHg) ( $P < 0.001$ , Fig. 1). The reductions in RSBP after training were not significant in either the WLK ( $126.7 \pm 3.4$  mmHg to  $122.1 \pm 4.2$  mmHg) or the IHG group ( $127.1 \pm 4.0$  mmHg to  $122.2 \pm 3.7$  mmHg) compared to the CON group ( $P > 0.05$  in both cases).

### Figure 1 here

Figure 1. Resting systolic blood pressure (RSBP) for simultaneous walking & handgrip (WHG), walking (WLK), handgrip (HG) and control (CON) groups pre and post training. \* P value  $< 0.05$ , \*\* P value  $< 0.01$

### Resting Diastolic Blood Pressure

Although there was a slight decrease in RDBP for all training groups (WHG,  $77.2 \pm 2.8$  mmHg to  $73.5 \pm 3.8$  mmHg; WLK,  $77.7 \pm 3.0$  mmHg to  $75.9 \pm 2.4$  mmHg; IHG,  $76.3 \pm 3.3$  mmHg to  $73.9 \pm 3.9$  mmHg) these decreases were not significant compared to the CON group ( $77.0 \pm 1.8$  mmHg to  $76.5 \pm 3.0$  mmHg;  $P > 0.05$  in all cases).

### Resting Mean Arterial Blood Pressure (RMAP)

Analysis of the RMAP showed a significant decrease of 5.8 mmHg in the WHG training group ( $94.1 \pm 2.7$  mmHg to  $88.3 \pm 3.1$  mmHg) relative to the CON group ( $94.0 \pm 2.2$  mmHg to  $93.6 \pm 3.1$  mmHg) ( $P < 0.001$ ). Both the WLK and IHG groups RMAP showed a small decrease (WLK,  $94.0 \pm 3.0$  mmHg to  $91.3 \pm 2.3$  mmHg; HG,  $93.3 \pm 3.1$  mmHg to  $90.0 \pm 2.8$  mmHg). However, neither of these group decreases were significant relative to the CON group ( $94.0 \pm 2.2$  mmHg to  $93.6 \pm 3.1$  mmHg) as shown in Figure 2 ( $P > 0.05$  in both cases).

### Figure 2 here

Figure 2. Resting mean arterial pressure (RMAP) for the simultaneous walking & handgrip (WHG), walking (WLK), handgrip (HG) and control (CON) groups pre and post training. \*\* P value  $< 0.01$ .

### Magnitude of the Changes in Blood Pressure

Additional analysis of the differences in the reductions in RSBP ( $\Delta$  SBP) following the 6 week training programme showed that there was a significant main effect ( $P < 0.001$ ) and a significant group x time interaction for WHG, WLK and IHG ( $P < 0.001$  in all cases). *Post hoc* analysis of the  $\Delta$  SBP values for the WHG group ( $10.1 \pm 3.1$  mmHg) showed that the  $\Delta$  SBP was greater in this training group compared to the other two training groups (IHG and

WLK) and compared to the CON group ( $P < 0.001$  in all cases). The difference in  $\Delta$  SBP in the remaining two training groups WLK and IHG were  $4.6 \pm 2.5$  mmHg and  $4.9 \pm 2.6$  mmHg respectively. These reductions were significantly less than the WHG group but significantly greater than the CON group ( $P < 0.001$  in both cases) but not significantly different from each other ( $P > 0.05$ ).

## Discussion

The main finding of the present investigation was that performing isometric handgrip exercise whilst walking, caused a reduction in resting systolic blood pressure, which far exceeded the reductions seen after walking or isometric handgrip only. The significant reductions in resting SBP following the completion of all three training programmes (WHG, -10.1 mmHg; WLK, -4.6 mmHg; IHG, -4.9 mmHg) and the magnitude of the reduction in RMAP ( $\Delta$  MAP; WHG, -5.8 mmHg; WLK, -2.7 mmHg; IHG, -3.3 mmHg) was comparable or higher than that seen in previous isometric-only studies or sequential combined training studies (Cooper, 2000; Maiorana et al., 2001; Sigal et al., 2007; Calders et al., 2011; McGowan et al., 2007; Millar et al., 2008). The benefits of such reductions are evident in the current literature, which suggests that decreases in blood pressure of this magnitude would provide significant public health benefits (Whelton, 2002). A reduction of 2 mmHg has been associated with a 7% reduction in mortality from cardiovascular disease, a 10% decrease in mortality resulting from strokes (Lewington et al., 2002) and a 3% reduction in all-cause mortality (Stamler et al., 1989).

The reduction in resting blood pressure observed in the walking group were similar to previous investigations in younger adults (SBP, -5 to -2; DBP, -3 to -1; Duncan, 1991; Cooper, 2000; Kelley et al., 2001; Murphy et al., 2006). Although, generally the length of the training interventions (8-25 weeks) and/or the training intensities (60 - 86%  $VO_{2max}$ ) in those previous studies were greater than those of the present study (Duncan, 1991; Cooper, 2000; Murphy et al., 2006). A few studies (Palmer, 1995; Murphy et al., 2006) have reported similar reductions in SBP (-5 to -2.8) to those observed in our study, with similar training volume and intensity. However, those studies used older participants (Palmer, 1995; Murphy

et al., 2006), premenopausal women (Palmer, 1995) or reported higher baseline resting SBP (Murphy et al., 2006).

Research exploring the effects of isometric handgrip training on the resting blood pressure of younger normotensive adults has also produced similar results (SBP, -4 to -5 mmHg; McGowan et al., 2007; Millar et al., 2008). However, both of these studies incorporated a greater number of exercise bouts (4 repetitions) of longer duration (2 min). These studies also used a higher exercise intensity equivalent to 30% MVC in addition to longer (8-weeks) training interventions (McGowan et al., 2007; Millar et al., 2008). However, our walking and isometric exercise group showed changes that were greater than many of the previous isometric resistance training studies. The reasons for this are, at present, difficult to explain. Our participants were sedentary (no regular exercise training for 12 months prior to enrolment in our study) and this might have meant that their initial level of fitness was particularly low. Indeed, it may be worthwhile to explore the impact that initial level of fitness has, on the effects of this type of training, in future research.

It is difficult to explain why the small amount of isometric handgrip training in the present study should elicit significant reductions in SBP (3 x 10s at 20% MVC). There is some evidence that brief, and low-intensity, isometric training programmes can induce reductions in resting blood pressure. For example, Devereux et al. (2011) reported significant reductions in SBP (-5 mmHg) and MAP (-3 mmHg) following only 4 weeks of isometric bilateral-leg training at an exercise intensity that equated to approximately 20% MVC. Baross et al. (2012) reported significant reductions in SBP (-11 mmHg) and MAP (-5 mmHg) following isometric bilateral-leg training at relatively low intensities (approximately 14% MVC). However, the studies of Devereux et al., (2011) and Baross et al., (2012) used much longer periods of

exercise, than we used in the present study, therefore the training stimulus is likely to have been much greater. In the present study training was performed 4 times per week, whereas most previous isometric training studies have used 3 times per week, and this may have contributed to our significant findings.

To the authors' knowledge no other studies have investigated the resting blood pressure lowering effects of simultaneous combined walking and isometric exercise (handgrip) training in young normotensive adults. The present study is therefore the first to show that 6 weeks of simultaneous treadmill walking and isometric handgrip training, 4 times per week, significantly lowers RSBP and RMAP compared to walking or isometric handgrip only training programmes of the same duration and frequency. Due to the novelty of the training intervention it is difficult to compare the WHG results to previous studies. However, the present findings can be compared to previous sequential combined resistance and aerobic exercise training studies (Maiorana et al., 2001; Sigal et al., 2007; Calders et al., 2011). Calders et al. (2011) reported significant changes in resting SBP (-15 mmHg) in their combined training group which is similar to the -10 mmHg reported here. The duration of our training programme and the exercise intensity was considerably higher in the Calders et al. (2011) study. Their combined training group were also older (Mean 42 yrs) and were hypertensive (SBP, 140 mmHg) at baseline. Of course, it is necessary to demonstrate the effect of simultaneous walking and isometric exercise, in a much larger group of participants, before our finding can be adopted as a clinically meaningful intervention. Furthermore, many researchers believe that it is necessary to demonstrate the blood-pressure-lowering effects of exercise training interventions on ambulatory blood pressure, in addition to resting blood pressure, before definitive conclusions about efficacy can be drawn (Cardoso *et al.*, 2010).

## Possible Mechanisms

It is important to discuss whether these findings inform understanding of the mechanism responsible for the training-induced reductions in resting blood pressure. Of course, we did not directly study any measures that are thought to relate to the mechanisms. Nevertheless, the findings of the present study suggest that the effects of walking and the effects of isometric training on resting blood pressure could be summative. This idea has been suggested previously by Millar et al. (2012). In their ‘letter to the editor’, these authors suggested that, because they had observed significant reductions in resting blood pressure after isometric training, even when participants were already aerobically trained, this could suggest independent blood pressure lowering mechanisms for aerobic and isometric training. Otherwise, in a key meta-analysis by Cornelissen and Fagard (2005) it was suggested that a decrease in the activity of the autonomic nervous system was most likely involved in the training-induced reduction of blood pressure and systemic vascular resistance, as evidenced by the consistently sizeable reductions (29%) in plasma norepinephrine levels in fitter compared with untrained participants. It was also suggested that the 20% decrease of plasma renin activity supports the involvement of the renin-angiotensin system (Dubbart et al., 1994; Kohno et al., 1997) possibly via a reduction in the activity of the sympathetic nervous system (Guyton, 1992). If this were indeed the mechanism involved, it would infer that the autonomic nervous system effects of the two exercise modalities were summative when performed simultaneously.

## Conclusions

The findings of this study provide new evidence that performing isometric handgrip whilst walking can cause significantly greater reductions in resting blood pressure than either walking or isometric handgrip training. Furthermore, if brief periods of isometric exercise,



when performed simultaneously whilst walking, are shown to be effective in larger samples, it might be possible to develop simple alternative methods for performing this type of exercise, without the need for specialist equipment. Doing so, could make this type of exercise much more accessible to a greater proportion of the population. This could increase the potentially beneficial effects of these types of exercise on resting blood pressure. The sizeable reduction in resting blood pressure emphasises the antihypertensive potential of simultaneous handgrip and walking training especially since the effects were evident in the present study in young individuals whose resting blood pressure was considered to be normal. Since it is believed that the relationship between blood pressure and the risk of cardiovascular disease has no lower threshold, the reductions identified in this study in normotensive individuals may still have clinical benefits (McInnes, 2005). These findings also give support to the suggestion of Millar et al., (2012) that the effects of each type of exercise on resting blood pressure might be summative when performed simultaneously.

## Reference

**Baross, A. W., Wiles, J. D. and Swaine, I. L. (2012).** Effects of the intensity of leg isometric training on the vasculature of trained and untrained limbs and resting blood pressure in middle-aged men. *International Journal of Vascular Medicine*, 2012, article ID 964697.

**Calders, P., Elmahgoub, S., Roman de Mettelinge, T., Vandebroek, C., Dewandele, I., Rombaut, L., Vandeveld, A., and Cambier, D. (2011)** Effect of combined exercise training on physical and metabolic fitness in adults with intellectual disability: a controlled trial. *Clin Rehab.* 25(12): 1097-108.

**Cardoso, C. G., Gomides, R. S., Carrenho Queiroz, A. C., Pinto, L. G., da Silveira Lobo, F., Tinucci, T., Mion, D., and de Moraes Forjaz, C. L. (2010)** Acute and Chronic Effects of Aerobic and Resistance Exercise on Ambulatory Blood Pressure. *Clinics* (Sao Paulo). 65(3): 317–325.

**Carlson, D.J., Dieberg, G., Hess, N., Millar, P. J., and Smart, N.A. (2014)** Isometric Exercise Training for Blood Pressure Management: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, 89 (3): 327–334.

**Chobanian, A.V., Bakris, G.L., Black, H.R., Cushman, W.C., Green, L.A., Izzo, J.L., Jones, D.W., Materson, B.J., Oparil, S., Wright Jr, J.T., Roccella, E.J. and the National High Blood Pressure Education Program Coordinating Committee., (2003).** The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. The JNC 7 Report *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 289, 2560-2572.

**Cooper, A. R., Moore, L. A. R., McKenna, J. and Riddoch, C. J. (2000).** What is the magnitude of blood pressure response to a programme of moderate intensity exercise? Randomised controlled trial among sedentary adults with unmedicated hypertension. *British journal of General Practice*, 50(461), 958-962.

**Cornelissen, V. A. and Fagard, R. H.** (2005). Effects of endurance training on blood pressure, blood pressure–regulating mechanisms, and cardiovascular risk factors. *Hypertension*, 46, 667-675.

**Devereux, G. R., Wiles, J. D., and Swaine, I. L.** Reductions in resting blood pressure after 4 weeks of isometric exercise training. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*. 109(4): 601-6.

**Devereux, G.R., Wiles, J.D., and Swaine, I.,** (2011) ‘Markers of Isometric Training Intensity and Reductions in Resting Blood Pressure’. *Journal of Sport Sciences*, Vol. 29 (7), pp. 715-724.

**Dubbert, P. M., Martin, J. E., Cushman, W. C., Meydrech, E. F. and Carroll, R. G.** (1994). Endurance exercise in mild hypertension: effects on blood pressure and associated metabolic and quality of life variables. *Journal of Human Hypertension*, 8, 265-272.

**Duncan, J. J., Gordon, N. F. and Scott, C. B.** (1991). Women walking for health and fitness. How much is enough? *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 266, 3295-3299.

**Field, A.,** (2005). *Discovering Statistics: Using SPSS for Windows*. London, UK: Sage.

**Franke, W. D., Boettger, C. F. and McLean, S. P.,** (2000). Effects of varying central command and muscle mass on the cardiovascular responses to isometric exercise. *Clinical Physiology*, 20(5), 380-387.

**Guyton, A. C.** (1992). Kidneys and fluids in pressure regulation. *Hypertension*, 19 (suppl D): I2-18.

**Inder, J. D., Carlson, D. J., Dieberg, G., McFarlane, J. R., Hess N. C. L., and Smart, N. A.** (2016). Isometric exercise training for blood pressure management: a systematic review and meta-analysis to optimize benefit. *Hypertension Research*, 39, 88–94.

**Kearney, P. M., Whelton, M., Reynolds, R., Muntner, P., Whelton, P. K. and He, J.** (2005). Global burden of hypertension: analysis of worldwide data. *Lancet*, 365, 217–23.

**Kelley, G. A., Kelley, K. S.,** (2000). Progressive resistance exercise and resting blood pressure a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Hypertension*, 35, 838-843.

**Kelley, G. A., Kelley, K. S. and Tran, Z. V.** (2001). Walking and resting blood pressure in adults; a meta-analysis. *Preventive Medicine*, 33, 120-127.

**Kobalava ZD, Kotovskaia IV, Rusakova OS, Babaeva LA.** (2004). Validation of UA-767 plus device for self-measurement of blood pressure. *American Journal of Hypertension*, 17(S1): 48.

**Kohno, K., Matsuoka, H., Takenaka, K., Miyake, Y., Nomura, G. and Tsutomo, I.** (1997). Renal depressor mechanisms of physical training in patients with essential hypertension. *American Journal of Hypertension*, 10, 859-868.

**Lambert, N.J., Aggoun, Y., Marchand, L.M., Martin, X.E., Herrmann, F.R., Beghetti, M.** (2009) 'Physical Activity Reduces Systemic Blood Pressure and Improves Early Markers of Atherosclerosis in Pre-Pubertal Obese Children', *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, Vol. 54 (25), pp. 2396-2406

**Lee, L.L., Watson, M.C., Mulvaney, C.A., Tsai, C.C., Lo, S.F.,** (2010) 'The Effect of Walking Intervention on Blood Pressure Control: A systematic review', *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, Vol. 47, pp. 1545–1561.

**Lewington, S., Clarke, R., Qizilbash, N., Petro, R. and Collins, R., Prospective studies C.,** (2002). Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. *Lancet*, 360, 1903-1913.

**Lewis, J.E., Eleanor Boyle,E., Magharious, L., and Myers, M.G.** (2005). Evaluation of a community-based automated blood pressure measuring device. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 166(9): 1145-8

**Liu, S., Goodman, J., Nolan, R., Lacombe, S., and Thomas, S.G.** (2012). Blood pressure response to acute and chronic exercise are related in prehypertension. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 44 (9): 1644–1652.

**Maiorana, A., O’Driscoll, G., Dembo, L., Cheetham, C., Goodman, C., Taylor, R. and Green, D. J.**, (2001). Effects of aerobic and resistance exercise training on vascular function in heart failure. *American Journal of Physiology, Heart circulation Physiology*, 279, H1999-H2005.

**McInnes, G. T.**, (2002). Lowering blood pressure for cardiovascular risk reductions. *Journal of Hypertension*, 23, S3-S8.

**Murphy, M. H., Murtagh, E. M., Boreham, C. A. D., Hare, L. G and Nevill, A. M.** (2006). The effects of a work based walking programme on cardiovascular risk factors in previously sedentary civil servants. *BMC Public Health*, 6, 136-143.

**Murphy, M.H., Nevill, A.M., Murtagh, E.M., Holder, R.L.**, (2007) ‘The Effect of Walking on Fitness, Fatness and Resting Blood Pressure: A meta-analysis of randomised, controlled trials’. *Journal of Preventative Medicine*, Vol. 44, pp. 377-385.

**McGowan, C. L., Levy, A. S., McCartney, N. and MacDonald, M. J.**, (2007). Isometric handgrip training does not improve flow-mediated dilation in subjects with normal blood pressure. *Clinical Science*, 112, 403-409.

**Millar, P. J., Bray, S. R., MacDonald, M. J. and McCartney, N.**, (2008). The hypotensive effects of isometric handgrip training using an inexpensive spring handgrip training device. *Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation Preview*, 28(3), 203-207.

**Mitchell, J. H, Reeves, D. R. Jr, Rogers, H. B. and Secher, N. H.**, (1989). Epidural anaesthesia and cardiovascular responses to static exercise in man. *Journal of Physiology*, 417, 13-24.

**National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE)** (2011). NICE clinical guideline 127 Hypertension: clinical management of primary hypertension in adults <http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/live/13561/56008/56008.pdf>.

**Sigal, R. J., Kenny, G. P., Boule, N. G., Wells, G. A., Prud'homme, D., Fortier, M., Reid, R. D., Tulloch, H., Coyle, D., Phillips, P., Jennings, A. and Jaffy J.** (2007). Effects of aerobic training, resistance training, or both on glycemic control in type 2 diabetes. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 147(6), 357-369.

**Stamler, J., Rose, G., Stamler, r., Elliott, P., Dyer, A. and Marmot, M.** (1989). INTERSALT study findings: public health and medicine care implications. *Hypertension*, 14, 570-477.

**Wiles, J. D., Coleman, D. A. and Swaine, I. L.,** (2010). Effects of performing isometric training at two exercise intensities in healthy young males. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 108, 419-428.

**Wiley, R. L., Dunn, C. L., Cox, R. H., Hueppchen, N. A. and Scott, M. S.,** (1992). Isometric exercise training lowers resting blood pressure. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 24(7), 749-754.

**Williams, B., Poulter, N. R., Brown, M. J., Davis, M., McInnes, G. T., Potter, J. F., Sever, P .S.; the BHS guidelines working party, for the British Hypertension Society** (2004). British Hypertension Society Guidelines for hypertension management 2004(BHS-IV) summary. *British Medical Journal*, 328, 634-640.

**Whelton, S. P., Chin, A., Xin, X. and He, J.** (2002) Effect of aerobic exercise on blood pressure: A meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 136(7), 493-503.

**World Health Organisation** (2002), World Health Report 2002: Reducing Risks, Promoting Healthy Life. *World Health Organisation*.