Supporting Real-time Decision-making under Stress in an Online Training Environment
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Abstract: Multi-agency crisis management represents one of the most complex real-world situations, requiring rapid negotiation and decision-making under extreme pressure. However, the training provided to Gold Commanders (strategic planners) typically lacks the stress of a real crisis, while research tells us that behavior and decision-making are significantly affected by stress. It is therefore vital that training puts trainees under the pressure of a real crisis situation as far as is possible. The Pandora system, developed from an EU FP7 research project, provides a unique, original, realistic, immersive, augmented reality training environment in which the stress of each individual trainee can be managed by the trainer, during a training event, with the support of system intelligence. The system uses AI planning techniques to model an unfolding crisis scenario, realized as an event network which can be dynamically updated by the trainer during a training event. This modelling includes points of decision for trainees managed by automated rules from a knowledge base, behavioral modelling of the trainees, and dynamic management of the environment to provide affective inputs to control and manage trainee stress. In this context, the system controls and reacts to trainee performance in relation to the events and decision points and can dynamically remodel and reconfigure the event network to respond appropriately to trainee decisions. The environment can also represent any missing trainees within the scenario and has the potential to provide training in any domain where a timeline-based scenario of events is required for training. This entire approach is completely novel in crisis training and has been rigorously tested in several trials, the most recent involving close to 150 participants, and has the potential to transform online learning in many domains, not just crisis management.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Pandora Training Environment has its origins in a proof-of-concept prototype training environment produced as an output of the EU FP7 project Pandora, which ran between 2010 and 2012, and has been further developed to a production level system by the authors and their team over the last 4 years.

It is a smart eLearning environment designed to train Strategic Level (Gold) Commanders, who may deal with crises at an international, national or local level. In crisis management Gold Commanders such as those in the police, fire and health authorities, or local government executives with direct responsibility for protecting the functions of civil society, typically may have senior executive level or management responsibility for services involved in crisis management. Crises in which Gold Commanders will be involved will typically present as complex situations, requiring a coordinated, multi-agency response, 9/11 being one such example.

The role of a Gold Commander is explicitly strategic. They are in overall control of the emergency for their organization, however they will not generally be at the site of the emergency, but typically co-located with other Gold Commanders in a control room. They will propose solutions and set the direction for the tactical (Silver) Commanders to implement who will also typically not be physically present at the site of the emergency. Silver Commanders give direction to operational commanders (Bronze) who are responsible for organizing resources on the ground. In practice some of these roles may become blurred and Gold Commanders could also have some tactical and / or operational responsibility.

Whilst Gold Commanders will bring a wealth of experience and knowledge from their own specific areas, they typically have little understanding of how Gold Commanders in other agencies work and therefore need training to develop their skills in working with senior executives from other agencies to understand the constraints, culture, behavior and priorities etc. of those agencies. The importance of team training and the development of good communication skills cannot be over-emphasized and can be a matter of life and death [1]. A key component of this training includes the development of negotiation and communication skills [2] and the ability to understand the need for pragmatic trade-offs between agencies that may be necessary in order to manage and lead a crisis situation as a team. Desired outcomes from a training event can include:

- Development of collaborative skills, including an understanding of how people work in teams and negotiate solutions to deliver decisions and solutions in a timely manner.
• Assessment of interpersonal skills, flexibility and an ability to work with other Gold Commanders.
• Helping teams to think about alternative solutions to solve problems, forcing trainees to move away from their pre-prepared plans and to think of innovative solutions to problems in a time-constrained and stressful environment
• Consideration of the impact of decisions on other services/agencies.
• Assessment of trainee performance in making decisions under pressure.
• Preparation of trainees to deal with the media, which is inevitable in the event of a crisis.
• Helping trainees to recognize their assumptions, challenge each other’s, and to justify them as being reasonable at a specific point in time. These will be scrutinized after the event if a situation worsens and will inevitably be the focus of a post-crisis analysis.
• Monitoring the risk taking behavior of the group, given research suggests that risk taking behavior increases in a group situation [3]
• Reflecting on whether decisions made by the group made the situation worse or better.

In order to develop strategic plans to deal with crisis situations, as identified above, Gold Commanders are expected to work together to produce effective plans. However, pre-prepared strategic plans are typically developed in isolation from other agencies in the calm of an office environment. These plans will outline approaches, use of resources etc., and whilst such plans are obviously essential to preparing for a crisis, all crisis situations will present Gold Commanders with some unique circumstances and problems to solve. These could include stressful situations such as the requirement to make life and death decisions under extreme pressure and in a time constrained manner. Since different agencies will have different cultures and different priorities in the event of a crisis, which may clash, there is a need for multi-agency training to help people in different agencies understand each other and their priorities in advance, and hopefully, through the use of realistic training scenarios, understand each better prior to a real crisis.

Gold Commanders are generally involved in a crisis when an event threatens human life, health, property, the critical national infrastructure or there is likely to be an impact on the supply of essential services. Their focus is to:
• Save and protect life whilst ensuring the health and safety of their own staff
• Relieve suffering
• Contain the emergency
• Provide information to the public
• Safeguard the environment
• Protect property
• Maintain/restore critical services
• Maintain normal services appropriately

• Promote and facilitate self-help
• Facilitate the investigation/inquiry
• Facilitate community recovery
• Evaluate and identify lessons learned.

Crisis management exercises and simulations must be a key component of preparing teams to respond in the event of a crisis. Typically they are done in the following ways:
a. Tabletop exercises. The cheapest and quickest approach to training is to design a crisis scenario, sit someone from each agency around a table, give them a written briefing and ask them to imagine the scenario described and then discuss in the team how they would solve it. These sessions are managed by a trainer who would try to emotionally engage the group with the description of the crisis that they have been presented with. In addition, the trainer may be required to playing the part of a missing agency person if that role was crucial to the exercise. They will also occasionally interject an event to represent an unfolding scenario after the initial event(s) which triggered the crisis, to see how the participants react and how their plans might change as the crisis scenario unfolds. The occasional media clip might be played to help make the exercise feel more real however, the nature of the exercise predominantly involves discussion by the trainees, around a table, as they try to solve the crisis. These exercises therefore lack the stress and pressure of the real event, and the impact and feedback on decisions taken in real time.
b. Real-world exercises. These are designed to simulate part of a particular crisis scenario that might occur. The benefit of these are that they are extremely realistic however, they are typically very expensive and time consuming to set up and run, and can only simulate a small part of a potential crisis.
c. Computer-based training environments. These simulate a crisis situation and provide a more realistic training environment than a tabletop exercise. However, scenarios can be expensive to author, often requiring specialist skills, and their ability to adapt during a training session can be limited.

In all of the above training situations, the approaches and products available provide a limited number of outcomes that can be simulated in all cases and this is one of the key requirements that our research has sought to address. The key research question that underpins our work can be expressed as “Can we develop an online, immersive training environment, that can be dynamically and flexibly configured, and reconfigured in real-time, to provide realistic stress in the training of individuals and groups in crisis management?” A further consideration is that such an environment should offer ease-of-use in the creation and delivery of training scenarios, and should not require the use of specialist IT personnel to run training events. Pandora™ has been developed to provide an immersive environment which delivers real-time, adaptable
simulations with multiple outcomes that can be dynamically managed by a trainer, on-the-fly, during a training session, to allow a group of trainees to explore a train of thought and follow it through to the end, as opposed to being steered towards a limit number of fixed outcomes. A variety of stress-control mechanisms are included in the system, which can be managed automatically within a training scenario, or dynamically by the trainer or the Pandora+ system.

The remainder of this paper explores current approaches to crisis training and then describes the Pandora+ training environment, focusing on the real-time decision making support within the tool. It then briefly addresses evaluation before discussing conclusions and future work.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

In recent years there has been a trend towards the use of computer based environments to provide a realistic, engaging, immersive and flexible training at a reasonable cost. The importance of realistic crisis management training cannot be over-emphasized, as in stressful situations, emotions can cause a wide range of effects on people’s attention, perception and the cognitive processes involved in decision making, problem-solving and learning. Evidence suggests that individuals under stress and anxiety often fail to adopt rational-choice models. They often devote insufficient time to the consideration of available alternatives and / or consider alternatives in a disorganized manner, making decisions before considering all potential information [4]. It is therefore important to ensure that trainee crisis managers experience team working skills in stressful situations [5].

Computer training environments are typically based on games and / or simulation technologies for a number of reasons. Games as learning tools have been well recognized as beneficial for a long time [6] and the use of games in recent times, particularly for the training of healthcare professionals to manage crisis situations, has become commonplace [7], [8]. Games can also help to manage the cognitive load [9] which in turn helps people to manage their stress. However, the difference between games and simulations is not clear. From a survey undertaken by [10], some people view games as a subset of simulations, others take the opposite view, and some suggest that serious games (a game whose primary purpose is not entertainment) could be considered to be the intersection of the two. A variety of computer-based games and simulation environments have been developed for crisis management training. For example, commercial tools such as Hydra and Minerva [11] which are focused around police and fire rescue simulations, and Vector Command [12] which has developed a command and control system and associated training. There are also a variety of training tools developed by research projects such as CRISIS [13].

All of these training environments offer a variety of features, tools and facilities and can provide effective crisis management training within pre-determined and fixed scenarios. However, none of them offer the combination or sophistication provided by the state-of-the-art Pandora+ training environment [14], [15], the details of which are explained in the next section.

III. THE PANDORA+ TRAINING ENVIRONMENT

The Pandora+ environment is an augmented reality environment (real world is augmented with computer-generated input e.g. images, audio, video) as opposed to virtual reality (user is immersed in a virtual world which has replaced the real one). During the design process it was determined that this type of environment was more suited to the background and experience of a typical Gold Commander, being seen by them to offer a more realistic environment than a virtual world. However, this may change in the future as the current Gold Commander demographic changes and new personnel moving into those roles become more familiar with virtual worlds. The system has been designed to provide a learner centric, constructivist approach to education as opposed to teacher centric, instructivist approach.

As described above there are a number of different training tools in the market, however what makes Pandora+ unique is the combination of the following features, most of which are innovative in this space:

1. **Scenario generation by a trainer** - a scenario description, coupled with its multimedia assets, can be input either directly into Pandora+ using an editor or uploaded through a spreadsheet and run at the push of a button. The trainer can configure Pandora+ to the number of players and specify the roles of each player within the scenario. In other tools, generation of a scenario is not typically something a trainer can do, it is usually a bespoke and expensive activity.

2. **Mode of delivery** - can be used by a single trainee or as a multi-user training environment, in a fixed location where trainees are physically co-located, or distributed when trainees are geographically dispersed.

3. **Presentation of information** – provides a variety of immersive formats e.g. audio, video, texts, email, graphics and text. Group information can be presented through a multi-screen display if useful and when trainees are co-located.

4. **Use of Non-Player Characters (NPCs)** - These are computer-generated actors within the scenario that either provide an automated, fixed, pre-scripted representation of one of the roles outlined below, or can be taken over by the trainer to provide a dynamic capability within the scenario to follow through a train of thought by the trainees. The NPCs can be configured to demonstrate a variety of emotions and characteristics, and persist for the lifetime of
the event, playing a full part in the training. Pandora\textsuperscript{*} defines four types of NPC that can be rendered within the simulation environment.

i. HICON (Higher Control Strategic Agents) – these represent the most senior authority figures within the society e.g. Government Ministers who would be above the level of Gold Commanders, have the authority to demand actions or constrain resources, and could impose their decisions on the crisis team. They may also provide confidential information to one or more trainees who have to respond accordingly.

ii. LOCON (Lower Control Tactical Agents) – these represent the lower levels of command within the crisis team, and can provide valuable feedback on the tactical level realization of the current strategy, as well as on-site reports of the physical situation, resources etc.

iii. External Experts – these represent specialists in particular areas of importance in a given scenario, and can be consulted by the trainees directly, or can indirectly provide inputs through media interviews or information sites.

iv. Missing trainees – for each scenario a set of key players are identified, and these must be represented in order that all the elements of the scenario can be realized. Each of these players is modelled as an NPC, with predetermined actions in relation to the narrative of the scenario. If a trainee is missing, the relevant NPC(s) can be configured to take their place and enable the training event to take place. As a result a training event can run with any number of human trainees and will always have a full complement of players for the scenario.

5. Scenario management – the ability to pause the scenario during execution and rollback the scenario to a specific point in time in order allow trainees to try again, amend the scenario on the fly during training, or indeed to fast forward through a scenario if deemed appropriate by the trainer.

6. Full debrief post training – all communications (audio, video, texts, email, graphics and text etc.) and decisions are recorded and can be replayed for reflection and the debriefing of trainees.

7. Stress management – This is the most significant feature of Pandora\textsuperscript{*} which is different to other environments and is based around the intelligent support and management of trainee stress during a training exercise [14], [15]. The trainer may decide during a training session that one or more trainees are too relaxed or too stressed, and that the stress levels for one or more trainees should be increased or decreased, to better reflect a real world crisis scenario. As shown in Fig. 1, there are several features which can be used to assess and manipulate the stress of individual trainees or the group of trainees which use a mix of relevant features from both games and simulations.

Stress Inputs:

a. Behavioral modelling – human factors / psychological variables of trainees (e.g. Personality traits, leadership style, background experience, self-efficacy, stress \& anxiety), which have all been shown to have an influence on decision making under stress, can be modelled in advance of the training and monitored during training.

b. Biometric sensors, such as a heart rate monitor. Pandora\textsuperscript{*} can receive biometric information on each trainee which the trainer can monitor to judge stress levels, or can be used to provide automatic feedback to the system to provide input in order to ascertain if the target stress levels for individual trainees are correct.

c. Self-reporting by trainees during the training event

d. Trainer observation during the training event

Stress Controls:

a. Add and delete events as the scenario plays out

b. Alter decision points and option choices.

c. Increase or decrease the speed at which the scenario is played out relative to real time.

d. Adjust resources available for the trainees to use in solving their part of the crisis e.g. limit the number of fire trucks and crews to disperse.

e. Change deadlines to increase / decrease time pressures on trainees.

f. Set different challenges / goals for different trainees e.g. how they manage their resources, rules can be defined which have quantifiable outcomes, and levels of difficulty can also be set. These can also be updated during scenario execution.

g. Provide the Pandora\textsuperscript{*} system with a target level of stress for one or more trainees which can be changed during scenario execution. Pandora\textsuperscript{*} manages this through its affective state framework which can manipulate stress in two ways: through NPC behavior or through the use of mashup rules to mix various multimedia assets in order to induce, or lower, stress e.g. overlay audio over a picture of a flooded hospital to either report the water is receding (to lower stress) or that the water is rising (to induce stress).

As described above, a key input to the stress management is the component of behavioral modelling which is described in more detail in the next section.

IV. BEHAVIORAL MODELING

Given research from the fields of neuroscience and psychology have shown a clear link between emotion and cognition, it was important that the Pandora\textsuperscript{*} systems includes appropriate modelling of emotions which have been shown to cause a range of effects on attention, perception and cognitive processes during problem solving, decision making and learning, especially under stress. A core aspect of the Pandora\textsuperscript{*} system is to use appropriate artificial intelligence techniques to model and interpret the emotional states of the trainees and adapt its behavior accordingly, with the aim of affecting the
behavior and emotions of the trainees. Based on discussions with the trainers and the literature, the key affective factors were identified as: leadership style, personality traits, background experience, stress and anxiety, and self-efficacy. Each of these are briefly discussed below.

Leadership style: There are many definitions of leadership however it can generally be summed up as the “process whereby an individual influences a group of individuals to achieve a common goal” [16]. It is generally hard to change a person’s leadership style however the effects of someone’s leadership style can be fed back to them and may impact change. There are two core features of leadership, socio-emotional, which focuses on the feelings and moods of individual being led, and task-oriented, which focuses on the delivery and achievement of tasks by the group [17], both of these are required for successful leadership.

Personality traits: these refer to an “individual’s characteristic patterns of thought, emotion, and behavior, together with psychological mechanisms, hidden or not, behind those patterns” [18]. There is a general consensus in the literature that the Big Five personality traits, also known as the Five Factor model (FFM) [19] form the broad dimensions that can be used to evaluate and describe a person’s personality. The five traits are: openness to experience conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness and neuroticism, often orders to spell the acronym OCEAN or CANOE. These have often been used to predict the job performance of managers [20].

Background Experience: Inevitably prior training and experience of actual crises will impact future decision making and performance. Background experience is critical to create the tacit knowledge which is used in future decision making and an effective manager will have a large pool of cases to refer to and apply in a new crisis situation.

Stress and Anxiety: stress can be defined as “a process by which certain work demands evoke an appraisal process in which perceived demands exceed resources and result in undesirable physiological, emotional, cognitive and social changes” [21]. Research has shown that people under stress often rush choices, behave in a disorganized manner, don’t adopt rationale choices and/or may devote insufficient time to the consideration of alternative solutions. In terms of anxiety there are two core types: “trait anxiety” which relate to a person’s personality in terms of proneness to anxiety and stress, and “state anxiety” which can be transitory during a particular situation and can be influenced by a current stressful situation [22].

Self-Efficacy: This is the belief that an individual has in their ability to perform a specific task successfully [23] and this can vary through a training session and of course throughout life. In an educational context, it has been shown that self-efficacy may predict how well students will solve certain problems and how long they will persist in solving them etc. [24]. With regard to leadership efficacy, it can be a relevant factor in predicting performance during a crisis and has been defined as “a specific form of efficacy associated with the level of confidence in the knowledge, skills and abilities associated with leading others” [25].

As briefly described above, an assessment, through a personality questionnaire prior to training provides the key behavioral and emotional characteristics of a trainee. These are fed into the Pandora’s system and are used by the Affective State Framework which sits within the Emotion Engine and manages and manipulates the emotions of individual trainees during the training exercise. In other words a personalized experience can be provided to individual trainees within the group exercise.

Individual trainee timelines are used to manage and log trainee features and the various variables identified above have been modelled through different value types as follows:

- **Personality traits** – these are modelled through predicates of the form personality trait(x) where x is an integer ranging from 0 to 10;
– **Leadership style** – these are captured as predicates of the form leadership style(x) with x being a Boolean variable assuming value 0 for socio-emotional and 1 for task oriented leadership style;
– **Background experience** – these are defined as predicates of the form background experience(x) where x is an integer assuming values 0 for low experience, 1 for medium experience and 2 for high experience;
– **Self-efficacy** - are predicates of the form selfefficacy(x) with x being an integer ranging from 0 to 10;
– **Stress and anxiety** - are predicates respectively of the form stress(x) and anxiety(x) where x is an integer ranging from 0 to 100;

A detailed description of their implementation is given in [15].

To summarize, the Pandora* system is a toolset providing a rich multimedia training environment, into which a scenario can be uploaded and executed. Both the trainer and trainee environments have been developed in Java so are platform neutral, and although designed for crisis management training, they can be used for any training situations that are event-based i.e. a scenario in which trainees are required to respond to a series of unfolding events which occur over a period of time. A non-crisis management example might be training in trading strategies for buy stocks and shares on the stock market, as it responds to different world events that unfold over a period of time.

Stress management is a major feature of Pandora*, however this is predominantly associated with trainee decision making behavior. Indeed, a key factor in the underpinning technology and algorithms used to provide the level of flexibility and dynamic behavior available in Pandora*, is the manipulation of the event-network and its ability to manage multiple outcomes depending on the decisions that trainees make. This is a complex area and is a key focus of this paper. Details of this aspect of Pandora* are given in the next section.

V. DECISION MANAGEMENT

The planning process generates an initial event network that aims to deliver, and is consistent with, the starting goals. Events are represented by a predicate identifying a time interval for the event, a start time and an end time. All events have a cause and relationships to other events which must be defined. The event network is essentially a hypergraph with the events representing nodes and the relations being the hyperedges [26].

Updated goals and new events, either interjected by the trainer directly or by the Emotion Engine (which places new events on the network in order to raise or lower stress), cause the AI planner to review the event network after each planning / execution cycle. A key role of the AI planning component is to check that these causal relations remain consistent after the new inputs have been included on the event network for execution, and as decisions by trainees steer the scenario through various branches of the decision tree towards a potentially infinite range of outcomes. Note that new events interjected by the trainer during execution may yield new branches of the decision tree which require the plans to be adapted on the fly and these updates to the event network must include consistency checks.

If it appears that a rule cannot be applied as it would render an inconsistency, for example by violating a constraint, then the event network is returned to a previously consistent state and the planning proceeds from there. If a planning process is successful i.e. the event network is consistent with the goals provided and no constraints have been violated, then the plan is executed. Each communication channel is modelled on its own timeline as shown in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 2 Example of timelines and events](Image)

As with all the best spontaneous parties, detailed planning is required to allow the dynamism and flexibility we have discussed earlier in the paper. In the production of the scenarios, all the outcomes of decisions offered to trainees have to be modelled, to enable the planner to construct a complete network, although most of that network will never be realized. This permits the trainer to interject events on the main event timeline without changing decision outcomes, or the system to speed up or slow down execution of events as a reaction to stress behavioral feedback, without impacting the plan. However, the trainer can also introduce new events that do impact decision outcomes, and then requires the system to make adaptations to the plan on the fly, either to introduce a new thread to the scenario that they control directly or to bring the outcome of the new events back on track with pre-planned
outcomes. In extremes, where the trainer cannot bring the scenario back on track, or where decisions taken by trainees are inevitably leading to disastrous outcomes, the trainer has the option to backtrack to a previous point on the timeline, when the event network was in a consistent state, and rerun from that point.

VI. DECISION BRANCHING MECHANISM

As described in the previous section, the scenario is modelled using a decision tree structure, when events require trainees to make decisions. This follows a standard decision tree model, where options provide branches on the event network, and to avoid proliferation of options, complex decisions may be broken down into a number of steps. In crisis management, the relative value or cost of each option will be calculated by the trainees in terms of resource commitment relative to impact and outcomes, determined against the focus list described in section I.

Branching is the mechanism by which Pandora dynamically loads new events based on a selected decision event option during a scenario exercise. A Decision event is an event with a set of 2-4 options to choose from. Each option has consequences which lead to a further set of events. Essentially, the branching mechanism provides a means for Pandora to simulate and deliver consequences based on a particular decision taken by the trainees. This mechanism is controlled by the branching model, which is as follows, also see Fig 3.

![Decision Event Diagram](image)

**User view of the Branching Algorithm:**
1) Decision event is dispatched to trainees
2) The trainee(s) choose their option

2.1) The trainer is notified of the response
2.2) Trainer agrees to proceed with exercise (agreement is not automatic in case the trainees opt to take their own path as opposed to a pre-determined one in which case the trainer will have to manage the unfolding scenario directly)
3) Get selected option response sent back in (2)
4) Retrieve all events associated with selected option from the database (see Event Loading Algorithm below) up to the next decision event.
5) Scenario execution is paused briefly whilst the next set of events are loaded. The play button will be ready to be pressed. Whilst retrieving events, there is a progress dialog which appears and disappears when all events are retrieved.

5.1) Display list of events in the scenario table below the previously executed events

Note that all relevant assets e.g. videos, documents etc. are downloaded to each trainee machine for local access at the beginning of the scenario (To avoid disruptions e.g. a slow download of a video file while the exercise is running). The decision branching mechanism does not download any assets.

The design of the branching mechanism is as follows: Each event in Pandora has a branch level, option link and decision event serial attribute attached to it.

- The branch level is used to determine which level the event belongs to. An event can only belong to one branch level. The first branch level is always 0 (base Level). As a scenario branches, the branch level is incremented (see Fig. 3).
- The option link is used to determine the option to which the event belongs. The value of this attribute ranges from 1-4 only (see Fig. 3).
- The decision event serial is used to determine the parent decision event an event belongs to, which is essentially the decision point. The value is a unique value from the serial attribute belonging to a decision event (see Fig. 3).

When a scenario branches, events are retrieved from the database by using the branch level, option link and decision event serial to find events that match.

**Event Loading Algorithm** (Part of the Branching Algorithm above):
1) Get selected option from response by the trainee(s) (1 – 4) (option link)
2) Get decision event for option
3) Get serial for decision event
4) Get current branch level
5) Increment branch level
6) Retrieve all events from the database with: serial in (3) matching the event decision event serial attribute, the branch level in (5) matching event branch level attribute
and the option link in (1) matching the event option link attribute.

7) VII. EVALUATION

A robust prototype version of the original Pandora system was trialed at the Emergency Planning College in York, UK in 2012 with a total of 13 Gold Commanders who were split into three groups, each group being trained for one day using the Pandora system. The experience of the Gold Commanders present ranged from novice to experienced, and the overall feedback was extremely positive. The fundamental approach taken by Pandora worked extremely well and trainees were clearly immersed in the environment throughout the entire training session. Details of that evaluation have been reported before in [14], [26].

In Feb 2016 the Pandora+ system was adapted for use in the POP-ALERT EU FP7 project [27] to provide a trainee only version for members of the public, i.e. a version which could be used by the public with no trainer involved. In this situation the system was used as an immersive individual training environment, and included all the features of the original system. The purpose of the trials was to test the preparedness of the public for a crisis / disaster. Two trials were undertaken, one was an earthquake in downtown Lisbon, i.e. an urban setting, and had over 100 simultaneous participants, and the other was a wild fire in rural Corsica which had 33. Both trials used the Pandora+ system to present trainees with an immersive, multimedia, unfolding crisis scenario testing their reaction to official messages and the decisions they would make at various points in the scenario, for example whether they would follow official advice on what to do with their pets in a crisis. The system worked extremely well and feedback from all participants on the Pandora+ system was universally positive, including feedback from a experts group reviewing the training event. Fig 5 shows a screenshot of a news piece presented to a trainee.

In addition, the Pandora+ system has been used regularly by a partner training company for a number of training events while under development, and is now available as a commercial product. The underpinning toolset will be developed further through two H2020 European research projects which began in 2015 and 2016. Both of these will extend the functionality further, one for the training of personnel in law enforcement agencies, and the other for wild fire training of citizens and responders in both Corsica and Australia.
VIII. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The Pandora+ system provides an original, unique, leading-edge eLearning training environment, which can be used to educate trainees in any topic where an event-based training scenario is suitable. The system is a toolset providing a rich multimedia training environment into which a scenario can be entered or uploaded and then executed, and since the system is not dependent on any type of scenario, that allows it to be tailored to different domains. The system provides the trainer with a range of controls to manipulate the training before and during a training event. The focus of this is around the management of the events in an unfolding scenario in which the trainees have to make a sequence of strategic decisions, and a detailed explanation of the decision branching mechanism has been provided in this paper. This mechanism is not specific to crisis management and could be applied to similar scenarios requiring dynamic branching.

Pandora+ has a variety of unique, original and sophisticated controls to manipulate the stress of a trainee which do not exist in any comparable product. Like all the facilities in Pandora+ the trainer has the option to use them as and when they offer value to the training event. Enhanced training of this kind has the potential to deliver significant societal impacts and has already proven its potential in two rigorous scientific trials with close to 150 participants overall. For example within crisis management alone, it could reduce casualty rates, enable faster and more efficient remediation, reduce loss of working time, reduce loss of productivity and improve coordination of expensive resources.

Following from the successful trials in the POP-ALERT EU FP7 project minor updates will be made to the scenarios and these will be released to the general public for some open, free training in the form of a MOOC, later this year. The data captured from that experiment will be combined with the data already captured from a widespread EU survey and the POP-ALERT trials, to build a picture of citizen awareness and preparedness across Europe, and potentially wider afield. Feedback on the usefulness of the scenarios running in Pandora+ and the other information provided will be used to advise future interventions in this space.

In terms of future work, at the moment the Pandora+ system requires that all the multimedia assets are downloaded to the client in advance of the training. The system also has to pause whilst the next set of events are loaded after a decision has been made. In future, in order to enhance efficiency and flexibility, a look ahead feature will be implemented so that prior to a decision event, the events, plus any associated media assets, will be downloaded for a fixed period of scenario time ahead, for all option choices, so that the event network can be updated more efficiently after a decision is made, ensuring that trainees remain immersed in the scenario.

The distributed, web-enabled training environment will support a greater level of VR, to provide ongoing training and updates to Gold Commanders in the workplace, regular multi-agency coordination training events, and to support Recovery scenarios over longer-term periods.

Biometric inputs are being extended to include EEG inputs when measuring stress, and to investigate decision behaviors. These will be linked to the feedback and debrief mechanism, to help trainees understand and improve their decision-making processes, in particular in group and multi-agency situations.

Also, as identified earlier, the toolset continues to be used and developed through ongoing European research projects in which the authors are involved, and a key focus for the H2020 project GEO-SAFE will be to enhance the NPC capability to provide more complex avatars with a greater level of artificial intelligence to aid more sophisticated interaction with the trainee(s) and enhance the ability to run a scenario with missing players.

Feedback from the commercial use of Pandora+ will likewise be utilized in the continued development of the system, which has the potential to transform the training environments in many fields, alongside our work in crisis management and preparedness.
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