The effects of physical training without equipment on pain perception and balance in the elderly: A randomized controlled trial
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Research supports a link between exercise and falls prevention in the older population.

OBJECTIVES: Our aims were to evaluate pain perception and balance skills in a group of elderly subjects and to examine the consequences of a standardized equipment-free exercise program intervention on these variables. The study utilized a randomized controlled trial method.

METHODS: 92 subjects were recruited from a rural Sicilian village (Resuttano, Sicily, Italy). Subjects were randomly split into two groups, an experimental group (EG; \( n = 49 \)) and a control group (CG; \( n = 43 \)). Qualified fitness instructors delivered the standardized physical exercise program for the EG whilst the CG did not receive this exercise intervention. The Berg Balance Scale and the Oswestry Disability Index were administered in both groups before (T0) and after the intervention (T1).

RESULTS: At T1, the EG group significantly improved in balance (\( p < 0.0001 \)) and pain perception (\( p < 0.0001 \)). No significant differences were found within the CG both in BBS and ODI, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS: Our findings suggest that a 13-weeks standardized exercise equipment-free program is effective in improving balance and perception of pain in the elderly. This type of intervention can consequently provide a low cost strategy to counteract the rate of disability in elderly.
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1. Introduction

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) and the American College of Sport and Medicine (ACSM), the population’s aging process is a win for humanity but it is also a challenge for societies and organizations [1, 2]. Falls have been identified to be the first cause of injury-related declines in health which consequently lead to higher levels of morbidity and mortality in the elderly [3]. In the case of hip fractures, the resultant hospitalization of patients leads to major social costs [4]. Moreover, 20% of patients with hip fractures die within a year as a result of the injury [5]. The aging process and related chronic diseases that affect older adults lead to balance disorders in this population [6–11]. A correlation between low cognitive status
and reduced balance abilities furthermore exists [12]. Research importantly suggests that the effects of physical activity (PA) are particularly useful in older individuals with chronic diseases [13–16]. Decline in physical functioning pre-disposes older adults to poor quality of life and falls [17]. Modifiable factors in this scenario are muscle strength, balance proprioception and functional abilities which all can be improved through exercise [18–20]. A multicomponent PA, such as ballroom dancing was demonstrated by Bianco et al. [21] to improve balance and consequently through this, can prevent falls in the elderly. This in turn can also reduce perceived musculoskeletal pain which has been associated with low levels of balance skills [22]. In 2012, Irmak, A et al., showed that a exercise software programs may help to reduce perceived pain among office workers [23]. There were strengthening, stretching and posture exercises for all body parts which were suitable for office environment [23]. However, not all types of exercises are suitable to improve balance with an outcome of fall prevention [24, 25]. The aim of the study was therefore to evaluate balance levels and pain levels perceived in a large cohort of elderly subjects and consequently to investigate dose-response effects on these factors using a standardized equipment-free exercise program.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants and procedures

Prior to the start of the standardized exercise program, the Sport and Exercise Sciences Research Unit of University in collaboration with the Posturalab Research Institute of the University of Palermo carried out a screening procedure and a PA study in a rural village of Sicily (Resuttano); Italy. This prior study was carried out in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the principles of the Italian data protection act (196/2003). The study design was approved by the departmental research committee (Consiglio di Dipartimento SPPF Prot. n. 285/2015; punto all’ordine del giorno numero 12) with the ethical committee approval number: 285/2015/MEDF-02/11. The selected population sample was invited to a first screening in which eligibility was evaluated based on age (minimum of 50 yrs, maximum of 85 yrs), disease-free state and no history of regular exercise. Exclusion criteria comprised conditions which prohibited moderate PA: 1) High pressure, 2) participants not having a positive diagnosis for any serious disease, 3) not ex-professional athletes, 4) no prosthesis). 140 subjects were initially screened, with 31 subjects not meeting all exclusion criteria and two subjects declining participation. Participants eligible for the study were however also excluded if they were either unable to commit to a 75% exercise program attendance or if adherence to the program dropped below this threshold during the intervention. Consequently, 92 subjects were included in the study and randomly assigned into two groups, the experimental group (EG; \( n = 49 \), 23 males, 26 females) and the control group (CG; \( n = 43 \), 19 males, 24 females). Subjects were randomized by a 1:1 ratio were the allocation sequence was PC generated (Diagram 1). The Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) Statement was set as a standard [26]. The project began in March 2015 and ended in July 2015. In this period two screening procedures were administered by an examiner blinded to group assignment at the following times: prior to the study (T0, baseline) and 13 weeks after the completion of the exercise intervention (T1). The EG group carried out a standardized equipment-free exercise program, whilst the CG group did not receive this intervention or any other study related treatment. Anthropometric characteristics of all participants were collected through a stadiometer (Seca 221±1 mm approximation, Hamburg, Germany).

2.2. Standardized equipment-free exercise intervention

The EG group was trained for the duration of 13 weeks by qualified fitness instructors. Due to the large number of subject in the EG group, the exercise intervention was performed twice in two parallel groups. Consequently, 25 standardized training sessions were carried out twice weekly each lasting 70 min (Table 2). The intervention was based on joint mobility, cardiovascular exercise, strengthening of core stability, proprioceptive training and eye-hand/eye-foot coordinative exercises. Both exercise training central phases were administered considering the principle of workload progression, from the first week up to the last week of each phase, respectively [19, 27–33].

2.3. Outcome measurements

The Berg Balance Scale (BBS), to evaluate the balance, and the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI),

Table 1

Descriptive anthropometric characteristics of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total sample</th>
<th>EG</th>
<th>CG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yrs (SD)</td>
<td>68.07 (5.01)</td>
<td>67.32 (6.39)</td>
<td>68.93 (2.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg (SD)</td>
<td>71.75 (12.15)</td>
<td>72.88 (13.41)</td>
<td>70.46 (10.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm (SD)</td>
<td>158.5 (8.51)</td>
<td>154.69 (8.38)</td>
<td>162.83 (6.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm-up (10/15 minutes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From standing posture, participants executed a standard sequence of exercises including:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Two sets of eight repetitions of circling movements of shoulders (forward and backward) with bended arms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Two sets of six repetitions of CC and AC circling movements of pelvis with hands to hips</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Two sets of six repetitions of left and right trunk rotations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Two alternate sets of eight repetitions of CC and AC circling movements of feet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Four sets of eight repetitions of FEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 1 minute of free-walking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Four sets of eight repetitions of FEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

Exercise protocol training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Central phase for 1th – 7th Weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exercises</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaphragmatic breathing exercises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Hundred with bent leg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Leg Circles with bent leg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spine Stretch with crossed legs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Leg Stretch with bent leg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaphragmatic breathing exercises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exercises</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaphragmatic breathing exercises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Hundred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roll Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Leg Circles with one leg straight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spine Stretch with one leg straight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolling Like a Ball</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Leg Stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaphragmatic breathing exercises</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Cool down (10/15 minutes)
It included a standard sequence of stretching exercises:
  • From a kneeling position, slowly go back on heels and hold for 20–30 seconds; practice the exercise twice and then return to the starting position
  • From a SP bend the knee, put the hands around the knee, and pull it toward the body, hold for 20–30 seconds with both hands; practice the exercise twice and then return to the starting position
  • From NSP, bend the whole body forward slowly for 20–30 seconds; practice the exercise twice
  • From NSP, with both arms over the head and clasped fingers, stretch the entire body for 20–30 seconds; practice the exercise twice
  • From NSP, with both arms over the head and with clasped fingers, stretch all body for 20–30 seconds by TUB right and left alternatively; practice the exercise twice

Abbreviations: CC, clockwise; AC, anticlockwise; FEM, flexion–extension movements of lower and upper limbs; SP, supine posture; NSP, neutral standing with legs slightly apart; TUB, twist the upper body to the left and right raising both arms over the head alternatively.

Table 2 (Continued)

Table 3
Descriptive Scores obtained in the Berg Balance Scale and in the Oswestry Disability Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EG (49)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>CG (43)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>T1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBS</td>
<td>51.83 ± 4.17</td>
<td>54.36 ± 2.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>51.09 ± 3.89</td>
<td>51.67 ± 4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODI</td>
<td>9.87 ± 6.39</td>
<td>4.75 ± 3.41</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>6.74 ± 3.5</td>
<td>7.02 ± 3.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

to evaluate the perception of general musculoskeletal pain, were administered in both groups prior at T0 and T1 (i.e. 14 weeks after T0). An examiner blinded to the patients’ group assignment performed all evaluations at the following times:

2.4. The Berg Balance Scale (BBS)

This test consists of 14 items that quantitatively evaluate balance and risk of falling. The total score is obtained by summing the scores of each item [34]. The test is rated through the examiner’s observation of individual test performance. Each item is scored from 0 to 4, with 0 corresponding to the lowest performance level and 4 corresponding to a normal performance.

2.5. The Oswestry Disability Index

The Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) is a tool to measure a subject’s permanent functional disability. The test is considered the ‘Gold Standard’ of low back functional outcome tools [35]. The test comprises 10 items with an overall achievable score of 50. For each section the total score possible is 5: if the first statement is marked, the section score is “0”, corresponding to a minimal disability; if the last statement is marked the section score is “5”, correspond to a maxim disability. The overall score can also be expressed as a percentage which consequently translates into a percentage of disability [35].

2.6. Statistical analysis

All data were coded using Microsoft Excel. The statistical analysis was performed through StatSoft’s STATISTICA software (Windows, Vers. 8.0; Tulsa, OK, USA) and GraphPad Prism software (Windows, Vers. 5.0; La Jolla, CA, USA). Before and after the exercise intervention, a Wilcoxon matched pairs test (P < 0.05) was used to detect significant differences in the BBS Index and ODI results.

3. Results

As previously said, the cohort was constituted by 92 subjects and assigned into two groups, EG (n = 49, 23 males, 26 females) and the control group CG (n = 43, 19 males, 24 females). Baseline demographics did not significantly differ between groups (Table 1). BBS scores at T0 were 51.83 ± 4.17 and at T1 they were 54.36 ± 2.15 for the EG group. The CG at T0 achieved BBS scores of 51.09 ± 3.89 which did not change thereafter (T1: 51.67 ± 4.49) (Table 3 and Fig. 1). ODI results prior and post intervention were 9.87 ± 6.39 and 4.75 ± 3.41 and 6.74 ± 3.5 and 7.02 ± 3.72 for the EG and the CG respectively (Table 3 and Fig. 2). Only the EG group demonstrated significant improvements in balance
4. Discussion

Regular PA in the elderly population is fundamental as it positively influences most common diseases [36]. The present study demonstrates the effectiveness of a standardized equipment-free exercise program on dynamic balance skills and pain perception. Its importance is based on the use of body weight only exercises which can be performed in sports and non-sports environments and which effectiveness can improve the quality of life in the elderly by reducing the risk of falls. Moreover, this standardized exercise regime does not rely on specialized expensive resistance machines whilst arguably reducing the socio-economic impact of falls by reducing the rate of required hip-replacements and consequent costs. Recent research suggests that exercise interventions can also be beneficial to improve cognitive health by directly enhancing brain metabolism and plasticity [37]. Supporting this, Rahe et al. [38] demonstrated positive effects when combining cognitive training with additional PA. Our results also ascertain the sensitivity of the BBS to detect improvements in people with a good level of balance. Whilst other balance protocols might be more accurate [9, 20, 39, 40] the BBS is valid and reliable but also a cost effective tool to measure different levels of balance when investigating large subject groups. The presently employed standardized equipment-free protocol comprised a number of exercises that are spinal and pelvic–lumbar stabilizing [41]. Hodges and Richardson [42] demonstrated m. transversus abdominus to be invariably the first muscle that is activated in many movements. A delayed contraction of this muscle indicates a deficit in motor control and an inefficient muscular spinal stabilization [42] which in turn can lead to the perception of musculoskeletal pain [42]. Unsgaard-Tondel et al. [43] demonstrated that an improved level of strength of m. transversus abdominis is associated with clinically important pain reductions [43]. Stubbs et al. [21] furthermore stated an increase in stability to translate into less perceived musculoskeletal pain. This is consistent with our findings as levels of perceived musculoskeletal pain were reduced in the EG group only. As suggested by Famula et al. [44], a high level of PA during adolescence positively influences the balance in old age. Body balance disorders more often affect elderly subjects who were less active at a young age [44]. Famula et al. [41] in this context asserted the importance of different types of PA which develop coordinative abilities. In the elderly, the maintenance of body balance skills at a relatively high level using specific exercise programs is vital [19, 45]. A good evaluation is required to select the best approach and to determine its effects. The ODI is an objective measurement tool that is used for evaluating the effects of the treatment, as it reveals the overall severity of impediments to daily living and physical activities [46]. The ODI predict disability caused by pain in the general population but it does not measure disability in the context of high-demanding activities such as sports [47]. The International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health (ICF) defines disability as following: “Disability is an umbrella term, covering impairments, activity limitations, and participation restrictions [48] and research suggests
that patients with low back pain (LBP) have impaired levels of daily activities [49]; and more activities of daily living of the subjects with LBP are reduced due to the chronic pain and disability may occur. In addition, subjects reported less physical activity practice [50]. The present study demonstrated an improvement in ODI levels which in turn caused an improvement in quality of life as a result of a reduction of perceived pain. The main limitation of this investigation is the lack of standardized recommendation for balance scores that further distinguished between trained and untrained individuals as well as individuals with a history of adolescent training and individuals who only in later stages of their life engaged in a structured exercise regime. The present study demonstrates that a standardized 13-week exercise program based on joint mobility, cardiovascular exercise, strengthening core stability and proprioceptive training, can improve balance skills and reduce pain perception. Consequently, the exercise program can be recommended to practitioners starting to work with elderly inactive populations without the requirement of equipment and it can be applied to large subject groups. Further experimental research is required to confirm this mechanism of effectiveness, but the exercise could provide a low cost strategy to reduce and/or to slow down disability levels.
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