This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by **BIOMED CENTRAL LTD**. in **BMC MICROBIOLOGY** on **2 May 2015**, available online: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12866-015-0425-5</u>

1 Prevalence and genetic diversity of endosymbiotic bacteria

2 infecting cassava whiteflies in Africa

- 3
- 4 Saptarshi Ghosh, Sophie Bouvaine and M. N. Maruthi#
- 5
- 6 Natural Resources Institute, University of Greenwich, Chatham, Kent, ME4 4TB, UK
- 7 <u>S.Ghosh@greenwich.ac.uk</u>, <u>S.Bouvaine@greenwich.ac.uk</u>, <u>m.n.maruthi@greenwich.ac.uk</u>
- 8
- 9 #Corresponding author
- 10
- 11
- 11
- 12

13 Abstract

14 Background: Cassava provides over half of the dietary requirement for more than 200 million poor in Africa. In recent years, cassava has been affected by an epidemic of a virus disease 15 16 called cassava brown streak disease (CBSD) that is spreading in much of eastern and central Africa, affecting food security and the economic development of the poor. The viruses that 17 cause CBSD are transmitted by the insect vector whitefly (Bemisia tabaci), which have 18 increased to very high numbers in some African countries. Strains of endosymbiotic bacteria 19 infecting whiteflies have been reported to interact specifically with different whitefly 20 populations with varied effects on its host biology and efficiency of virus transmission. The 21 22 main aim of this study was therefore to investigate the prevalence and diversity of the secondary endosymbiotic bacteria infecting cassava whiteflies with a view to better understand 23 their role on insect population dynamics and virus disease epidemics. 24

Results: The genetic diversity of field-collected whitefly from Tanzania, Malawi, Uganda and 25 26 Nigeria was determined by mitochondrial DNA based phylogeny and restriction fragment 27 length polymorphism. Cassava in these countries was infected with five whitefly populations, and each one was infected with different endosymbiotic bacteria. Incidences of Arsenophonus, 28 29 *Rickettsia*, *Wolbachia* and *Cardinium* varied amongst the populations. *Wolbachia* was the most predominant symbiont with infection levels varying from 21 to 97%. Infection levels of 30 Arsenophonus varied from 17 to 64% and that of Rickettsia was 0 to 53%. Hamiltonella and 31 Fritschea were absent in all the samples. Multiple locus sequence typing identified four 32 different strains of Wolbachia infecting cassava whiteflies. A common strain of Wolbachia 33 34 infected the whitefly population Sub-Saharan Africa 1-subgroup 1 (SSA1-SG1) and SSA1-SG2, while others were infected with different strains. Phylogeny based on 16S rDNA of 35 Rickettsia and 23S rDNA of Arsenophonus also identified distinct strains. 36

37 Conclusions: Genetically diverse bacteria infect cassava whiteflies in Africa with varied
38 prevalence across different host populations, which may affect their whitefly biology. Further
39 studies are required to investigate the role of endosymbionts to better understand the whitefly
40 population dynamics.

41 Key words: Cassava, whitefly, mtCOI, Wolbachia, Rickettsia, Arsenophonus

43 Background

The whitefly, Bemisia tabaci (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) has gained importance as one of the 44 most important agricultural pests owing to its wide geographic spread, large host range of over 45 500 hosts, and most significantly as a vector of over 100 different plant viruses in the tropical 46 and subtropical regions of the world [1, 2]. B. tabaci is a cryptic species complex comprising 47 at least 24 morphologically indistinguishable species [3] with a proposed origin in sub-Saharan 48 Africa (SSA) and with high variability in mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (mtCOI) 49 50 nucleotide sequences amongst major geographical clades [2, 4]. Cassava, a key food security crop throughout SSA, suffers devastating yield losses due to *B. tabaci*-borne cassava mosaic 51 52 begomoviruses (CMBs) and cassava brown streak viruses (CBSVs). These cause cassava mosaic disease (CMD) and cassava brown streak disease (CBSD), respectively [5, 6]. Five 53 genetically distinct groups of B. tabaci, named Sub-Saharan Africa 1 to 5 (SSA 1-5) colonise 54 55 cassava in SSA. These have been generally referred to as cassava whiteflies in this and other studies. SSA1 occurs throughout the SSA, SSA2 in East and West Africa, SSA3 in Cameroon 56 57 and Togo, SSA4 only in Cameroon and SSA5 in South Africa [6]. Based on mtCOI sequence 58 divergences, SSA1 was further divided into four subgroups; SSA1- subgroup 1 (SSA1-SG1), SSA1-SG2, SSA1-SG3 and SSA1-SG4 [6]. 59

60

Superabundant *B. tabaci* populations, commonly numbering more than 1000 adults per top five leaves, of cassava plants, have been associated with the rapid spread of CMD pandemic in East and Central Africa since the late 1990s. SSA2, the then super abundant population which was also described as the 'invader or UG2', was associated with the spread of the CMD pandemic [7, 8]. In recent years, a shift in the *B. tabaci* population has occurred with the relative frequency of SSA1-SG1 increasing from 24.6% to 89.2%, while the frequencies of SSA2 and SSA1-SG2 decreasing significantly from 63.9% to 1.4%, and 11.5% to 1.4%, respectively, between 1997 and 2010 [6]. The reasons for this natural shift in cassava population remain
unknown. Similar shift in genetic diversity of populations are reported for *B. tabaci* species,
mainly with respect to the population replacement by the invasive Middle East-Asia Minor 1
(MEAM1, previously B-biotype) and Mediterranean (MED, previously Q-biotype) populations
[9-11].

73 In addition to the primary endosymbiont *Portiera alevrodidarum*, the species *B. tabaci* has been reported to harbour six vertically transmitted secondary endosymbionts, Arsenophonus, 74 75 Hamiltonella, Cardinium, Fritschea and Rickettsia [12-14]. Recently, a new bacterium named Candidatus hemipteriphilus asiaticus was also found to infect B. tabaci from China [15]. 76 77 Several of these endosymbionts can affect the biology and behaviour of *B. tabaci. Wolbachia* and Cardinium in particular are known to induce cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI), a process 78 79 in which the host reproduction is manipulated to allow rapid spread of bacteria through insect populations [16]. Whether such phenotypes are induced by these bacteria in *B. tabaci* remains 80 unknown. *Rickettsia*, when infecting MEAM1, provided fitness benefits by increased fecundity 81 82 and survival [17], increased heat stress tolerance [18], defence against pathogens [19] but 83 occasionally also increased the susceptibility to insecticides [20]. Fritschea has reported negative impact with reduced fecundity and narrowing the host range of infected New World 84 species of whiteflies [21]. Endosymbionts can also alter the vector ability of B. tabaci. 85 Hamiltonella in MEAM1 and Arsenophonus in Asia II populations facilitated virus 86 transmission by releasing a bacterial chaperonin GroEL that binds and protects virus particles 87 during their transit through the insect body [22, 23]. Hamiltonella in the MED and Rickettsia 88 89 in MEAM1 populations are also reported to increase acquisition, retention and transmission of *Tomato yellow leaf curl virus* [24, 25]. The study of intracellular bacterial communities in these 90 91 whiteflies and their impact on the host was essential for understanding the dynamics of insect populations and their vector abilities. In this study, we identified the endosymbionts infecting 92

cassava whiteflies, determined their infection frequencies in different populations and
characterised the diverse bacterial species by sequencing. We have also developed a cost
effective and reliable restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) diagnostic method for
the molecular typing of the cassava whitefly populations.

97 **Results**

98 **RFLP** for molecular typing of cassava whiteflies

99 The mtCOI locus has been the most commonly used marker for genotyping whiteflies but the 100 cost and time involved in gene sequencing and analysis are a limiting factor for routine diagnosis and processing large number of samples in epidemiological studies. We therefore 101 102 developed a quick and cost-effective RFLP technique as an alternative to type SSA cassava whiteflies used in this study that efficiently identified the different populations. The RFLP was 103 carried out in two steps. In the first step, digesting mtCOI products with Bgl II cleaved SSA2 104 105 into two fragments of size 615 and 252 bp but did not cleave mtCOI loci from other populations (Fig. 1a). In the second step, digesting mtCOI products from SSA1 and SSA3 with Apo I and 106 107 Dde I produced 2 to 5 fragments of distinctive sizes (Fig. 1b). SSA1-SG1 and SSA3 were distinguished by the presence of fragments 122 and 213 bp, respectively. SSA1-SG2, SSA1-108 SG3 and SSA1-SG5 were identified by the presence of bigger fragments of 493, 402 and 344 109 bp, respectively (Fig. 1b). These patterns were obtained consistently on 20 samples digested 110 for each population. Fragments below 100 bp size were not visualised reliably on agarose gels, 111 which were therefore discounted from the analysis. 112

113

114 Cassava whitefly diversity and detection

The mtCOI locus of cassava whiteflies indicated the predominance of SSA1 populations in the countries sampled, the only other group present was SSA3 in Nigeria. All *B. tabaci* samples analysed from Tanzania (35 out of 35) belonged to SSA1-SG3 type. In Malawi, about 89.1% (41/46) whiteflies were SSA1-SG3 and the remaining 10.8% (5/46) were SSA1-SG2. In
Uganda, 69.4% (68/98) were SSA1-SG1 and 30.6% (30/98) were SSA1-SG2 (Fig. 2). The
Nigerian (Ibadan) populations belonged to the SSA1 group in the phylogenetic trees but did
not cluster with any of the known four sub-groups. They clustered separately with sequences
from Ghana from the database; they are therefore referred to as SSA1-SG5 (Fig. 3). In Nigeria,
60.3% (41/68) were SSA1-SG5, 35.3% (24/68) SSA3 and 4.4% (3/68) were SSA1-SG1 type
(Fig. 2). SSA2 and SSA1-SG4 were not found in our study.

125

126 Prevalence of bacterial endosymbionts

The primary endosymbiont *Portiera* was detected in all the samples as expected. The secondary symbionts were found in 77.3% (191 whiteflies infected out of 247 tested) of the insects and their prevalence varied significantly across the different whitefly populations (Fig. 4). The overall infection frequencies of *Wolbachia*, *Arsenophonus*, *Rickettsia* and *Cardinium* in the cassava whiteflies were 49.4% (122/247), 40.5% (100/247), 22.3% (55/247) and 0.8% (2/247),

132 respectively. *Hamiltonella* and *Fritschea* were not detected in any of the whiteflies tested.

133

Highest and lowest rates of infection by *Arsenophonus* were seen in SSA1-SG3 (64.5%, 49/76)
and SSA1-SG2 (17.1%, 6/35), respectively (Table S2, see Additional file 1). *Arsenophonus*was present mostly as double infections, with *Wolbachia* in SSA1-SG1 (17%) and SSA1-SG2
(11%), and with *Rickettsia* in SSA1-SG3 (28%). *Arsenophonus* was present in SSA1-SG5 and
SSA3 mainly as single infections (Fig. 5).

139

Rickettsia was absent in SSA1-SG5 but most abundant in SSA1-SG3 (53.9%, 41/76) followed
by SSA1-SG2 (20%, 7/35). Its infection levels in other populations were negligible. *Cardinium*

was the least prevalent endosymbiont, detected only in 2 out of the 76 SSA1-SG3 (2.6%) butnot in other populations.

144

Wolbachia was most abundant amongst the secondary bacteria and was the commonest
symbiont in SSA1-SG1 and SSA1-SG2 populations, mostly as single infections (Fig. 5). It was
nearly fixed in SSA1-SG2 (97.1%, 34/35), and was much higher compared to infections seen
in all other populations (Table S2, see Additional file 1).

149

A high percentage of whiteflies were completely free of secondary symbionts in SSA1-SG1 (38.0%) followed by SSA1-SG5 (29.2%), SSA3 (25.0%), SSA1-SG3 (13.1%), and only 2.8% in SSA1-SG2 (Fig. 5). Cassava whiteflies predominantly were singly infected by a symbiont (59.1%, 113/191), mostly by *Wolbachia* (34.0%, 65/191) whereas only 36.6% (70/191) and 4.1% (8/191) had double and triple infections, respectively. Co-infections were commonest in SSA1-SG3 (54.5%, 36/66) (Fig. 5).

156

157 Genetic diversity of endosymbionts

All five MLST fragments were amplified from *Wolbachia* infections from SSA1-SG2 and SSA1-SG3 from East African *B. tabaci* populations. However, only *coxA* was amplified from SSA1-SG5 and none from SSA3 from Nigeria despite exhaustive efforts. For SSA1-SG1, only *coxA*, *ftsZ*, *gatB* and *hcpA* were amplified except for one sample for which all five MLST markers were amplified.

163

Four unique *Wolbachia* sequence types were identified in this study, which were all submitted to the *Wolbachia* pubMLST database (Table 2). SSA1-SG1 and SSA1-SG2 were infected with identical *Wolbachia* based on five MLST alleles. These were unique to African cassava 167 whiteflies as they shared no allele from other reported Wolbachia from B. tabaci but shared four common alleles with *Eretmocerus* sp. (parasitoid of whitefly), butterflies and *Spodoptera* 168 exempta from wide geographical distances in the USA, Japan, India and Tanzania (Table 2). 169 170 In contrast, SSA1-SG3 was infected with two different *Wolbachia*, but they shared three alleles (coxA=88, hcpA=106, fbpA=9) with B. tabaci from China and USA. Phylogeny of the 171 concatenated MLST sequences of Wolbachia from whiteflies clustered into three sub-clades, 172 W1, W2 and W3 (Fig. 6). W1 sequences were from SSA1-SG1 and SSA1-SG2, and these were 173 closely related (\geq 99.9% identical, Table 3) to *Culex* and butterfly species (*Hypolimnus*, *Cepora* 174 175 and Telicada). W2 isolates contained SSA1-SG3, and was closer to Wolbachia from B. tabaci from other geographical regions and host plants. W3 consisted of isolates from *B. tabaci* from 176 Asia and Bemisia afer from Nigeria. Similar results were obtained when the phylogenetic 177 178 analysis of the *wsp* gene was sequenced for *Wolbachia* as the SSA1-SG1 and SSA1-SG2 were clustered together and separately from SSA1-SG3 (Fig. 7). Comparison of Wolbachia strains 179 showed that W1 isolates differed by a minimum of 4.5% nucleotides from W2 and W3 isolates, 180 and W2 and W3 isolates differed by a minimum of 1% for MLST sequences (Table 3). 181

182

The 23S rDNA sequences of Arsenophonus from cassava whiteflies clustered into three sub 183 clades A1, A2, A3 with bootstrap scores of >70% (Fig. 8). A3 isolates differed by 5.8% from 184 A1, and 9.4% from A2 isolates (Table 4). These were incongruent with the evolution of the 185 186 whitefly host based on mtCOI phylogeny. The samples belonging to clade A3 had additional 160 bp sequences and closely related (99.5% identity, Table 4) to sequences from 187 Arsenophonus nasoniae, a male killing endosymbiont in the parasitic wasp, Nasonia 188 189 vitripennis. One SSA1-SG2 and SSA1-SG3 sample was each infected by both A2 and A3 strains of Arsenophonus. 190

The *Rickettsia* 16S rDNA sequences grouped into two clusters, R1 and R2 (Fig. 9) with more than 8.5% nucleotide distances between them (Table 5). R1 strains were detected only in SSA1-SG3 and SSA1-SG2 populations and were identical to the *Rickettsia* from invasive MEAM1 and MED species which were closer to strains from *Rickettsia* sp. nr *Bellii*. R2 strains were identical to the other strains from native whiteflies from India and China. *Cardinium* was detected only in SSA1-SG3 and the sequences were identical to the strains infecting Indian whiteflies (Fig. 10).

199

200 Discussion

The main aim of this study was to determine the prevalence and genetic diversity of secondary endosymbionts infecting cassava whiteflies in SSA. Whiteflies harbour multiple bacterial symbionts that play essential roles on insect biology, evolution and virus transmission. Understanding cassava whitefly diversity and the bacterial communities co-existing, within the cassava ecosystem is essential to understand the near extinction of some cassava populations in recent years, or the development of superabundant populations and the resultant epidemics of CMD and CBSD in Eastern and Central African countries in recent years [8, 26, 27].

208

At first, the genetic diversity of cassava whiteflies from Uganda, Tanzania, Malawi and 209 210 Nigeria was studied by mtCOI sequence. This was done to establish the correlation between 211 the prevalence of symbionts in different whitefly populations. Cassava in these countries was colonised by five genetically different whitefly populations. SSA1 and its various sub-groups 212 was predominant in the countries sampled, the only other group present was SSA3 in Nigeria, 213 214 while SSA2 was not detected. Only SSA1-SG3 was found in coastal Tanzania, while Malawi had high proportions of SSA1-SG3 (89.1%) than Uganda SSA1-SG1 (69.4%) (Fig. 2). Based 215 216 on mtCOI phylogeny, a new population was found in Nigeria, which we referred to as SSA1SG5 (Fig. 3). SSA1-SG5 was predominant (60.3%) in Nigeria, followed by SSA3 (35.3%)

and a very few individuals of SSA1-SG1 (4.4%). Overall, these results are concurrent with
the previous studies that have also shown high levels of genetic diversity amongst the cassava
whitefly populations in SSA [6, 27-29].

221

As seen above and in previous studies, mtCOI is shown to be a reliable marker for separating 222 whitefly species and sub-populations. However, using this as a marker requires sequencing and 223 thus incurs high costs and time. In addition, the threat of the two cassava virus disease 224 225 pandemics spread by the superabundant B. tabaci populations requires simpler monitoring system for effective disease management. We therefore developed a robust RFLP method for 226 typing cassava whiteflies relatively quickly. Using the two-step method and three restriction 227 228 enzymes described in this study, we were able to reliably assign whiteflies to phylogenetic groups and subgroups found in this study, and thus saving costs as well as time. 229

230

Typing the various bacteria infecting these whiteflies, however, proved far more challenging as some of the methods and primers described in the literature did not work initially on cassava whitefly endosymbionts. This was probably because of the high genetic diversity seen in both cassava whiteflies and the various bacteria that infected them. New primers were therefore developed where necessary and the DNA extraction methods and PCR conditions were optimised. Diagnosis of various bacteria confidently was a pre-requisite to understand the genetic diversity of bacteria infecting cassava whiteflies.

238

Using the above methods, genetically diverse bacteria were found to infect cassava whiteflies
in SSA. *Rickettsia*, *Arsenophonus*, *Wolbachia* and *Cardinium* were detected in cassava
whiteflies, but not *Hamiltonella* and *Fritschea*. *Hamiltonella* was also absent in other native

whitefly populations in India and China [30, 31], but was reported to be present in SSA1 242 cassava whiteflies from Tanzania [32]. This is contrasting to our study, and we cannot clearly 243 explain the differences between the two studies at this time. Some of the possible explanations, 244 however, include high site to site variation seen in endosymbiont profiles of cassava whiteflies 245 within a country (Tajebe L., pers comm), and that our samples may have been collected 246 coincidentally from Hamiltonella-free sites. Other reasons include the low titre of the bacteria 247 in our samples which was beyond the limits of PCR detection, or primer mismatch in PCR 248 reactions. We did obtain unspecific amplification of Arsenophonus from Hamiltonella-specific 249 250 primers in initial studies, which indicated primer mismatch. The Hamiltonella-specific primers, therefore, should be used with care in future studies, while the *Hamiltonella* quandary between 251 Tajebe et al. [32] and this study remains to be resolved. We used MLST to characterise 252 253 Wolbachia. All five MLST alleles were amplified from all our populations except only coxA 254 was amplified from SSA1-SG5 and none from SSA3 after exhaustive efforts. Difficulties in amplification of MLST alleles have been reported previously, and could be due to high 255 variability of these genes or low titres of the symbiont [33, 34]. The surface protein *wsp* was 256 therefore used as an alternative marker and this marker also confirmed the high diversity of 257 Wolbachia infecting cassava whiteflies. 258

259

Overall, about 77.3% of cassava whiteflies were infected with at least one secondary symbiont, while the remaining 22.7% were completely free of the tested bacteria. These results were similar to the incidences of secondary symbionts seen in other *B. tabaci*, which ranged from 78% to 100% [14, 30, 35, 36]. A high percentage of the superabundant SSA1-SG1 from the CMD pandemic areas [32] and SSA1-SG5 whiteflies [37] were also reported to be free of secondary symbionts. Further studies comparing the fecundity and life cycle of bacteriainfected and uninfected cassava whiteflies is essential to understand the reasons behind the 267 development of superabundant whiteflies, and the supposed interactions between symbionts268 and cassava whiteflies.

269

270 Single infections of bacteria were more prevalent (59% of total infections) in cassava whiteflies than double (37%) and triple (4%) infections. This was slightly contrary to other studies in 271 which co-infections were more common (> 60%) than single infections [14, 36, 32]. The 272 reasons or the implications of this is unknown but could be due to competition for space and 273 resources among the symbionts [38] or the tolerance of the host to harbour many bacterial 274 275 communities [35]. Although this is yet to be investigated thoroughly for cassava whiteflies, but specific interactions between bacterial strains and whitefly populations was clearly evident. 276 For example, SSA1-SG1 and SSA1-SG2 were both infected with similar strains of Wolbachia, 277 278 which were similar to those bacteria infecting butterflies and mosquitoes, whereas SSA1-SG3 was infected with a different Wolbachia. Infection levels of Rickettsia were highest in SSA1-279 SG3 (54%), which was also similar to the invasive Rickettsia sp. nr Bellii strain that invaded 280 the whitefly population MEAM1 in the USA with fitness benefits to the infected host [17]. 281 However, infection with the same strain of *Rickettsia* in MEAM1 populations from Israel had 282 no selective advantage to the host [39] and this further indicates specific interaction between 283 symbiont and host genotype or the environment. When and how the Rickettsia invaded cassava 284 285 whiteflies is unknown, but it remains to be seen if they also provide fitness benefits or not on 286 cassava plants. Another puzzle in the jigsaw of whitefly-bacterial interactions was the detection of three different strains of Arsenophonus in cassava whiteflies. Strain A3 in particular was 287 highly divergent, 7% nucleotide differences, compared to other Arsenophonus infecting B. 288 289 tabaci across the world. A3 is closely related to the male killing Arsenophonus nasoniae [40], which again might influence the population dynamics and remains the focus of our future 290 investigations. In summary, our findings provide insights to the diverse bacterial species 291

infecting cassava whiteflies in African countries, and that these should be considered in future
studies aiming to better understand the changing population dynamics in African cassava fields.

295 Conclusions

Genetically diverse bacteria infect cassava whiteflies in Africa and their prevalence varied across the different whitefly populations and geographies. Optimising the diagnostic protocols and the characterisation of endosymbionts infecting cassava whiteflies will be highly useful for future investigations on the role of the bacteria on whitefly biology, population development and virus transmission.

301

302 Materials & Methods

303 Whitefly sampling and populations studied

Adult whiteflies collected on cassava plants in four countries; Tanzania, Uganda, Malawi and Nigeria (Table1) and preserved in alcohol were used in diversity studies. Two laboratory populations of cassava whiteflies originally collected from Uganda and Tanzania [26] and were subsequently maintained on cassava plants in insectary conditions (27±5 °C, 60% relative humidity and L12:D12). These were used for detecting endosymbionts and studying their genetic diversity.

310

311 Detection and molecular characterisation of endosymbionts

Total DNA was extracted from individual adult whiteflies using the Chelex method [41] with slight modifications. Each whitefly was ground in 100 μ l TE solution (10 mM Tris-Hcl and 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) containing 20% Chelex (BIO-RAD, UK) and 300 μ g Proteinase K. Samples were incubated at 60 °C for 1.5 hours followed by protein denaturation at 96 °C for 10 minutes. Samples were then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm and the supernatant was collected

317 and stored at -20 °C. Whitefly mtCOI genes and the endosymbiont 16S or 23S rDNA were amplified by polymerase chain reactions (PCR) using genus specific primers (see Additional 318 file 1). New primers were designed for Cardinium and Wolbachia to increase efficiency and 319 320 specificity of detection. Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) based on the diversity of five conserved housekeeping genes; coxA, fbpA, ftsZ, gatB and hcpA have been used as a standard 321 tool for strain typing and evolutionary studies of Wolbachia. The MLST approach was used to 322 characterize the Wolbachia infecting cassava whiteflies using standard primers and protocols 323 [42]. The Wolbachia surface protein (wsp) gene was also used as an additional marker for 324 325 characterisation. Amplification of these genes was carried out in 25 µl volumes using 2 µl DNA lysate as template, 0.4 µM of each primer, 0.15 mM of dNTPs, 1 x DreamTag Green buffer 326 and 0.5 unit DreamTaq Green DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific Ltd., UK). Amplifications 327 328 consisted of 94 °C for 3 minutes followed by 38 cycles of 94 °C for 30 seconds, annealing for 45 seconds (Table S1), 72 °C for 1.5 minutes and final extension for 7 minutes at 72 °C. PCR 329 products were visualised on 1% agarose gels containing RedSafe nucleic acid staining solution 330 331 (Intron Biotechnology, Korea). PCR products were purified and submitted for Sanger sequencing (Source Bioscience, UK) in both directions per whitefly sample, and five samples 332 were sequenced for each location. Endosymbionts were also detected and sequences from two 333 laboratory whitefly strains (Table 1). Sequences were compared to known sequences in 334 335 databases using the BLAST algorithm in NCBI.

336

337 Developing a diagnostic tool for cassava whiteflies

The mtCOI fragments from five whitefly samples per location were sequenced, followed by phylogenetic analysis with reference sequences of haplotypes [6] for the identification of consensus haplotype groupings. The whitefly mtCOI sequences generated were analysed to identify unique restriction endonuclease sites using the software package NEBcutter

(http://tools.neb.com/NEBcutter2). Three enzymes Bgl II (A/GATCT), Apo I (R/AATTY) and 342 Dde I (C/TNAG) were found to produce unique patterns across SSA populations. The mtCOI 343 fragments were re-amplified from at least 20 adults for each cassava whitefly population using 344 3 µl of DNA template and 1 unit of DreamTag DNA polymerase in 30 µl volume reactions (40 345 cycles) for higher yields. Previously extracted DNA from four SSA2 whitefly samples were 346 used in this assay as reference samples [26]. The RFLP was carried out in a two-step procedure. 347 First, 15 µl of PCR products were digested with 5 units of Bgl II. Second, the remaining 15 µl 348 of PCR products were digested with 5 units each of Apo I and Dde I at 37 °C for 1.5 hours. 349 350 Digested products were electrophoresed separately on 2% agarose gels.

351

352 **Phylogenetic and statistical analysis**

353 The mtCOI sequences from the whitefly, the 16S or 23S rDNA sequences from the endosymbionts and the MLST sequences from Wolbachia were aligned separately using 354 ClustalW of MEGA 5.2 [43]. Phylogenetic trees were constructed by the maximum-likelihood 355 method using MEGA 5.2. Different nucleotide substitution models were used based on the 356 lowest Bayesian information criterion scores obtained. Phylogenetic trees for mtCOI and 357 Wolbachia were generated using the T93+G+I substitution model, the HKY+G substitution 358 model for Arsenophonus, the K2+G substitution model for Rickettsia and the K2 substitution 359 360 model for *Cardinium* [44]. The robustness of the clades was assessed by 1000 bootstrap 361 replicates.

362

The probabilities of bacterial infections in cassava whitefly populations were predicted using simple binomial logistic regression. Each bacterium was used as the dependent variable and the whitefly populations as independent variables. Differences in infection patterns among

366	groups were evaluated by Tukey's HSD test using the glht function from multcomp package
367	of R [45].
368	
369	Availability of supporting data
370	The data sets supporting the results of this article are available in the MLST and EMBL
371	database with unique sequence and accession numbers. These are currently publicly available.
372	Genbank accession numbers generated in this study are as below; mtCOI sequences
373	KM377899 to KM377952, and KM407138 to KM407141; Wolbachia wsp KP208705 to
374	KP208733; Arsenophonus 23S rDNA KM377863 to KM377898, Rickettsia 16S rDNA
375	KM386372 to KM38687; and Cardinium KM386388. The accession number for the MLST
376	sequence types on the pubMLST database for the Wolbachia infecting cassava whitefly are
377	423-425 and 427.
378	
379	List of abbreviations
380	
381	
382	Competing interests
383	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
384	
385	Author contributions
386	MNM conceived the work, designed research, collected samples and corrected the paper
387	extensively. SB helped with analysis and corrected the paper. SG designed and performed
388	research, carried out most of the analysis and made initial draft of the paper.
389	

390 Authors' information

- 391 Saptarshi Ghosh is a PhD student at the Natural Resources Institute, University of Greenwich,392 UK.
- 393 Sophie Bouvaine is a Research Fellow at the Natural Resources Institute, University of394 Greenwich, UK.
- 395 M. N. Maruthi, who is commonly known as Maruthi M. N. Gowda is a Reader in Molecular
- 396 Plant Pathology at the Natural Resources Institute, University of Greenwich, UK.

398 Acknowledgements

Part of this work was funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation as part of the Grand
Challenges Explorations Grant (Number OPP1060099) awarded to the Natural Resources
Institute. We thank Gerald Otti, Geoffrey Mkamillo, Ibrahim Benesi and Peter Wasswa for
their cooperation in collecting whitefly samples in the fields. SG received a scholarship from
the University of Greenwich for his PhD.

404

405 **References**

406	1.	Jones DR: 2003. Plant viruses transmitted by whiteflies. Eur J Plant Path 2003,
407		109: 195-219.
408	2.	De Barro PJ, Liu SS, Boykin LM and Dinsdale AB: Bemisia tabaci: a statement of
409		species status. Ann Rev Entomol 2011, 56:1–19.
410	3.	Dinsdale A, Cook L, Riginos C, Buckley YM, De Barro P: Refined global analysis
411		of Bemisia tabaci (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aleyrodoidea: Aleyrodidae)
412		mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1 to identify species level genetic boundaries.
413		Ann Entomol Soc Am 2010, 103: 196–208.

414	4.	Brown J K: Phylogenetic biology of the Bemisia tabaci sibling species group. In
415		Bemisia: Bionomics and Management of a Global Pest. Edited by Stansley PA,
416		Naranjo SE. Dordrecht-Heidelberg-London-New York: Springer; 2010:31-67.
417	5.	Legg JP, Fauquet CM: Cassava viruses in Africa. Plant Mol Biol 2004, 56:585-599.
418	6.	Legg JP, Sseruwagi P, Boniface S, Okao-Okuja G, Shirima R, Bigirimana S, Gashaka
419		G, Herrman HW, Jeremiah S, Obiero H, Ndyetabula I, Tata-Hangy W, Masembe C,
420		Brown JK: Spatio-temporal patterns of genetic change amongst populations of
421		cassava Bemisia tabaci whiteflies driving virus pandemics in East and Central
422		Africa. Virus Res 2013, 186:61–75.
423	7.	Legg JP, French R, Rogan D, Okao-Okuja G, Brown JK: A distinct Bemisia tabaci
424		(Gennadius) (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aleyrodidae) genotype cluster is
425		associated with the epidemic of severe cassava mosaic virus disease in Uganda.
426		<i>Mol Ecol</i> 2002, 11: 1219–1229.
427	8.	Legg JP, Owor B, Sseruwagi P, Ndunguru J: Cassava mosaic virus disease in East
428		and Central Africa: epidemiology and management of a regional pandemic. Adv
429		Virus Res 2006, 67: 355–418.
430	9.	Simón B, Cenis JL, De La Rúa P: Distribution patterns of the Q and B biotypes of
431		Bemisia tabaci in the mediterranean basin based on microsatellite variation.
432		Entomol Exp Appl 2007, 124: 327–336.
433	10	. McKenzie CL, Hodges G, Osborne LS, Byrne FJ, Shatters JRG: Distribution of
434		Bemisia tabaci (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) biotypes in Florida-investigating the Q
435		invasion. J Econ Entomol 2009, 102:670–676.
436	11	. Pan H, Chu D, Ge D, Wang S, Wu Q, Xie W, Jiao X, Liu B, Yang X, Yang N, Su Q,
437		Xu B, Zhang Y: Further spread of and domination by Bemisia tabaci (Hemiptera:
438		Aleyrodidae) biotype Q on field crops. China J Econ Entomol 2011, 104:978–985.

439	12.	Zchori-Fein E, Brown JK: Diversity of prokaryotes associated with Bemisia tabaci
440		(Gennadius) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). Ann Entomol Soc Am 2002, 95:711–718.
441	13.	Ahmed MZ, Ren S, Xue X, Li XX, Jin, G, Qiu, BL: Prevalence of endosymbionts in
442		Bemisia tabaci populations and their in vivo sensitivity to antibiotics. Curr
443		<i>Microbiol</i> 2010, 61 :322–328.
444	14.	Gueguen G, Vavre F, Gnankine O, Peterschmitt M, Charif D, Chiel E, Gottlieb Y,
445		Ghanim M, Zchori-Fien E, Fleury F: Endosymbiont metacommunities, mtDNA
446		diversity and the evolution of the Bemisia tabaci (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae)
447		species complex. <i>Mol Ecol</i> 2010, 19: 4365–4378.
448	15.	Bing XL, Yang J, Zchori-Fein E, Wang XW, Liu SS: Characterization of a newly
449		discovered symbiont of the whitefly Bemisia tabaci (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae).
450		Appl Environ Microb 2013, 79: 569–575.
451	16	Werren J H, Baldo L, Clark ME: Wolbachia: master manipulators of invertebrate
452		biology. Nat Rev Microbiol 2008, 6:741–751.
453	17.	Himler AG, Adachi-Hagimori T, Bergen JE, Kozuch A, Kelly SE, Tabashnik BE,
454		Duckworth VE, Dennehy TJ, Zchori-Fien E, Hunter MS: Rapid spread of a
455		bacterial symbiont in an invasive whitefly is driven by fitness benefits and female
456		bias. Science 2011, 332: 254–256.
457	18.	Brumin M, Kontsedalov S, Ghanim M: <i>Rickettsia</i> influences thermotolerance in the
458		whitefly Bemisia tabaci B biotype. Insect Sci 2011, 18:57-66.
459	19.	Hendry TA, Hunter MS, Baltrus DA: The facultative symbiont Rickettsia protects
460		an invasive whitefly against entomopathogenic Pseudomonas syringae
461		strains. Appl Env Microb 2014, 80:7161-7168.

462	20.	Kontsedalov S, Zchori-Fein E, Chiel E, Gottlieb Y, Inbar M, Ghanim M: The
463		presence of Rickettsia is associated with increased susceptibility of Bemisia tabaci
464		(Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) to insecticides. Pest Manag Sci 2008, 64:789–792.
465	21.	Everett KDE, Thao M, Horn M, Dyszynski GE, Baumann P: Novel chlamydiae in
466		whiteflies and scale insects: endosymbionts "Candidatus Fritschea bemisiae"
467		strain Falk and "Candidatus Fritschea eriococci" strain Elm. Int J Syst Evol Micr
468		2005, 55: 1581–1587.
469	22.	Gottlieb Y, Zchori-Fein E, Mozes-Daube N, Kontsedalov S, Skaljac M, Brumin M,
470		Sobol I, Czosnek H, Vavre F, Fleury F, Ghanim M: The transmission efficiency of
471		tomato yellow leaf curl virus by the whitefly Bemisia tabaci is correlated with the
472		presence of a specific symbiotic bacterium species. J Virol 2010, 84:9310–9317.
473	23.	Rana VS, Singh ST, Priya NG, Kumar J, Rajagopal R: Arsenophonus GroEL
474		interacts with CLCuV and is localized in midgut and salivary gland of whitefly
475		B. tabaci. PloS One 2012, 7:e42168.
476	24.	Su Q, Pan H, Liu, B, Chu D, Xie W, Wu Q, Wang S, Xu B, Zhang Y: Insect
477		symbiont facilitates vector acquisition, retention, and transmission of plant virus.
478		<i>Sci Rep</i> 2013, 3: 1367.
479	25.	Kliot A, Cilia M, Czosnek H, Ghanim M: Infection of the whitefly Bemisia tabaci
480		with Rickettsia spp. alters its interactions with Tomato yellow leaf curl virus. J
481		Virol 2014, 88: 5652-5660.
482	26.	Maruthi MN, Colvin J, Seal S: Mating compatibility, life-history traits, and
483		RAPD-PCR variation in Bemisia tabaci associated with the cassava mosaic
484		disease pandemic in East Africa. Entomol Exp Appl 2001, 99:13–23.

485	27.	Sseruwagi P, Maruthi MN, Colvin J, Rey MEC, Brown JK, Legg JP: Colonisation of
486		non-cassava plant species by cassava whiteflies (Bemisia tabaci) (Gennadius)
487		(Hemiptera:Aleyrodidae) in Uganda. Entomol Exp Appl 2006, 119:145-153.
488	28.	Berry SD, Fondong V, Rey C, Rogan D, Fauquet CM, Brown JK: Molecular
489		evidence for five distinct Bemisia tabaci (Homoptera:Aleyrodidae) geographic
490		haplotypes associated with cassava in sub-Saharan Africa. Ann Entomol Soc Am
491		2004, 97: 852-859.
492	29.	Tajebe LS, Boni SB, Guastella D, Cavalieri V, Lund OS, Rugumamu CP, Rapisarda
493		C, Legg JP: Abundance, diversity and geographic distribution of cassava mosaic
494		disease pandemic-associated Bemisia tabaci in Tanzania. J Appl Entomol 2014
495		(on-line version).
496	30.	Bing X, Ruan Y, Rao Q, Wang X, Liu S: Diversity of secondary endosymbionts
497		among different putative species of the whitefly Bemisia tabaci. Insect Sci 2013,
498		20: 194–206.
499	31.	Singh ST, Priya NG, Kumar J, Rana VS, Ellango R, Joshi A, Priyadarshini G, Asokan
500		R, Rajagopal R: Diversity and phylogenetic analysis of endosymbiotic bacteria
501		from field caught Bemisia tabaci from different locations of North India based on
502		16S rDNA library screening. Infect Genet Evol 2012, 12:411-419.
503	32.	Tajebe LS, Guastella D, Cavalieri V, Kelly S E, Hunter M S, Lund OS, Legg JP,
504		Rapisarda C: Diversity of symbiotic bacteria associated with Bemisia tabaci
505		(Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) in cassava mosaic disease pandemic areas of
506		Tanzania. Ann Appl Biol 2014.
507	33.	Augustinos AA, Santos-Garcia D, Dionyssopoulou E, Moreira M, Papapanagiotou A,
508		Scarvelakis M, Doudoumis V, Ramos S, Aguiar AF, Borges PAV, Khadem M,
509		Latorre A, Tsiamis G, Bourtzis K: Detection and characterization of Wolbachia

510	ir	nfections in natural populations of aphids: is the hidden diversity fully
511	u	nravelled? PloS one 2011, 6:e28695.
512	34. E	Bing XL, Xia WQ, Gui JD, Yan GH, Wang XW, Liu SS: Diversity and evolution of
513	tł	he Wolbachia endosymbionts of Bemisia (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae)
514	W	whiteflies. Ecology and evolution 2014, 4:2714-2737
515	35. S	kaljac M, Zanic K, Ban SG, Kontsedalov S, Ghanim M: Co-infection and
516	lo	ocalization of secondary symbionts in two whitefly species. BMC Microbiol 2010,
517	1	0: 142.
518	36. C	Chiel E, Gottlieb Y, Zchori-Fein E, Mozes Daube N, Katzir N, Inbar M, Ghanim M:
519	В	Biotype-dependent secondary symbiont communities in sympatric populations of
520	B	Semisia tabaci. B Entomol Res 2007, 97:407–413.
521	37. G	Gnankine O, Mouton L, Henri H, Terraz G, Houndete T, Martin T, Vavre F, Fleury F:
522	(2	2013). Distribution of Bemisia tabaci (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) biotypes and
523	tł	heir associated symbiotic bacteria on host plants in West Africa. Insect
524	С	Conservation and Diversity 2013, 6:411-421.
525	38. V	autrin E, Vavre F: Interactions between vertically transmitted symbionts:
526	C	operation or conflict? Trends Microbiol 2009, 17:95-99.
527	39. C	Chiel E, Inbar M, Mozes-Daube N, White JA, Hunter MS, Zchori-Fein E:
528	А	Assessments of fitness effects by the facultative symbiont <i>Rickettsia</i> in the
529	S	weetpotato whitefly (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). Annals of the Entomological
530	S	ociety of America 2009, 102 :413-418.
531	40. G	Gherna RL, Werren JH, Weisburg W, Cote R, Woese CR, Mandelco L, Brenner DJ:
532	Ν	NOTES: Arsenophonus nasoniae gen. nov., sp. nov., the causative agent of the
533	S	on-killer trait in the parasitic wasp Nasonia vitripennis. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1991,
534	4	1: 563–565.

535	41	Walsh PS, Metzger DA, Higuchi R: Chelex 100 as a medium for simple extraction
536		of DNA for PCR-based typing from forensic material. BioTechniques 1991,
537		10: 506-513.
538	42	Baldo L, Dunning Hotopp JC, Jolley KA, Bordenstein SR, Biber SA, Choudhury RR,
539		Cheryl H, Maiden MCJ, Tettelin H, Werren JH: Multilocus sequence typing system
540		for the endosymbiont Wolbachia pipientis. Appl Env Microb 2006, 72:7098–7110.
541	43	Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S: MEGA5: molecular
542		evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance,
543		and maximum parsimony methods. Mol Biol Evol 2011, 28:2731–2739.
544	44	Posada D: Selecting models of evolution. The phylogenetic handbook. A practical
545		approach to DNA and protein phylogeny. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press;
546		2003: 256-282.
547	45	R Development Core Team: R:A Language and Environment for Statistical
548		Computing. http://www.R-project.org. R Foundation for Statistical Computing,
549		Vienna, Austria, 2011.
550		
551		
552		
553		
554		
555		
556		

Country	District (Locations)	Date collected	Number of
			whiteflies tested
Tanzania	Mtwara district (Mtiniko,	November, 2012	10
	Namaleche)	January, 2014	10
Tanzania	Masasi district (Napupa,	November, 2012	15
	Mailisita, Mnolela)		
Malawi	Thyolo district (Kasonyo,	January, 2014	15
	Likwakwanda)		
Malawi	Mulanje district (Matipwili)	January, 2014	8
Malawi	Lilongwe district (Chitedze)	January, 2014	13
Malawi	Salima district (Chitala)	November, 2013	10
Uganda	Masaka district (Masaka)	October, 2012	47
Uganda	Wakiso district (Wakiso)	October, 2012	51
Nigeria	Oyo state (Ibadan, Kajode,	September, 2012	43
	Ajibode, Mokola)		
Nigeria	Imo state (Egbu)	October, 2012	10
Nigeria	Abia state (Umuahia)	October, 2012	15
Uganda	Namulonge (Laboratory	1997	
	population)		
Tanzania	Dar-es-Salaam (Laboratory	2010	
	population)		

Table 1: Collection sites of whitefly samples from cassava fields in Africa

562 Table 2: Comparison of MLST profile of *Wolbachia* from cassava *B. tabaci* with those

563 from the pubMLST database, specimens in **bold** were generated in this study

Host	Super	Country	coxA	fbpA	ftsZ	gatB	hcpA	Sequence
	group							Туре
B. tabaci (SSA1-SG1)	В	Uganda	14	4	73	4	3	423*
B. tabaci (SSA1-SG2)	В	Malawi,	14	4	73	4	3	423*
		Uganda						
B. tabaci (SSA1-SG3)	В	Tanzania,	88	9	105	9	106	424*
		Malawi						
B. tabaci (SSA1-SG3)	В	Tanzania	88	404*	105	9	106	425*
B. tabaci (SSA1-SG5)	В	Nigeria	88					
B. afer	В	Nigeria	88	89	198*	105	106	427*
B. tabaci (MED)	В	USA	88	165	7	105	106	166
B. tabaci (China I)	В	China	88	9	170	207	13	377
B. tabaci (Asia II 1)	В	China	88	390	170	207	234	391
B. tabaci (China 1)	В	China	88	9	170	105	13	379
B. tabaci (Asia II 7)	В	China	88	387	7	105	106	378
B. tabaci (Asia 1)	В	China	88	387	182	207	106	395
B. tabaci (Australia)	В	Australia	88	9	170	207	221	380
B. tabaci (Asia II 9)	В	China	88	386	170	207	13	384
Eretmocerus sp. nr.	В	USA	14	4	73	105	3	161
emiratus								
Hypolimnus bolina	В	Japan	14	4	73	4	40	125
Telicada nyseus	В	India	14	4	73	4	40	125
Spodoptera exempta	В	Tanzania	14	4	73	4	40	125
Cepora nerissa	В	India	14	4	36	4	3	145

564

'*' new additions of Wolbachia sequence types to the database by this study, and '----' failure

to amplify genes in PCR amplifications

Table 3: Percentage nucleotide identities of selected *Wolbachia* strains based on concatenated MLST sequences (p-distances were calculated
 using MEGA 5.0)

568	UG-5/2 SSA1-SG2 (423)						٦													
569	MAL_SSA1-SG2 (423)	100																		
570	UG_SSA1-SG1 (423)	100	100					W1												
571	H bolina (125)	99.95	99.95	99.95																
572	$C pipiens_(9)$	99.90	99.90	99.90	99.86															
573	<i>Eretmocerus</i> (161)	99.71	99.71	99.71	99.66	99.61								-						
574	MAL_SSA1-SG3 (424)	95.56	95.56	95.56	95.61	95.47	95.85													
575	TZ_SSA1-SG3 (424)	95.56	95.56	95.56	95.61	95.47	95.85	100												
576	TZ_SSA1-SG3 (425)	95.51	95.51	95.51	95.56	95.42	95.80	99.95	99.95											
577	China-I (377)	95.47	95.47	95.47	95.51	95.37	95.75	99.90	99.90	99.86					W2					
578	Asia_II-3 (396)	95.27	95.27	95.27	95.32	95.18	95.56	99.61	99.61	99.57	99.71									
579	Asia_II-9 (384)	95.42	95.42	95.42	95.47	95.32	95.71	99.86	99.86	99.81	99.95	99.76								
580	Australia (380)	95.47	95.47	95.47	95.51	95.37	95.75	99.86	99.86	99.81	99.95	99.76	99.90]						Г
581	<i>B afer_</i> Nigeria (427)	96.53	96.53	96.53	96.58	96.43	96.82	98.22	98.22	98.17	98.12	97.88	98.07	98.07						
582	MED (166)	96.19	96.19	96.19	96.24	96.09	96.48	98.99	98.99	98.94	98.89	98.65	98.84	98.84	99.23					
583	Asia_II-1 (389)	96.24	96.24	96.24	96.29	96.14	96.53	98.22	98.22	98.17	98.31	98.02	98.26	98.26	99.61	99.04				W3
584	Asia_II-6 (393)	96.09	96.09	96.09	96.14	96.00	96.38	98.99	98.99	98.94	98.89	98.65	98.84	98.84	99.13	99.90	98.94			
585	Asia-II-7 (378)	96.14	96.14	96.14	96.19	96.04	96.43	99.04	99.04	98.99	98.94	98.70	98.89	98.89	99.18	99.95	98.99	99.95		
586	<i>B afer</i> (382)	95.18	95.18	95.18	95.22	95.18	95.47	96.62	96.62	96.58	96.53	96.29	96.48	96.48	96.33	95.90	96.04	95.80	95.85	J
587	D melongaster (1)	88.08	88.08	88.08	88.13	87.99	88.23	89.10	89.10	89.05	89.10	88.95	89.05	89.05	88.47	88.86	88.47	88.86	88.90	88.95

TZ Namaleche1 SSA1-SG3 593 TZ_Namaleche3_SSA1-SG3 **A1** 594 100 MAL-Kasonyo-F_SSA1-SG3 99.8 99.8 595 **TZ-Naliendale-B SSA1-SG3** 95.4 95.4 95.2 596 A2 597 NG_Umuahia-A6_SSA3 95.4 95.4 95.2 100 MAL-Zomba-C SSA1-SG3 95.2 95.2 94.9 99.8 99.8 598 TZ-1-lab_SSA1-SG3 94.2 94.2 94.0 90.6 90.6 90.8 599 MAL-Chitedze-A SSA1-SG3 94.2 94.2 94.0 90.6 90.6 90.8 100 **A3** 600 NG Ovo-State1 SSA1-SG5 94.2 94.2 94.0 90.6 90.6 90.8 100 100 601 AY264674_A_nasoniae 94.2 94.2 94.0 90.6 90.6 90.8 99.5 602 99.5 99.5 603 FJ766366 ASL Burkina Faso 95.7 95.7 95.4 96.4 96.4 96.1 91.1 91.1 91.1 91.1 FJ766370 MED Burkina Faso 94.2 94.2 94.0 90.6 90.6 90.8 100 100 100 99.5 91.1 604 JX428666 AsiaII 1 98.1 98.1 97.8 95.9 95.9 95.7 92.8 92.8 92.8 92.8 95.7 92.8 605 JF795495 Asiall 3 98.3 98.3 98.1 95.2 95.2 94.9 93.2 93.2 93.2 93.2 95.4 93.2 97.8 606 FJ766369_MED_Israel 94.7 94.7 94.4 92.3 92.3 92.0 89.6 89.6 89.6 89.6 92.3 89.6 93.7 94.0 607 JX428672 MED China 91.5 91.5 91.3 91.3 91.3 91.1 87.0 87.0 87.0 87.0 90.6 87.0 91.5 91.8 89.4 608 609

Table 4: Percentage nucleotide identities of 23S rDNA sequences of *Arsenophonus* strains (p-distances were calculated using MEGA 5.0)

610

611

612

613

616	TZ_Mailisita-2_SSA1-SG3										_									-
617	TZ_Naliendale-7_SSA1-SG3	100																		
618	MAL_Chitedze-3_SSA1-SG3	100	100																	
619	MAL_Zomba-2_SSA1-SG2	98.4	98.4	98.4																
620	MAL_Kasonyo-7_SSA1-SG2	98.5	98.5	98.5	99.9							R1								
621	DQ077707_MEAM1_Israel	100	100	100	98.4	98.5														
622	JQ994281_MEAM1_China	100	100	100	98.4	98.5	100													
623	EU760763_MED	100	100	100	98.4	98.5	100	100												
624	L36103_Rickettsia_bellii	99.5	99.5	99.5	98.8	99.0	99.5	99.5	99.5											
625	NR103923_R_rickettsii	98.5	98.5	98.5	99.0	99.1	98.5	98.5	98.5	99									Г	
626	JN204498_Asia_I_India	91.5	91.5	91.5	90.6	90.8	91.5	91.5	91.5	91.5	91.2									
627	JN204495_Asia_II_India	91.6	91.6	91.6	90.8	90.9	91.6	91.6	91.6	91.6	91.3	99.8								
628	JF795498_Asia_ll_3	99.9	99.9	99.9	98.3	98.4	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.4	98.4	91.6	91.7							
629	JF795500_Asia_ll_7	91.1	91.1	91.1	90.3	90.4	91.1	91.1	91.1	91.1	90.9	99.4	99.4	91.2						
630	JF795499_China1	91.5	91.5	91.5	90.6	90.8	91.5	91.5	91.5	91.5	91.2	99.8	99.8	91.6	99.4				R2	
631	UG2_Lab_strain_SSA1-SG3	91.5	91.5	91.5	90.9	91.0	91.5	91.5	91.5	91.5	91.5	99.5	99.5	91.6	99.2	99.8				
632	TZ_Mtiniko_SSA1-SG3	91.5	91.5	91.5	90.9	91.0	91.5	91.5	91.5	91.5	91.5	99.5	99.5	91.6	99.2	99.8	100			
633	TZ_Namaleche-2_SSA1-SG3	91.3	91.3	91.3	90.8	90.9	91.3	91.3	91.3	91.3	91.3	99.4	99.4	91.5	99.1	99.7	99.9	99.9		
634	TZ_Napupa_SSA1-SG3	90.9	90.9	90.9	90.3	90.4	90.9	90.9	90.9	90.9	90.9	98.7	98.7	91.0	98.4	99.0	99.2	99.2	99.1	
635	-																			

Table 5: Percentage nucleotide identities of 16S rDNA sequences of *Rickettsia* strains (p-distances were calculated using MEGA 5.0)

639 List of figures

640

641 screening. a: Detecting SSA2 by digestion with Bgl II, b: Detecting SSA1 and SSA3 by Apo 642 I and *Dde* I. Underlined values represent the diagnostic fragments for the respective whitefly 643 populations. Fig. 2: Frequency of *B. tabaci* populations found in the four sampled countries. 644 645 Fig. 3: Phylogeny of mtCOI nucleotide sequences (697 bp) of *B. tabaci* infecting cassava whiteflies together with reference sequences from Genbank. Genbank accession numbers for 646 the submitted sequences are KM377899 to KM377952, and KM407138 to KM407141. 647 Fig. 4: Mean infection probabilities of symbionts in the five cassava whitefly populations as 648 determined by simple binomial logistic regression. Mean infection probability of a symbiont 649 within the populations was compared by Tukey's HSD test and significant difference is 650 indicated by different alphabets. 651 Fig. 5: Pattern of infections of symbionts in different whitefly populations. Alphabets 652 represent infection by each symbiont, A=Arsenophonus, R=Rickettsia, W=Wolbachia, 653 C=Cardinium, None=free of secondary endosymbionts). 654 Fig. 6: Phylogeny of concatenated MLST (2079 bp) nucleotide sequences of Wolbachia 655 infecting whiteflies and other insect species. Strain names in the parentheses indicate the 656 657 various Wolbachia sequence types. 658 Fig. 7: Phylogeny of *Wolbachia wsp* (596 bp) nucleotide sequences infecting cassava whiteflies in sub-Saharan Africa. Genbank accession numbers for submitted sequences are 659

Fig. 1: Detection of cassava whitefly populations based on RFLP profiles for high throughput

660 KP208705 to KP208733.

- **Fig. 8:** Phylogeny of *Arsenophonus* infecting whitefly species based on 23S rDNA (401 bp)
- 662 nucleotide sequences. Genbank accession numbers for the submitted sequences are
- 663 KM377863 to KM377898.
- **Fig. 9:** Phylogeny of whitefly-infecting *Rickettsia* 16S rDNA (859 bp) nucleotide sequences.
- 665 Genbank accession numbers for the submitted sequences are KM386372 to KM38687.
- **Fig. 10:** Phylogeny of *Cardinium*, based on the 16S rDNA sequences, infecting whiteflies
- around the world.