Systemic enrichment of antifungal traits in the rhizosphere microbiome after pathogen attack
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Summary

1. Plant-associated microbial communities are crucial for plant growth and play an important role in disease suppression. Community composition and function change upon pathogen attack, yet to date we do not know if these changes are a side effect of the infection or actively driven by the plant.

2. Here we used a split-root approach to test whether barley plants recruit bacteria carrying antifungal traits upon infestation with *Fusarium graminearum*. Split-root systems allow disentangling local infection effects, such as root damage, from systemic, plant-driven effects on microbiome functionality. We assessed the recruitment of fluorescent pseudomonads, a taxon correlated with disease suppression, and of two well-described antifungal genes (*phlD* coding for 2,4-DAPG and *hcnAB* coding for HCN).

3. We show an enrichment of fluorescent pseudomonads, *phlD* and *hcnAB* upon pathogen infection. This effect was only measurable in the uninfected root compartment. We link these effects to an increased chemotaxis of pseudomonads towards exudates of infected plants.

4. Synthesis. We conclude that barley plants selectively recruited bacteria carrying antifungal traits upon pathogen attack and that the pathogen application locally interfered with this process. By disentangling these two effects we set the base for enhancing strategies unravelling how pathogens and plant hosts jointly shape microbiome functionality.

Key words: Barley, *Fusarium graminearum*, Plant-microbe interactions, *Pseudomonas*, Recruitment, Split-root
Introduction

Plant pathogens cause significant loss of agricultural yield worldwide (Strange & Scott 2005) and are an important determinant of plant community structure and productivity (Packer & Clay 2000; Klironomos 2002; Van der Putten 2003; Petermann et al. 2008). Plant-associated microbes form a first line of defense that may complement plant innate immunity. In particular the rhizosphere microbiome, the microbial community associated with plant roots, is increasingly recognized to shape disease suppression (Berendsen, Pieterse & Bakker 2012; Mendes et al. 2013).

The rhizosphere is a place of complex interactions between plants and microbes. Plants invest important resources to secrete root exudates, a set of labile organic compounds able to recruit, feed and manipulate the physiology of a subset of microbes present in the surrounding soil (Bais et al. 2006). In return several of the associated microbes will protect plants against pathogens by producing antibiotics and stimulating plant immunity (Bakker et al. 2013).

Plant microbiome composition varies as a function of plant identity and soil type (Costa et al. 2006; Aira et al. 2010; Peiffer et al. 2013) and even with plant growth stage (Chaparro et al. 2013; Yuan et al. 2015), indicating that the host plant exerts a structuring influence on the selection of microbial communities from the available species pool. Also plant diseases play an important role and pathogen attack can be associated with alterations in microbiome structure, functionality and activity (Trivedi et al. 2012).

These different effects interactively shape microbiome functionality in response to diseases, making it hard to distinguish which changes are actively driven by the host plant (and thus indicate that a plant has the ability to structure its microbiome) and which changes are self-assembly processes driven by changes in the environmental conditions around the roots. Such passive changes encompass root damage by pathogens, causing leaking of compounds, or an altered exudation in pathogen-challenged plants as a result of a lower photosynthetic activity or a shunting of the nutrient flows toward healthy parts of the root system (Henkes et al. 2011). However, there are several cues indicating that plants react to pathogen infection by producing compounds that may attract and stimulate beneficial microbes (Rudrappa et al. 2012).
2008; Jousset et al. 2011). Such plant mediated processes might even favor specific functions if feedback loops allow plants to reward microbes performing certain tasks, as reported for different plant-microbe symbioses (Phillips et al. 2004; Kiers & Denison 2008).

In the present study we aim to disentangle pathogen- and plant-mediated effects on microbiome functionality. To separate these effects we set up a split-root system with barley plants growing in a natural soil. Split-root systems involve the separation of the root system into two hermetic compartments, allowing plant-microbe interactions to be tracked independently. In the present case we added a fungal pathogen to one of the compartments and measured alterations in functional traits of the root-associated communities. At the treatment compartment, the pathogen may alter plant-microbe interactions by preventing exudation or secreting mycotoxins (Henkes et al. 2011, Notz et al. 2002). In contrast, effects observed on the systemic, non-infected compartments, can be safely attributed to plant-mediated effects (Henkes et al. 2011; Jousset et al. 2011).

We specifically address whether 1) barley recruits different microbes upon pathogen infection, 2) whether microbial recruitment is related to an enrichment of anti-fungal traits (functional genes) and 3) whether the recruitment process contributes to the structuring of rhizosphere microbial communities. By combining these aspects we aim to prove the concept that a host plant is able to manipulate its rhizosphere microbiome structure to fit its functionality to the challenges, such as pathogen infection, that the plant is facing.

**Methods**

**Study system**

We used a model system with barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) as host plant, *Fusarium graminearum* (Schwabe) as fungal pathogen and fluorescent pseudomonads as potential pathogen antagonists. Barley is the fourth most important cereal crop worldwide. It serves as food for animals and humans as well for
malt production (Newton et al. 2011) and its general root microbiome structure was recently examined (Bulgarelli et al. 2015). One of its major pathogens, the soil-borne fungus *F. graminearum*, is responsible for blight in cereals and a growing concern in agriculture (Goswami & Kistler 2004; Kazan, Gardiner & Manners 2012). Especially its production of mycotoxins poses a serious threat to animal and human health and makes contaminated products unusable (D’Mello, Placinta & Macdonald 1999).

In an earlier study Henkes et al. (2011) showed that the local infection of barley roots with *F. graminearum* resulted in a reduction of carbon delivery to the infected root parts. An inoculation with fluorescent pseudomonads (*P. protegens* CHA0) counteracted this effect and successfully prevented barley biomass reduction due to the infection. In a further study Lanoue et al. (2010) showed that barley plants changed their exudation profiles after root infection with *F. graminearum*. The plants responded with the synthesis of organic acids exhibiting antifungal activity. Some of these compounds are also known to trigger the production of antifungal metabolites by fluorescent pseudomonads (Jousset et al. 2011) and act as chemo-attractants for this bacterial taxon (Oku et al. 2014). Based on these evidences we used this biological system as a model to test our concept that plants may actively manipulate their rhizosphere microbiome in response to an external stressor.

Therefore, we set up two experiments using a split-root approach to disentangle plant- and pathogen mediated effects on microbial communities. The two split-root systems consisted of two separated compartments. One compartment was infected with the pathogen (hereafter the ‘treatment compartment’) while the other compartment remained pathogen-free (hereafter the ‘systemic compartment’).

**Seedling preparation**

We used barley plants (*Hordeum vulgare* L. cv. ‘Barke’) grown from commercial seed material (Irnich Inc., Frechen, Germany). Barley seeds were surface-sterilized as described previously (Henkes et al. 2011). Briefly, seeds were soaked in H$_2$SO$_4$ (62% v/v) for 1 h to remove glumes, washed two times in sterile water and were surface-sterilized in AgNO$_3$ solution (2% w/v) for 20 min. AgNO$_3$ was removed by
five cycles of precipitation in 1% NaCl solution and washing in sterile water. Seeds were germinated on
3% water agar at 24 °C in darkness to check for contamination and to obtain roots big enough to be
transferred into the split-root systems. One three day old seedling was transferred into each split-root
microcosm and its roots were evenly separated to each of the compartments.

Fungal inoculum

We used *Fusarium graminearum* (Schwabe) strain FG17 as model pathogen. The fungal cultures were
maintained on potato dextrose agar (PDA, Carl Roth GmbH, Essen, Germany) in darkness. Prior to the
experimental application, we incubated a cube of actively growing culture of *F. graminearum* in sterile
sucrose solution (40g l⁻¹) for 7 days at room temperature on an orbital shaker at 40 rpm. The resulting
mycelium suspension was homogenized by placing it through a syringe and then repeatedly centrifuged at
2000 rpm for 2 min, rinsed five times with 0.1 x phosphate buffered solution (PBS) to remove nutrients
and finally adjusted to OD₆₀₀= 0.4 (optical density at 600 nm) in 0.1 x PBS. For the chemotaxis
experiment we used a spore suspension of the fungus. Therefore, an actively growing culture was
transferred to autoclaved mung bean medium (40 g of mung beans boiled in 1 l water for 20 min) and
incubated for 5 days at room temperature on an orbital shaker at 40 rpm. The resulting spore suspension
was repeatedly centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min, rinsed five times with sterile water and finally adjusted
to OD₆₀₀= 0.1 in sterile water.

Split root set up

First, we assessed bacterial recruitment in a natural soil (hereafter ‘soil experiment’): We grew barley
plants in split-root microcosms made of a polypropylene base part, containing the compartment chambers,
(width: 78 mm, depth: 6 mm, height: 128 mm) and a polycarbonate cover plate. Before setting up, the
microcosms were autoclaved at 105 °C for 60 min. The compartment chambers were filled with non-
sterile soil from the field site of the Jena Experiment (see Müller, Schu & Jousset 2013) and were supplemented with 20% (w/w) sand to avoid soil clumping. Both compartments were irrigated separately with sterile water via fiber-glass capillaries and had apertures on the top to allow for gas exchange (see Fig. S1 in Supporting Information). After ten days growth at 20 °C and 12 h photoperiod under artificial light (120 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), the treatment compartment of the split-root microcosms were inoculated with 4 ml of the mycelium suspension, spread evenly on the whole root system. The control plants received the same amount of 0.1 x PBS.

Ten days after pathogen addition, the split-root systems were destructively sampled. Rhizosphere soil samples were collected by gently removing the roots with adherent soil and suspended in 30 ml of 0.1 x PBS on a horizontal shaker at 60 rpm for 30 min.

We chose the comparatively short growth period of ten days to allow the challenged plants to respond to the pathogen while preventing too much infection damage which may have caused biases in the interpretation.

**Microbial communities**

We followed changes in rhizosphere microbial communities at three levels: We assessed total bacterial density as a marker for unspecific changes coming along with the infection, such as nutrient leaking, affecting indiscriminately all microbes. Further we enumerated the density of fluorescent pseudomonads as model group, which is consistently associated with disease suppression (Mendes et al. 2013) and the specific antifungal genes phlD and hcnAB (as indicators for specific functional gene enrichment). Further, we monitored the diversity of pseudomonads and their community structure to test for selective processes structuring the rhizosphere.

In the second experiment (hereafter ‘the chemotaxis experiment’) we tested if exudates from infected plants are chemo-attractant for bacteria with potential antifungal activity. Therefore, we used a gnotobiotic system where the plants were confronted with the pathogen in otherwise sterile conditions. We built split-
root microcosms out of two sterilized 15 ml Falcon tubes (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany) filled with sterile demineralized water. Plants were grown for ten days at 20 °C and 12 h photoperiod after which we inoculated the treatment compartment of half of the plants with 0.5 ml of fungal spore suspension or sterile water respectively. After ten days, the water samples from the root compartments containing the root exudates, were filtered through a 0.22 µm filter (Millipore, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) to remove remaining fungal or root particles and were stored at 4 °C until processing the chemotaxis assay.

**Culture based enumeration of bacteria – plate counts**

For the culture based enumeration of fluorescent pseudomonads and total heterotrophic bacteria, we spread-plated the rhizosphere soil suspensions on Petri dishes with Gould’s S1 medium (Gould et al. 1985) and R2A medium (Reasoner & Geldreich 1985) respectively. For plating on Gould’s S1 medium we used 50 µl of the 20 fold diluted suspensions and for R2A medium 50 µl of the 100 fold diluted suspensions. Petri dishes were incubated at 28 °C for 48 h in darkness before colonies were counted.

**DNA extraction**

DNA extraction was performed in triplicates directly from the soil suspensions, following the protocol of Lueders, Manefield & Friedrich. (2004) with slight modifications. Briefly, 600 µl of the soil suspensions were mixed with 150 µl NaPO₄ buffer (600 mM), 250 µl TNS solution and 0.7 g of 0.1 mm silica beads. Cells were lysed by beat-beating at 6.5 m s⁻¹ for 30 s. The subsequent extraction steps were done according to the protocol. Finally, we eluted the DNA in 30 µl EB buffer. The quality of DNA extracts were checked by electrophoresis on 1.5 % agarose gels at 120 V for 30 min. For all further analyses triplicate samples were pooled.
We used quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) to enumerate total bacteria and specific disease-suppressive bacteria, producing the antifungal metabolites 2,4-diacyethylphloroglucinol (2,4-DAPG) and hydrogen cyanide (HCN), which contribute to the suppression of fungal diseases in soil (Voisard et al. 1989; Weller et al. 2002). All reactions were performed in a final reaction volume of 20 µl containing 2 µl of the template DNA, 10 µl KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR MasterMix Universal (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA), 24 µg bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.24 µM of each of the respective primers. All reactions were run in a Stratagene Mx3005P instrument (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany). To enumerate total bacteria, we quantified the copy numbers of 16s rDNA using the primer pair EUB338 and EUB518 (Fierer et al. 2005). To enumerate HCN producing bacteria we quantified the copy numbers of hcnAB using the primer pair PM2 and PM-26R (Svercel, Duffy & Défago 2007) and for 2,4-DAPG producing bacteria we quantified the copy numbers of phlD using the primer pair BPF2 and BPR4 (McSpadden Gardener et al. 2001). As quantification standards we used a dilution series of already quantified amplification products of the targeted fragments. For reactions targeting 16s and hcnAB the standards were obtained from P. protegens strain CHA0 and for phlD from P. fluorescens strain Q2-87. Additionally, we performed qPCR targeting F. graminearum DNA using the primer pair FG16NF and FG16NR (Nicholson et al. 1998) to validate the success of pathogen inoculation and to check for potential cross-contamination. Details of oligonucleotide primers and the cycling conditions are listed in Supplementary Information Tables S1 and S2. Microcosms contaminated with F. graminearum (presence of the fungus in one of the compartments of control plants or in the systemic compartment of the infected plants respectively) were omitted from further analyses. This resulted in total of 10 replicated split-root microcosms for the control treatment and 16 replicated microcosms for the infection treatment.
Pseudomonas community structure was investigated using an established denaturing gel gradient electrophoresis (DGGE) based on a nested PCR amplification of the Pseudomonas-specific gacA gene (Costa et al. 2007). We performed the first PCR using the primer pair gacA-1F (Costa et al. 2007) and gacA2 (de Souza, Mazzola & Raaijmakers 2003). PCR was performed in a total reaction volume of 25 µl containing 1 µl of the template DNA, 5 µl KAPA2G Buffer A (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA), 1.25 U KAPA2G Robust DNA polymerase (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA), 100 µM dNTPs and 0.2 µM of each of the according primer. After purification, the products were subjected to a second PCR using the primers gacA-1FGC and gacA-2R (Costa et al. 2007) in a final reaction volume of 25 µl containing 1 µl of the first PCR product as template, 5 µl KAPA2G Buffer A, 1.25 U KAPA2G Robust DNA polymerase, 100 µM dNTPs and 0.2 µM of each of the according primer. Both PCR were performed in a TProffesional Thermal cycler (Analytik Jena, Jena, Germany). Details of oligonucleotide primers and the cycling conditions are listed in the Supplementary Information (Table S1 and Table S2).

Electrophoresis was performed on 4 µl of the second PCR product in an 8% acrylamide gel with a denaturing gradient ranging from 20% to 80% denaturants (100% denaturants: 7M urea and 40% formamide) at 60 °C for 18 h and 140 V in 1 x Tris-acetate-EDTA buffer using a Dcode Universal Mutation Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The gels were stained with 200x SYBR Green (Sigma-Aldrich, Hamburg, Germany). The DGGE fingerprints were digitized to greyscale images and analyzed using the Quantity One software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

Bacterial chemotaxis towards infected and uninfected plants

We assessed the chemotactic activity of a GFP-tagged Pseudomonas protegens CHA0 (Jousset et al. 2006) towards the exudates of infected and uninfected plants. We chose this strain as reference fluorescent pseudomonad carrying the functional genes phlD and hcnAB also targeted in the natural soil experiment. Bacteria were pre-grown in 3 g l⁻¹ tryptic soy broth (TSB) at 20 °C for 24 h, centrifuged (5 min at 10,000 rpm) and washed 5 times in 0.1 x PBS to remove remaining nutrients. Bacterial density was adjusted to...
OD$_{600}$=0.1 in 0.1 x PBS. We used 200 µl pipette tips filled with 50 µl of the exudate samples, placed on a multichannel pipette, as chemotaxis capillaries. The filled tips remained on the pipette and were carefully placed into wells of a microtiter plate containing 100 µl of the bacterial suspension. The whole system was incubated for 30 min at 20 °C in darkness to allow the bacteria migrating into the pipette tips. Bacterial concentration in the pipette tips was measured by flow-cytometric enumeration using a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer (Accuri, Ann Harbor, MI, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. For both treatments we set up 10 split-root microcosms as replicates.

Statistical analyses

All analyses were performed in R version 3.1.1 (R Core Team 2014). In the soil experiment, we tested for the effect of the infection treatment on dry shoot biomass using a linear model (LM) with the infection treatment (control vs. pathogen addition) as categorical predictor. To test for differences in root dry biomass we used a linear model with the infection treatment, the compartment side (treatment compartment vs. systemic compartment) and their interaction as categorical predictors.

In the soil experiment, bacterial and gene abundances were calculated as numbers of gene copies and colony forming units (CFU) per gram soil dry weight respectively. We calculated gacA allelic richness as the number of bands within each gel lane.

Because soil water is essential for bacterial motility, we included the soil water content (percentage soil moisture) as covariate in the models targeting bacterial abundances, gene abundances and gacA allelic richness in the soil experiment. The soil water content was not affected by the infection treatment ($F_{1,48}=0.51$, p=0.48), the compartment side ($F_{1,48}=0.06$, p=0.81) nor their interaction ($F_{1,48}=0.60$, p=0.44), indicating that there was no systematic bias.

Bacterial and gene abundances in the soil experiment exhibited a positive, right-skewed distribution with an over proportional increase in variance. Thus, we used generalized linear models (GLM) with gamma distributed errors and a log-link function (Faraway 2006; Crawley 2007), testing for the effects of the soil...
water content (linear covariate), the infection treatment (factor with two levels), the compartment side (factor with two levels) and the treatment-compartment side interaction as categorical predictors.

Regarding the analysis of \textit{gacA} allelic richness in the soil experiment, it is noteworthy, that the treatments were represented in equal proportions within a single gel, while the compartment sides were represented on separate gels. Therefore, we tested for the effect of the infection treatment on \textit{gacA} allelic richness separately for the compartment sides using linear models and fitted the gel identity as a block effect before the soil water content and infection treatment. To adjust for the covariate soil water content, we calculated for all above mentioned response variables their least-square means and standard errors (\textit{r}-package: \texttt{lsmeans}; Lenth 2015) based on the respective model (GLMs targeting bacterial and gene abundances and LMs targeting \textit{gacA} allelic richness).

For the chemotaxis experiment, we defined chemo-attractant function of root exudates as the density of \textit{P. protegens} CHA0 (cells µl\(^{-1}\) exudate) in capillaries filled with the root exudates. We tested for effects of the infection treatment, the compartment side and their interaction as categorical predictors on the chemo-attractant function of root exudates using a GLM with gamma distributed errors and a log-link function. If the models indicated differing effects of the infection treatment between the compartment sides (infection treatment x compartment side interaction at \(p<0.1\)), we additionally tested the pairwise contrasts of the infection treatments within both compartments sides (\textit{r}-package: \texttt{multcomp}; Hothorn, Bretz & Westfall 2008).

The \textit{gacA} community composition was analyzed using non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) based on pairwise Bray-Curtis dissimilarities of the Hellinger-transformed (Legendre & Legendre 1998; Legendre & Gallagher 2001; Ramette 2007) greyscale band intensities. First, ordination was performed separately for each single gel to account for inter-gel variations resulting from potential differences of the denaturing gradient due to the gel casting procedure. To check for the comparability of the ordination results, we tested for the concordance of the single-gel ordinations within each compartment side using a procrustes rotation test based on 999 permutations. Within the compartment sides we tested for the significance of the infection treatment on the grouping of the \textit{gacA} ordinations using permutational
multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) based on 999 permutations. Therefore, we constrained
the permutations within the single gels to account for gel identity in sense of a statistical block effect (r-
package: vegan; Oksanen et al. 2013).

Results

Dry shoot biomass of barley plants was not affected by the infection treatment ($F_{1,24}=0.01$, $p=0.91$). Also
dry root biomass was not affected by infection treatment ($F_{1,48}=0.43$, $p=0.51$), the compartment side
($F_{1,48}=1.78$, $p=0.19$) nor their interaction ($F_{1,48}=0.04$, $p=0.84$), indicating that *F. graminearum* did not
generate measurable damage during the short incubation time.

The abundance of total heterotrophic bacteria (CFU on R2A medium) was not affected by any predictor
(Table 1, Fig. 1a). In contrast, the abundance of fluorescent pseudomonads (CFU on Gould’s S1 medium)
was higher in the rhizosphere of infected plants than of uninfected plants at the systemic compartment
(Table 1, Fig. 1b). Further, the abundance of fluorescent pseudomonads was positively correlated with the
soil water content (Table 1, Fig. 2a).

Similar to the abundance of total heterotrophic bacteria, there were no effects of any predictor on the
abundance of 16s rDNA gene copy numbers (Table 1, Fig. 3a). However, the abundances of the two
assessed antifungal genes (*phlD* and *hcnAB*) were positively correlated with the soil water content (Table
1, Fig. 2b,c). The effect of the infection treatment on antifungal gene abundance depended on the
compartment side (Table 1) and their abundances were higher in the rhizosphere of infected plants than of
uninfected plants at the systemic compartments (Fig. 3a,b). In the treatment compartment, *gacA* allelic
richness was not significantly affected by the infection treatment (Table 2). However, in the systemic
compartment, *gacA* allelic richness was higher in the rhizosphere of infected plants than of uninfected
plants (Table 2, Fig. 4a).

Procrustes rotation test revealed that the single gel ordinations of the *gacA* DGGE profiles were congruent
in the systemic compartments ($p=0.017$), indicating a coherent structuring force on the communities.
Further, community composition was well discriminated by the infection treatment in the systemic compartment (Table 2, Fig. 4b). The single gel ordinations in the treatment compartment were not congruent (p=0.15) and community composition was not separated regarding the infection treatment (Table 2, Fig. 4b).

In the chemotaxis experiment, P. protegens CHA0 was more attracted by the root exudates of infected plants than by the root exudates of the control plants. This increase was independent of the compartment side (Table 1, Fig 5).

**Discussion**

The results of our study show an enrichment of the rhizosphere microbiome with potentially antifungal microbes for barley plants challenged with *F. graminearum*. By combining a split-root approach with different levels of resolution (zooming from all bacteria into one representative taxonomic group and two representative functional genes), we could disentangle the effects of plant mediated microbiome structuring from perturbations of the infection treatment.

Our results provide evidence for the concept that plants are able to actively recruit specific bacterial groups upon pathogen infection. Fluorescent pseudomonad abundance increased with infection. These bacteria are good colonizers of plant roots (Loper *et al.* 2012) and we propose that their enrichment in the rhizosphere of infected plants may be explained by conserved characteristics such as chemotactic activity toward root exudates. The fact that fluorescent pseudomonads are repeatedly associated with disease suppression (Weller 2007; Mendes *et al.* 2011) makes it plausible that a co-evolutionary process between plants and this bacterial group occurred, in which bacteria evolved to respond to plant alarm cues.

However, we can only speculate about the underlying mechanism. In contrast to symbioses with rhizobia or mycorrhiza, plants have very few possibilities to select for partners providing a particular function such as disease protection (Kiers & Denison 2008). We propose that feedback loops, such as the enhanced
exudation in presence of 2,4-DAPG (Philips et al. 2004), may provide a reward mechanism that may have promoted the recruitment of bacteria harboring antifungal traits.

Bacterial recruitment can be the result of a specific attraction by exudates or by a higher growth on roots. Chemotaxis appears here as a significant driver. Exudates from infected plants were more attractive than exudates of uninfected plants for *P. protegens* CHA0, a model strain harboring the genes needed for the production of both 2,4-DAPG and HCN, the two genes that were systemically enriched in the rhizosphere of infected plants. These results are in line with a past study on *Bacillus*, showing that plants challenged by the foliar pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* recruited one specific *Bacillus* strain by secreting more malic acid, to which the studied *Bacillus* strain showed a strong chemotactic response (Rudrappa et al. 2008). We propose that a similar effect occurred in the soil experiment with fluorescent pseudomonads. The chemotaxis hypothesis is further backed by the importance of the soil water content for bacterial recruitment. High soil moisture promotes the motility of free living bacteria (Wong & Griffin 1976) as well as the diffusion of soluble nutrients and chemo-attractant compounds in the soil matrix (Raynaud 2010). The positive effect of the soil water content on the abundance of fluorescent pseudomonads and antifungal genes indicates that the recruitment process is driven by increased migration of motile bacteria towards the rhizosphere of the plants. Fluorescent pseudomonads are highly motile bacteria, which is an important trait related to their rhizosphere competence (Turnbull et al. 2001; de Weert et al. 2002; Martínez-Granero, Rivilla & Martín 2006). The hypothesis of plant triggered migration of disease-suppressive bacteria is also supported by the chemotaxis experiment, documenting that sterile root exudates from infected plants were more attractive to *P. protegens* than those from control plants. Root exudates serve the plant to communicate with surrounding microbes and their composition can shift in presence of pathogens. For instance, organic acids exert an attracting cue for the chemotactic activity of fluorescent pseudomonads (Oku et al. 2014). Plants can rapidly change their exudation profile in response to pathogen infection and initiate the synthesis of defensive organic acids to suppress pathogens (Lanoue et al. 2010). Some of these compounds also stimulate the expression of antifungal genes (Jousset et al. 2011).
Alternatively, an increased water potential can rapidly lead to an increased bacterial biomass (Lund & Goksøyr 1980; Kieft, Soroker & Firestone 1987). In both scenarios we propose that sufficiently high soil moisture is essential for the plant to recruit beneficial microbes out of the existing microbial species pool. Pseudomonad richness, measured as gacA allelic richness, was higher in the rhizosphere of infected plants than of uninfected plants. This fits with an enhanced chemo-attractant function of the root exudates of infected plants. For instance, infected plants may have recruited bacteria from more distant soil regions, which would allow accessing a broader microbial species pool. This higher diversity may be crucial for efficient disease suppression as it may enhance the production of antifungal metabolites (Jousset et al. 2014). The distinctiveness of the bacterial community compositions between the rhizosphere of infected plants and uninfected plants at the systemic compartments further suggests that this selective plant driven recruitment functions as a structuring force for the assembly of rhizosphere bacteria.

Caveats

We observed enrichments of specific bacteria due to the infection treatment in the systemic compartment only. This leads us to propose that the inoculum application may locally interfere with plant driven effects as observed at the systemic compartments. The addition of PBS to the treatment compartment may have affected microbial communities via an addition of phosphorus or a mechanical perturbation of the root system. Even if we kept perturbation as low as possible by using highly diluted PBS and dripping it slowly onto the roots, it may account for some effects such as the higher bacterial abundances in the treatment compartment than the systemic compartment. Also effects of the fungal inoculum itself, e.g. an antagonistic (Notz et al. 2002) or attracting potential of fungal metabolites (de Weert et al. 2004), may mask plant driven processes. Such concomitant effects illustrate how important it is to physically separate the site of experimental manipulation from the site of measurements especially in the context of systemic plant driven processes.
This study focused on one specific plant-pathogen system and on three very simplified bacterial groups (all bacteria, one specific taxon and two functional genes). Also the plants were grown for a short time in small microcosms under the controlled conditions of a climate chamber. The potential differences to other plant-pathogen systems and the impact of environmental conditions or plant age remains a subject for further investigation, which would give valuable insights into the generality and limitations of the process observed in this study. Nevertheless, this simplification allowed us to conceptually demonstrate a new dimension in plant-microbiome interactions, disentangling effects of a host plant and a pathogen on the composition and functionality of microbial communities. However, this approach also brings a few caveats we would like to address. First, we would like to emphasize that the tested groups are examples. Microbial communities are very diverse, both phylogenetically and functionally. Further, we did not attempt to analyze exudate composition, as they would have in the present context added few to the observed net effects on bacteria. Rather, we see our approach as a conceptual base that can be combined with metabolomics or metagenomics to disentangle the roles of plant and pathogen on the assembly of the rhizosphere microbiome. Further, we did not assess the antifungal potential of root-associated bacteria. We propose that future studies assessing soil suppressiveness in the systemic compartment after several growth cycles may greatly improve our understanding of the role of the plants in building up soil suppressiveness as observed in take-all decline (Weller et al. 2002).

Conclusion

We demonstrated that barley plants actively manipulate their rhizosphere community to favor a specific function, such as here antifungal traits, in response to pathogen attack. This extends the finding from Jousset et al. (2011) showing a stimulation of antifungal gene expression in bacteria living on pathogen infested plants to the recruitment and enrichment of disease-suppressive bacteria in a non-sterile soil system. The specificity of the recruitment indicates an active selection process by the plant and its restriction to non-infected parts of the root systems suggests that pathogen presence or infection-linked
damages to the plant may reduce the ability of plants to select for beneficial microbes. The identification of the ability of plants to recruit beneficial microbes to counteract pathogens remains a challenge for plant breeding (Haney et al. 2015). Our study provides a new strategy to assess a plant’s potential to recruit disease-suppressive bacteria by removing the noise caused by pathogen infection.
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Table 1 Results of the generalized linear models (with gamma distributed errors and a log-link function) targeting the abundances of total heterotrophic bacteria (CFU on R2A medium), fluorescent pseudomonads (CFU on Gould’s S1 medium), 16s rDNA, the antifungal genes *hcnAB* and *phlD* in the rhizosphere of barley plants (soil experiment) and the chemo-attractant function of root exudates (chemotaxis experiment). In all models of the soil experiment the soil water content was fitted as linear covariate before the fixed effects of the infection treatment and the compartment side. Significant effects are highlighted in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Deviance</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil water content</td>
<td>Soil water content</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>0.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil water content</td>
<td>Infection treatment (IT)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil water content</td>
<td>Compartment side (CS)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>1.698</td>
<td>0.199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT x CS</td>
<td>Residuals</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4.202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total heterotrophic bacteria (# CFU g(_{soil}^{-1}))</td>
<td>Soil water content</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.970</td>
<td>26.818</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescent pseudomonads (# CFU g(_{soil}^{-1}))</td>
<td>Infection treatment (IT)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.455</td>
<td>6.538</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT x CS</td>
<td>Residuals</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>10.226</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16s (# copies g(_{soil}^{-1}))</td>
<td>Soil water content</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>3.389</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compartment side (CS)</td>
<td>Infection treatment (IT)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>1.969</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT x CS</td>
<td>Residuals</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.471</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phlD (# copies g(_{soil}^{-1}))</td>
<td>Soil water content</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.503</td>
<td>14.205</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compartment side</td>
<td>Infection treatment (IT)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td>1.332</td>
<td>0.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT x CS</td>
<td>Residuals</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>32.337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hcnAB (# copies g(_{soil}^{-1}))</td>
<td>Soil water content</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.264</td>
<td>18.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compartment side</td>
<td>Infection treatment (IT)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT x CS</td>
<td>Residuals</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>18.352</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemo-attractant function (cells µl(^{-1}) exudate)</td>
<td>Infection treatment (IT)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.529</td>
<td>4.457</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compartment side (CS)</td>
<td>IT x CS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.577</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Results of the linear models (LM) targeting \textit{gacA} allelic richness and the permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) targeting the differences in the \textit{gacA} community compositions. All analyses were performed separately for each compartment side. The gel identity was fitted before the soil water content and the infection treatment in the LMs. Permutations in the PERMANOVAs were constrained within the single gels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Compartiment side</th>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GEL identity</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Gel identity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.154</td>
<td>4.560</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gacA richness</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Soil water content</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Infection treatment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.307</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>0.428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residuals</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>145.472</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gacA richness</td>
<td>Systemic</td>
<td>Gel identity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.538</td>
<td>2.632</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Systemic</td>
<td>Soil water content</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.135</td>
<td>2.916</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Systemic</td>
<td>Infection treatment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42.034</td>
<td>19.976</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residuals</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>46.293</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gacA composition</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Infection treatment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residuals</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.472</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NMDS)</td>
<td>Systemic</td>
<td>Infection treatment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>2.018</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residuals</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Fig 1** Abundance (least-square means and standard errors) of (a) total heterotrophic bacteria (CFU on R2A medium) and (b) fluorescent pseudomonads (CFU on Gould’s S1 medium) in the rhizosphere of uninfected plants (white circles, left) and infected plants (grey circles, right) of the soil experiment. Treatment compartments are paired to the left and the systemic compartments are paired to the right. For significant infection treatment x compartment side interactions (p<0.1) additional p-values are given for the pairwise contrasts of the infection treatment within each compartment side.
**Fig 2** Effect of the soil water content on the abundances of (a) fluorescent pseudomonads (CFU on Gould’s S1 medium) and the functional genes (b) *phlD* and (c) *hcnAB* in the rhizosphere of uninfected (white) and infected (grey) plants at the treatment compartments (triangles) and the systemic compartments (squares) of the soil experiment. Regression fits refer to the respective generalized linear model (with gamma distributed errors and a log-link function).
Fig 3 Abundance (least-square means and standard errors) of (a) 16s rDNA and the functional genes (b) *phlD* and (c) *hcnAB* in the rhizosphere of uninfected plants (white circles, left) and infected plants (grey circles, right) of the soil experiment. Treatment compartments are paired to the left and the systemic compartments are paired to the right. For significant infection treatment x compartment side interactions (p<0.1) additional p-values are given for the pairwise contrasts of the infection treatment within each compartment side.
Fig 4  

$gacA$ allelic richness (a) (least-square means and standard errors) and $gacA$ community composition (based on NMDS ordination) (b) in the rhizosphere of uninfected plants (white circles, left) and infected plants (grey circles, right) of the soil experiment. In panel (a) treatment compartments are paired to the left and the systemic compartments are paired to the right. In panel (b) each point represents one single plant. For both compartment sides, the results from the single gel ordinations are superimposed to aid visualization.
Fig 5 Chemo-attractant function (least-square means and standard errors) of *P. protegens* towards the root exudates of uninfected plants (white circles, left) and infected plants (grey circles, right) of the chemotaxis experiment. Treatment compartments are paired to the left and the systemic compartments are paired to the right.