Journal of **proteome** • research



New Methodology for Known Metabolite Identification in Metabonomics/Metabolomics: Topological Metabolite Identification Carbon Efficiency (tMICE)

4 Beatriz Sanchon-Lopez and Jeremy R. Everett*

s Medway Metabonomics Research Group, University of Greenwich, Chatham Maritime, Kent ME4 4TB, United Kingdom

6 Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A new, simple-to-implement and quantitative approach to 7 assessing the confidence in NMR-based identification of known meta-8 bolites is introduced. The approach is based on a topological analysis of 9 metabolite identification information available from NMR spectroscopy 10 studies and is a development of the metabolite identification carbon effi-11 ciency (MICE) method. New topological metabolite identification indices 12 are introduced, analyzed, and proposed for general use, including topo-13 logical metabolite identification carbon efficiency (tMICE). Because known 14 metabolite identification is one of the key bottlenecks in either NMR-15 spectroscopy- or mass-spectrometry-based metabonomics/metabolomics 16 studies, and given the fact that there is no current consensus on how to 17 assess metabolite identification confidence, it is hoped that these new 18



20 KEYWORDS: metabonomics, metabolomics, metabolic profiling, NMR spectroscopy, metabolite topology,

21 topological metabolite identification carbon efficiency (tMICE),

approaches and the topological indices will find utility.

22 topological metabolite identification nitrogen and carbon efficiency (tMINCE)

1. INTRODUCTION

19

23 Metabolic profiling of animal and human biofluids and tissues 24 is emerging as a key technology in biology and especially in 25 medicine,¹ where it can be used in either a diagnostic or prog-26 nostic mode. These metabonomics^{2,3} or metabolomics⁴ studies 27 are typically executed with NMR-spectroscopy- or mass-28 spectrometry-based technologies for metabolite identification 29 in biofluids, cell extracts, or tissue samples. There are many steps 30 in a metabonomics experiment, and most of these steps have ³¹ well-described protocols for NMR-^{5–9} or mass-spectrometry ³² (MS)-based^{10–14} approaches and well-accepted statistical pro-33 cedures¹⁵⁻²⁰ for their analysis, with the significant exception of 34 known metabolite identification. This remains a problematic 35 step for both NMR-spectroscopy-²¹⁻²⁵ or mass-spectrometry 36 MS-based^{10,26,27} metabonomics. The problem is essentially one 37 of complexity and diversity. In contrast with the 4 different bases 38 in the nucleotides of DNA and the 20 natural amino acids in 39 protein structures, there are thousands of structurally diverse, 40 metabolites in biofluids,²³ and their identification is not straight-41 forward by NMR or MS.

⁴² New approaches to NMR- and MS-based known metabo-⁴³ lite identification are emerging,^{25,28} including new database and ⁴⁴ chemical treatment approaches. The new database approaches ⁴⁵ include: (i) a new isomer-specific database, ¹H(¹³C)-TOCCA-⁴⁶ TA, for the identification of metabolites from TOCSY and ⁴⁷ natural abundance HSQC-TOCSY spectra,²⁹ (ii) a new, unified ⁴⁸ and isomer-specific, database interrogation method that provides improved HSQC-based metabolite identification performance 49 (COLMAR),³⁰ and (iii) SpinCouple,³¹ a new database for the 50 analysis of the J-resolved spectra of metabolite mixtures, which 51 contains a much larger number of spectra of metabolite stan- 52 dards than the similar Birmingham Metabolite Library (BML).³² 53 The isomer-specific features of ¹H(¹³C)-TOCCATA and COLMAR 54 are useful because they overcome the problem of failure to 55 identify a metabolite if only the peaks from the high abundance 56 isomer are observed, leading to low scores in overall metabolite 57 matching algorithms because the low abundance isomer peaks 58 are not scored. In addition, COLMAR contains useful confidence 59 terms including a peak matching ratio and a peak uniqueness 60 statistic that decrease false-positives. To provide an orthogonal 61 method for metabolite confirmation, Bingol and Brüschweiler³³ 62 developed a method called NMR/MS Translator that confirms 63 metabolite identifications from the HSQC COLMAR database 64 by predicting the MS adduct ions that should be observed from 65 the metabolites.

Metabolite identification is actually a problem in two distinct 67 categories: first, the de novo structure elucidation of novel metabolites identified for the first time, and second, the structure 69 confirmation of known metabolites that have been identified 70 and characterized previously. To elucidate the structure of novel 71 metabolites, it is generally accepted that the rigorous processes 72

Received: July 7, 2016

73 used in the field of natural product structure elucidation are 74 required.³⁴ This would typically involve the isolation of the novel 75 metabolite from the biological matrix and its purification ahead of 76 full molecular structure elucidation by a panoply of spectroscopic 77 techniques. See Supplementary Table S1 for a list of the struc-78 tural features that can be revealed by these different technologies. By contrast, the structure confirmation of the identities of 79 80 known metabolites is generally accepted to require a less rigorous 81 process because the structure has already been elucidated 82 and spectroscopic data on the pure metabolite may be available. 83 However, there is little consensus on what the identification 84 process for known metabolites should be. To address this 85 problem, the Metabolomics Standards Initiative (MSI)³⁵ set ⁸⁶ up a Chemical Analysis Working Group (CAWG) in 2007.³⁶ 87 This group developed a four-level classification scheme for the 88 identification of known metabolites: (1) Identified Compounds, 89 (2) Putatively Annotated Compounds, (3) Putatively Charac-90 terized Compound Classes, and (4) Unknown Compounds.

To reach Level 1, Identified Compounds, the following require-91 92 ments were stated: "A minimum of two independent and 93 orthogonal data relative to an authentic compound analyzed 94 under identical experimental conditions are proposed as 95 necessary to validate non-novel metabolite identifications 96 (e.g. retention time/index and mass spectrum, retention time 97 and NMR spectrum, accurate mass and tandem MS, accurate 98 mass and isotope pattern, and full ¹H or ¹³C NMR, 2-D NMR 99 spectra). The use of literature values reported for authentic 100 samples by other laboratories is generally believed to be 101 insufficient to validate a confident and rigorous identification. 102 The use of literature or external laboratory data results in level 103 2 identifications.³⁶ Thus, the CAWG stipulated that any known 104 metabolite identification made by reference to an authentic 105 standard in a database such as the Human Metabolome Database 106 (HMDB),³⁷ the BioMagResBank (BMRB),³⁸ and the Birming-107 ham Metabolite Library (BML)³² or to a literature report should 108 be downgraded to Level 2, Putatively Annotated Compounds, 109 whereas, if that same metabolite had been identified by reference 110 to an authentic standard, actually in the laboratory of the investi-111 gator, it would be classed as Level 1, Identified Compound.

The original CAWG recommendations have not been widely 112 ¹¹³ adopted,³⁹ and recently new outline proposals have emerged^{40–} 114 for improvements to the original four-level metabolite identi-115 fication classification system. The proposals were either to 116 increase the refinement of the four-level system or to introduce 117 some sort of scoring method for the acquisition of certain sorts of 118 data such as a 2D NMR. However, with the exception of some 119 proposals for accurate mass and retention time fits,⁴² no pro-120 posals were made as to how a match of the experimental data 121 with the standard data should be assessed. In addition, a call to 122 the community was made⁴¹ for engagement with this problem. 123 In response to this call, new quantitative proposals for Level 124 2 metabolite annotation confidence using LC-MS methods 125 recently emerged from the group of Daly.⁴⁴ In addition, our 126 group proposed some new, quantitative approaches to known 127 metabolite identification confidence for NMR-based metabo-128 nomics studies including metabolite identification carbon effi-¹²⁹ ciency (MICE),⁴⁵ drawing on an approach from the drug discovery 130 field known as ligand efficiency.⁴⁶ The MICE methodology 131 simply counts the number of pieces of metabolite identification 132 information (MII) obtained for a metabolite in NMR-based 133 metabolite identification (proton chemical shifts, signal multi-134 plicities, coupling constants $({}^{2}J_{H,H}$ and ${}^{3}J_{H,H})$, COSY connectiv-135 ities, presence of second-order spin system, HSQC cross-peaks)

142

and divides this sum total by the number of carbon atoms in the 136 metabolite. The MICE metric is thus a measure of the amount of 137 identification information obtained relative to the size of the 138 metabolite, as judged by the number of carbon atoms it pos-139 sesses. The following guidelines were proposed for a metabolite 140 to be considered confidently identified: 141

(1) MICE value ≥ 1.0 .

(2) Experimental data are a good fit to either authentic refer- 143 ence standard data or literature or database values (differences 144 between the experimental and the expected chemical shifts 145 within ± 0.03 ppm for ¹H and ± 0.5 ppm for ¹³C and homonuclear 146 coupling constants within ± 0.2 Hz). 147

(3) Experimental data provide good "coverage" across all parts 148 of the molecular structure of the metabolite. 149

(4) Signal-to-noise ratio and resolution (actual and digital) in 150 the spectra should be sufficient to measure the signal features 151 with confidence. 152

(5) Care should be applied when assigning signals in crowded 153 spectral regions. 154

(6) HSQC data are important in metabolite identification, as 155 they provide an excellent orthogonal data source via the ¹³C NMR 156 chemical shift, which is much more sensitive to environment that 157 the proton chemical shift.

(7) HMBC data should be used wherever possible to 159 corroborate identifications that are uncertain or those that are 160 critical for the biological interpretation of the experiment, that is, 161 those metabolites that may be biomarkers. 162

This current work now reports on a new topological approach 163 to NMR-based, known metabolite identification, which further 164 develops the concepts introduced in the original MICE work.⁴⁵ 165 The MICE and related analyses were novel, simple, and 166 quantitative but had the disadvantage that a judgment had to 167 be made of how well the MII measured represented the entire 168 molecular structure (point 3 in the list above). This new work 169 overcomes that issue, again, in a simple quantitative fashion by 170 measuring the MII obtained by NMR spectroscopic methods 171 for each separate molecular topology element (MTE) of the 172 metabolite structure. 173

Molecular frameworks and topologies can be computed in a 174 number of different ways. In the original molecular framework 175 analysis by Bemis and Murcko,⁴⁷ molecules without rings were 176 classed as not having a framework. This causes issues for the 177 analysis of endogenous metabolites, where large numbers 178 have no rings. For example, in a recent comparative analysis of 179 the topologies of human metabolites relative to drugs, natural 180 products, and other molecules, only one-third of the 6237 human 181 metabolites identified in the HMDB were classed as having a 182 framework; that is, the majority of the human metabolites had 183 a structure that comprised one or more chains of atoms.⁴⁸ 184 This methodology is therefore unsatisfactory: Any topological 185 analysis of metabolites must address the particular structural 186 features that they possess. To avoid this issue, the analysis 187 reported here pragmatically classifies the metabolites under study 188 as possessing one or more MTEs of just two types, rings and 189 chains, each containing protonated and nonprotonated carbon 190 atoms and slow-exchanging amide protons, as these are the 191 elements that give rise to signals in ¹H NMR spectroscopy-based 192 metabonomics experiments.

Metabolites may possess a single MTE, being a chain or ring, 194 or may possess multiple MTEs separated by, for example, hetero-195 atoms without slow-exchanging hydrogens, by quaternary carbons, 196 or by methine (CH) carbon branching points in the structure. 197 Simplicity, manageability, and applicability to NMR-based metabolite 198

199 profiling have been taken into account, as well as the possibility 200 for automation, in the design of the entire analysis.

Topological metabolite identification carbon efficiency (tMICE) is shown to provide a simple, robust, and quantitative measure of the confidence of NMR-based identification of known metabolites in complex biological matrices.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Biological Samples, Metabolites, and NMR 205 Spectroscopy

²⁰⁶ The 100 metabolites included in this study were recently ²⁰⁷ identified from ethically approved studies of the proton NMR ²⁰⁸ spectra of the urine of male, wildtype, and flavin monooxygenase ²⁰⁹ 5 knockout C57BL/6 mice⁴⁹ and of Italian Type 2 diabetic ²¹⁰ patients (Supplementary Table S2). This metabolite set is based ²¹¹ on that recently reported, and the sample preparation details ²¹² and NMR spectroscopy data acquisition parameters are also as ²¹³ previously reported.⁴⁵ The original set of 75 metabolites was ²¹⁴ augmented by additional metabolites identified in the past ²¹⁵ 12 months. The methods of identification of the metabolites ²¹⁶ were as previously reported.⁴⁵

2.2. Analysis of Metabolite Features

217 The following information was abstracted for each metabolite 218 and is provided in Supplementary Table S2:

- 219 (1) metabolite class
- 220 (2) metabolite common name
- 221 (3) metabolite IUPAC name
- 222 (4) HMDB code
- (5) number of hydrogen atoms
- (6) number of carbon atoms
- 225 (7) number of oxygen atoms
- 226 (8) number of nitrogen atoms
- 227 (9) number of sulfur atoms
- (10) total number of heavy atoms (non-hydrogen atoms)
- (11) nominal molecular mass in Daltons

(12) a flag for molecular symmetry: 1 = some element of symmetry is present in metabolite; 0 = no symmetry element in metabolite

233 (13) a flag for chirality: $1 = \ge 1$ chiral centers; 0 = 0 chiral 234 centers in metabolite

2.3. Definition of Molecular Topology Elements and Atom 235 Numbering

236 MarvinSketch was used for drawing, displaying, and character237 izing chemical structures, and topology elements, Marvin 6.1.1,
238 2013, ChemAxon (http://www.chemaxon.com).

MTEs were analyzed by hand for all of the metabolites in the two study, and a full list of the metabolites, their assigned MTEs, and their MII is provided in Supplementary Table S3. The following methodology and logic was applied:

(1) MTEs are defined to be of two basic types: rings of atoms(code 0) and chains of atoms (code 1).

245 (2) For simplicity and practicality of application, ring 246 structures are treated as singular topology elements.

247 (3) The first molecular topology element, MTE1, will be the 248 highest priority chain or ring according to IUPAC⁵⁰ functional 249 group and Cahn–Ingold–Prelog⁵¹ (CIP) priorities, the latter 250 determining priorities based on the atomic number of the 251 substituent (S > O > N > C > H, etc.) and on bond multiplicities 252 with, for example, C=O treated as C(O)–O; that is, a carbon 253 with a double bond to oxygen is treated as if it has two single 254 bonds to two separate oxygen atoms. (4) For molecules containing chains of atoms: (a) Breaks $_{255}$ between topology elements will occur *after* quaternary carbons $_{256}$ or heteroatoms without nonexchanging hydrogens, as these $_{257}$ atoms interrupt the proton-to-proton connectivity information $_{258}$ available from experiments such as 2D ¹H COSY NMR.⁵² (b) If $_{259}$ the start point of any MTE is a quaternary carbon it will not $_{260}$ break; for example, there is not a break after the starting $_{261}$ carboxylic acid carbon (C1) in (*S*)-lactic acid. The single, chain- $_{262}$ type MTE1 goes from the C1 carboxylic acid carbon through C2 $_{263}$ to chain termination at the methyl group, C3.



(S)-lactic acid

(c) The numbering of any subsequent MTE will start at the first 265 atom after the break point: The breaking quaternary carbon or 266 heteroatom is included in the preceding MTE. (d) The number of 267 branches after a quaternary carbon will generally equal the number 268 of atoms bonded to that quaternary carbon minus 1, and the pri-269 ority for the next MTE will be given again by CIP rules. For example, 270 the start point for MTE1 in *cis*-aconitic acid is the carboxylic acid car-271 bon located on the central sp² carbon. This carboxylic acid carbon is 272 followed by an sp² quaternary carbon with two branches, which go 273 first to the =CH-COOH (MTE2) and then second to the -CH₂-274 COOH (MTE3) groups by CIP priority rules. The boundaries 275 between MTEs are shown by dashed lines in the structures.





(e) If there is a branching point that is a methine carbon (CH), 277 the MTE will move across the branch point according to CIP 278 priority rules and will continue until chain termination or arrival 279 at a break point. If the chain terminates, the next MTE will then 280 be decided by CIP rules. On the contrary, if one or more new 281 chains continue after a break point, then a structural path 282 continuation rule applies and the subsequent MTE will carry 283 on the same path at that point (see 5 below). For example, 284 phenylacetylglutamine branches at the -CH- (C2) of MTE1 285 (HOOC-CH-NH-C=O) and continues by priority via N3 to 286 the amide carbonyl carbon break point (C4). 287



phenylacetylglutamine

The single methylene unit then becomes MTE2 as it continues the 288 chain (rule 5 below) and coincidentally, it is higher priority (due to 289

335

348

²⁹⁰ its phenyl substituent) than the alternative methylene group at the ²⁹¹ branching CH: The phenyl moiety becomes MTE3, and MTE4 is ²⁹² the three carbon amide chain at the methine branching point in ²⁹³ MTE1. Another differing example occurs in (2*S*)-isopropylmalic ²⁹⁴ acid, which branches at the -CH-(C1) of MTE2 ($-CH-CH_3$), ²⁹⁵ so the $-CH_2-COOH$ becomes MTE3 by CIP priority and then ²⁹⁶ the methyl group at the branching point becomes MTE4, as this is ²⁹⁷ a chain termination rather than a chain continuation example.



(2S)-isopropylmalic acid

298 (f) For metabolites with equivalent groups after a methine 299 branching point (CH), the break will be randomly to one side or 300 the other; for example, ketoleucine has two equivalent methyl 301 groups: C3 in MTE2 and C1 in MTE3.



ketoleucine

302 (g) For equivalent carbons allocated in different MTEs, all are 303 registered and analyzed, for example, in ketoleucine above and in 304 citric acid, dimethylamine, and trimethylamine, as it is critical that 305 the topological analysis reflects the totality of the metabolite 306 structure, including the possession of equivalent features.



MTE analysis for citric acid, dimethylamine and trimethylamine

(5) Structural path continuation rule: In addition to the above rules regarding branching at CH and quaternary carbons, if after a break point there is a choice between continuing along that structural path or moving back to another structural element, continuing along the structural path will take priority (even if this breaks CIP priorities) to pragmatically align the MTE order with the metabolite structure as closely as possible. This rule applies to alt all metabolites, no matter of what structural type.

(6) For metabolites with a combination of rings and chains, the first molecular topology element, MTE1, will be also defined UPAC functional group priorities. (a) For example, MTE1 is in 3-methylhistamine is the aminoethyl chain, MTE2 is the imidazole ring, and MTE3 is the methyl group.



3-methylhistamine

(b) Ring priorities are decided by the number of heteroatoms of 320 any type and if the same number, by ring size: A complex example 321 is sucrose, whose six-membered ring (oxane) is MTE1; then, 322 MTE2 is given by the continuation path rule to the hydro- 323 xymethyl at C5. MTE3 then corresponds to the five-membered 324 ring (oxolane), MTE4 to the hydroxymethyl at C4, again by 325 continuation path, and MTE5 is the remaining hydroxymethyl 326 at C1. 327



(7) For fused rings, carbons at the fusion site will be attached 328 to the ring of greatest priority (see rule 6b), and they only will be 329 counted once; for example, the first MTE in indoxyl-3-sulfate is 330 the pyrrole ring (highest priority). MTE2 then corresponds to 331 the remaining four aromatic CHs of the phenyl ring, excluding 332 the carbons at the fusion site. The sulfate group has no observ- 333 able, slow-exchanging protons and is not counted. 334



indoxyl-3-sulphate

2.4. Determination of the Metabolite Identification Information for each Molecular Topology Element

Once the molecular topology elements (MTEs) were defined, 336 the experimental metabolite identification (ID) information for 337 each metabolite was extracted from analyses of the NMR spectra 338 of the mouse and diabetic patient urine. In parallel, the theo-339 retical information that could possibly be obtained was calcu-140 lated. Supplementary Table S2 summarizes both the theoretical and experimental information that was measured for all MTEs in 142 all 100 metabolites. 343

The MII for each MTE consists of the following information, 344 and for points 4 to 8 both actual experimental and then theoretical values are calculated: 346

- (1) Type of MTE, coded 1 for chains and 0 for rings. 347
- (2) Total number of carbon atoms in the MTE.
- (3) Total number of nonexchanging NH groups in the MTE. 349

(4) MTE metabolite ID information at HSQC level,⁴⁵ which 350 equals the sum total of the number of bits of the following 351 information, where present and observed: (a) proton chemical 352 shifts for each protonated carbon and nonexchanging amide NH; 353 (b) multiplicity for each of these signals; and (c) coupling con- 354 stants (${}^{2}J_{HH}$ and ${}^{3}J_{HH}$) for each signal (note that this is different 355 from the original MICE methodology where " J_{HH} values were 356 only counted once: this was seen as too conservative as each is 357 an independent measurement). Longer-range couplings were 358 not counted as the methodology was designed to be imple-359 mented for NMR spectra with nominal resolution: (d) presence 360

423

³⁶¹ of second-order spin system (flag = 1 if there are additional lines ³⁶² present in the spectrum, not anticipated by a first-order spectral ³⁶³ analysis, otherwise flag = 0); (e) *intra*-MTE COSY links via ²J_{HH} ³⁶⁴ and ³J_{HH} between hydrogens (only counted once); (f) *inter*-MTE ³⁶⁵ COSY links via ³J_{HH} between hydrogens (only counted once and ³⁶⁶ associated with the first MTE connected); and (g) HSQC cross-³⁶⁷ peaks for each protonated carbon atom (counted twice if measured ³⁶⁸ separately at each of two nonequivalent hydrogens of a methylene ³⁶⁹ group).

(5) HSQC-level tMICE values that equal the sum total of the information in each MTE (see point 4 above) divided by the total rumber of carbon atoms.

(6) HMBC connectivity information is additionally provided for metabolites, which enables the gathering of more information about information-poor MTEs. This is especially useful in symmetrical metabolites where there can be a paucity of information. The HMBC experiment can be enabling here by ¹³C isotometrical MTEs. For example, even though chemically and magnetically equivalent by symmetry, HMBC cross-peaks can be isotopomeric raising of the degeneracy of the methyl groups, as the HMBC signals are observed via ${}^{3}J_{C,H}$ from the species $H_{3}^{13}C-N-{}^{12}CH_{3}$.

(7) HMBC connectivities were counted in two different ways: 385 386 (1) HMBC connectivities inside an MTE were counted once 387 only and (2) HMBC connectivities between MTEs were counted 388 twice, at both the carbon-13 and proton that are connected. This 389 is in contrast with the original MICE analysis where HMBC 390 connectivities were counted only once. Inside an MTE, the 391 HMBC connectivity is often between hydrogens and carbon-13 392 nuclei whose chemical shifts are known from HSQC-level 393 analyses. Thus, the only new piece of information is the con-394 nection between two known signals: Therefore, we count one 395 bit. However, inter-MTE HMBC data often provide correla-396 tions to, or through, quaternary carbons, and when it does so, $_{397}$ it provides new information in the form of: (1) the 13 C NMR 398 chemical shifts of the quaternary carbons or (2) connections 399 between two isolated MTEs. Therefore, we counted two bits of 400 information for inter-MTE HMBC connectivities, and the differential counting of intra- and inter-MTE HMBC data seems 401 402 appropriate.

403 (8) HMBC-level tMICE+ values that correspond to the 404 number of bits of MII at HSQC level plus the total number of 405 HMBC links divided by the total number of carbon atoms (see 406 Table 3 (Glossary)).

(9) A value calculated by dividing the actual experimentaltMICE values by the corresponding theoretical values.

(10) As for the original MICE analysis,⁴⁵ experimental MII was classed as fitting and therefore counted when differences the between the experimental and the equivalent authentic reference or literature or database chemical shifts were within ± 0.03 ppm or ¹³ for ¹H and ± 0.5 ppm for ¹³C and when homonuclear coupling the constants were within ± 0.2 Hz.

(11) For metabolites that are symmetrical within an MTE, identification information at the HSQC level is introduced only once; for example, succinic acid has two methylene carbon atoms that are equivalent due to symmetry, but the information is only recorded once, just as it is observed.

420 (12) Long-range H–H COSY information is excluded, as the 421 analysis is intended to be applicable to 2D NMR information 422 acquired with nominal resolution and sensitivity.

2.5. Determination of the Overall Metabolite Identification Information for Each Metabolite

Having computed the metabolite ID information available 424 experimentally and theoretically for each MTE separately in 425 each metabolite (Section 2.3), the following information was 426 analyzed for each metabolite as a whole: 427

(1) total number of carbon atoms in the metabolite 428

(2) total number of nonexchanging NH groups in the 429 metabolite 430

(3) actual and theoretical total number of MII bits at HSQC 431 level for all MTEs 432

(4) actual and theoretical total number of inter-MTE COSY 433 links in the metabolite 434

(5) actual and theoretical total number of HMBC links in the 435 metabolite 436

(6) actual and theoretical MICE values for each metabolite 437 calculated by summing the total bits of information at HSQC 438 level for all MTEs of a metabolite (point 3 above) and then 439 dividing by the total number of carbon atoms (point 1 above) 440

(7) actual and theoretical MICE+ values for each metabolite 441 were calculated by summing the total bits of information at 442 HMBC level for all MTEs of a metabolite (point 3 plus 5 above) 443 and then dividing by the total number of carbon atoms (point 1 444 above) 445

2.6. Precision of Measurements of ¹H NMR Chemical Shiftsin a Variety of Metabolites in Buffered Mouse Urine and446Comparison of Experimental Shifts with Those of Authentic447Reference Standards in the HMDB448

The chemical shifts of a variety of aliphatic, olefinic, and aromatic 449 protons in a variety of acidic, basic, and neutral metabolites were 450 measured to determine the variability of chemical shifts in these 451 differing environments in mouse urine from 34 individual 452 C57BL/6 mice and their FMO5 KO counterparts⁴⁹ at weeks 15, 453 30, 45, and 60. The results are summarized in Table 1 and 454 compared with the corresponding values obtained from the 455 HMDB.³⁷

2.7. Statistical Analyses of the Data

All statistical analyses were conducted in Microsoft Excel for 457 Macintosh version 14.5.5. All errors are standard deviations. 458 All significance testing used the two-tailed, unpaired Student's 459 *t* test with a confidence threshold of >95% (p < 0.05). 460

3. RESULTS

3.1. Molecular Properties of the 100 Metabolites in the Set

The 100 metabolites were in 11 classes: carboxylic acids (10), 461 hydroxycarboxylic acids (6), dicarboxylic acids (9), tricarboxylic 462 acids (4), small alcohols (5), ketones (2), sugars and sugar acids 463 (7), amines (14), amides and amino acids (32), nucleosides and 464 nucleotides (10), and others (1) for a total of 100 metabolites. 465 See the Supplementary Tables S2 and S3 for more information. 466 The atomic composition and molecular weight properties of the 467 100 metabolites are shown in Table 2. 468

Table 2 gives basic statistics for the number of hydrogen, 469 carbon, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur atoms present in the meta- 470 bolite set, including the number of metabolites possessing these 471 atoms (first row) and the statistics for the entire set in subsequent 472 rows, plus basic statistics on the metabolites' nominal molecular 473 mass. While all 100 metabolites studied contained hydrogen and 474 carbon, 95 contained oxygen, and 56 contained nitrogen, only 4 475 of the sets contained one sulfur atom each. Further analysis 476 (Supplementary Table S2) shows that 16 of the 100 metabolites 477

Table 1. Average Experimental Chemical Shifts (n = 34), Standard Deviations, Maximum and Minimum Values, Range, Corresponding HMDB Values, and Differences between the HMDB and Average Experimental Values for 10 Representative Hydrogen Environments in a Variety of Acidic, Basic, and Neutral Metabolites^a

	$\substack{\beta\text{-d-fucose}\\ \mathrm{CH}_3}$	<i>cis</i> -aconitic acid CH	TMA	MA	TMAO	$\begin{array}{c} N\text{-butyrylglycine} \\ \text{CH}_2 \text{ at } 1.62 \end{array}$	3-indoxyl sulfate, 7.7	citrate, 2.56	cinnamoylglycine, 6.7	hippurate ortho
average experimental shift	1.254	5.721	2.879	2.611	3.276	1.620	7.704	2.558	6.723	7.837
standard deviation	0.0010	0.0172	0.0028	0.0024	0.0028	0.0007	0.0030	0.0053	0.0060	0.0017
maximum value	1.256	5.752	2.885	2.616	3.282	1.622	7.710	2.570	6.734	7.840
minimum value	1.252	5.696	2.875	2.607	3.272	1.619	7.698	2.549	6.706	7.832
range in values	0.004	0.056	0.010	0.009	0.010	0.003	0.012	0.021	0.028	0.008
HMDB shift	1.25	5.693	2.893	2.59	3.253	1.613	7.712	2.527	6.706	7.820
difference HMDB vs experimental	0.004	0.028	0.014	0.021	0.023	0.007	0.008	0.031	0.017	0.017

^aTMA, trimethylamine; MA, methylamine; TMAO, trimethylamine N-oxide. The chemical shift of the second-order ortho protons of hippuric acid was estimated at the mid-point of the complex signal. The shifts of cinnamoylglycine were obtained from an authentic Sigma reference standard, as no data were available in the HMDB entry for this metabolite.

Table 2. Number of Metabolites Containing Hydrogen,
Carbon, Oxygen, Nitrogen and Sulphur Atoms and Statistical
Information on the Elemental Composition ^a

	Н	С	0	N	S	total heavy atmos	nominal mass in Da
metabolite count	100	100	95	56	4		
maximum	17	13	8	5	1	21	297
minimum	2	1	0	0	0	2	31
mean	8.69	5.45	3.09	0.94	0.04	9.52	138.0
median	8	5	3	1	0	9	132.0
standard deviation	3.01	2.44	1.81	1.11	0.20	3.87	54.5
^{<i>a</i>} All data for	the set	of 100	metabol	lites in t	this stud	lv.	

 478 possessed slow-exchanging NH groups that could potentially 479 give rise to additional signals and connectivities in their $^1{\rm H}$ NMR 480 spectra.

The average molecular weight of the set is 138.0 ± 54.5 Da (standard deviation), and the distribution is shown in Figure 1.

3.2. Molecular Topology Elements in the Metabolites

483 Topological analysis of the set of 100 metabolites showed that 484 they possess between 1 and 5 MTEs with the distribution shown 485 in Figure 2. 67% of the metabolites possess 1 or 2 MTEs, 21% 486 possess 3, 10% possess 4, and only 2% possess 5 MTEs.

The 100 metabolites contained a total of 216 MTEs, of which 488 the vast majority (168, 77%) were chains. The distribution of 489 MTE types for MTEs 1 to 5 is shown in Figure 3.

490 An overview of the distribution of the topologies and their 491 types for all 100 metabolites is given in Figure 4, in the form of a 492 grid. The overwhelming preponderance of chain MTEs is clear 493 from Figures 3 and 4.

3.3. Calculation of Topological Metabolite Identification 494 Efficiency Values

⁴⁹⁵ The number of bits of MII in each MTE was measured by default
⁴⁹⁶ at the HSQC level. When this number is divided by the number
⁴⁹⁷ of carbons in the MTE, we arrive at the tMICE value for that
⁴⁹⁸ MTE, by analogy to the previously described MICE index.⁴⁵

The outcome of the topological analysis for MTE1 and MTE2 for the first 16 metabolites, the carboxylic acids, and hydrosol xycarboxylic acids, is shown in Figure 5. Each metabolite is associated with a symmetry flag and a chirality flag that is each set to sol either 0 (no symmetry or no chirality) or 1 (some element of symmetry or chirality, respectively). Then, each MTE is analyzed 504 separately in terms of the number of bits of MII at the HSQC 505 level and the number of inter-MTE COSY links. The inter-MTE 506 COSY information is, of course, included in the HSQC-level MII 507 but is split out separately to give a view of how much information 508 there is at this level to link MTEs together in the same metabolite. 509 The analysis is conducted from both information derived experi-510 mentally and that which is potentially observable theoretically. 511 Naturally, not all of the MII that is theoretically available is 512 actually observable due to issues of low metabolite concen-513 trations or crowding in the real spectra. The tMICE values can be 514 simply calculated by dividing the total number of metabolite 515 identification bits at the HSQC level in the MTE by the number 516 of carbon atoms in that same MTE. 517

3.4. Analysis of tMICE Values for All 100 Metabolites at HSQC Level

We next analyzed the tMICE values for each of the 217 MTEs in 519 the 100 metabolites using the three-part triage shown below 520 (Figure 6). In the subset of metabolites illustrated in Figure 5, 521 it can be observed that there are three kinds of situation with 522 respect to the tMICE values for the MTEs in each metabolite: 523

(1) It is theoretically possible to obtain sufficient MII for the 524 MTE to generate a tMICE of ≥ 1.0 (a proposed cutoff threshold 525 value for confident identification of the MTE), and this is 526 achieved experimentally (green). 527

(2) It is theoretically possible to obtain a tMICE of \geq 1.0 for 528 the MTE but this is NOT achieved experimentally (red). 529

(3) It is theoretically impossible to obtain a tMICE of $\geq 1.0_{530}$ (cyan in Figure 6). 531

135 MTEs (62%) have actual tMICE values \geq 1.0 (green); 532 29 MTEs (13%) have actual tMICE values <1 but theoretical 533 tMICE values \geq 1.0 (red); and 52 MTEs (24%) have theoretical 534 tMICE values <1.0 (cyan). 535

3.5. tMICE+ Values for all 100 Metabolites at HMBC Level

In the tMICE+ analysis at HMBC level, 168 MTEs (77%) have 536 actual tMICE+ values \geq 1.0; 40 MTEs (19%) have actual tMICE 537 + values <1 but theoretical tMICE values \geq 1.0; and only 8 MTEs 538 (4%) have theoretical tMICE+ values <1.0. 539

In moving from the HSQC-level tMICE analysis to the HMBC- 540 level tMICE+ analysis, 27 MTEs changed from cyan (theoretical 541 tMICE < 1) to green (actual tMICE+ \geq 1.0); 6 MTEs changed from 542 red (actual tMICE values <1 but theoretical tMICE values \geq 1.0) to 543 green (actual tMICE+ \geq 1.0), and 17 MTE changed from cyan 544

F

518

12 11 10 9 number of metabolites 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0 30 50 70 210 10 90 110 130 150 170 190 230 250 270 290 molecular mass in Daltons

Figure 1. Histogram of the distribution of molecular weights of the 100 metabolites in the set.



Figure 2. Chart of the number of metabolites with 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 MTEs in the 100 metabolites studied.



Figure 3. Chart of the distribution of chains or rings across MTEs 1 to 5 in the 100 metabolites in the current cohort.

s4s (theoretical tMICE < 1) to red (actual tMICE+ < 1 but theos46 retical tMICE+ \geq 1.0). See also Figure 7.

tMICE+ values for metabolites with some degree of symmetry statistical 2.18 \pm 1.93 and theoretical 3.49 \pm 2.62, n = 79) were statistical were than those for unsymmetrical metabolites (actual 2.68 \pm source 2.43, p = 0.101 and theoretical 7.20 \pm 5.50, n = 137, $p = 2.30 \times$ statistical to 10⁻¹⁰) but were only statistically significantly lower for the theostatistical tMICE+ values. Similarly, tMICE+ values for achiral metastatistical to 2.37 \pm 1.90 and theoretical 3.93 \pm 2.31, n = 140) statistical values for chiral metabolites (actual 2.73 \pm 2.82, statistical theoretical 9.35 \pm 6.51, n = 76, $p = 4.82 \times 10^{-10}$), statistically significant.

4. DISCUSSION

558 Confidence in known metabolite assignment is one of the key 559 issues facing metabonomics/metabolomics at present. Many current studies do not report in detail their MS- or NMR-based 560 spectroscopic analyses, let alone any assessment of the con- 561 fidence ratings for the identification of important metabolites. 562

Article

The Metabolomics Standards Initiative (MSI)³⁵ recognized 563 this as an important issue as far back as 2007 and proposed a set 564 of guidelines,³⁶ but few studies currently refer to these,³⁹ and 565 improvements/amendments to the guidelines have been suggested recently.^{28,41-45} 567

There are three key issues with the current MSI guidelines for 568 metabolite identification in our view: (i) they are qualitative and 569 not quantitative, referring to having data such as 2D NMR 570 spectra; (ii) there is no guidance on how good a fit of the 571 experimental data to reference data should be; and (iii) there is 572 an assertion that data in the literature or in databases such as the 573 HMDB are "generally believed insufficient to validate a confident 574 and rigorous identification".³⁶ The work reported here addresses 575 these issues. First, both the recent MICE and the new tMICE 576 methods presented here are quantitative in basis but simple and 577 easy to calculate. Second, clear guidance is given on the goodness 578 of fit required for experimental NMR data to be seen as a 579 good match for literature or reference standard data. Third, the 580 assumption that data generated from a reference standard of a 581 metabolite in the investigator's laboratory will be significantly 582 different from that recorded on a different sample of that standard 583 in the literature or in a database such as the HMDB is shown to 584 be generally incorrect for NMR-based metabonomics (Table 1). 585 This may be an issue and a concern for MS-based experiments 586 such as UPLC-MS or LC-MS, where, for example, metabolite 587 retention time could be influenced by a number of factors including 588 the exact column type, history and age, and mass spectral inten- 589 sities could be influenced by sample- and spectrometer-specific 590 ion suppression and enhancement effects, in addition to differential 591

Article



Figure 4. Simplified depiction of the MTE composition in terms of chains (blue, 1) or rings (pink, 0) for all 100 metabolites in the set.

					MTE1									MTE2								
metabolite class	COMMON NAME	HMDB code	molecular symmetry flag	chirality flag	Type of MTE	Total No of C atoms	No of non-exchanging NH groups	Actual MII HSQC level	Actual inter-MTE COSY links	Actual tMICE	Theor. MII HSQC level	Theor. inter-MTE COSY links	Theor. tMICE	Type of MTE	Total No of C atoms	No of non-exchanging NH groups	Actual MII HSQC level	Actual inter-MTE COSY links	Actual tMICE	Theor. MII HSQC level	Theor. inter-MTE COSY links	Theor. tMICE
	formic acid	HMDB00142	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	3.0	3	0	3.0									
	acetic acid	HMDB00042	0	0	1	2	0	3	0	1.5	3	0	1.5									
	propanoic acid	HMDB00237	0	0	1	3	0	7	0	2.3	9	0	3.0									
	butyric acid	HMDB00039	0	0	1	4	0	13	0	3.3	15	0	3.8									
carboxylic	isobutyric acid	HMDB01873	1	0	1	3	0	5	0	1.7	9	0	3.0	1	1	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0
acids	isovaleric acid	HMDB00718	1	0	1	4	0	8	0	2.0	15	0	3.8	1	1	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0
	ketoleucine	HMDB00695	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	1	3	0	11	0	3.7	15	0	5.0
	benzoic acid	HMDB01870	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	6	0	13	0	2.2	16	0	2.7
	phenylacetic acid	HMDB00209	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	1.0	3	0	1.5	0	6	0	6	0	1.0	16	0	2.7
	hydrocinnamic acid	HMDB00764	1	0	1	3	0	9	0	3.0	9	0	3.0	0	6	0	1	0	0.2	16	0	2.7
hydroxy- carboxylic	glycolic acid	HMDB00115	0	0	1	2	0	3	0	1.5	3	0	1.5									
	(S)-lactic acid	HMDB00190	0	1	1	3	0	8	0	2.7	9	0	3.0									
	2-hydroxyisobutyric acid	HMDB00729	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	1	1	0	3	0	3.0	3	0	3.0
	(S)-3-hydroxyisobutyric acid	R isomer is: HM	0	1	1	3	0	2	1	0.7	20	1	6.7	1	1	0	4	1	4.0	4	1	4.0
acids	4-hydroxybenzoic acid	HMDB00500	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	6	0	8	0	1.3	10	0	1.7
	4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid	HMDB00020	1	0	1	2	0	3	0	1.5	3	0	1.5	0	6	0	8	0	1.3	10	0	1.7

Figure 5. Experimental and theoretical metabolite identification information from NMR for MTEs 1 and 2 for the first 16 metabolites in the set of 100: the carboxylic acids and hydroxycarboxylic acids. MII = metabolite identification information. The tMICE values are color coded according to magnitude from low (red) to high (green). Theor. = theoretical. See the text for description and Table 3 (Glossary) for a full explanation of all terms. The entire table is available as Supplementary Table S2.

⁵⁹² adduct formation.¹⁰ However, in general, these concerns will not ⁵⁹³ apply to ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy data. With the exception 594 of a minority of metabolites that have spectral characteristics that 595 are particularly sensitive to the exact sample environment, the 596 vast majority of metabolites have NMR spectral data that match 597 very well the corresponding information on authentic standards 598 under equivalent conditions in databases such as the HMDB. 599 Indeed, if this was not the case, there would be little purpose in 600 assembling these databases in the first place. Moreover, NMR 601 data on metabolites are inherently quantitative (given certain 602 provisos), are generally referenced to the same or highly similar 603 chemical shift reference standards, and are not subject to the 604 instrument/technique-specific changes in data that can be 605 observed in MS between different ionization methods and dif-606 ferent detection systems. Finally, it has been known for some 607 time that the analytical variability of NMR-based metabonomics 608 studies is low.⁵³⁻

In practice, of course, some differences in chemical shifts will occur, especially for ¹H or ¹³C nuclei in metabolites that are subject to tautomerism or ionization changes with alteration in solvent/medium pH. To test this variability, we measured the chancel shifts of ten different hydrogen types in a variety of acidic, basic, and neutral metabolites in 34 independent mouse solvent (Table 1). The largest range of shift values observed for one hydrogen environment was that for the olefinic CH 616 proton of *cis*-aconitic acid, which showed a value of $5.721 \pm 0.017_{617}$ ppm (mean \pm standard deviation) and a range of 0.056 ppm. 618 Surprisingly, these values were greater than those for the low- 619 frequency methylene proton signal from citrate: $2.558 \pm 0.005_{620}$ ppm, with a range of 0.021 ppm. As expected, the chemical shifts 621 of the methylene protons in N-butyrylglycine at 1.620 \pm 0.001 622 ppm with a range of only 0.003 ppm were almost invariant 623 across all of the samples. The shifts of other metabolites such 624 as trimethylamine were intermediate in variability at 2.879 ± 625 0.003 ppm, range 0.010 ppm. Nevertheless, the data showed that 626 (assuming normal distribution) even for the sensitive *cis*-aconitic 627 acid olefinic proton shifts >95% of values are expected to occur in 628 a range of ± 0.034 ppm (two times the standard deviation) 629 around the mean, and all 34 experimental values do. For the 630 intermediate case of trimethylamine, >95% of values would be 631 expected to occur in a range of ± 0.006 ppm around the mean, 632 and all 34 values do. 633

The experimental chemical shift values were then compared 634 with the corresponding HMDB values (or in the single case of 635 cinnamoylglycine, an actual reference standard run on our spec- 636 trometers, as there are no data in HMDB). For the 10 hydrogen 637 environments studied, the differences between the mean experi- 638 mental mouse values and the HMDB 37 /reference values (Table 1) 639

MTEs MTEs MTEs

Figure 6. Analysis of the tMICE value for each MTE in each of the 100 metabolites at the HSQC level. Green: actual tMICE value \geq 1.0; red: tMICE actual <1.0 but tMICE theoretical \geq 1.0; cyan: tMICE theoretical <1.



Figure 7. Left: Histogram of the distribution of HSQC-level tMICE values in MTEs: Green: actual tMICE value \geq 1.0; red: tMICE actual <1.0 but tMICE theoretical \geq 1.0; cyan: tMICE theoretical <1.0. Right: Corresponding HMBC-level tMICE+ analyses with analogous color coding.

640 varied from a low of 0.004 ppm (methyl protons in β -D-fucose) 641 to a high of 0.031 ppm for the high-frequency methylene proton 642 in citric acid, with an average difference of 0.017 \pm 0.009 ppm 643 (standard deviation). Our proposal that comparisons of experi-644 mental data can be confidently made with reference standard 645 data from databases such as the HMDB rather than generat-646 ing new NMR data on an authentic reference standard on the 647 same spectrometer seems valid. In addition, the guideline of 648 matching proton chemical shifts between experimental data 649 and database or literature values of \pm 0.03 ppm also seems 650 reasonable.

The group of 100 metabolites studied here is typical of those identified by NMR spectroscopy in metabonomics studies. These 100 metabolites have a molecular weight distribution as $_{653}$ follows: 138.0 ± 54.5 Da in this set versus 126.7 ± 46.6 Da for the $_{654}$ set of 75 subjected to MICE analysis.⁴⁵ Correspondingly, the $_{655}$ number of carbon atoms in the metabolites in this set is 5.5 ± 2.4 $_{656}$ versus 4.9 ± 2.2 atoms previously (all figures means ± standard $_{657}$ deviations). These changes are due to the fact that further work $_{658}$ had identified metabolites that were less obvious in the year since $_{659}$ the previous analysis was completed. These less obvious meta- $_{660}$ bolites generally had larger and more complex structures with $_{661}$ increased molecular weight.

The topological approach to metabolite identification intro- 663 duced here is a natural approach for NMR spectroscopy. Net- 664 works of proton-to-proton connectivity that are discovered by 665

666 methods such as 2D ¹H COSY NMR are frequently broken or 667 interrupted by so-called "spectroscopically silent centers" such as 668 heteroatoms bearing no slow-exchanging protons or quaternary 669 carbons. The topological analysis defines these "spectroscopi-670 cally silent centers" as two of the four types of break between 671 the MTEs in the structure of the metabolite, with the other two 672 breaks between MTEs being ring junctions and branching methine 673 carbons. Thus, in this analysis, there tends to be a natural align-674 ment between the topology elements in the metabolites and 675 subnetworks of proton—proton connectivity derived from the 676 NMR spectra.

The basic tMICE approach measures the number of bits of 677 678 MII derived from NMR spectra from each MTE at a level up to 679 2D ¹H, ¹³C HSQC, that is, information gathered from 1D 680 ¹H NMR, 2D ¹H J-resolved NMR, 2D ¹H COSY NMR, and 2D 681 ¹H, ¹³C HSQC experiments. These bits of information include 682 the following: (1) the number of proton chemical shifts for 683 each protonated carbon and nonexchanging amide NH; (2) the 684 number of signal multiplicities identified (usually from 1D ¹H NMR or 2D ¹H J-resolved NMR); (3) the number of 685 686 coupling constants measured $({}^{2}J_{HH}$ and ${}^{3}J_{HH})$ for each signal (note that this is different from the original MICE methodology 687 688 where "J_{HH} values were only counted once: this was seen as 689 too conservative); (4) the presence of second-order spin system $_{690}$ (flag = 1 if there are additional lines present in the spectrum, not 691 anticipated by a first-order spectral analysis, otherwise flag = 0; 692 the bit is only counted if it is set to 1); (5) the number of intra- $_{693}$ MTE COSY links via $^2J_{\rm HH}$ and $^3J_{\rm HH}$ between hydrogens (only 694 counted once) and the number of inter-MTE COSY links via ⁶⁹⁵ ³J_{HH} between hydrogens (only counted once and associated with 696 the first MTE connected); and (6) the number of HSQC cross-697 peaks for each protonated carbon atom (counted twice if mea-698 sured separately at nonequivalent hydrogens of a methylene 699 group). The sum total number of bits of information for each 700 MTE is then divided by the number of carbon atoms in that MTE 701 to give the tMICE value.

For example, simple metabolites like propanoic acid just have 703 one chain-class MTE from C1=O to $C3H_3$.



propanoic acid

In this case, a total of seven bits of MII were experimentally 704 ⁷⁰⁵ observed (see Figure 5): two ¹H chemical shifts (1.061, C3H₃ 706 and 2.190 ppm, C2H₂), two ¹H signal multiplicities (triplet 707 and quartet), two ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ coupling constants (7.7 and 7.7 Hz), 708 and a COSY between the signals for the methyl and methylene groups, all matching the values given for the authentic meta-710 bolite HMDB00237 to within ± 0.03 ppm and ± 0.2 Hz for 711 ¹H chemical shifts and coupling constants, respectively 712 (1.04 and 2.17 ppm, 7.7 Hz, all for a 10 mM sample at pH 713 7.0 in H₂O referenced to DSS, accessed from HMDB on 714 April 25, 2016).³⁷ With three carbons in the single MTE for 715 propanoic acid, this gives it a tMICE value of 7/3 = 2.3. Theo-716 retically, at an HSOC level, two ¹³C chemical shifts could have 717 been observed, but these were below the sensitivity of our 718 experiment and were not observed. The theoretical tMICE 719 value is 9/3 = 3.0.

720 Slightly more complex metabolites like isovaleric acid were 721 characterized as follows.



isovaleric acid

In MTE1 (four carbons) of isovaleric acid, a total of eight 722 bits of information were experimentally obtained at an HSQC 723 level, including two ¹H shifts, two multiplicities, two coupling 724 constants, one ¹³C shift, and one COSY connectivity (0.916, d, 725 6.6 Hz, 24.8 ppm with COSY to 1.958, multiplet and 2.062, d, 726 7.4 Hz), matching that of the authentic metabolite HMDB00718 727 (0.90, d, 6.6 Hz, 24.7; 1.94, triplet of septet (HMDB erroneously 728 has doublet of quartet), 7.9, 6.6 Hz and 2.045, d, 7.5 Hz (HMDB 729 erroneously gives 0.5 Hz?), all for pH 7.0 in H₂O referenced to 730 DSS (n.b. the raw free induction decay data files associated with 731 this entry in the HMDB are for another unrelated metabolite) 732 accessed on April 25, 2016).³⁷ Note that the signal for the methine 733 proton H3 is a very weak 1-proton triplet of septets and was only 734 observed indirectly in the COSY and is therefore not included in 735 the chemical shift or multiplicity counts. For MTE2, the symmet-736 rically equivalent methyl group, no information was obtained 737 and, at an HSQC level, no MII can be obtained because of equiv-738 alence. The tMICE values are thus 2.0(8/4) and 0 for MTE1 and 739 MTE2, respectively. The errors found in the HMDB data analysis 740 do highlight the importance of checking database entries for the 741 quality of the sample, the spectrum, and the data analysis. 742

The analysis of isovaleric acid highlights the importance of the 743 topological approach to known metabolite identification con- 744 fidence. While the main portion of the metabolite is well iden- 745 tified, there is no information available on the second methyl 746 group in MTE2 due to molecular symmetry and equivalence. 747

The HSQC-level tMICE information was analyzed separately 748 for different overall classes of metabolite. tMICE values for meta-749 bolites with some degree of symmetry (actual 1.26 ± 1.30 and 750 theoretical 1.87 \pm 1.72, n = 79) were statistically significantly 751 lower than those for unsymmetrical metabolites (actual 1.97 \pm 752 1.94, p = 0.0015 and theoretical 3.88 \pm 3.53, n = 137, $p = 6.45 \times 753$ 10^{-8}). It is natural that the tMICE values of the metabolites with 754 some element of symmetry are lower than those of metabolites 755 without symmetry, as in the metabolites with symmetry, there 756 will be elements of the structure for which it will not be possible 757 to obtain independent NMR data. For example, in dimethyl- 758 amine, the two methyl groups are chemically equivalent by 759 symmetry and at an HSQC level it is not possible to get infor-760 mation separately from these MTEs. Even though both methyl 761 groups contribute to the singlet signal at 2.720 ppm, the infor-762 mation is ascribed solely to MTE1, and the second methyl group 763 in MTE2 is allocated no bits of MII. This is a conservative approach 764 and reflects what is actually observed. 765

Similarly, tMICE values for achiral metabolites (actual 1.52 ± 766 1.42 and theoretical 2.08 ± 1.54 , n = 140) were lower than those 767 for chiral metabolites (actual 2.04 ± 2.23 , p = 0.067 and theo-768 retical 5.11 ± 4.24 , n = 76, $p = 4.14 \times 10^{-8}$), although only the 769 theoretical tMICE differences were statistically significant; the 770 actual tMICE differences are just insignificant. This effect is 771 due to the chiral center causing nonequivalence of the geminal 772 protons on the methylene carbons in chiral metabolites. In chiral 773 metabolites, each geminal proton typically resonates at a distinct 774 frequency, thus doubling the amount of information available 775 from, and to, these groups.

J

The key factor in the identification of known metabolites is 777 778 whether sufficient information has been collected to be confident 779 in the identification. The tMICE approach enables this analysis 780 to be done quantitatively for each separate topology element in the metabolite, but the question is still, how much information is 781 enough? Following on from the original MICE work where 782 an overall value of MICE \geq 1.0 was determined to be generally 783 sufficient to confidently identify a metabolite, 45 we chose to have 784 a tMICE value of >1.0 also as the cutoff between confidently and 785 not confidently identified MTEs. 786

We then triaged the 100 metabolites into those where all of their MTEs were confidently identified (tMICE \geq 1.0, green), as opposed to those metabolites that contained MTEs where the experimental tMICE values were <1.0 when the theoretical MICE values were \geq 1.0 (red) or those metabolites that contained MTEs where the theoretical tMICE value was <1.0 (cyan r93 in Figures 6 and 7).

At a metabolite level, as opposed to an MTE level, only 42 795 metabolites had all of their MTEs green (tMICE \geq 1.0, confident 796 assignment); 24 had at least one red MTE (actual tMICE < 1.0, 797 whereas theoretical \geq 1.0), and a further 34 had at least one cyan 798 MTE (theoretical tMICE < 1.0) with no red MTEs. Thus, 58 of 799 the 100 metabolites had at least 1 MTE with insufficient infor-800 mation for confident assignment (Figure 7, all analyses for HSQC-801 level data).

Given that nearly a quarter of MTEs had theoretical tMICE 802 so3 values of <1.0 (cyan in Figure 6) and that nearly 60% of the 804 metabolites as a whole had at least 1 MTE without sufficient 805 information for confident assignment, the analysis was repeated 806 at the HMBC level to generate the corresponding theoretical 807 and experimental tMICE+ values for each MTE for comparison. 808 The only difference between the HSQC-level tMICE value and 809 the HMBC-level tMICE+ value for the same MTE is that the 810 number of HMBC connectivities observed for that MTE is added 811 to the HSQC-level MII before dividing by the number of carbon 812 atoms in the MTE. 2D¹H, ¹³C HMBC data are very important in 813 metabolite identification as it allows additional carbon-13 to 814 hydrogen connectivities to be determined over two or three 815 bonds via ${}^{2}J_{CH}$ and ${}^{3}J_{CH}$. HMBC data allows: (1) connectivities to 816 quaternary carbons to be observed, which are otherwise generally $_{817}$ invisible, and (2) via $^{3}J_{CH}$, connectivities through heteroatoms 818 and quaternary carbons can be observed. These new HMBC data 819 enable: (1) the acquisition of MII for MTEs which have signifi-820 cant numbers of quaternary carbons, (2) the linking of MTEs 821 to one another, which are separated by "spectroscopically silent $_{822}$ centers", and (3) the acquisition of information on symmetrically 823 equivalent MTEs or parts of an MTE due to isotopomeric 824 breaking of MTE symmetry.

Similar differences to those observed for tMICE values were seen between the tMICE+ values of both symmetric and nonsymmetric metabolites and between achiral and chiral metaboses lites (see Results).

829 It is important to note that for metabolites possessing some 830 degree of symmetry, such as isovaleric acid,



while no information could be obtained directly on the presence 831 of the second methyl group in MTE2, due to molecular 832 symmetry in HSQC-level experiments, in a 2D 1 H, 13 C HMBC 833 experiment, connectivities can be seen between one methyl 834 group and the other via the isotopomer H_3^{13} C-CH- 12 CH₃, 835 with observation at the $-^{12}$ CH₃ group. The presence of the 836 H $_3^{13}$ C-isotope in one methyl group only (statistically very 837 unlikely to observe 13 C isotopes in both methyl groups) means 838 that the two methyl groups are no longer symmetrically equiv- 839 alent and a true, cross-methyl HMBC connectivity is seen. Thus, 840 this is a third important reason for the use of HMBC data in 841

The difference between the tMICE analysis at HSQC level and 843 the tMICE+ analysis at HMBC level is illustrated in Figure 7. 844

In the HSQC-level tMICE analysis, 135 MTEs (62%) have 845 actual tMICE values ≥ 1.0 (green); 29 MTEs (13%) have actual 846 tMICE values <1.0 but theoretical tMICE values ≥ 1.0 (red); 847 and 52 MTEs (24%) have theoretical tMICE values <1.0 (cyan). 848 By contrast, in the tMICE+ analysis at HMBC level, 168 MTEs 849 (77%) have actual tMICE+ values ≥ 1.0 ; 40 MTEs (19%) have 850 actual tMICE+ values <1.0 but theoretical tMICE values ≥ 1.0 ; 851 and only 8 MTEs (4%) have theoretical tMICE+ values <1.0. 852

At an HMBC level, 61 metabolites now have all MTEs with $_{853}$ sufficient identification information for confident assignment $_{854}$ (green, cf. 42 in tMICE level), but 34 still have at least one MTE $_{855}$ with an actual tMICE+ < 1.0, while the theoretical tMICE+ \geq 1.0 $_{856}$ (red, cf. 24 in tMICE analysis) and only 5 now have at least $_{857}$ 1 MTE with a theoretical tMICE+ of <1.0 (cyan, cf. 34 in tMICE $_{858}$ analysis), with no red MTEs.

It is important to address the issue of MTEs that do have $_{860}$ tMICE+ < 1.0. In cases where the actual tMICE+ value is <1.0 $_{861}$ but the theoretical value is \geq 1, it is possible to look for the $_{862}$ missing information in other samples for confirmation. In cases $_{863}$ where the theoretical tMICE+ is <1.0, this is not possible and $_{864}$ other approaches will be needed. We illustrate these approaches $_{865}$ now with some examples from this work.

Ketoleucine and 2-oxoglutaric acid are examples where even 867 though the theoretical tMICE+ was >1.0 the actual HMBC-level 868 tMICE+ values in both cases were <1.0, and in fact no infor- 869 mation was obtained from MTE1 at an HMBC level in either 870 case. This is because, the first MTE in both cases is a carboxylate- 871 keto group with two quaternary carbons joined together, resulting 872 in no information at the HSQC level and a difficulty in obtaining 873 HMBC-level data for samples in which metabolite concentrations 874 are low. 875 g



In these cases, we were fortunate to have access to female 876 C57BL/6 urine samples containing higher concentrations of the 877 metabolites (the original samples were all from male mice). For 878 ketoleucine, female C57BL/6 mice have 2.619 (d, 7.1 Hz, CH₂), 879 S1.1 with COSY to 2.10 (CH), TOCSY to 0.944 (CH₃), and 880 HMBC to 24.2 and 210.8 (ketone carbonyl), thus linking MTE1 881 to MTE2 and providing information on MTE1. In addition, they 882 have 0.941 (d, 6.8 Hz, CH₃), 24.5 with COSY to 2.103, TOCSY 883 to 2.614, and HMBC to 50.7 and 24.0 (low digital resolution in 884

Table 3. Glossary

885 t1, so shift imprecise; cross-methyl connectivity), thus linking 886 MTE 2 to the symmetrically equivalent group in MTE3 and con-887 firming the structure. For 2-oxoglutaric acid, female C57BL/6 888 mice have 2.448 (t, 6.8 Hz), 33.4 with COSY to 3.013 (t, 6.9 Hz), 889 38.4 and HMBC from 2.444 to 184.2 and 207.6. The HMBC 890 link from the methylene to the ketone carbon at 207.6 proves 891 the linking of MTE1 to MTE2 and confirms the identity of the 892 metabolite.

Trimethylamine and trimethylamine-N-oxide are examples of 893 894 metabolites where, even at an HMBC level, it is impossible to 895 obtain any identification information on the third MTE, which is 896 a symmetrically equivalent methyl group. HMBC data provide 897 information that there is a symmetrically equivalent methyl 898 group from the cross-methyl HMBC peaks, but it cannot define 899 that there are two of these. In these cases, the situation is made 900 more difficult by the fact that there is so little information 901 available: The signals of both these metabolites comprise just one 902 singlet. Their identification is considered safe but not definitive 903 on the basis of spectroscopic signal density and signal characteristics arguments, as follows. The information density in the ¹H NMR spectrum of a biofluid such as urine varies with the 906 chemical shift and is not at a maximum in the region of the signals 907 from trimethylamine and trimethylamine-N-oxide. In addition, 908 the sharp singlet signals from these metabolites are relatively 909 characteristic. Nevertheless, to be prudent and avoid errors, it 910 would be recommended to confirm the identities of these meta-911 bolites by a complementary technology such as MS if they were 912 determined to be statistically significant biomarkers in a study. 913 From the NMR spectroscopy perspective, they are considered 914 Putatively Annotated, Level 2 in the MSI notation. It is possible 915 that in other cases additional information could be obtained 916 from long-range, proton-proton coupling constants, as ${}^{4}J_{HH}$, ${}^{5}J_{HH}$,

and even ${}^{6}J_{HH}$ couplings can be either observed directly or 917 inferred from COSY experiments in biofluid NMR spectra.²⁵ 918 In addition, information from heteronuclear couplings such as 919 ${}^{2}J_{NH}$ due to ${}^{14}N$ isotopes in highly symmetrical environments²⁵ 920 or ${}^{3}J_{PH}$ and ${}^{4}J_{PH}$ due to the presence of ${}^{31}P$ in nucleotides may 921 also provide additional confirmatory information. 922

Other approaches to the analysis are possible. One alternative 923 topological measure of metabolite identification confidence at 924 the HSQC level would be the topological metabolite identifi- 925 cation nitrogen and carbon efficiency index: tMINCE, which is 926 equivalent to tMICE but where the number of bits of MII for an 927 MTE, is divided by the total number of nitrogens with non- 928 exchanging protons (NHs) plus the number of carbon atoms in 929 the MTE. The analogous tMINCE+ index could be used for 930 HMBC-level information. All of the information required to 931 calculate this is provided in Supplementary Table S2. In this 932 group of 100 metabolites, only 17 MTEs and 16 metabolites had 933 nitrogens with slow-exchanging hydrogens attached (urea has 934 two such MTEs). The tMINCE or tMINCE+ approaches are, 935 in principle, more conservative than the tMICE or tMICE+ 936 approaches due to the denominator in the equation being at least 937 as large or larger, but in practice not one single MTE would have 938 changed classification, that is, actual or theoretical tMINCE or 939 tMINCE+ < 1.0 when tMICE or tMICE+ \geq 1.0, respectively. 940

5. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The new topological approach to metabolite identification 941 confidence (tMICE) presented here is an improvement upon 942 the original metabolite identification carbon efficiency (MICE) 943 method, as it objectively monitors whether MII covers all relevant 944 parts of the metabolite's structure. It is clear when reviewing this 945 more stringent approach to metabolite identification confidence 946

no.	term	explanation
1	HSQC	2D, heteronuclear single quantum coherence spectroscopy, allowing correlations between protons and directly attached ¹³ C nuclei to be elucidated (2D ¹ H, ¹³ C HSQC)
2	НМВС	2D heteronuclear multiple bond correlation spectroscopy, allowing correlations between protons and ¹³ C nuclei two to three bonds away to be elucidated (2D ¹ H, ¹³ C HMBC)
3	MTE	molecular topology element: a chain or ring in a metabolite that forms part or all of the structure
4	MII	metabolite identification information
5	MICE	metabolite identification carbon efficiency ⁴⁵
		•can be defined at a number of levels
		•at HSQC level, equals sum total of information bits from proton chemical shifts, multiplicities, coupling constants, second-order flag, COSY connectivities, and HSQC connectivities divided by the total number of carbon atoms in the metabolite, that is, equals MII at HSQC level divided by the number of carbon atoms in the metabolite
6	MINCE	metabolite identification nitrogen and carbon efficiency
		•can be defined at a number of levels
		•at HSQC level, equals sum total of information bits from proton chemical shifts, multiplicities, coupling constants, second-order flag, COSY connectivities, and HSQC connectivities, divided by the total number of carbon atoms and nonexchanging NHs in the metabolite
7	tMICE	topological MICE
		•can be defined at a number of levels
		•at HSQC level, equals sum total of information bits from proton chemical shifts, multiplicities, coupling constants, second-order flag, intra- and inter-MTE COSY connectivities, and HSQC connectivities, that is, the MII, divided by the total number of carbon atoms in the MTE
8	tMINCE	topological MINCE
		•can be defined at a number of levels
		•at HSQC level, equals sum total of information bits from proton chemical shifts, multiplicities, coupling constants, second-order flags, intra-and inter-MTE COSY connectivities, and HSQC connectivities, divided by the total number of carbon atoms and nonexchanging NHs in the MTE
9	tMICE+	topological MICE+
		•equivalent to tMICE at HMBC level, and equals sum total of information bits from proton chemical shifts, multiplicities, coupling constants, second- order flag, intra- and inter-MTE COSY connectivities, HSQC connectivities, and HMBC connectivities, divided by the total number of carbon atoms in the molecular topology element (MTE)
10	tMINCE+	topological MINCE+
		•equivalent measure to tMINCE at HMBC level and equal to the total amount of information divided by the total number of carbon and nonexchanging NHs in the MTE

947 that, in many cases, HMBC-level information is important for 948 improved confidence. This is especially the case for metabolites 949 that (i) possess MTEs that are bounded by, or composed largely 950 of, quaternary carbons or heteroatoms without slow-exchanging 951 hydrogens, or (ii) possess MTEs that are rendered wholly or 952 partly "HSQC-invisible" due to some form of symmetry, which 953 can be broken by observation of long-range connectivities from 954 an asymmetric ¹³C isotopomer of the metabolite in an HMBC 955 experiment.

The tMICE and tMICE+ methodology systematizes NMR-957 based known metabolite identification by (i) taking a coherent 958 topological approach, ensuring that each element of a meta-959 bolite's structure is considered in the analysis, (ii) using a simple 960 quantitative measure of the number of bits of MII available in 961 each MTE, and (iii) expressing that amount of MII in ratio to the 962 number of carbon atoms in each MTE: tMICE = (no. of MII 963 bits)/number of carbon atoms in the MTE.

In the future, automated topological analysis of all possible metabolite structures would be advantageous, and this should be readily computed, as the rules for topology definition are quite deterministic, while aligned with the needs of NMR-based metabolite identification. In addition, the automated analysis of the theoretical tMICE and tMICE+ scores for each MTE for each metabolite should be readily computable. Metabolite identirification would also be aided by precise and accurate NMR prediction programs, as it would then be possible to identify many metabolites, known and unknown by comparison with computed as opposed to experimental chemical shifts and other parameters, sex as experimental values are often missing.

The analysis of tMICE indices is recommended for all key probiomarkers discovered in untargeted NMR-based metabonomics studies to give a measure of confidence in any biological conclusions drawn from the identification of these biomarkers. We see no reason to apply the methodology to all of the known metabolites identified and would advocate an approach that is as simple as possible to implement and use, consistent with rigor. Known metabolite identification is a significant issue for both MS- and NMR-based metabolic profiling experiments. We hope that the tMICE and tMICE+ approaches and variants will find utility in the field. We expect that other researchers will seek to improve on these initial proposals and also develop similar approaches for MS-based metabolic profiling.

989 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

t3

990 **Supporting Information**

991 The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS992 Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.6b00631.

Table S1. Metabolite molecular structure information determined by different spectroscopic techniques. Table S2.
Metabolite names and properties and experimental and
theoretical tMICE and tMICE+ analyses. Table S3.
Analysis of molecular topology elements and associated
metabolite identification information, both experimental
and theoretical. (PDF)

1000 **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

1001 Corresponding Author

1002 *Tel: +44 (0)208 331 8323. E-mail: j.r.everett@greenwich.ac.uk. 1003 Notes

1004 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Professors Jeremy Nicholson, John Lindon, and Elaine 1006 Holmes for long-term collaborations on metabonomics and for 1007 access to Imperial College 600 MHz NMR facilities; Anthony 1008 Dona, Beatriz Jiminez, and Michael Kyriakides for assistance with 1009 NMR spectroscopy, Professor Elizabeth Shephard and Dr. Flora 1010 Scott for a collaboration on mouse phenotypes; Professor Stefano 1011 Balducci for a collaboration on the effects of exercise on diabetic 1012 patients; and Dr. Dorsa Varshavi for assistance with the sample 1013 preparation, spectral analysis, and metabolite identification. 1014

REFERENCES

(1) Metabolic Phenotyping in Personalized and Public Healthcare; 1016 Nicholson, J. K., Darzi, A., Holmes, E., Lindon, J. C., Eds.; Academic 1017 Press: London, 2016. 1018

(2) Lindon, J.; Nicholson, J.; Holmes, E.; Everett, J. Metabonomics: 1019 Metabolic processes studied by NMR spectroscopy of biofluids. 1020 *Concepts Magn. Reson.* **2000**, *12* (5), 289–320. 1021

(3) Lindon, J. C.; Nicholson, J. K.; Holmes, E. The Handbook of 1022 Metabonomics and Metabolomics; Elsevier: Oxford, U.K., 2007. 1023

(4) Fiehn, O. Metabolomics-the link between genotypes and 1024 phenotypes. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **2002**, 48 (1-2), 155-71. 1025

(5) Beckonert, O.; Keun, H. C.; Ebbels, T. M. D.; Bundy, J. G.; Holmes, 1026 E.; Lindon, J. C.; Nicholson, J. K. Metabolic profiling, metabolomic and 1027 metabonomic procedures for NMR spectroscopy of urine, plasma, 1028 serum and tissue extracts. *Nat. Protoc.* **2007**, *2* (11), 2692–2703. 1029

(6) Dona, A. C.; Jimenez, B.; Schaefer, H.; Humpfer, E.; Spraul, M.; 1030 Lewis, M. R.; Pearce, J. T. M.; Holmes, E.; Lindon, J. C.; Nicholson, J. K. 1031 Precision High-Throughput Proton NMR Spectroscopy of Human 1032 Urine, Serum, and Plasma for Large-Scale Metabolic Phenotyping. *Anal.* 1033 *Chem.* **2014**, *86* (19), 9887–9894. 1034

(7) Emwas, A.-H. M.; Salek, R. M.; Griffin, J. L.; Merzaban, J. NMR- 1035 based metabolomics in human disease diagnosis: applications, 1036 limitations, and recommendations. *Metabolomics* **2013**, *9* (5), 1048– 1037 1072. 1038

(8) Pinto, J.; Domingues, M. R. M.; Galhano, E.; Pita, C.; Almeida, M. 1039 d. C.; Carreira, I. M.; Gil, A. M. Human plasma stability during handling 1040 and storage: impact on NMR metabolomics. *Analyst* **2014**, *139* (5), 1041 1168–1177. 1042

(9) Emwas, A.-H.; Luchinat, C.; Turano, P.; Tenori, L.; Roy, R.; Salek, 1043 R. M.; Ryan, D.; Merzaban, J. S.; Kaddurah-Daouk, R.; Zeri, A. C.; 1044 Gowda, G. A. N.; Raftery, D.; Wang, Y.; Brennan, L.; Wishart, D. S. 1045 Standardizing the experimental conditions for using urine in NMR- 1046 based metabolomic studies with a particular focus on diagnostic studies: 1047 a review. *Metabolomics* **2015**, *11* (4), 872–894. 1048

(10) Kind, T.; Fiehn, O. Advances in structure elucidation of small 1049 molecules using mass spectrometry. *Bioanal. Rev.* **2010**, 2(1-4), 23-60. 1050 (11) We the Level of the level

(11) Want, E. J.; Wilson, I. D.; Gika, H.; Theodoridis, G.; Plumb, R. S.; 1051 Shockcor, J.; Holmes, E.; Nicholson, J. K. Global metabolic profiling 1052 procedures for urine using UPLC-MS. *Nat. Protoc.* **2010**, *5* (6), 1005–1053 1018. 1054

(12) Theodoridis, G.; Gika, H. G.; Wilson, I. D. Mass Spectrometry- 1055 Based Holistic Analytical Approaches For Metabolite Profiling In 1056 Systems Biology Studies. *Mass Spectrom. Rev.* **2011**, *30* (5), 884–906. 1057

(13) Ramautar, R.; Nevedomskaya, E.; Mayboroda, O. A.; Deelder, A. 1058 M.; Wilson, I. D.; Gika, H. G.; Theodoridis, G. A.; Somsen, G. W.; de 1059 Jong, G. J. Metabolic profiling of human urine by CE-MS using a 1060 positively charged capillary coating and comparison with UPLC-MS. 1061 *Mol. BioSyst.* **2011**, 7 (1), 194–199. 1062

(14) Gika, H.; Theodoridis, G. Sample preparation prior to the LC- 1063 MS-based metabolomics/metabonomics of blood-derived samples. 1064 *Bioanalysis* 2011, 3 (14), 1647–1661. 1065

(15) Craig, A.; Cloarec, O.; Holmes, E.; Nicholson, J. K.; Lindon, J. C. 1066 Scaling and normalization effects in NMR spectroscopic metabonomic 1067 data sets. *Anal. Chem.* **2006**, 78 (7), 2262–2267. 1068

(16) Bylesjo, M.; Rantalainen, M.; Cloarec, O.; Nicholson, J. K.; 1069 Holmes, E.; Trygg, J. OPLS discriminant analysis: combining the 1070

1005

1015

1073 (17) Izquierdo-Garcia, J. L.; Villa, P.; Kyriazis, A.; del Puerto-Nevado, 1074 L.; Perez-Rial, S.; Rodriguez, I.; Hernandez, N.; Ruiz-Cabello, J. 1075 Descriptive review of current NMR-based metabolomic data analysis 1076 packages. *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.* **2011**, *59* (3), 263–270.

(18) Veselkov, K. A.; Vingara, L. K.; Masson, P.; Robinette, S. L.; Want,
E.; Li, J. V.; Barton, R. H.; Boursier-Neyret, C.; Walther, B.; Ebbels, T.
M.; Pelczer, I.; Holmes, E.; Lindon, J. C.; Nicholson, J. K. Optimized
Preprocessing of Ultra-Performance Liquid Chromatography/Mass
Spectrometry Urinary Metabolic Profiles for Improved Information
Recovery. Anal. Chem. 2011, 83 (15), 5864–5872.

(19) Veselkov, K. A.; Lindon, J. C.; Ebbels, T. M. D.; Crockford, D.; 1084 Volynkin, V. V.; Holmes, E.; Davies, D. B.; Nicholson, J. K. Recursive 1085 Segment-Wise Peak Alignment of Biological H-1 NMR Spectra for 1086 Improved Metabolic Biomarker Recovery. *Anal. Chem.* **2009**, *81* (1), 1087 56–66.

1088 (20) Robinette, S. L.; Lindon, J. C.; Nicholson, J. K. Statistical 1089 Spectroscopic Tools for Biomarker Discovery and Systems Medicine. 1090 *Anal. Chem.* **2013**, 85 (11), 5297–5303.

(21) Fonville, J. M.; Maher, A. D.; Coen, M.; Holmes, E.; Lindon, J. C.;
Nicholson, J. K. Evaluation of Full-Resolution J-Resolved H-1 NMR
Projections of Biofluids for Metabonomics Information Retrieval and
Biomarker Identification. *Anal. Chem.* 2010, *82* (5), 1811–1821.

(22) Viant, M. R.; Ludwig, C.; Gunther, U. L.; Griffiths, W. J. 1D and
2D NMR spectroscopy: From metabolic fingerprinting to profiling. In
Metabolomics, Metabonomics and Metabolite Profiling. *RSC Biomol. Sci.* 2007, 44–70.

1099 (23) Wishart, D. S. Advances in metabolite identification. *Bioanalysis* 1100 **2011**, 3 (15), 1769–1782.

(24) van der Hooft, J. J. J.; de Vos, R. C. H.; Ridder, L.; Vervoort, J.;
Bino, R. J. Structural elucidation of low abundant metabolites in complex
sample matrices. *Metabolomics* 2013, 9 (5), 1009–1018.

(25) Dona, A. C.; Kyriakides, M.; Scott, F.; Shephard, E. A.; Varshavi,
D.; Veselkov, K.; Everett, J. R. A guide to the identification of
metabolites in NMR-based metabonomics/metabolomics experiments. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* 2016, *14*, 135–153.

1108 (26) Watson, D. G. A rough guide to metabolite identification using 1109 high resolution liquid chromatography mass spectrometry in metab-1110 olomic profiling in metazoans. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* **2013**, *4*, 1– 1111 10.

1112 (27) Dunn, W. B.; Erban, A.; Weber, R. J. M.; Creek, D. J.; Brown, M.;

1113 Breitling, R.; Hankemeier, T.; Goodacre, R.; Neumann, S.; Kopka, J.; 1114 Viant, M. R. Mass appeal: metabolite identification in mass 1115 spectrometry-focused untargeted metabolomics. *Metabolomics* **2013**, 9 1116 (1), S44–S66.

1117 (28) Bingol, K.; Bruschweiler-Li, L.; Li, D.; Zhang, B.; Xie, M.; 1118 Brüschweiler, R. Emerging new strategies for successful metabolite 1119 identification in metabolomics. *Bioanalysis* **2016**, *8* (6), 557–573.

1120 (29) Bingol, K.; Bruschweiler-Li, L.; Li, D.-W.; Brueschweiler, R.

1121 Customized Metabolomics Database for the Analysis of NMR H-1-H-1 1122 TOCSY and C-13-H-1 HSQC-TOCSY Spectra of Complex Mixtures.

1123 Anal. Chem. 2014, 86 (11), 5494–5501.
(30) Bingol, K.; Li, D.-W.; Bruschweiler-Li, L.; Cabrera, O. A.; Megraw,

1125 T.; Zhang, F.; Brueschweiler, R. Unified and Isomer-Specific NMR

1126 Metabolomics Database for the Accurate Analysis of C-13-H-1 HSQC 1127 Spectra. ACS Chem. Biol. **2015**, 10 (2), 452–459.

(31) Kikuchi, J.; Tsuboi, Y.; Komatsu, K.; Gomi, M.; Chikayama, E.;
Date, Y. Spin Couple: Development of a Web Tool for Analyzing
Metabolite Mixtures via Two-Dimensional J-Resolved NMR Database.
Anal. Chem. 2016, 88 (1), 659–665.

(32) Ludwig, C.; Easton, J. M.; Lodi, A.; Tiziani, S.; Manzoor, S. E.; Southam, A. D.; Byrne, J. J.; Bishop, L. M.; He, S.; Arvanitis, T. N.; Guenther, U. L.; Viant, M. R. Birmingham Metabolite Library: a publicly accessible database of 1-D H-1 and 2-D H-1 J-resolved NMR spectra of

1136 authentic metabolite standards (BML-NMR). *Metabolomics* **2012**, 8 (1), 1137 8–18.

1138 (33) Bingol, K.; Brueschweiler, R. NMR/MS Translator for the 1139 Enhanced Simultaneous Analysis of Metabolomics Mixtures by NMR Spectroscopy and Mass Spectrometry: Application to Human Urine. J. 1140 Proteome Res. 2015, 14 (6), 2642–2648. 1141

(34) Baker, G.; Dorgan, R.; Everett, J.; Hood, J.; Poulton, M. A Novel 1142 Series of Milbemycin Antibiotics from Streptomyces Strain-E225.2. 1143 Isolation, Characterization, Structure Elucidation and Solution Conformations. J. Antibiot. **1990**, 43 (9), 1069–1076. 1145

(35) Fiehn, O.; Robertson, D.; Griffin, J.; van der Werf, M.; Nikolau, 1146
B.; Morrison, N.; Sumner, L. W.; Goodacre, R.; Hardy, N. W.; Taylor, 1147
C.; Fostel, J.; Kristal, B.; Kaddurah-Daouk, R.; Mendes, P.; van Ommen, 1148
B.; Lindon, J. C.; Sansone, S.-A. The metabolomics standards initiative 1149
(MSI). *Metabolomics* 2007, 3 (3), 175–178. 1150

(36) Sumner, L. W.; Amberg, A.; Barrett, D.; Beale, M. H.; Beger, R.; 1151 Daykin, C. A.; Fan, T. W. M.; Fiehn, O.; Goodacre, R.; Griffin, J. L.; 1152 Hankemeier, T.; Hardy, N.; Harnly, J.; Higashi, R.; Kopka, J.; Lane, A. 1153 N.; Lindon, J. C.; Marriott, P.; Nicholls, A. W.; Reily, M. D.; Thaden, J. 1154 J.; Viant, M. R. Proposed minimum reporting standards for chemical 1155 analysis. *Metabolomics* **2007**, 3 (3), 211–221. 1156

(37) Wishart, D. S.; Jewison, T.; Guo, A. C.; Wilson, M.; Knox, C.; Liu, 1157 Y.; Djoumbou, Y.; Mandal, R.; Aziat, F.; Dong, E.; Bouatra, S.; 1158 Sinelnikov, I.; Arndt, D.; Xia, J.; Liu, P.; Yallou, F.; Bjorndahl, T.; Perez- 1159 Pineiro, R.; Eisner, R.; Allen, F.; Neveu, V.; Greiner, R.; Scalbert, A. 1160 HMDB 3.0-The Human Metabolome Database in 2013. *Nucleic Acids* 1161 *Res.* **2013**, *41* (D1), D801–D807. 1162

(38) Ulrich, E. L.; Akutsu, H.; Doreleijers, J. F.; Harano, Y.; Ioannidis, 1163 Y. E.; Lin, J.; Livny, M.; Mading, S.; Maziuk, D.; Miller, Z.; Nakatani, E.; 1164 Schulte, C. F.; Tolmie, D. E.; Wenger, R. K.; Yao, H.; Markley, J. L. 1165 BioMagResBank. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2007**, *36*, D402–D408. 1166

(39) Salek, R. M.; Steinbeck, C.; Viant, M. R.; Goodacre, R.; Dunn, W. 1167 B. The role of reporting standards for metabolite annotation and 1168 identification in metabolomic studies. *GigaScience* **2013**, *2* (1), 13–13. 1169 (40) Jeon, J.; Kurth, D.; Hollender, J. Biotransformation Pathways of 1170

Biocides and Pharmaceuticals in Freshwater Crustaceans Based on 1171 Structure Elucidation of Metabolites Using High Resolution Mass 1172 Spectrometry. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **2013**, *26* (3), 313–324. 1173

(41) Creek, D. J.; Dunn, W. B.; Fiehn, O.; Griffin, J. L.; Hall, R. D.; Lei, 1174 Z.; Mistrik, R.; Neumann, S.; Schymanski, E. L.; Sumner, L. W.; 1175 Trengove, R.; Wolfender, J.-L. Metabolite identification: are you sure? 1176 And how do your peers gauge your confidence? *Metabolomics* **2014**, *10* 1177 (3), 350–353. 1178

(42) Sumner, L.; Lei, Z.; Nikolau, B.; Saito, K.; Roessner, U.; Trengove, 1179 R. Proposed quantitative and alphanumeric metabolite identification 1180 metrics. *Metabolomics* **2014**, *10* (6), 1047–1049. 1181

(43) Schymanski, E. L.; Jeon, J.; Gulde, R.; Fenner, K.; Ruff, M.; Singer, 1182
H. P.; Hollender, J. Identifying Small Molecules via High Resolution 1183
Mass Spectrometry: Communicating Confidence. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1184
2014, 48 (4), 2097–2098. 1185

(44) Daly, R.; Rogers, S.; Wandy, J.; Jankevics, A.; Burgess, K. E. V.; 1186 Breitling, R. MetAssign: probabilistic annotation of metabolites from 1187 LC-MS data using a Bayesian clustering approach. *Bioinformatics* **2014**, 1188 30 (19), 2764–2771. 1189

(45) Everett, J. R. A new paradigm for known metabolite identification 1190 in metabonomics/metabolomics: metabolite identification efficiency. 1191 *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* **2015**, *13*, 131–44. 1192

(46) Hopkins, A. L.; Groom, C. R.; Alex, A. Ligand efficiency: a useful 1193 metric for lead selection. *Drug Discovery Today* **2004**, 9 (10), 430–431. 1194 (47) Bemis, G. W.; Murcko, M. A. The properties of known drugs 0.1. 1195

Molecular frameworks. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39 (15), 2887–2893. 1196

(48) Chen, H.; Engkvist, O.; Blomberg, N.; Li, J. A comparative 1197 analysis of the molecular topologies for drugs, clinical candidates, natural 1198 products, human metabolites and general bioactive compounds. 1199 *MedChemComm* **2012**, *3* (3), 312–321. 1200

(49) Malagon, S. G. G.; Melidoni, A. N.; Hernandez, D.; Omar, B. A.; 1201 Houseman, L.; Veeravalli, S.; Scott, F.; Varshavi, D.; Everett, J.; 1202 Tsuchiya, Y.; Timms, J. F.; Phillips, I. R.; Shephard, E. A. The phenotype 1203 of a knockout mouse identifies flavin-containing monooxygenase 5 1204 (FMO5) as a regulator of metabolic ageing. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2015**, 1205 96 (3), 267–277. 1206 1210 (51) Klein, D. R. Organic chemistry. John Wiley: Hoboken, N.J., 2012. 1211 (52) Claridge, T. High-Resolution NMR Techniques in Organic

1211 (32) Chandge, 1. High-Resolution Wirk Techniques 1212 Chemistry, 2nd ed.; Elsevier: Oxford, U.K., 2009.

1213 (53) Keun, H. C.; Ebbels, T. M. D.; Antti, H.; Bollard, M. E.;

1214 Beckonert, O.; Schlotterbeck, G.; Senn, H.; Niederhauser, U.; Holmes,

1215 E.; Lindon, J. C.; Nicholson, J. K. Analytical reproducibility in H-1 1216 NMR-based metabonomic urinalysis. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **2002**, *15* (11), 1217 1380–1386.

1218 (54) Barton, R. H.; Nicholson, J. K.; Elliott, P.; Holmes, E. High-1219 throughput 1H NMR-based metabolic analysis of human serum and 1220 urine for large-scale epidemiological studies: validation study. *Int. J.* 1221 *Epidemiol.* **2008**, 37 (Suppl1), i31–40.

1222 (55) Schreier, C.; Kremer, W.; Huber, F.; Neumann, S.; Pagel, P.; 1223 Lienemann, K.; Pestel, S. Reproducibility of NMR Analysis of Urine 1224 Samples: Impact of Sample Preparation, Storage Conditions, and 1225 Animal Health Status. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2013**, *2013*, 1–19.

1226 (56) Martin, J. C.; Maillot, M.; Mazerolles, G.; Verdu, A.; Lyan, B.;

1227 Migné, C.; Defoort, C.; Canlet, C.; Junot, C.; Guillou, C.; Manach, C.; 1228 Jabob, D.; Bouveresse, D. J.; Paris, E.; Pujos-Guillot, E.; Jourdan, F.;

1229 Giacomoni, F.; Courant, F.; Favé, G.; Le Gall, G.; Chassaigne, H.; Tabet,

1230 J. C.; Martin, J. F.; Antignac, J. P.; Shintu, L.; Defernez, M.; Philo, M.;

1231 Alexandre-Gouaubau, M. C.; Amiot-Carlin, M. J.; Bossis, M.; Triba, M.

1232 N.; Stojilkovic, N.; Banzet, N.; Molinié, R.; Bott, R.; Goulitquer, S.;

1233 Caldarelli, S.; Rutledge, D. N. Can we trust untargeted metabolomics?

1234 Results of the metabo-ring initiative, a large-scale, multi-instrument

1235 inter-laboratory study. *Metabolomics* **2015**, *11* (4), 807-821.