PLEASE NOTE – This is an author's accepted manuscript of the following article:

 Kehl, D., Knuth, D., Hulse, L. & Schmidt, S. (2015). Predictors of postevent distress and growth among firefighters after work-related emergencies – a cross-national study. <u>Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy, 7(3)</u>, 203-211. DOI: 10.1037/a0037954

This article may not exactly replicate the final version published in the APA journal. It is not the copy of record.

The final version of the article can be accessed using the above DOI. The final version was published by the American Psychological Association (APA), which owns the copyright for the final article.

Predictors of post-event distress and growth among firefighters after work-related emergencies – a cross-national study

Doris Kehl¹, Daniela Knuth¹, Lynn Hulse², Silke Schmidt¹

¹University of Greifswald, Germany; ²University of Greenwich, UK

Address:

- 1 Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-University Greifswald, Institute of Psychology, Department Health and Prevention, Robert-Blum-Str. 13, D-17487 Greifswald, Germany;
- 2 University of Greenwich, Fire Safety Engineering Group, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Old Royal Naval College, Park Row, London SE10 9LS, UK

Correspondence address

Dipl.-Psych. Doris Kehl Department Health and Prevention Institute of Psychology, EMAU Greifswald Robert-Blum-Str. 13 17487 Greifswald tel.: +49 (0)3834 86-3811 fax: +49 (0)3834 86-3801 Email: <u>doris.kehl@uni-greifswald.de</u>

Appendix

• Acknowledgement

Acknowledgement

The paper was written by the authors on behalf of the BeSeCu-group. The project BeSeCu (contract No.218324) is funded under the European Union Framework Programme 7 - Security. The authors acknowledge the collaboration of their project partners: Ernst-Moritz-Arndt University of Greifswald, Germany (Silke Schmidt – project coordinator, Daniela Knuth, Doris Kehl); Hamburg Fire and Emergency Service Academy, Germany (Frank Seidler and Eberhard Diebe); University of Greenwich, UK (Ed Galea and Lynn Hulse); Institute of Public Security of Catalonia, Spain (Jordi Sans, Malin Roiha and Lola Valles); Prague Psychiatric Centre, Czech Republic (Marek Preiss, Marie Sotolarova, and Marketa Holubova); MTO Säkerhet AB (MTO Safety AB), Sweden (Lena Kecklund, Sara Petterson, and Kristin Andrée); Main School of Fire Service, Poland (Jerzy Wolanin and Grzegorz Beltowski); Association of Emergency Ambulance Physicians, Turkey (Zeynep Baskaya Sofuoglu and Turhan Sofuoglu); University of Bologna, Italy (Luca Pietrantoni and Elisa Saccinto); in undertaking this work and in allowing the project findings to be published.

The manuscript has not been published elsewhere and it has not been submitted simultaneously for publication else-where.

Predictors of post-event distress and growth among firefighters after work-related emergencies – a cross-national study

Abstract (172 words)

Firefighters may experience posttraumatic stress symptomatology (i.e. post-event distress) as a consequence of exposure to work-related distressing incidents. However, positive psychological changes (i.e. post-event growth) should also be taken into account. The aim of this cross-national study was to investigate both post-event distress and growth in firefighters following distressing incidents. A sample of 1916 firefighters from eight predominantly European countries recalled a work-related distressing incident. Two hierarchical regression analyses were run to reveal predictors of post-event distress and growth, respectively. Predictors included person pre-event characteristics, objective (e.g. type of incident, time since incident, fatalities) and subjective (e.g. perceived life-threat, peri-event distress, most distressing aspect) incident features, and the participant's country. Post-event distress was measured by the Impact of Event Scale-Revised (IES-R) and growth by the Posttraumatic Growth Inventory-Short Form (PTGI-SF). The final models explained 29% of the variation in post-event distress and 26% in growth. Post-event distress and growth were predicted by different variables. Country differences were found after controlling for all other variables. Further research is needed to explain these differences.

Keywords: distress, stress symptoms, posttraumatic growth, firefighter, cross-national comparisons

Introduction

Firefighters have a physically and psychologically demanding and hazardous occupation. Whereas in most hazardous work situations the goal is to avoid the hazard, firefighters have to actively engage with the hazard in order to save civilians (Kunadharaju, Smith, & DeJoy, 2011). Firefighters engage in a variety of emergencies including fighting fires, attending motor vehicle and train accidents, incidents involving gas or other hazardous/explosive substances, and natural disasters. An emergency that may overwhelm a firefighter's ability to deal with it could be, for example, an exposure to threat of personal injury, traumatic stimuli, contact with dead or severely injured children, or human error (Harris, Baloglu, & Stacks, 2002).

Research on the psychological reactions of first responders to work-related distressing incidents has largely focused on stress symptomatology (i.e. post-event distress) (e.g., Bryant & Harvey, 1996). Focusing only on negative outcomes can result in a biased understanding of posttraumatic reactions (Linley & Joseph, 2004) since the experience of growth – i.e. positive psychological changes such a greater sense of personal strength, a greater appreciation of life, and developing new priorities in life (Calhoun & Tedeschi, 2006) – have also been documented among first responders after work-related distressing incidents (Leykin, Lahad, & Bonneh, 2013; Shakespeare-Finch, Smith, Gow, Embelton, & Baird, 2003). According to the functional descriptive model of posttraumatic growth developed by Tedeschi and Calhoun (2010; 2006; 1996), the following factors influence the process of growth: person pre-event characteristics, event features, styles of managing emotions, self-disclosure, distal (cultural/societal/religious themes) and proximate (role models/primary reference group) sociocultural influences, narrative development, and life wisdom (Calhoun et al., 2010).

Previous research into how person pre-event characteristics, event features and cultural context are associated with post-event distress or growth has revealed diverse relationships, summarized as follows:

Person pre-event characteristics

A recent meta-analysis found females report higher levels of growth than males in the general population (Helgeson, Reynolds, & Tomich, 2006). A similar gender effect has been found for post-event distress, although may be less detectable in emergency personnel than in the general population (Brewin, Andrews, & Valentine, 2000; Corneil, Beaton, Murphy, Johnson, & Pike, 1999). Peri-event distress has been revealed as an important predictor of post-event distress (Ozer, Best, Lipsey, & Weiss, 2003) and can also have a significant effect on growth (Linley & Joseph, 2004). However, previous research has shown that female police officers may report less peri-event distress in comparison to female civilians despite more trauma exposure overall (Lilly, Pole, Best, Metzler, & Marmar, 2009). Thus it could be that gender's effect on growth might also be less detectable among emergency personnel than among the general population. Findings to date regarding the effects of age on growth (Linley & Joseph, 2004; Stanton, Bower, & Low, 2006) and on post-event distress (Clohessy & Ehlers, 1999; Witteveen et al., 2007) have been mixed. Similarly, the effects of education on growth have been mixed: lower levels of education have been associated with higher levels of growth (Kinsinger et al., 2006; Urcuyo, Boyers, Carver, & Antoni, 2005; Widows, Jacobsen, Booth-Jones, & Fields, 2005), while in a study with cancer patients a positive association between education and growth was documented (Sears, Stanton, & Danoff-Burg, 2003). However, low education is believed to predict post-event distress (Brewin et al., 2000).

Regarding work characteristics, a supervisory rank has been associated with an increased risk of post-event distress in some firefighter samples, although not all (e.g., Corneil et al., 1999). Operational experience, i.e. years of service, has not been associated with growth (Chopko, 2010) but has been either positively associated (Corneil et al., 1999) or not associated at all (Clohessy & Ehlers, 1999; Corneil et al., 1999) with post-event distress. Investigations have failed to detect an association between the number of work-related distressing events experienced and growth (Chopko, 2010) but a cumulative effect of

traumatic experiences on post-event distress has been discovered in the general population (Ozer et al., 2003) and specifically among firefighters (Bryant & Harvey, 1996).

Objective and subjective incident features

Regarding the relationship between post-event distress and the time that has elapsed since the distressing event, some longitudinal studies have found the highest rates of stress symptoms shortly after the event and then noted rates decreasing over time (Norman et al., 2011). For growth, however, a meta-analysis found no association between that and time since the distressing event (Helgeson et al., 2006). Regarding the type of incident, Marmar et al. (1996) found that large-scale disasters (as compared to small-scale incidents) occurring in firefighters' routine line of duty were not associated with longer-term distress. Research with survivors, however, has revealed an effect of type of trauma on growth (McMillen, Smith, & Fisher, 1997; Shakespeare-Finch & Armstrong, 2010). Nevertheless, it has been argued that observed differences in growth and post-event distress may not be a function of event type per se but rather of more subjective features such as perceived life-threat and peri-event emotional distress (Briere & Elliott, 2000; Linley & Joseph, 2004). Certainly, these factors have been revealed as important predictors of post-event distress (Ozer et al., 2003) and may have a significant effect on growth (Linley & Joseph, 2004).

Cultural context

A European survey conducted in households across six Western-European countries revealed differences between countries regarding PTSD prevalence (Darves-Bornoz et al., 2008). One cross-national study with firefighters following work-related incidents revealed no differences between US and Canadian firefighters with respect to post-event distress (Corneil et al., 1999); this may have been due to these North American samples being too culturally similar at a national level. Another study revealed that growth after distressing events differed between US and Japanese university students, with the US students displaying more growth than those from Japan (Taku, Cann, Tedeschi, & Calhoun, 2009). This result might be related to differences in disclosure (Taku, Tedeschi, Cann A., & Calhoun, 2009). Calhoun and Tedeschi (2006) highlighted that more cross-cultural or cross-national studies are needed to investigate whether national differences are present and to investigate the cultural factors that may differentially impact the process of growth.

Hypotheses

Findings reported above have shown that person pre-event characteristics, such as demographic and work characteristics, need to be considered and controlled for when investigating the impact of distressing events on post-event distress and growth. Accordingly, person pre-event characteristics were included first in the current analysis. Regarding incident features, it was hypothesized that time since the event would be negatively associated with post-event distress (H1) but not associated with growth (H2). Furthermore, it was hypothesized that event type would not affect post-event distress (H3) or growth (H4) but that at least some subjective incident features (such as perceived threat, peri-event distress) would be significantly associated with post-event distress and growth (H5). With a focus on making cross-national comparisons, it was hypothesized that at least some national samples would differ with respect to levels of growth and post-event distress (H6).

In conducting such an analysis, the present study sought to go beyond previous research by (re)examining how person pre-event characteristics and incident features relate to both post-event distress and growth, all as part of a large cross-national investigation among firefighters. Employing the same design and materials in each country would allow better for comparisons. Finally, these relationships would be studied in a population who, as outlined earlier, have a heightened chance of experiencing a work-related distressing incident and who could benefit greatly from a better understanding of what might lead to a positive and/or negative outcome following such an incident.

Method

Sample

Firefighters from eight predominantly European countries (i.e. Czech Republic, Germany, Italy, Poland, Spain, Sweden, UK, and Turkey) volunteered to participate in the international Behavior, Security, and Culture study (BeSeCu; Schmidt & Galea, 2013). These countries were included because, together, they would allow an investigation into the impact on post-event distress and growth of both small-scale incidents (such as house fires, traffic accidents, etc.) that are universally common and large-scale disasters (such as earthquakes, floods, terrorist attacks), which are more rare in terms of their frequency and geographical location but can have a greater impact when they do occur. Firefighters could be included if they were at least 18 years of age and their last operation was no longer than 10 years ago. Each consortium partner was responsible for recruiting in their own country and used strategies that were most effective locally, e.g., advertising through staff bulletins or online social networks for firefighters (Knuth, Kehl, Stegemann, & Schmidt, 2013). However, none of the partners offered firefighters payment for participation. Recruitment resulted in a convenience sample of 3011 firefighters. The study was carried out between May 2008 and April 2011. Ethical approval was obtained independently in the eight countries from the ethics committees of the data collection centers in the project consortium.

Only firefighters who recalled a single work-related distressing incident from the last 10 years and who fully completed the trauma-related measures were included in the analysis presented here (n=1916). Although there was a great range with respect to the time since the work-related incident (up to 10 years), the mean number of years since the event was just over three years (M=3.01, SD=2.82; see Table 1). Of the 1916 participants, 11.3% (n=215) were from the Czech Republic, 26.3% (n=503) from Germany, 19.8% (n=379) from Italy, 14.7% (n=280) from Poland, 5.5% (n=105) from Spain, 5.3% (n=101) from Sweden, 6.9% (n=131) from Turkey, and 5.7% (n=108) from the UK. Only 4.7% (n=89) of participants had a migrant

background, i.e. they were born in another country to the one in which they currently worked, or their mother or father was born in another country, or they were a citizen of more than one country.

Instruments

Behaviour, Security and Culture – First Responder (BeSeCu – FR). The BeSeCu– FR is a self-report questionnaire for firefighters to identify emergency-related human factors that might impair firefighters' professional performance and interfere with procedures during threatening events and evacuations (Kehl et al., 2014). The BeSeCu – FR was developed via a cross-national multi-step development process, including a literature review, expert input, focus groups and pilot testing activities. The questionnaire was developed in English and translated into the languages of the other participating countries (i.e. Czech, German, Italian, Polish, Spanish, Swedish, and Turkish) using a forward-backward-forward-translation procedure.

The questionnaire comprised six scales plus single questions and was available in online and paper-pencil versions. Internal consistency estimates of the six scales ranged from α =.77 to .80. When measurement invariance was tested for each scale using multigroup confirmatory factor analyses with the two administration modes (online and paper-pencil versions) as groups, the global fit measures were good and suggested that the configural (CFI = 0.961–1.000; RMSEA = 0.000–0.095; ECVI = 0.097–0.175), metric (CFI = 0.968–1.000; RMSEA = 0.000–0.070; ECVI = 0.088–0.162), and scalar invariance (CFI = 0.970–1.000; RMSEA = 0.000–0.069; ECVI = 0.075–0.154) models were supported by the data (Kehl et al., 2014). Consequently, it is justifiable to pool the data collected with these two methods (Davidov & Depner, 2011). When measurement invariance was tested for each scale with the eight countries inserted as groups, fit indices revealed that configural invariance (CFI = 0.997–1.000; RMSEA = 0.013–0.016; ECVI = 0.097–0.143) and metric invariance (CFI = 0.997–0.983; RMSEA = 0.022–0.039; ECVI = 0.106–0.151) were supported, but scalar

invariance (CFI = 0.661–0.888; RMSEA = 0.048–0.085; ECVI = 0.183–0.371) was not (Kehl et al., 2014). Consequently, this allows comparisons of correlates (covariances and regression coefficients) across national groups within each scale but not comparisons of means across these national groups. Using a quality of life measure, the WHOQOL8-item index (Power, 2003; Schmidt, Mühlan, & Power, 2006), divergent validity was confirmed for all scales in all national samples because all scales either did not correlate or only had a small correlation (Kehl et al., 2014).

Relevant for the present study were items regarding person pre-event characteristics and the section where participants were asked to recall the most stressful emergency situation they had attended in the last 10 years. Once they had called to mind the incident they (individually) perceived to be most stressful, participants were asked to state what type of incident it was and when the incident was. Moreover, firefighters were asked for the number of fatalities and whether they knew these persons. In addition, they were asked to describe what the most distressing aspect of the situation was ("What distressed you most in this situation?"). Peri-event emotional distress was assessed with the question *"How emotionally affected were you in the worst moment of this situation?"*, which was rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5 (1 = not at all, 2 = a little bit, 3 = moderately, 4 = quite a bit, and 5 = extremely). The subjective evaluation of threat was assessed with the question *"At the time, how would you have rated the risk to your own life during the most dangerous moment of this situation?"*, which was rated on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = *very low, 2 = low, 3 = neither low nor high, 4 = high, and 5 = very high*).

Impact of Event Scale – Revised (IES-R). The IES-R comprised 22 items assessing the level of intrusion, avoidance and hyperarousal symptoms in the past seven days related to the recalled incident. Weiss and Marmar (1996) reported high internal consistency for the three subscales. The IES-R items were administered using a Likert scale from 0 (not at all) to

4 (extreme) and responses were summed to create a total score (possible range: 0-88). Published translated versions of this measure were used.

Posttraumatic Growth Inventory – Short Form (PTGI-SF). The PTGI-SF (Cann et al., 2010) measured positive changes resulting from the distressing incident. The 10 PTGI-SF items were administered using a Likert scale from 0 (I did not experience this change) to 5 (I experienced this change to a very great degree). Cann et al. (2010) documented a coefficient alpha of .86 for the PTGI-SF total score. Published translated versions of this measure were used.

Data Analyses

Data analyses were carried out using SPSS 22 (Windows). ANOVAs and χ^2 tests were run to establish whether the included sample and excluded participants' characteristics differed. Comparisons revealed a few significant differences. However, only on two characteristics did group differences equate to at least a small effect size (regardless of significance): age, F(1, 2995)=71.80, p<.001, $\eta=.15$, with the included participants being generally younger than those excluded; and working arrangement (professional vs. honorary member), $\chi^2(1)=30.28$, p<.001, Cramer's V=.10, with the included sample containing fewer professional firefighters than the excluded group. ANOVAs and χ^2 tests were run to establish whether demographic and work characteristics, time since incident, post-event distress and growth differed across countries.

Two hierarchical regression analyses were carried out to reveal predictors of postevent distress and growth using the IES-R total score and the PTGI-SF total score respectively as dependent variables. Blocks of predictor variables were formed based on the literature reviewed in the Introduction. It was desirable to control for person pre-event characteristics and so these were entered in the first model (or "step"). Incident features were entered next, with objective features included in model 2 and subjective features in model 3. The participant's country was entered in the last model to reveal whether this explained additional variance after controlling for all previously entered variables. Within the models, predictors were entered using the simultaneous/enter method. Multicollinearity was not observed since the tolerance statistic of each item was not below .1 and the VIF values were less than 10.

A qualitative content analysis, based on the method of Mayring (2002), was conducted on the answers (from 1278 [66.7%] participants) to the open-ended question about what, for them, was the incident's most distressing aspect. Where inter-rater disagreement existed, categories were discussed by both raters and coding rules were clarified. Nine answer categories were established (see Table 2, Step 3 of hierarchical regression analysis).

Results

Sample and type of incident

Demographic and work characteristics are provided in Table 1. Country samples differed significantly with respect to all person pre-event characteristics except gender. Time since the incident also differed significantly by country (see Table 1).

<Please insert Table 1 about here>

Firefighters had either personally experienced or witnessed the incident. The incident the majority of firefighters reported was a house fire (37.9%) or a transport accident, the latter including emergencies involving vehicles other than just cars such as trains, boats or planes (35.8%). A natural disaster (i.e. a flood, earthquake, or landslide) was reported by 13.9% of firefighters while 4.3% reported either the collapse of a building, an emergency involving gas or another hazardous/explosive substance, or other types of fire situation. Search and rescue operations (e.g., for trapped persons) were reported by 3.0% of firefighters, 2.1% reported an underwater rescue/search or an emergency involving drowning, 1.4% reported providing first aid to a person with a sudden illness, and 0.4% reported a terrorist attack. There was no effect of type of incident on time since the incident, F(8, 1907) = 1.83, p = .07, Cramers' V = .09.

Post-event distress and growth

Cronbach's α was .93 for the IES-R scale and .92 for the PTGI-SF scale. Means and standard deviations for the IES-R and PTGI-SF scores per country are displayed in Table 1. A medium effect of country on post-event distress and growth was observed, as was a low overall presence of post-event distress in this sample. Of the firefighters tested here, 7.7% had an IES-R total score on or above 33 – this score has been found to be the best diagnostic cutoff for PTSD (Creamer, Bell, & Failla, 2003). The percentage of firefighters meeting or exceeding the cutoff varied across countries, ranging from 0.0% in Sweden to 19% in Poland (see Table 1). An association between post-event distress and growth was observed, with a higher level of post-event distress being linked with a higher level of growth, *r*=.33, *p* < .001.

Predictors of post-event distress and growth

Table 2 presents the results at the final step of the two hierarchical regression analyses predicting post-event distress and growth, respectively. The final model explained 29% of the variance in post-event distress, $R^2_{\text{IES-R-t}} = .292$, F(38, 558) = 6.04, p < .001, and 26% of the variance in growth, $R^2_{\text{PTGI-SF-t}} = .264$, F(38, 558) = 5.27, p < .001.

<Please insert Table 2 about here>

Person pre-event characteristics. As shown in Table 2, there were a few person preevent characteristics which impacted post-event distress and/or growth, i.e. education (negatively associated with both outcomes), years of service and the number of lifethreatening work-related incidents experienced (both positively associated with post-event distress only). No effects of gender, age, working arrangement or rank were detected.

Incident features. In terms of incident features, no type of incident predicted postevent distress. However, one type, namely natural disasters, was positively associated with growth. Time since the incident was negatively associated with post-event distress, but not associated with growth. The number of fatalities resulting from the incident was not associated with post-event distress or with growth, but the more participants knew the deceased, the lower was the level of growth reported. Regarding the most distressing aspect of the incident, witnessing death was associated with higher post-event distress. Peri-event emotional distress and perceived life-threat were positively associated with both post-event distress and growth.

Cultural context. After controlling for all other variables, the participant's country explained an additional 8.6% of the variance in post-event distress and 12.7% of the variance in growth. Polish and Turkish firefighters' post-event distress was higher than the grand mean while UK and Swedish firefighters' post-event distress was lower. In contrast, Czech and Turkish firefighters' growth was higher than the grand mean while UK, Polish and Italian firefighters' growth was lower.

Discussion

The present study supports findings that emergency workers experience post-event distress (Bryant & Harvey, 1996; Marmar et al., 1996) and growth (Shakespeare-Finch et al., 2003) as a consequence of exposure to work-related distressing incidents. Furthermore, the study has been successful in (re)examining how person pre-event characteristics and incident features relate to both post-event distress and growth, all as part of a cross-national investigation involving firefighters.

Person pre-event characteristics

The present study attempted to control for the effects of person pre-event characteristics in its analysis. Nevertheless, some of the results involving person pre-event characteristics merit comment. No effect of gender was detected on post-event distress. However, previous research had suggested that an effect of gender on post-event distress would be less detectable in samples of emergency and also military personnel than in the general population (Brewin et al., 2000; Corneil et al., 1999). Indeed, a recent study with civilian fire survivors revealed that females reported experiencing higher distress levels during the event than their male counterparts (Knuth, Kehl, Hulse, & Schmidt, 2013). Additionally, the present study detected no gender differences with respect to peri-event distress, F(1, 1909) = 0.52, p = .47, $\eta = .02$, a factor that has previously been identified as an important predictor of post-event distress (Ozer et al., 2003) and is believed to significantly impact growth (Linley & Joseph, 2004). Is it possible then that gender differences in perievent distress are also harder to detect in populations that are more likely to encounter distressing incidents? Lilly et al. (2009) found that female police officers reported less perievent distress plus less post-event distress in comparison to female civilians despite having more trauma exposure overall. Perhaps the fact that our female and male firefighters reported the same amount of peri-event distress might provide an explanation for our non-significant results concerning post-event distress and growth. It may also be possible that firefighter training or socialization in fire brigade culture moderates gender and emotional distress effects.

A relationship between the number of life-threatening incidents experienced and postevent distress was revealed, supporting Bryant and Harvey's (1996) findings. No such relationship was observed for growth, supporting Chopko's (2010) findings with police officers. When discussing their posttraumatic growth framework, Calhoun and Tedeschi (2006) proposed that multiple experiences with distressing events might produce different outcomes for different people with regards to growth. For example, an initial distressing event might destabilize an individual's psychological foundations and, as a result, produce positive changes. This might leave the individual more psychologically prepared to deal with subsequent distressing events, more resilient to the impacts of (objectively) distressing events (Calhoun & Tedeschi, 2006). As such, subsequent stressful events might not set in motion the cognitive process involved in establishing growth and therefore might not produce any additional growth (Calhoun & Tedeschi, 2006). A lack of an association would thus be in accordance with the model of posttraumatic growth.

Objective and subjective incident features.

The present findings not only supported hypotheses (H1) and (H2), which stated that time since the event would be negatively associated with post-event distress but not associated with growth, they were also in line with previous research (Helgeson et al., 2006; Norman et al., 2011). The current study allowed for incidents occurring further back in time (up to 10 years ago). However, the mean number of years since the participants' distressing events was just over three years.

The countries included in the study offered the potential to examine large-scale disasters as well as small-scale incidents and indeed a range of incident types were recalled. Nevertheless, the majority of firefighters recalled incidents of a type encountered more regularly in their line of work (e.g., house fires, traffic accidents) and ones where the number of casualties tends to be lower than in major emergencies. Our hypothesis (H3), which stated that the type of incident would not be associated with the level of post-event distress, was supported. However, one incident type, namely natural disasters, was positively associated with growth, which ran contrary to hypothesis (H4). A study by McMillen (1997) revealed that survivors of a tornado had higher growth levels, compared to survivors of a shooting and survivors of a plane crash. Natural disasters affect a large community and the people have to face the consequences caused by the hazard every day for a long time (e.g., damaged or disrupted infrastructure, ruined homes, etc.). However, these affected persons do not have to endure their tragic fate alone; many people will have lost their property, etc. and these people are likely to be known, e.g., one's neighbors, work colleagues or friends from the area. The shared plight might strengthen solidarity in a community and, from a sense of collective fate, unity might emerge. This is likely to promote positive changes. Furthermore, sympathy for the survivors expressed by the general public and first responders in the form of social and

material support (such as housing and food) after such an event is likely to promote a positive outlook and positive relations. Research has documented that levels of growth can be higher in persons who disclose to others their experience of an distressing event, more so when disclosure is reciprocal (Taku, Tedeschi, et al., 2009), which means growth might be more likely when the incident is of a type that affects a whole community. Finally, although people can prepare for natural disasters, they cannot cause them nor prevent them. Therefore, given the lack of blame, affected people might find it easier to disclose, which in turn might aid growth.

Our findings were in line with hypothesis (H5), which stated that at least some subjective incident features would be significantly associated with post-event distress and growth. Consistent with previous research (Helgeson et al., 2006; Linley & Joseph, 2004; Ozer et al., 2003), perceived life-threat and emotional distress were positively related to postevent distress and growth. Regarding the most distressing aspect of the incident, witnessing death was associated with higher post-event distress. It might be harder for firefighters, certainly long-term, if they witness death during incidents given their main role in attending emergencies is to save lives and reduce risk to others. The distress is two-fold: seeing someone suffers but also perhaps a sense that they have failed in their duty. If firefighters know those deceased, the distress will only be magnified. Furthermore, experiencing a lack of resources was associated with lower post-event distress while experiencing operational stress was associated with lower growth. These results seem counter-intuitive at first. For example, one would expect that lacking resources would make work more difficult and cause resentment to build towards the employer, thereby increasing distress. However, perhaps if the lack of resources is attributed to others (i.e. the employer, an external source) then firefighters might be more accepting of negative outcomes and apportion less responsibility to themselves for that outcome, thereby lowering distress. Operational stress might lower growth if the conditions prompting it occur more commonly; there would be less chance to get over it

and grow. Whatever the explanations, the present results provide further evidence that it is not the type of incident per se that is so important but rather certain other incident features or the individual's response to the incident.

Cultural context

In the present study, the majority of firefighters reported low post-event distress and they might therefore be able to remain functional at work. However, close to a tenth of the firefighters had IES-R total scores on or above a proposed cut-off score of 33, indicating PTSD (Creamer et al., 2003). Del Ben, Scotti, Chen, and Fortson (2006) found a similar PTSD prevalence among US firefighters using a cutoff score of 44 on the PTSD Checklist. However, in the present study, differences between PTSD prevalence rates were noted across the international firefighter samples, with 19.4% of Polish firefighters being at risk of PTSD compared to 0% of Swedish firefighters. With respect to growth, 51.7% of the firefighters reported at least some degree of positive change, as reflected by a PTGI-SF score (0-50) above 10. However, again, the prevalence varied across countries: among Turkish firefighters, 70.7% reported some degree of positive change while the percentage was a lot lower among firefighters from the UK (37.6%). Remember, differences in post-event distress and growth between countries were analyzed and revealed after taking into account person pre-event characteristics and incident features. This could suggest sociocultural influences, for instance some cultures might encourage adoption of a "macho" image or "stiff-upper lip", thereby causing distress to be bottled up and growth to be stifled (see Calhoun et al., 2010). Also differences in management and organisation (e.g., organizational and professional culture) could be involved (Gray, 2009; Paton, 2005) and might explain the country differences found here. Findings by Paton (2005) indicate that organizational difficulties, such as a lack of consultation, poor communication and administrative burden, directly affect post-event distress but not growth. Such difficulties might exacerbate the stresses encountered at the attended incidents or stifle the satisfaction gained from successful operations. As with

operational stresses, such organizational difficulties might occur constantly, depriving firefighters the opportunity to begin the process of growth. In contrast, more positive organizational features such as being given responsibility and receiving recognition for good work, promote growth, especially when emergency personnel have been exposed to a high number of distressing events (Paton, 2005). Future studies should attempt to examine such factors.

Limitations of the study

The present study has been successful both in replicating some previous associations between certain factors and post-event distress and growth and in revealing new associations. Nevertheless, there are some limitations. First, the national samples might not be representative; convenience samples were collected. Moreover, country differences were found for demographic and work characteristics. However, to address this, person pre-event characteristics were entered first in the regression analyses to control for these characteristics. Nonetheless, it should be borne in mind that the person pre-event characteristics of the firefighters at the time of assessment might not represent the characteristics at the time of the distressing incident. This needs to be considered when interpreting the influence of person characteristics on post-event distress and growth. Furthermore, it must be acknowledged that not all work-related incidents occurred in each country. Another issue is that a large variation existed with respect to levels of post-event distress and growth among firefighters, but the distribution of both was skewed to the right. This means that most of the post-event distress and growth scores were towards the lower end of the scale. However, the possibility of a selfselection bias needs to be considered. Firefighters with very traumatic experiences might have refused to complete the BeSeCu-FR to avoid reminders. It has also been mentioned earlier that some of the recalled incidents occurred as far back as 10 years ago. However, the mean number of years since the event was just over three years, with many events being of more recent origin.

Perspectives

Exposure to work-related distressing incidents can result in both negative and positive outcomes for firefighters. This means researchers should now attempt to identify and examine fire brigade organizational variables that reduce the former type of outcome and encourage the latter. Furthermore, sociocultural influences should be addressed in future studies. Findings from such lines of enquiry could provide worldwide benefits.

22

Table 1

UK CZ PL Е D S TR Ι Cramer's M%^b %a %а %a %a %а %а %а %a %а χ^2 df V Ν р Male 1854 96.9 0.1 96.4 97.4 98.2 98.6 97.2 95.0 96.2 95.9 7.50 .38 7 .06 0.1 442.88 14 <.001 Education level .34 Lowest formal 85 4.4 11.2 0.9 0.4 0.0 4.7 5.0 8.3 0.3 Intermediary secondary 409 39.5 35.9 0.0 0.3 24.3 3.4 7.5 21.4 29.4 Higher secondary/university 63.2 71.0 91.6 1421 74.2 49.3 99.6 99.7 84.2 70.3 Working arrangement 0.3 768.85 7 <.001 .63 Professional 1503 78.6 37.3 100.0 99.1 93.4 89.7 100.0 100.0 89.3 Honorary member (unpaid) 62.7 0.0 0.9 6.6 10.3 0.0 408 21.4 0.0 10.7 Rank 0.2 124.34 7 <.001 .26 Operational 62.3 70.6 81.3 47.9 1192 52.2 34.2 66.4 65.2 74.4 Leading operational 720 37.7 47.8 65.8 33.6 29.4 18.7 52.1 34.8 25.6 Migrant background 7.7 4.7% 0.3 6.0 4.9 2.8 0.9 12.9 1.5 2.8 33.97 <.001 89 7 .13

Personal characteristics, time since incident, post-event distress and growth compared across countries

Table 1 Continued

Personal characteristics, time since incident, post-event distress and growth compared across countries

			M% ^b	D	UK	CZ	PL	Е	S	TR	Ι	F	df1/df2	р	η
Age (years) ^c	М	36.12	0.1	31.91	40.98	35.88	32.93	39.97	40.98	36.49	40.28	48.62	7/1906	<.001	.39
	SD	9.54		10.12	8.07	7.55	7.25	8.94	10.76	8.63	8.11				
Years of service ^d	М	12.91	0.1	12.65	17.09	12.19	10.48	13.97	15.59	10.29	14.03	12.59	7/1906	<.001	.21
	SD	8.60		9.19	8.75	6.77	7.03	8.66	11.01	7.12	8.48				
Years since incident ^e	М	3.01		3.14	3.68	3.60	2.24	3.29	3.84	1.99	2.89	10.12	7/1908	<.001	.19
	SD	2.82		2.82	2.86	3.07	2.46	2.77	2.96	2.39	2.81				
PTGI-SF $(0-50)^{f}$	М	13.23	0.0	13.74	9.61	17.28	8.40	13.34	11.77	24.59	11.40	40.73	7/1908	<.001	.36
	SD	11.13		9.96	9.29	10.67	7.12	10.48	10.62	16.96	10.15				
IES-R (0–88) ^g	М	11.63	0.0	9.73	9.21	10.50	17.96	7.79	3.66	16.25	12.82	27.69	7/1908	<.001	.30
	SD	12.36		10.99	14.19	9.06	15.74	8.86	5.33	13.58	11.53				
IES-R \geq 33	N%	7.6	0.0	5.6	9.4	2.7	19.4	2.8	0.0	9.0	7.7	$\chi^{2}(7)$	=85.51, <i>p</i>	<.001, C	V'V=.21

Note. D=Germany (n=535); UK=United Kingdom (n=117); CZ=Czech Republic (n=226); PL=Poland (n=288); E=Spain (n=107); S=Sweden (n=119); TR=Turkey (n=133); I=Italy (n=391); ^a valid percent; ^bM%=percentage missing; ^cRange: 18–66; ^dRange 0–52; ^eRange: 0–10; ^fRange: 0–50; ^gRange: 0–71.

Table 2

Hierarchical regression analysis predicting post-event distress and growth

	IES-R		PTGI-SF	
Predictors	β	ΔR^2	β	ΔR^2
Step 1 – Person pre-event characteristics		.036**		.036**
Female	014		.034	
Age (years)	121		.062	
Education	122**		132**	
Honorary member (Professional=ref.)	028		.027	
Leading rank (Operational=ref.)	010		048	
Years of service	.207*		.088	
No. life-threatening incidents	.096*		029	
Step 2 – Objective incident features		.044**		.052**
Type of incident				
House fire	029		061	
Natural disaster	016		.106*	
Terrorist attack	092		142	
Traffic accident	.007		.028	
Suicide attempt	.025		.048	
Hazardous/explosive substances	.029		.040	
Underwater rescue	.097		.009	
First aid	020		,011	
Other operation (-1)			,	
Years since incident	115**		016	
No. fatalities	.009		.061	

Table 2 Continued

	IES-R		PTGI-SF		
Predictors	β	ΔR^2	β	ΔR^2	
No. deceased known	055		123**		
Step 3 – Subjective incident features		.126***		.049**	
Most distressing aspect					
Life threatening emergency	034		051		
Victims were young	010		.029		
Personal thoughts/rumination	.077		.031		
Lack of control	017		020		
Death	.164***		.060		
Harmed/suffering humans	038		.065		
Operational stress	.035		091*		
Lack of resources	126**		013		
Contact with victims' relatives	.009		.039		
Overall situation	089*		017		
Rescue difficulties (-1)					
Peri-event emotional distress	.225***		.148***		
Perceived life-threat	.173***		.160***		
Step 4 – Country ^a		.086***		.127***	
Czech Republic	017		.132**		
Germany	023		018		
Italy	006		249***		
Poland	.327***		169***		

Hierarchical regression analysis predicting post-event distress and growth

Table 2 Continued

	IES-R		PTGI-SF			
Predictors	β	ΔR^2	В	ΔR^2		
Spain	032		004			
Sweden	125**		.044			
Turkey	.110*		.305***			
UK	124*		133**			
Migrant background (-1)						

Hierarchical regression analysis predicting post-event distress and growth

Note. **p* < .05 ***p* < .01 ****p* < .001

References

- Brewin, C. R., Andrews, B., & Valentine, J. D. (2000). Meta-analysis of risk factors for posttraumatic stress disorder in trauma-exposed adults. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68(5), 748-766. doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.68.5.748
- Briere, J., & Elliott, D. (2000). Prevalence, characteristics and long-term sequelae of natural disaster exposure in the general population. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 13(4), 661-679.
- Bryant, R. A., & Harvey, A. G. (1996). Posttraumatic stress reactions in volunteer firefighters. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 9(1), 51-62. doi: 10.1007/BF02116833
- Calhoun, L. G., Cann, A., & Tedeschi, R. G. (2010). The posttraumatic growth model:
 Sociocultural considerations. In T. Weiss & R. Berger (Eds.), *Posttraumatic growth* and culturally competent practice: Lessons learned from around the globe. (pp. 1-14).
 Hoboken, NJ: US: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Calhoun, L. G., & Tedeschi, R. G. (2006). The foundations of posttraumatic growth: an expanded framework. In L. G. Calhoun & R. G. Tedeschi (Eds.), *Handbook of posttraumatic growth. Research and practice* (pp. 1-23). Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaun Association.
- Cann, A., Calhoun, L. G., Tedeschi, R. G., Taku, K., Vishnevsky, T., Triplett, K. N., & Danhauer, S. C. (2010). A short form of the Posttraumatic Growth Inventory. *Anxiety, Stress & Coping: An International Journal*, 23(2), 127 - 137.
- Chopko, B. A. (2010). Posttraumatic Distress and Growth: An Empirical Study of Police Officers. *American Journal of Psychotherapy*, 64(1), 55-72.
- Clohessy, S., & Ehlers, A. (1999). PTSD symptoms, response to intrusive memories and coping in ambulance service workers. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 38, 251-265. doi: 10.1348/014466599162836

- Corneil, W., Beaton, R., Murphy, S., Johnson, C., & Pike, K. (1999). Exposure to traumatic incidents and prevalence of posttraumatic stress symptomatology in urban firefighters in two countries. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 4(2), 131-141. doi: 0.1037/1076-8998.4.2.131
- Creamer, M., Bell, R., & Failla, S. (2003). Psychometric properties of the Impact of Event Scale-Revised. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *41*(12), 1489-1496. doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2003.07.010
- Darves-Bornoz, J.-M., Alonso, J., de Girolamo, G., de Graaf, R., Haro, J.-M., Kovess-Masfety, V., Lepine, J.-P., Nachbaur, G., Negre-Pages, L., Viagut, G., Gasquet, I. (2008). Main Traumatic Events in Europe: PTSD in the European Study of the Epidemiology of Mental Disorders Survey. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 21(5), 455-462. doi: 10.1002/jts.20357
- Davidov, E., & Depner, F. (2011). Testing for measurement equivalence of human values across online and paper-and-pencil surveys. *Quality and Quantity*, *45*, 375–390. doi:10.1007/s11135-009-9297-9
- Del Ben, K. S., Scotti, J. R., Chen, Y.-C., & Fortson, B. L. (2006). Prevalence of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in firefighters. Work & Stress, 20(1), 37-48. doi: 10.1080/02678370600679512
- Gray, L. K. (2009). Emergency service providers' organizational climate and its role in the development of traumatic stress and posttraumatic growth. University of Windsor, Canada. Retrieved from http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=2009-99180-168&site=ehost-live
- Harris, M. B., Baloglu, M., & Stacks, J. R. (2002). Mental health of trauma-exposed firefighters and critical incident stress debriefing. *Journal of Loss & Trauma*, 7(3), 223-238. doi: 10.1080/10811440290057639

- Helgeson, V. S., Reynolds, K. A., & Tomich, P. L. (2006). A meta-analytic review of benefit finding and growth. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74(5), 797-816. doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.74.5.797
- Kehl, D., Knuth, D., Galea, E., Hulse, L., Sans, J., Valles, L., Seidler, F., Diebe, E., Kecklund, L., Petterson, S., Wolanin, J., Beltowski, G., Preiss, M., Holubova, M., Sofuoglu, T., Baskaya Sofuoglu, Z., Pietrantoni, L., Saccinto, E., & Schmidt, S. (2014). Advancing disaster relief: Development of a self-report questionnaire for firefighters. *International Perspectives in Psychology: Research, Practice and Consultation.* Advance online publication. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0036423
- Kinsinger, D. P., Penedo, F. J., Antoni, M. H., Dahn, J. R., Lechner, S., & Schneiderman, N. (2006). Psychosocial and sociodemographic correlates of benefit-finding in men treated for localized prostate cancer. *Psychooncology*, 15(11), 954-961. doi: 10.1002/pon.1028
- Knuth, D., Kehl, D., Hulse, L., & Schmidt, S. (2013). Perievent distress during fires The impact of perceived emergency knowledge. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 34, 10-17 doi: 10.1016/j.jenvp.2012.12.002
- Knuth, D., Kehl, D., Stegemann, K., & Schmidt, S. (2013). Recruitment strategies across all centers. In S. Schmidt & E. Galea (Eds.), *Behaviour-Security-Culture. Human behaviour in emergencies and disasters: A cross-cultural investigation*. Lengerich: Papst Science Publishers.
- Kunadharaju, K., Smith, T. D., & DeJoy, D. M. (2011). Line-of-duty deaths among U.S. firefighters: An analysis of fatality investigations. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 43, 1171-1180. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2010.12.030
- Leykin, D., Lahad, M., & Bonneh, N. (2013). Posttraumatic Symptoms and Posttraumatic Growth of Israeli Firefighters, at One Month following the Carmel Fire Disaster. *Psychiatry Journal, 2013*, 5. doi: 10.1155/2013/274121

- Lilly, M. M., Pole, N., Best, S. R., Metzler, T., & Marmar, C. R. (2009). Gender and PTSD:What can we learn from female police officers? *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 23(6), 767-774.
- Linley, P. A., & Joseph, S. (2004). Positive Change Following Trauma and Adversity: A Review. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, *17*(1), 11-21. doi: 10.1023/B:JOTS.0000014671.27856.7e
- Marmar, C. R., Weiss, D. S., Metzler, T. J., Ronfeldt, H. M., & Foreman, C. (1996). Stress
 Responses of Emergency Services Personnel to the Loma Prieta Earthquake Interstate
 880 Freeway Collapse and Control Traumatic Incidents. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*,
 9(1), 63-85. doi: 10.1002/jts.2490090107
- Mayring, P. (2002). *Qualitative Inhaltsanalyse Grundlagen und Techniken* (8 ed.). Stuttgart, Germany: UTB Beltz Verlag.
- McMillen, C., Smith, E. M., & Fisher, R. H. (1997). Perceived benefit and mental health after three types of disaster. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 65(5), 733-739. doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.65.5.733
- Norman, S. B., Trim, R. S., Goldsmith, A. A., Dimsdale, J. E., Hoyt, D. B., Norman, G. J., & Stein, M. B. (2011). Role of risk factors proximate to time of trauma in the course of PTSD and MDD symptoms following traumatic injury. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 24(4), 390-398. doi: 10.1002/jts.20669
- Ozer, E. J., Best, S. R., Lipsey, T. L., & Weiss, D. S. (2003). Predictors of posttraumatic stress disorder and symptoms in adults: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129(1), 52-73. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.129.1.52
- Paton, D. (2005). Posttraumatic growth in protective services professionals: Individual, cognitive and organizational influences. *Traumatology*, 11(4), 335-346. doi: 10.1177/153476560501100411

- Power, M. (2003). Development of a common instrument for quality of life. In A. Nosiko & C. Gudex (Eds.), *EUROHIS. Developing common instruments for health surveys* (pp. 145–161). Amsterdam: Published on behalf of the World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe by IOS Press.
- Schmidt, S., & Galea, E. (Eds.). (2013). Behaviour-Security-Culture. Human behaviour in emergencies and disasters: A cross-cultural investigation. Lengerich: Papst Science Publishers.
- Schmidt, S., Mühlan, H., & Power, M. (2006). The EUROHIS-QOL 8-item index:
 Psychometric results of a cross-cultural field study. *European Journal of Public Health, 16,* 420 – 428. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/cki155
- Sears, S. R., Stanton, A. L., & Danoff-Burg, S. (2003). The yellow brick road and the emerald city: Benefit finding, positive reappraisal coping and posttraumatic growth in women with early-stage breast cancer. *Health Psychology*, 22(5), 487-497. doi: 10.1037/0278-6133.22.5.487
- Shakespeare-Finch, J. E., & Armstrong, D. K. (2010). Trauma type and posttrauma outcomes:
 Differences between survivors of motor vehicle accidents, sexual assault, and bereavement. *Journal of Loss and Trauma, 15*(2), 69-82. doi: 10.1080/15325020903373151
- Shakespeare-Finch, J. E., Smith, S. G., Gow, K. M., Embelton, G., & Baird, L. (2003). The Prevalence of Post-Traumatic Growth in Emergency Ambulance Personnel. *Traumatology*, 9(1), 58-71. doi: 10.1177/153476560300900104
- Stanton, A. L., Bower, J. E., & Low, C. A. (2006). Posttraumatic Growth After Cancer. In L.
 G. Calhoun & R. G. Tedeschi (Eds.), *Handbook of posttraumatic growth: Research & practice*. (pp. 138-175). Mahwah, NJ US: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.

- Taku, K., Cann, A., Tedeschi, R. G., & Calhoun, L. G. (2009). Intrusive versus deliberate rumination in posttraumatic growth across US and Japanese samples. *Anxiety, Stress* & Coping, 22(2), 129-136. doi: 10.1080/10615800802317841
- Taku, K., Tedeschi, R. G., Cann A., & Calhoun, L. G. (2009). The culture of disclosure: Effects of perceived reactions to disclosure on posttraumatic growth and distress in Japan. Journal of Social & Clinical Psychology, 28(10), 1226-1243.
- Tedeschi, R. G., & Calhoun, L. G. (1996). The Posttraumatic Growth Inventory: measuring the positive legacy of trauma. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 9(3), 455-471. doi: 10.1002/jts.2490090305
- Urcuyo, K. R., Boyers, A. E., Carver, C. S., & Antoni, M. H. (2005). Finding benefit in breast cancer: Relations with personality, coping, and concurrent well-being. *Psychology & Health*, 20, 175-192. doi: 10.1080/08870440512331317634
- Weiss, D. S., & Marmar, C. R. (1996). The Impact of Event Scale Revised. In J. P. Wilson
 & T. M. Keane (Eds.), Assessing psychological trauma and PTSD (pp. 399-411): New York Guilford.
- Widows, M. R., Jacobsen, P. B., Booth-Jones, M., & Fields, K. K. (2005). Predictors of Posttraumatic Growth Following Bone Marrow Transplantation for Cancer. *Health Psychology*, 24(3), 266-273. doi: 10.1037/0278-6133.24.3.266
- Witteveen, A. B., Bramsen, I., Twisk, J. W. R., Huizink, A. C., Slottje, P., Smid, T., & Van Der Ploeg, H. M. (2007). Psychological Distress of Rescue Workers Eight and One-Half Years After Professional Involvement in the Amsterdam Air Disaster. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 195*(1), 31-40. doi: 10.1097/01.nmd.0000252010.19753.19