Patient Decision-Making About Emergency and Planned Stoma Surgery for IBD: A Qualitative Exploration of Patient and Clinician Perspectives
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Background: Many inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) patients worry about stoma-forming surgery (SFS), sometimes enduring poor bowel-related quality of life to avoid it. Anticipation of SFS and whether expectations match experience is underreported. This qualitative study explored influences on patients’ SFS decision-making and compared preoperative concerns with postoperative outcomes.

Methods: We purposively recruited participants with IBD from UK hospital outpatient and community sources, and IBD clinicians from public hospitals. Four focus groups, 29 semistructured patient participant interviews, and 18 clinician interviews were audio recorded, transcribed, and analysed thematically. Participants had a current temporary, recently-reversed, or permanent stoma, or were stoma naive.

Results: Four themes emerged: Preoperative concerns and expectations, Patient decision-making, Surgery and recovery, and Long-term outcomes. Participants and clinicians agreed about most preoperative concerns, that outcomes were often better than expected, and support from others with a stoma is beneficial. Patient decision-making involves multiple factors, including disease status. Some clinicians avoid discussing SFS, and the phrase ‘last resort’ can bias patient perceptions; others recommend early discussion, increasing dialogue when medical management becomes ineffective. The postoperative period is particularly challenging for patients. Stoma acceptance is influenced by personal perceptions and pre- and postoperative clinical and social support.

Conclusion: Patients need balanced information on all treatment options, including surgery, from an early stage. Early multidisciplinary team dialogue about SFS, and contact with others living well with a stoma, could enable informed decision-making. Life with a stoma is often better than anticipated, improving quality of life and control. Ongoing specialist nursing support aids recovery and adjustment.
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INTRODUCTION

Approximately 300,000 people in the UK and 1.6 million in the USA have inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Annually, 2000 (0.66%) of these individuals in the UK1 and an estimated 24,000 (1.5%) in the USA2 undergo surgery resulting in a permanent or temporary stoma. Fear of having a stoma is repeatedly reported as a major concern for people with IBD in many countries,3–8 as is fatigue, disease and bowel control, and disease unpredictability,4,5,9 but there is no evidence to explain this level of concern. There is minimal evidence on the experience of living with a stoma for IBD or why people are so fearful of stoma-forming surgery (SFS) that they are willing to trade life expectancy to avoid it.10

One paper reporting the experiences of young adults anticipating surgery for IBD does not specifically address stoma-related concerns.11 Findings focus on general surgery-related concerns of young adults that may not be relevant across a broader age range. Unpublished data from 1 of our previous studies12 reflects the commonly-expressed opinion amongst patients that anything is better than having a stoma. This reluctance may lead patients to delay or avoid a stoma, even when it is strongly indicated clinically. Postoperative risks
may be higher and adjustment more difficult if delayed surgery means the patient is more critically ill at the time of surgery,13 and avoiding a stoma may commit people to years of living with disabling symptoms.14 In contrast, opting for a stoma can bring anticipation of improvement: 'I’m going to be having a colostomy bag anyway, which actually, strangely, does not bother me as much as ... this ridiculousness of having to go to the toilet 10 to 15 times a day.'15

Online stoma forums and advice resources explain surgical procedures and the practicalities of stoma management and provide support postoperatively, but do not address the emotional anticipation of a stoma. This evidence gap is not limited to IBD. Avoiding a stoma is also a major concern amongst patients facing surgery for colorectal cancer,16 but again this concern is unexplained.17 However, spinal cord injury research suggests that qualitative outcomes are positive, with patients reporting that they should have opted for a stoma much sooner.18 Quality of life (QoL) also is improved in those choosing a colostomy to manage intractable fecal incontinence.19, 20

One recent study reports patients’ experiences shortly after stoma surgery,21 but there is no evidence of the experiences of those with IBD who face long-term anticipation of a stoma. Soon after diagnosis, patients may learn that SFS is a potential treatment option in IBD. Consequently, fear of SFS may arise at each disease flare up and whenever the individual faces any surgery. Body image and sexual function are known to be affected following surgery for IBD,11, 21–24 but there is no evidence to confirm whether it is these factors or other aspects, including impact on relationships,25 which drive avoidance of a stoma.26 Many of those facing the possibility of SFS are in their reproductive years; there may be concerns about the impact of a stoma on attracting or keeping a partner, and for women, on being able to achieve and manage pregnancy.22, 26, 27 Stoma avoidance may also be linked to cultural taboos and rules about bowels that normally make defection invisible,28 so the option of an internal ileoanal pouch for those with ulcerative colitis (UC) may be more attractive. Additionally, unlike in colorectal cancer, SFS may not be curative, particularly in Crohn’s disease (CD) where the need for further surgery is likely.29–31

A stoma also may represent the loss of ability to maintain defection via the normal anal route, an aspect reported previously in colorectal cancer patients.32 Our previous research22 and clinical experience among the study team indicates that stomas are often considered the “last resort” and represent the failure of medical management rather than one of the possible treatment choices available to patients and clinicians. Patients may consider that ileoanal pouch surgery is the better option, but this decision carries with it the risk of multiple complications—including pouchitis and night time incontinence—whereas QoL is comparable between pouch and stoma patients.10, 33 Similarly, anterior rectal resection surgery for bowel cancer—also avoiding permanent stoma formation—often leads to altered bowel function that negatively affects QoL in up to 85% of patients.34

No studies report anticipations of, or decision-making about, SFS in IBD patients. This study therefore aimed to generate evidence to improve informed decision-making about SFS for people with IBD by learning from patient and clinician experience. The research questions were:

1. What are patients’ concerns about, and realities of, living with a stoma for IBD, and how does this influence decision-making regarding stoma-forming surgery?
2. How do patients’ and clinicians’ perceptions of, and concerns about, stoma-forming surgery for IBD compare?

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This three-phase exploratory qualitative study35 collected data via 1) 4 separate patient focus groups (A, B, C, or D); those with a current temporary (A); permanent (B); or recently reversed stoma (C); or stoma naive but worried (D); 2) individual interviews with patients; 3) individual interviews with IBD clinicians.

Recruitment

Patients: using purposive sampling, participants were recruited from IBD nurse clinics and patient databases at 2 hospitals, from our existing IBD database of research-interested community-dwelling people (all members of the charity Crohn's and Colitis UK [CCUK]), and via the CCUK online members’ forum. Maximum variation sampling was used to select from all those volunteering to achieve demographic and geographic spread.

Clinicians: IBD and stoma nurse specialists, gastroenterologists, and colorectal surgeons were recruited using purposive and chain-referral sampling via our clinical networks, and social media advertising via the UK Royal College of Nursing Gastrointestinal Nurses online forum.

Inclusion Criteria

Patients: over 18 years old, living anywhere within the UK, diagnosis of IBD confirmed with endoscopy (clinical population), or self-reported diagnosis of IBD (CCUK population); either A) a current temporary stoma, B) a permanent stoma, formed for IBD ≥3 months before participation, C) a recently-reversed stoma (between 3 and 24 months before participation, or D) stoma naive but worried about the prospect. Respondents could participate in either a focus group or an interview.

Clinicians: working anywhere within the UK; holding a specialist nursing, physician, or surgical role in IBD, stoma, or colorectal care for at least 3 years; minimum of 20 IBD cases annually.

Exclusion Criteria

Patients: complex comorbidities, including diabetes, heart disease, and mental ill-health (clinical diagnosis of depression or schizophrenia, for example) as confirmed in patient notes (clinical sample) or self-reported by participants (CCUK sample). The rationale for this exclusion was that it could be
difficult to understand if any distress/psychological issues that might emerge in the data were due to the chronic illness, the stoma, or concerns about a stoma.

Clinicians: no exclusion criteria.

Patient and Public Involvement Team

Five members of CCUK were recruited to our Patient and Public Involvement team (PPI) team. Chaired by an experienced PPI advocate (AV), all had IBD and experience of living with a temporary or permanent stoma over varying time periods, or had concerns about having a stoma, or were the spouse/partner of someone with IBD who had a stoma.

Data Collection

Data were collected via patient focus groups, individual interviews, and clinician individual interviews. All were audio recorded and professionally transcribed. Focus groups provided initial insight and informed the schedules for patient and clinician interviews. Focus groups were facilitated by Lesley Dibley and Wladyslawa Czuber-Dochan, observed by Liam O’Sullivan and Micol Artom; patient interviews were conducted by Lesley Dibley and Wladyslawa Czuber-Dochan; clinician interviews were conducted by Lesley Dibley.

Focus groups

Four focus groups were conducted, 1 for each aforementioned category (A, B, C or D). These aimed to understand pre-stoma concerns, the experience—including benefits and disadvantages—of living with a stoma, and whether experience matched expectations. Trigger questions, developed from relevant literature and from discussion with the PPI team, initiated discussion (Table 1).

Patient interviews

Twenty-nine individual interviews were conducted with people in each category (A, B, C, or D), either face to face in private homes or via telephone according to participant preference. Using topic guides generated from focus group data, emerging themes were explored in detail. Some themes were category-specific (A, B, C, or D) whereas others, such as exploring pre-stoma concerns and impact on relationships, were relevant to all groups.

Clinician interviews

Nineteen semistructured telephone or face-to-face clinician interviews explored issues arising from focus groups and patient interviews. The aim was to compare clinicians’ perceptions with those of patients.

Data Analysis

All transcripts were anonymized and analyzed using a thematic analysis guided by a pragmatic analytical hierarchy.36 Focus group transcripts were independently reviewed by Jennie Burch, Denise Cann, Lesley Dibley, Wladyslawa Czuber-Dochan, LM, Liam O’Sullivan and Christine Norton to identify early issues of interest [Available online: DSF 1]. Lesley Dibley and Wladyslawa Czuber-Dochan subsequently

---

**TABLE 1. Trigger Questions Used in the 4 Focus Groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trigger Questions (Use Any According to Progress of Focus Group)</th>
<th>Valid for Focus Group:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A (Current Temporary Stoma)</strong></td>
<td><strong>B (Permanent Stoma)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C (Recently-reversed Stoma)</strong></td>
<td><strong>D (Never had Stoma But Concerned)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. What is life like for you with a temporary / permanent stoma?</td>
<td>A, B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. What concerns you about having a stoma?</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Before you had the stoma, what concerned you about having one?</td>
<td>A, B, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. What did you imagine life would be like with a stoma?</td>
<td>A, B, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. What was life actually like for you with a stoma?</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. How does life with a stoma compare to life before you had a stoma?</td>
<td>A, B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. How does life following your reversal compare to life before the reversal?</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. How would you feel if your doctor advised a temporary stoma?</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. How would you feel if your doctor advised a permanent stoma?</td>
<td>A, C, D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. How would you feel about having another stoma in the future?</td>
<td>A, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Why do you think that so many people with IBD are so against the idea of having a stoma?</td>
<td>A, B, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. What would you say to others with IBD who are anxious about stoma-forming surgery?</td>
<td>A, B, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. How did you decide to have SFS?</td>
<td>A, B, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. What would help you be able to make a decision about SFS?</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Do IBD doctors and nurses and stoma nurses understand patient anxiety about SFS?</td>
<td>A, B, C, D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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amalgamated findings, confirming key themes that informed the schedule for subsequent patient (available online: DSF 1) and clinician interviews (available online: DSF 2). All team members contributed to the analysis of patient and clinician interviews, reviewing between 2 and 8 transcripts each. During 2 team meetings, emerging trends in the individual interview data were discussed, developed, and final themes established.

**Ethical Considerations**

Approval was granted by the National Research Ethics Service Committee London – Fulham (Ref: LO/1024). Respondents to recruitment calls received the study information leaflet before participation. Focus groups and face-to-face interview participants provided written informed consent immediately before data collection. For telephone interviews, the informed consent process was recorded on an electronic form and as a digital audio file immediately before data collection. Audio recordings were stored securely. Telephone participants received a copy of the electronic consent form. Focus group participants received a UK £20 gift card of their choice in recognition of costs incurred to attend.

**RESULTS**

Patients: Ninety-six people volunteered for the study. Based on geographical location, 34 people were invited to participate in the focus groups, and 25 accepted. Of these, 6 either did not attend or cancelled in advance, resulting in 19 participants across 4 focus groups [Table 2]. Focus groups lasted 80‒140 minutes.

Sixty-two people were invited to participate in a face to face or telephone interview. Of these, 30 accepted. One cancelled due to illness, resulting in 29 participants [Table 2]. Interviews lasted 23-103 minutes.

Clinicians: Twenty-four of 30 invited clinicians responded. Of these, 19 participated in a telephone interview. One gastroenterologist interview was lost due to recording equipment failure, resulting in 18 captured interviews lasting 16–36 minutes. Clinicians were located across the UK (Table 2).

Building on the focus group findings, individual interview data analysis revealed 4 themes. These are presented below. Patient participants are represented by pseudonym, gender (F = female, M = male), age, disease type [(CD, UC, or IBD- Unclassified (IBD-U)], and source (Focus group: FG-A,B,C, or D, or Interview: Int-A,B,C, or D); clinical staff are represented by pseudonym, age, gender, and clinical specialism. Additional verbatim extracts are available online (DSF 3).

### Travelling the Path: the Starting Point of Preoperative Concerns and Expectations

Within this theme, the commonest issues were

1. **The surgery itself.**
2. **Social stigma**
3. **Cultural issues**
4. **Limitations to social life and activity.**

#### The surgery itself

Participants begin their journey towards stoma surgery with their own set of beliefs that underpin this complex decision-making. The enormity of (any) surgery and perceived risks of postoperative pain, infection, and long recovery times were mentioned, and patients’ concerns about SFS mirrored by clinicians:

*It’s body image, effect on relationship, and the fact that it’s an operation. And they always think that there’s another medicine that might turn the corner. Then finally it’s often considered to be a one-way ticket - a permanent stoma, whereas often it’s not.* (Peter, M, 49, colorectal surgeon)

### TABLE 2. Demographic Details of Study Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participation</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Ethnic Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Focus groups (n = 19)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A (n = 5); B (n = 5); C (n = 4); D (n = 5).</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Range: 20 yrs‒73 yrs</td>
<td>CD: n = 5</td>
<td>WB (n = 17; 89.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median: 34 yrs</td>
<td>UC: n = 14</td>
<td>Other White background (n = 1; 5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean: 40.5 yrs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Indian (n = 1; 5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient interviews (n = 29)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A (n = 8); B (n = 8); C (n = 8); D (n = 5).</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Range: 22 yrs‒58 yrs</td>
<td>CD: n = 14</td>
<td>WB (n = 28; 96.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median: 40 yrs</td>
<td>UC: n = 14</td>
<td>Indian (n = 1; 3.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean: 39.4 yrs</td>
<td>IBDU: n = 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinician interviews (n = 18)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBD nurses (n = 7)</td>
<td>Female:</td>
<td>Range: 35 yrs‒58 yrs</td>
<td>3–7 years (n = 3; 16.7%)</td>
<td>WB (n = 14; 77.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoma / pouch / colorectal nurses (n = 4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Median: 47 yrs</td>
<td>8–12 years (n = 5; 27.8%)</td>
<td>WI (n = 2; 11.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroenterologists (n = 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean: 46 yrs</td>
<td>13+ years (n = 10; 55.5%)</td>
<td>Indian: (n = 1; 5.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal surgeons (n = 4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other Black background (1; 5.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Crawford’s disease (CD); IBD Unclassified (IBDU); Ulcerative Colitis (UC); White British (WB); White Irish (WI)
Social stigma
Across all groups, concerns focused on social and interpersonal aspects. Preoperatively, participants had been or were currently worried that after surgery the stoma bag would leak, they would carry a noticeable unpleasant smell, and the bag would be visible to others:

‘I was worried about silly things like would people be able to see it? Would you be able to wear clothes normally? Would I ever be able to go skiing again? - that sort of thing.’ (Daisy, F, 35, UC, Int-B)

Social stigma arose from concerns about fecal matter being in an external bag on the abdomen—rather than where it should be (inside and unseen)—and from worries about others’ judgements of them due to the abnormal management of this bodily function.

Cultural issues
Cultural and religious factors could be challenging for those with an Indian / Hindu background, but beneficial for those from other communities:

‘It is just that you’re part of the community...everyone knows everything, nothing is private, you know. And if you say there’s something wrong with me or I’ve got a stoma, it’s just gossip...like, “Do you know what? So and so’s daughter or so and so’s wife, you know she is...”’ (Shobna, F, 41, UC, FG-D)

These factors also present challenges for clinicians by affecting their willingness to discuss surgical options with patients.

Limitations to social life and activity
Interpersonal concerns included the belief that currently single participants would not be able to find and maintain a loving relationship, and that existing relationships would be damaged as participants believed they would no longer be attractive to their partner. Female participants also worried about the impact of a stoma on their ability to bear children. The prospect of a stoma was viewed negatively; participants expected it would ruin their life, they would have poor control over bowel function, and sporting and other energetic activities currently enjoyed would have to be forfeited:

‘That is one more thing that might stop me playing football, which I would not want to do and ... having a sex life, going for a swim, sitting on the beach.’[Karl, M, 55, UC, FG-D]

Concerns often arose following the negative experiences of a participant’s own previous stoma, or others’ stomas, or from social representations that include the perceptions that stomas are dirty, an abnormality, and are only for older people. Some clinicians also identified age as a factor, perceiving that a stoma would be less acceptable to younger patients, but participants did not necessarily agree with this view, with young adults often recognizing that having a stoma had enabled them to pursue education and career pathways (See also online file 3: Table A).

Travelling the Path: Patient Decision-making
Within this theme, the key issues were:
1. Information seeking or avoidance
2. Early provision of SFS information to patients
3. Communication, language, and rapport
4. Stoma buddies.

Information seeking or avoidance
There are many paths towards decision-making for SFS. For some it is a more straightforward and direct journey, whereas for others it is convoluted and difficult. Information gathering and processing can feel overwhelming as the person with IBD tries to balance their initial fears, concerns, and experiences with their existing attitudes towards toileting and bodily waste, and the demands of their disease. Typically during this stage of the journey, there is either deliberate effort to avoid information, or there is active research—especially online—and willingness to meet others with a stoma either virtually or in person.

Information seeking or avoidance appears to be influenced by several key elements including illness duration (longer illness = greater willingness to consider stoma), when and by whom the topic of surgery has been introduced, age, and rapport and communication with the health professional team (Table 3). In particular, there is discrepancy of opinion between participants and clinicians regarding the ‘right’ age for a stoma, and when the option of SFS should be introduced. Failure to offer SFS in a timely manner can commit the patient to years of debilitating symptoms:

‘It was not offered to me ... I would never have thought of it as an option, that you can actually decide that you don’t want this awful bowel inside you anymore.’(Doreen, F, 61, UC, Int-B)

Early Provision of SFS Information to Patients
All IBD, stoma, and colorectal nurses and a minority of gastroenterologists agreed that early introduction of the medical and surgical management options for IBD is preferable. This lays the foundation for returning to a discussion of surgical management and stomas if needed:

As soon as they’re diagnosed, I always talk about surgery up front, because I think that just raises it in their mind. And then they’re given an information pack, all about IBD, which mentions surgery and stomas. That initial introduction is really important, because it gets it on the radar as a possibility.’(Linda, F, 38, IBD Nurse)

Other gastroenterologists felt the topic should be avoided, believing this protects the patient from unnecessary concern. However, all clinicians agreed that patients ideally need adequate time to make the decision for SFS, and
for some, this may be of considerable duration. Participants stressed the importance of receiving the necessary information sufficiently in advance, so that they can make the decision before they are acutely or critically ill. The challenge for clinicians is to provide this information, when, as participants recognized, a stoma is something that psychologically, they may not want to contemplate. Participants indicated that it is challenging to actively seek information from their clinical team about stomas, without giving the impression that they need it, or not emotionally able to decide.

Communication, language, and rapport

An important part of the decision-making stage is communication and rapport with clinicians and introducing the topic of SFS to patients, although clinicians had varying perceptions on attitudes towards, and the role of, stomas in managing IBD. Some felt that SFS was currently perceived as the last resort, whereas others reported that although this was previously the case, it was now seen as a therapeutic option.

The language of a stoma as ‘the last resort’ sends messages of medical failure, whereas presenting stoma information in a more positive light may send a different message to patients:

‘The words I hate to hear mentioned are ‘failure of medical therapy.’ That very word ‘failure’ - immediately the patient sees it in the context of them and their disease. So the word ‘option’ I bring up really early on. There’s an option.’(Anita, F, 44, consultant gastroenterologist)

Some participants avoided contemplating stoma surgery because of the sense of finality it represents, whereas others needed to have exhausted all other medical options before feeling ready to accept inevitable stoma surgery. Although this approach could reassure participants about finally deciding for SFS, others felt angry and frustrated at having subjected their bodies to the side effects of powerful drugs for many years to no avail, and ending up with a stoma anyway. Participants and clinicians placed value on sharing honest, balanced information from a consistent multidisciplinary team, and participants wanted to hear the truth about possible complications of surgery and the initial difficulties faced during the early stoma management phase. All study participants offered ileoanal
pouch surgery had been informed of the potential risks, and this insight enabled some to discuss and agree with their surgeon on the best way to plan for pregnancy.

**Stoma buddies**

For many patients, fear of a stoma represents fear of the unknown. One strategy that all participants and most clinicians considered beneficial for patient decision-making was to meet another person of the same gender, age, and life situation, who was living well with a stoma:

‘You can have the most wonderful stoma nurse in the world, [but] what you want is somebody, roughly your own age who has lived it.’ (Rachel, F, 31, UC, Int-A)

Patients also need information that dispels fear of the unknown. Stoma naive participants often had no idea what a stoma looks like; they wanted to see realistic images of a newly created stoma, and how it looks some months later. Practicing with the stoma bag before surgery also helped participants overcome concerns about visibility, body image, and impact on their choice of clothing. The final decision to have SFS is often ultimately driven by disease status and dwindling self-assessed QoL, but participants retain their underlying social, interpersonal, cultural, and practical concerns (See also online file 3: Table B).

**Travelling the Path: Surgery and Recovery**

Within this theme, key issues were revealed as:

1. Emergency or planned surgery
2. Types of surgery, previous surgery, and complications
3. Expectations of stoma management
4. Relationships with healthcare professionals during the recovery period.

As for all stages of this journey, the length and duration varies for each person. Physical and emotional recovery and outcomes are influenced by the key issues outlined above. Wellness (recovery), practical stoma management, and emotional adjustment all influence a person's future relationship with their stoma.

**Emergency or planned surgery**

There are benefits and disadvantages of emergency and planned stoma surgery for participants. Although it may not always be the case, rapid escalation from diagnosis to SFS is often devastating for the patient:

‘I became ill in the September and I had my colon removed end of that same month. So it is all out, totally sudden, no symptoms, just three weeks after feeling a bit ill, I had my colon removed. And that was quite a big shock.’ (Pauline, F, 64, UC, FG-B)

A long delay in diagnosis leading immediately to SFS could prompt positive reactions and a sense of relief. In contrast, planned surgery enabled participants to prepare psychologically and physically, yet prolonged the anticipation.

**Types of surgery, previous surgery, and complications**

Prior experience of operations meant some participants felt they knew what to expect from surgery, although for others, it served to remind them of the challenges. For many, a temporary stoma was easier to deal with emotionally as it felt less final and offered the option of reversal. Clinicians and participants agreed that the quality of the temporary stoma experience had a powerful impact on, and was critical to, participants’ overall assessment of the experience and their ability to consider living in the future with a permanent stoma:

‘You have got to make it a good stoma, that’s one of the reasons why I tend to make end ones. And often with pouches the temporary loop they have, when the pouch is formed, is not a very good stoma. So they’re having high up horrible loop ileostomies. So often an end stoma is a much, much better stoma.’ (Sarah, F, 51, colorectal surgeon)

The importance of creating a ‘good’ temporary stoma was reflected among participants who, struggling to manage a troublesome loop ileostomy, were keen to avoid any future permanent stoma. Aside from a period of adjustment to the practicalities of managing a stoma, most participants had not encountered problems arising from stoma placement. Only 1 had endured major postoperative complications and significant risk to his life. Having travelled a lengthy path to reach the decision to have surgery, this experience caused him to doubt that decision.

**Expectations of stoma management**

Participants and clinicians expected there to be a period of adjustment to living with a stoma, although this focused on the practical issues, such as working out the routine for changing the appliance and finding the right stoma bag. Some participants were not aware that alternative bags were available and struggled with the type provided by the hospital, often experiencing frequent leakages. This situation was most likely to occur where follow-up stoma services or relationships with healthcare professionals were poorer.

There was often initial reluctance to look at the stoma postoperatively, although some overcame this matter more quickly than others. Most participants experienced good post-operative practical support from clinicians, but emotional support was often lacking, leaving them feeling abandoned. Some participants felt a sense of loss and grief, which coincided and contrasted with relief that they were no longer ill; these layered emotions could resurface over time.

It can be difficult and frustrating to learn practical stoma management while also dealing with the emotional impact and physical changes. In the early postoperative stages, the concerns
participants had at the outset may persist. Particularly, fears of being ‘found out’ and being judged as ‘abnormal’ by others, of others witnessing leakages or noises, and concerns about intimacy may be enhanced immediately postoperatively. Strategies that help patients remember their presurgery life can encourage them through challenging postoperative periods:

‘I sometimes get them to write down what is life like now, so if they do end up having surgery, and they are having a bad day post-op, that they’ve got something to reflect back on.’ (Joyce, F, 39, stoma nurse)

A grief / loss experience is likely and may be more pronounced in emergency situations, centered on either loss of the imagined or hoped for future, or of the body part despite its defectiveness. Early awareness of the possibility of SFS enables the grieving process for the potential loss to begin and also can help determine the conditions under which patients would consent to such surgery.

**Relationships with healthcare professionals during the recovery period**

Most participants had access to ongoing postoperative and postdischarge support from clinicians, particularly stoma nurses. Those who had no support, or were not aware that there was a stoma service in their area, felt abandoned and distressed:

‘They were good for the initial six weeks, the same as the surgeon. But then it was, “You’re done now, we don’t want to hear from you.”’ (Marie, F, 46, CD, Int-B)

Some form of follow-up beyond the immediate postoperative period was desired by several participants and may be particularly necessary for those who have a challenging emotional and/or physical recovery (see also online file 3: Table C).

**Travelling the Path: Towards Long-term Outcomes**

Within this theme, the key aspects were:

1. The postoperative temporary stoma experience
2. Impact of a permanent stoma
3. Dispelling preoperative concerns and anxieties.

Although some patients for personal, psychological, or cultural reasons cannot make the adjustment, most participants with any experience of a stoma agreed that outcomes were almost always better than anticipated; a view reflected by clinicians:

‘Even though the perception is that the stoma would be life-chang- ing, the actual reality is it’s life-improving.’ (Louise, F, 47, IBD Nurse)

For the majority, the longer term outcomes are positive, but vary according to the experiences and concerns reported above. Some participants who did not like having a stoma still recognized the positive impact it has had on their life and well-being, although the duration of illness and ability to compare one’s life before and after stoma was important. Those with short illness duration who travel from diagnosis to stoma in a few weeks can find it emotionally challenging.

**The postoperative temporary stoma experience**

The experience of recovering with a temporary stoma had a profound impact on participants’ ability to consider a stoma as a permanent option in the future. Some, particularly where there was lengthy recovery due to infection/complications and high number of surgeries, found it so challenging that once they had the stoma reversed they would not want another. Others found themselves able to cope with a temporary stoma, and thus able to accept a possible future permanent stoma. However, sometimes those with temporary stomas may not invest the emotional effort required to adjust, bracketing the experience from the rest of their life:

‘I think it says quite a lot about me, that I did not tell my [teen- age] children that I was having one. They still don’t know. I hid it from them successfully for five months. I don’t know if that was a good thing to do or not.’ (Penelope, F, 53, CD, Int-C)

Some participants who had imagined ‘temporary’ to mean perhaps 3 to 5 months were still living with their ‘temporary’ stoma several years later. Sometimes this was their choice as participants found themselves feeling so well they chose to reject the planned reversal surgery, but more often it was guided by clinical recommendation. Uncertainty over the length of the temporary period can leave some patients in a long-term state of indecision and low commitment to living with and adjusting to the stoma.

**Impact of a permanent stoma**

Most participants with a permanent stoma found that they felt better immediately postoperatively, giving them insight into how unwell they had been and how much pain, discomfort, and disruption they had been accommodating:

‘I do not think I realized how unwell I was until I woke up and felt better. And I literally did wake up and feel better. I think you almost get used to it, I think you adapt to the lot you’re given, so you get on with it.’ (Esther, F, 26, UC, Int-B)

After a process of loss and grief, acceptance, and becoming competent in stoma management, participants saw the stoma as life enabling. This process of acceptance and positive regard for their stoma seemed quicker and smoother for participants who learned about the possibility of SFS early on in their illness experience, who proactively researched stoma options, and who engaged with similar others who had positive experiences of stomas. They also had access to practical tips about stoma management via stoma nurses and support sites and supportive families, friends, and/or mentors. This lay support
seems particularly beneficial in addressing the emotional implications of surgery.

Dispelling preoperative concerns and anxieties

Almost all participants, whether they liked their stoma or not, reported that outcomes had been far better than expected, and their initial concerns had often proved unfounded. Other people did not seem to notice any smell and could not see the stoma bag under their clothes, and intimate partners were not put off by the stoma:

‘My husband is absolutely amazing, just every single day tells me that I am beautiful and like he really doesn’t care what I look like and he’s completely accepted it.’ (Helen, F, 23, CD, Int-A)

For some, the presence of the stoma was bothersome to them, rather than to their partner, whereas another regretted that the stoma bag created a physical barrier that prevented total skin-to-skin contact with her husband during intimacy. For others, the bag and related odor was a source of amusement.

Participants who chose to disclose their stoma to loved ones, friends, work colleagues, and acquaintances often experienced a positive response. Sometimes, their interlocutor revealed that they, a family member, or friend also had a stoma. This often came as a welcome surprise to participants, confirming that a stoma is not visible to others. Furthermore, rather than being a hindrance and life reducing, their stoma was life enhancing. They now were able to enjoy many activities denied them when ill, including sports (football, rugby, swimming), employment, social activities, and dining. Travelling by car, train, or plane became easier, and although additional planning might be required, any inconvenience associated with the stoma was overshadowed by the enjoyment of their newfound freedom.

Some participants did experience occasional gurgling sounds or leaks from their bags, but this matter was less problematic than originally imagined. Although appliance leaks tended to be major, these occurred infrequently and often were due to the bag fitting poorly, or a tried and tested application technique inexplicably failing. Some participants described handcutting their appliances for a better fit and seemed unaware of available appliance-cutting services or alternative sizes and types of bags that might suit them better. Those who coped best tended to see these issues as minor in comparison to their pre-stoma illness experience. They also planned their stoma management more effectively, including identifying the optimum time and place for changing the bag based on learning when the stoma would be reasonably fit for a total adhesive seal.

Participants and clinicians independently identified similar factors that enabled patient decision-making for SFS and facilitated adjustment in the immediate and long-term postoperative periods. The most beneficial factor was contact with a ‘stoma buddy’—another person of similar age, life-situation, and of the same gender, who was living well with a stoma and acted as a role model. Evidence that an active, productive, healthy life was possible with a stoma—and reassurances from others who were living the experience—was recognized by all participants as more beneficial than reassurances coming from clinicians. Ongoing contact with the stoma buddy throughout the postoperative period facilitates practical management of the stoma, which may in turn contribute to emotional well-being.

Experience of wearing a stoma bag preoperatively can help ease patients’ concerns and inform accurate siting of the stoma. Stoma siting is a task requiring skill, and when carried...
out by specially-trained stoma nurses, improves patient outcomes. Poor siting increases the risk of postoperative complications, including fecal spillage into the abdominal wound. Providing patients preoperatively with real images illustrating the appearance of the stoma in the immediate postoperative period and how this changes as recovery progresses provides them with a clear idea of what to expect. There is plentiful evidence from all areas of healthcare that patients who have been informed with realistic, honest, and accurate information cope better with illness, hospitalization, and surgery.

The immediate postoperative period can be very challenging, and patients need emotional and practical support. Patients often have struggled to choose SFS, and postoperative problems may further challenge their certainty about the decision they made. Keeping a preoperative diary to refer back to can remind patients of why they decided to choose surgery and reinforce their faith in their decision. The type and quality of support, particularly from stoma nurses, is instrumental to the patient’s recovery. Some clinical units offer an open door policy to patients postsurgery for as long as they need it, but others do not—and patients without access to follow-up care seem to struggle more postoperatively, especially with finding the right equipment to manage their stoma well.

The experience a patient has with a temporary stoma influences their perceptions of any potential future permanent stoma; the quality of surgery to form the stoma is critical to the patient’s subsequent stoma experience; stoma formation should be carried out by experienced surgeons. A temporary loop ileostomy may be technically easier to create and reverse, presenting fewer surgical and anaesthetic risks to the patient. However, “temporary” can be for prolonged periods or may be repeated several times in IBD, and QoL with the temporary stoma should be considered.

Participants and clinicians independently agreed that for the majority of patients, long-term outcomes were very much better than anticipated, with many preoperative concerns proving unfounded. This pattern has previously been reported anecdotally from clinical experience, and in research in relation to other conditions and in IBD. For participants in this study, relationships were created and sustained; babies conceived, carried and delivered; and lifestyles enhanced through regular employment, travel, sporting, and social activities.

Resistance to stoma surgery appears to be underpinned by social attitudes towards excreta and by perceptions that life would be severely limited by a stoma. Social representation theory addresses the manner in which a central stock of values, ideas, metaphors, beliefs, and practices that are shared among the members of communities influence community behavior. In respect to managing stool, social representations mean that humans are socialized towards private containment and control of body fluids and products. ‘Matter out of place’ such as stool in a bag outside the abdomen challenges these social representations and may explain some of the resistance towards stoma surgery. Further explanation may come from the stigma that arises from ‘not being normal’. Goffman’s theory of impression management argues that humans expend significant effort to present an acceptable face to the world. Anything that marks a person as different from those social representations, the ‘norms’ of social interaction, can potentially stigmatize. The drive to fit in is so strong that people will actively avoid anything, such as a stoma, which marks them as different.

The period of emotional adjustment to and acceptance of a stoma varies but may be influenced by the individual’s pre-existing illness perceptions and coping styles and extended when there is preoperative resistance to surgery or where the patient is appalled or disgusted by the notion of ‘dirty matter out of place.’ The personality characteristic of disgust trait, which influences a person’s response to dirty matter, has been shown to influence the way others respond to a person with a colostomy. Personality and disgust trait may therefore play a part in the response of some IBD patients to the prospect and reality of stoma surgery. There is potential to measure disgust trait and any relationship with personality as a means of predicting response to stoma.

The drive to fit in is so strong that people will actively avoid anything, such as a stoma, which marks them as different.

To improve the patients’ overall experience of living with a stoma, clinicians can ensure information regarding surgery including SFS is provided at diagnosis, and that SFS is presented as a treatment option rather than a last resort. Before surgery, contact with stoma buddies, stoma specialist, IBD nurses, and relevant support groups, and provision of information that includes real photo images of new and settled stomas, will aid decision-making. Perioperatively, formation of the best possible stoma, even if temporary, will likely enhance the stoma experience for the patient. Postoperatively, recognition of and support for the emotional and physical adjustment required will be beneficial, with follow-up for as long as the patient needs it. Open, honest, and inclusive communication between relevant clinicians, the patient, and their family is essential.

Patient-reported evidence of the realities of living with a temporary or permanent stoma, combined with existing QoL studies, can inform patient decision-making, reduce pre- and perioperative anxiety, and potentially enhance postoperative outcomes. This knowledge may inform development of tailored preoperative interventions according to individual need, including full discussion of all surgical options. The benefits of early preoperative support and preparation are known to improve outcomes, including acceptance of a stoma, after surgery. Findings also may be transferable to other conditions where stoma formation may be required.

Limitations

All participants were self-selected and almost all were white. Findings may therefore not reflect the views and experiences of patients with different disease severity and/or stoma outcomes, or patients and clinicians from other ethnic groups. Purposive recruitment from hospital clinics had
to be suspended. Researchers found that in some cases they were introducing the topic of stomas to patients naïve to this information, which could potentially have caused distress to patients. Clinical colleagues instead identified possible participants from their patient databases who were subsequently invited to the study by the research team. This may have biased the demographic and gender profile of the study participants. Lower than planned numbers in 2 of the focus groups, more female participants, and more participants with UC may have influenced the final results.

CONCLUSIONS
Patient decision-making about SFS is complex. Disease status is the dominant, but not the only deciding factor. Patients need balanced information on the benefits and challenges of all treatment options including surgery from an early stage. Multidisciplinary team dialogue about likely SFS should begin at the first indication that medication is not controlling disease or symptoms. Using negative language and discussing SFS as a “last resort” is unhelpful to patients. It is incumbent upon clinicians to provide the best stoma experience possible for the patient. Patients and clinicians agree that support from similar others with a stoma is highly effective at reducing a patient’s concerns. For most, preoperative social, psychological, and emotional concerns prove unfounded. Life with a stoma is often much better than anticipated, improving QoL and control, and ongoing IBD and stoma nurse support aids patients’ recovery and adjustment. Future research to predict patient response to stoma may enable clinicians to provide targeted pre- and postoperative support strategies.
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