

This is the Author's Accepted Manuscript version, uploaded in accordance with the publisher's policy on Open Access. Please note: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in BULLETIN OF ENTOMOLOGICAL RESEARCH. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as editing, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. The definitive version is available at:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0007485315000346>

1 Responses to colour and host odour cues in three cereal pest species, in the 2 context of ecology and control

3

4 Sarah E. J. Arnold^{1*}, Philip C. Stevenson^{1,2}, Steven R. Belmain¹

5 ¹Natural Resources Institute, University of Greenwich, Chatham Maritime, Kent, ME4 4TB, UK

6 ²Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 3AB, UK

7

8 *Author for correspondence: s.e.j.arnold@greenwich.ac.uk

9 Natural Resources Institute, University of Greenwich, Chatham Maritime, Kent, ME4 4TB, UK

10 Phone: +441634 883714

11 Fax: +441634 883998

12

13 Running head: Odour and colour preferences in cereal pests

14

15 Abstract

16

17 Many insects show a greater attraction to multimodal cues, e.g. odour and colour combined,
18 than to either cue alone. Despite the potential to apply the knowledge to improve control
19 strategies, studies of multiple stimuli have not been undertaken for stored product pest insects.

20 We tested orientation towards a food odour (crushed white maize) in combination with a colour
21 cue (coloured paper with different surface spectral reflectance properties) in three storage pest
22 beetle species, using motion tracking to monitor their behaviour. While the maize weevil,
23 *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motsch.), showed attraction to both odour and colour stimuli, particularly to
24 both cues in combination, this was not observed in the bostrichid pests *Rhyzopertha dominica*
25 (F.) (lesser grain borer) or *Prostephanus truncatus* (Horn) (larger grain borer). The yellow

26 stimulus was particularly attractive to *S. zeamais*, and control experiments showed that this was
27 neither a result of the insects moving towards darker-coloured areas of the arena, nor their being
28 repelled by optical brighteners in white paper. Visual stimuli may play a role in location of host
29 material by *S. zeamais*, and can be used to inform trap design for the control or monitoring of
30 maize weevils. The lack of visual responses by the two grain borers is likely to relate to their
31 different host seeking behaviours and ecological background, which should be taken into
32 account when devising control methods.

33

34 Keywords: colour vision, insect orientation, olfaction, host odours, stored product pest,
35 olfactometer

36

37

38

39 **Introduction**

40

41 Monitoring traps **to aid in control of** coleopteran storage pests have been developed for both
42 commercial use in large scale industry in developed countries and for small-scale use amongst
43 subsistence farmers in developing countries (Barak & Burkholder 1985; Collins & Chambers
44 2003; Ukeh, Udo & Ogban 2008; Campbell 2012). Conversely, mass-trapping of these pests has
45 been overlooked and underutilized as a pest management tool. Effective mass-trapping requires
46 an understanding of how insects orient towards different stimuli.

47

48 Many current trap designs for monitoring insects typically use pheromones or kairomones and
49 rely on olfaction (Likhayo & Hodges 2000; Hodges *et al.* 2004; Torr, Mangwiro & Hall 2006).
50 Consequently, many studies of insect agricultural pests and vectors focus on chemo-orientation
51 behaviour. This is particularly the case in stored product pests. However, colour vision is
52 virtually universal in insects (Briscoe & Chittka 2001) and plays a role in host location in many
53 species. Neglecting visual responses when designing traps and control strategies presupposes
54 that vision plays no significant part in orientation - or that the resolution of the eyes in tiny
55 insects is too poor for visual cues to be relevant at anything other than close range. However,
56 work on species such as aphids (Kennedy, Booth & Kershaw 1961; Döring & Chittka 2007),
57 tsetse (Green & Cosens 1983; Green 1986; Lindh *et al.* 2012) and Colorado beetles (Otálora-
58 Luna & Dickens 2011) shows the value of taking multiple stimuli into account.

59

60 Colour vision in some insect species has been extensively studied, especially pollinators (Lunau
61 & Maier 1995; Chittka & Raine 2006), for whom colour is a cue for a specific flower's location,
62 and *Drosophila melanogaster* (Meigen), where the well-characterized genome has enabled
63 exploration of the genetic and developmental basis of colour vision (Morante & Desplan 2008).
64 However, for most insects, particularly those of economic or agricultural significance, colour
65 vision studies are limited to outcome-driven experiments focusing only on relative responses to
66 (often unquantified) colours without consideration of causative physiological or behavioural
67 mechanisms, as has been highlighted by Döring & Chittka (2007). Although this can lead to
68 methods of control, e.g. by sticky traps, it can prove difficult to replicate or refine the
69 effectiveness of a trap – a problem that emerged in control of tsetse, for example, when
70 phthalogen blue dye became unfavourable for safety reasons (Lindh *et al.* 2012). Design of
71 experiments should take into account existing knowledge of insect colour vision, as this can be
72 used to refine control strategies (Lindh *et al.* 2012). Quantification of visual stimuli using a

73 spectrophotometer provides spectral reflectance curves for coloured surfaces, which facilitates
74 investigation of underlying mechanisms of colour-mediated behaviours (Döring *et al.* 2012).

75
76 Various Coleoptera are reported to have colour vision (Briscoe & Chittka 2001), including
77 tested species in the Glaphyridae (Martínez-Harms *et al.* 2012), Scarabaeidae, Carabidae,
78 Coccinellidae and Chrysomelidae such as the pest species *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Say)
79 (Colorado beetle) (Döring & Skorupski 2007; Otálora-Luna & Dickens 2011). It is probable that
80 this is also the case for storage pest beetles, including in *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motsch.), the maize
81 weevil, and Bostrichidae such as *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) and *Prostephanus truncatus* (Horn)
82 (lesser and larger grain borers). In these cases, combining colour cues with attractive odour cues
83 could enhance the effectiveness of storage pest traps. Past studies of these Coleoptera have most
84 often indicated the presence of three or four photoreceptor types, typically with UV-, blue-,
85 green- and red-sensitivity in the four-receptor species (Briscoe & Chittka 2001).

86
87 Food odours (wheat, maize, etc.) enhance attraction to pheromones in many species, so have
88 potential as low-cost attractants in traps (Likhayo & Hodges 2000; Bashir *et al.* 2001); but while
89 this may work well for *S. zeamais* (Ukeh *et al.* 2010), the evidence for the *R. dominica* and *P.*
90 *truncatus* responses to host odours is less clear (Fadamiro, Gudrups & Hodges 1998; Ukeh &
91 Umoetok 2007; Nguyen, Hodges & Belmain 2008). It is likely that for bostrichids, food is
92 discovered by chance by a few individuals, which then release aggregation pheromones to
93 attract conspecifics. Attraction to host odours may consequently play little or no role. It is also
94 virtually unknown whether, despite their small eyes, visual attraction may be more important in
95 these insects than previously assumed, and little work has been carried out to test this (Reza &
96 Parween 2006).

97
98 In the present study, we used a four-arm olfactometer to test the orientation of three pest beetle
99 species (*S. zeamais*, *P. truncatus*, *R. dominica*) towards host odours (from crushed white maize,
100 a common staple in African countries which suffers severe losses to beetle pests) and colour
101 cues (blue, yellow and green), with options to approach the cues singly (odour or colour) or in
102 combination (odour *and* colour), in order to evaluate their role in host orientation in these
103 species.

104

105 **Methods**

106

107 **Insect cultures**

108 Cultures of *S. zeamais* and *P. truncatus* from Malawi and *R. dominica* from Kenya were
109 maintained in Kilner jars on 500 g organic wheat (*S. zeamais*, *R. dominica*) or 800 g yellow
110 maize (*P. truncatus*), on a 14:10 light/dark cycle at 25°C and 60% rh (Jayasekara *et al.* 2005).
111 For each generation, we removed a minimum of 100 mixed-sex adults to fresh medium for
112 reculturing. For experiments, a subsample of the host material (derived from these multiple
113 parents) was removed from the jar and kept in a plastic container (*S. zeamais*, *R. dominica*) or
114 screw-topped Kilner jar (*P. truncatus*), and newly-emerged adults were removed daily, ensuring
115 that the experimental individuals were of known age (ranging from 24 hours to 6 weeks). As
116 experiments took place over several weeks for each replicate, it is unlikely that experimental
117 individuals were closely related. After removal, we kept insects in temporary holding containers
118 without food for at least 3 hours before experiments began in order to ensure motivation to
119 orient towards food. Preliminary studies indicated that the precise period of food deprivation did
120 not affect the response to host odours significantly in weevils or bostrichids.

121
122 Before an experiment, we sexed adults of *P. truncatus* and *S. zeamais* (using Shires and
123 McCarthy (1976) and Dobie *et al.* (1991), respectively) so that this could be included in
124 statistics as an explanatory variable. Determination of the sex of *R. dominica* individuals non-
125 destructively is impractical, so unsexed individuals were used. Tests took place at $26 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ and
126 ambient humidity (typically 30-55%).

127

128 **Olfactometry**

129 Insects were tested between 09:00 and 18:30 in a separate room to the insect culture room, using
130 a four-arm olfactometer according to a similar paradigm to Arnold, Stevenson and Belmain
131 (2012) (Fig. 1). A four-arm olfactometer allows simultaneous presentation of one or more
132 odours, alongside areas without these odours, but also permits presentation of coloured stimuli
133 to an insect by placing coloured surfaces on sections of the floor of the olfactometer arena. The
134 setup also permits motion tracking via a camera, so insects' behaviour over a period of time can
135 be monitored rather than merely recording their first decision. In this apparatus, each arm
136 (quadrant) was attached to a gas-washing bottle; air was drawn out of the olfactometer and
137 consequently through each arm, with each airstream being filtered over a charcoal filter (Agilent
138 Technologies, Wokingham, Berks, UK) to remove environmental odours, and then drawn
139 through a gas-washing bottle (empty or containing an odour stimulus) before it entered the
140 arena. Components were connected with 0.6 mm \varnothing tubing (Tygon, Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis,

141 MO, USA). The arena was continuously video-recorded during the 10-minute monitoring period
142 for each insect, using a monochromatic camera connected to a desktop computer running
143 EthoVision 3.1 (Noldus, Spink & Tegelenbosch 2001). This is a motion-tracking software
144 application which can automatically calculate the percentage of time a beetle spends in each
145 quadrant of the arena. Lighting for experiments was provided by high-lux plant growth lamps
146 (irradiance in centre of room: $25.0 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$; directly beneath camera: $6.5 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$).

147
148 We presented both odour and colour stimuli simultaneously. In each of two of the gas washing
149 bottles there was 50 g of roughly crushed white maize bought commercially in Malawi (created
150 by placing whole grains in a clean plastic bag and then crushing using a hammer for three
151 minutes per 100 g sample). Maize was found to be the most attractive grain to *S. zeamais*
152 according to Trematerra *et al.* (2013), regardless of rearing medium, and therefore we tested
153 how responses to this might be modified in the presence of a different mode of stimulus. The
154 other two bottles in our experiment remained empty. Glassware items were washed with 70%
155 ethanol and allowed to dry if their contents were changed. Ukeh *et al.* (2012) identified that the
156 major odour components of maize eliciting positive responses from *S. zeamais* are hexanal, (E)-
157 2-heptenal, and octanal, and that when presented in a 3-odour blend, this combination is
158 attractive to this species. In all experiments, one arm of the arena had both colour and odour
159 cues present, one had colour alone, one odour alone, and one had neither the colour cue nor the
160 maize odour cue present. Air was drawn through the olfactometer at 800 ml/min and arms were
161 calibrated to ensure that airflow through each of the four arms was equal.

162
163 The colour stimuli were created by placing coloured paper on the floor of the arena, to cover
164 half the total arena surface (two adjacent quadrants); the other half was covered by plain white
165 paper (which may also have a distinct colouration to insect eyes), and both paper types were
166 then covered by a layer of UV-transparent clear plastic, ensuring that the walking surface was
167 consistent in texture and odour, regardless of the colour. Interior surfaces of the olfactometer,
168 including the floor, were cleaned down with industrial methylated spirits (IMS) after each insect
169 was tested, to minimize contamination of surfaces with insect odours.

170
171 We tested three different colours, appearing yellow, blue and green to human perception
172 (datasets involving each colour are henceforth referred to as “yellow trial”, “blue trial”, etc.).
173 The blue stimulus, with highest reflectance in the blue (400-500 nm) region generally and a
174 reflectance maximum at 490 nm represents a short-wavelength dominated stimulus of low

175 importance to the insects' ecology (and therefore is predicted to be of low attractiveness). The
176 green stimulus, with a maximum at 530 nm, corresponds to medium-wavelength dominance and
177 could be considered representative of fresh vegetation. Finally, the yellow stimulus, peaking at
178 590 nm, is a long-wavelength dominated stimulus and corresponds broadly to the colour of
179 cereals such as ripe wheat and maize and therefore is most ecologically-relevant. Spectral
180 reflectance curves for the coloured papers used are shown in Fig 2. We measured these using a
181 procedure as in Chittka & Kevan (2005) using an Avantes AvaSpec-2048 spectrophotometer
182 and an AvaLight-DH-S-BAL Deuterium-Halogen light source, calibrated relative to a BaSO₄
183 white standard (Avantes WS-2), using a fine probe (FCR-7UV200-2-1.5 x 100) at 45° to the
184 stimulus surface. The overall irradiance of the three colour stimuli was similar (relative to
185 BaSO₄ white standard: blue 94%, green 75%, yellow 95%), so a response to irradiance alone
186 should result in similar observed behaviour of the insects in the presence of all three colours, but
187 particularly blue and yellow. HSV (hue, saturation, brightness value) figures are provided in
188 Table 1 for the three colour paper types, indicative of their appearance to human eyes.

189
190 We food-deprived insects by keeping them in a container with no food present for between 3
191 and 48 hours (*S. zeamais*) or between 3 and 24 hours (*R. dominica*, *P. truncatus*, as these insects
192 show rapid decreases in motility if starved for more than 24 hours (Nguyen 2008)) to ensure that
193 they were motivated to seek food. We only tested insects that were active and showing no
194 difficulty walking. We tested 30 adults of *S. zeamais* in the yellow trial, 34 in the blue trial and
195 30 in the green trial. We tested 75 adults of *P. truncatus* and 75 of *R. dominica* in total, 25 per
196 species in each colour trial. We recorded each insect only once, and pseudo-randomized the
197 arrangement of odour and colour arms for each insect so that the odours and colours were never
198 consistently associated with any particular quadrant of the arena (one arm always had both cues,
199 one always had neither, and the other two had either odour *or* colour).

200
201 Because beetles may prefer darker areas of the arena rather than specifically the colour, or may
202 find the optical brighteners in white paper to be deterrent, we controlled for these possibilities.
203 We tested 15 insects of *S. zeamais* with a choice between white paper and grey paper (the same
204 white paper, but printed in uniform grey using a standard desktop printer) (spectrum in Fig. 2b),
205 in which the chromaticity of the paper was not altered but the paper reflected less light overall
206 (peak reflectance only 36.6% of the white paper peak). Secondly, we tested 15 further *S.*
207 *zeamais* with the white paper replaced by cream paper (spectrum in Fig. 2a) that still reflected
208 all wavelengths to some extent but lacked optical brighteners and did not fluoresce, versus

209 yellow paper as previously, to see whether the preference for yellow paper persisted or if it
210 ceased when the other stimulus lacked optical brighteners.

211

212 **Data analysis**

213 We performed Friedman, Wilcoxon and Generalized Linear Model (GLM) tests in SPSS (SPSS
214 Statistics 19, SPSS Inc., IBM, Chicago, IL, USA). These were performed to investigate both
215 whether insects showed a preference for the coloured *or* the maize-odour areas of the arena
216 (50% of the total arena in each case), but also to see whether there was maximal preference for
217 the multimodal quadrant of the arena containing both colour *and* maize odour. **The effect of age
218 and/or sex on preferences was also tested** by including them as variables (age in hours, sex as a
219 categorical variable) in a GLM analysis, **which was performed using a quasibinomial
220 distribution with a logit link to analyse decisions about quadrants chosen.**

221

222 **Results**

223

224 *Sitophilus zeamais*

225 Overall, beetles preferred the quadrants with the odour of maize present (55.7% of time spent
226 there; Wilcoxon test, odour $Z = 1.958$, $p = 0.050$) to quadrants without odour. They also tended
227 to prefer the coloured quadrants to white quadrants, spending 56.1% of their time in the two
228 coloured areas (Wilcoxon test, colour: $Z = 1.938$, $p = 0.053$). There were significant differences
229 between the time spent in the four arena quadrants, and beetles preferred the “multimodal”
230 quadrant containing both an odour and a colour cue over the other three quadrants (Friedman
231 test, $\chi^2 = 7.910$, $p = 0.048$) (Fig. 3).

232

233 Focusing on the individual colours tested, in the yellow trial insects significantly preferred the
234 odour of maize (66.0% of time spent in either maize alone or yellow + maize quadrants,
235 Wilcoxon test, $Z = 3.211$, $p = 0.001$). There were significant differences between the time spent
236 in the four arena quadrants, and the quadrant with both the yellow colour cue and the maize
237 odour cue was most favoured (36.6% of time spent there, Friedman test, $\chi^2 = 16.861$, $p = 0.001$).

238

239 Although insects in the blue trial spent more time in the presence of colour (56.7% of time in the
240 two coloured quadrants) and maize odour (56.4% of time) than away from the two types of
241 stimuli, these preferences were not significant (Wilcoxon test, colour: $Z = 1.188$, $p = 0.235$;
242 odour: $Z = 1.222$, $p = 0.222$). During the blue trial, *S. zeamais* individuals spent most time in the

243 multimodal quadrant containing both blue colour and maize odour, but the preference for this
 244 quadrant was not significant (Friedman test, $\chi^2 = 1.735$, $p = 0.629$).

245
 246 In the green trial, insects spent 60.0% of their time overall in one of the green-coloured
 247 quadrants (Wilcoxon test, $Z = 1.643$, $p = 0.110$), but they did not differentiate between green
 248 colour with an odour cue and green without. The green + maize quadrant was not significantly
 249 more attractive than any of the others (Friedman test, $\chi^2 = 3.075$, $p = 0.380$). It appears that the
 250 presence of both odour and colour in an area is, in general, attractive to *S. zeamais*. Yellow
 251 colour, in particular, interacted with food odours to enhance attractiveness of an area to this
 252 species.

253
 254 There was a significant interactive effect of colour and sex on both preference for colour overall
 255 and preference for the multimodal quadrant, with females showing a stronger preference for
 256 green and yellow, especially the yellow multimodal quadrant, while males preferred blue more
 257 strongly overall and the multimodal quadrant when it was blue (Generalized Linear Model
 258 (GLM), colour: $F_2 = 4.555$, $p = 0.013$, multimodal: $F_2 = 3.395$, $p = 0.038$). Age did not affect
 259 attraction to colour in general (GLM, $F_1 = 0.210$, $p = 0.648$), to the multimodal quadrant ($F_1 =$
 260 0.411 , $p = 0.523$) or to maize odours ($F_1 = 0.094$, $p = 0.760$).

261
 262 ***Rhyzopertha dominica***

263 *R. dominica* adults did not prefer quadrants with maize odour over those without (blue: $Z =$
 264 0.441 , $p = 0.659$, yellow: $Z = 1.546$, $p = 0.122$, green: $Z = 0.405$, $p = 0.685$). They also did not
 265 exhibit a preference for any of the colours tested (blue: $Z = 0.087$, $p = 0.931$, yellow: $Z = 1.180$,
 266 $p = 0.238$, green: $Z = 0.789$, $p = 0.430$) (Fig. 4). Furthermore, the multimodal quadrant of the
 267 olfactometer, containing both visual and odour cues, was not favoured: beetles spent only
 268 23.6%, 20.0% and 26.2% of their time in the colour + maize quadrant in the blue, yellow and
 269 green tests respectively (Friedman test, blue: $\chi^2 = 0.182$, $p = 0.980$, yellow: $\chi^2 = 3.248$, $p =$
 270 0.355 , green: $\chi^2 = 0.217$, $p = 0.975$). Beetles of differing ages did not respond differently to the
 271 presence of colour in a quadrant (GLM, $t_{56} = 1.373$, $p = 0.184$), odour presence (GLM, $t_{47} =$
 272 1.297 , $p = 0.201$) or to the multimodal quadrant (GLM, $t_{57} = 1.313$, $p = 0.195$).

273
 274 ***Prostephanus truncatus***

275 *P. truncatus* adults similarly showed no preference for the areas of maize odour (Wilcoxon test,
 276 blue: $Z = 0.637$, $p = 0.524$, yellow: $Z = 0.629$, $p = 0.530$, green: $Z = 0.698$, $p = 0.485$). They also

277 showed no preference for coloured quadrants over uncoloured ones (Wilcoxon test: blue: $Z =$
 278 0.968 , $p = 0.333$, yellow: $Z = 0.448$, $p = 0.654$, green: $Z = 0.542$, $p = 0.588$) (Fig. 5). The
 279 multimodal quadrant of the olfactometer with both odour and colour cues was not favoured over
 280 any of the other three quadrants, with beetles spending only 11.4%, 33.8% and 30.7% of their
 281 time in this quadrant in the blue, yellow and green trials respectively (Friedman test, blue: $\chi^2 =$
 282 3.028 , $p = 0.387$, yellow: $\chi^2 = 2.350$, $p = 0.503$ green: $\chi^2 = 0.167$, $p = 0.983$).

283
 284 No sex or age differences were observed: females and males responded similarly to the colour
 285 and odour quadrants compared to quadrants lacking stimuli (GLM, multimodal: $t_{64} = 1.034$, $p =$
 286 0.305 ; colour: $t_{60} = 1.961$, $p = 0.055$; odour: $t_{57} = 0.277$, $p = 0.783$). Likewise, individuals of
 287 different ages did not show different responses to the presence of colour in a quadrant (GLM, t_{60}
 288 $= 1.177$, $p = 0.244$), host odours (GLM, $t_{57} = 0.567$, $p = 0.573$) or to the multimodal quadrant
 289 with maize and a colour cue presented together (GLM, $t_{64} = 0.763$, $p = 0.449$).

290

291 **Controls**

292 Results for the control tests are shown in Fig. 6. *S. zeamais* adults tested ($N = 27$) using the
 293 control paradigm were not significantly different in their preference for coloured versus
 294 “uncoloured” (white/cream) quadrants compared with those in the original yellow test (GLM, F_1
 295 $= 2.534$, $p = 0.357$). This indicates that the yellow preference could not be accounted for by
 296 simple repellent effects of optical brighteners as behaviour did not change when they were
 297 removed from the experimental setup. In the test of preference for white against grey paper, they
 298 showed no significant preference for either condition (Wilcoxon test, $Z = 0.369$, $p = 0.712$),
 299 indicating that the insects do not simply orient towards the darker area of the arena.

300

301 **Discussion and Conclusions**

302

303 The majority of insects are believed to have some degree of functional colour vision (Briscoe &
 304 Chittka 2001). In beetles, this is often found to be tri- or even tetrachromatic (based on three or
 305 four different photoreceptor types), leading to good colour discrimination from UV to
 306 orange/red parts of the spectrum. This is especially important for pollinating beetles such as
 307 *Pygopleurus israelitus* (Muche) and South African monkey beetles that recognize brightly
 308 coloured flowers by sight (Johnson & Midgley 2001; Van Kleunen *et al.* 2007; Martínez-Harms
 309 *et al.* 2012), but the ability to discriminate visual cues using colour information is also relevant
 310 for many phytophagous insects such as the Colorado beetle *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Döring

311 & Skorupski 2007) and pollen beetle (Döring *et al.* 2012). While storage pest beetles are often
312 crepuscular or nocturnal and spend significant periods in low or no light conditions, host
313 location is still required for population dispersal. Characterizing the importance of cues used in
314 host location is essential to devising informed control strategies.

315
316 Previous work has drawn equivocal conclusions about how *P. truncatus* and *R. dominica* orient
317 towards food. Whilst some studies have reported positive attraction in these beetle species
318 towards host (cereal) odours (Bashir *et al.* 2001; Edde & Phillips 2006), others have not
319 (Crombie 1941; Dowdy *et al.* 1993; Fadamiro, Gudrups & Hodges 1998; Nguyen, Hodges &
320 Belmain 2008). However, Edde & Phillips (2006) recorded the attraction across very small
321 distances (less than 5 cm) (Edde & Phillips 2006), and the experiment took place in an enclosed
322 petri dish in still air. This has the potential to saturate the environment with host odours and any
323 orientation might occur only at close range. As other studies such as Nguyen *et al.* (2008) and
324 Fadamiro *et al.* (1998) do not provide any evidence of this orientation in these species
325 (Fadamiro, Gudrups & Hodges 1998; Nguyen, Hodges & Belmain 2008), it is instead possible
326 that the insects only responded to high levels of volatiles, higher than what might be
327 encountered in nature. As we were unable to find evidence of any orientation to cereal odours in
328 these two bostrichids, our work supports the view that *R. dominica* and *P. truncatus* will most
329 likely encounter host food entirely by chance rather than depend upon host odour mediated
330 orientation. Recruitment via aggregation pheromones (Dowdy *et al.* 1993; Fadamiro, Gudrups &
331 Hodges 1998; Bashir *et al.* 2001) as the major method of host location rather than orientation to
332 host odours is also typical behaviour in some other wood boring beetles (Schlyter *et al.* 1987;
333 Raffa, Phillips & Salom 1993); however, the situation can grow more complex in some species
334 where there are interactive effects with sex pheromones, heterospecific odour signals and host
335 kairomones, whereas other bark beetle species rely primarily on visual cues for initial
336 approaches to host material (Raffa, Phillips & Salom 1993). Conversely, we were able to detect
337 preference for maize odours in *S. zeamais*, which is in agreement with other studies (Ukeh *et al.*
338 2010; Ukeh *et al.* 2012); thus, our experimental protocol was able to detect an orientation effect
339 when present. This provides a useful comparative view, showing that *S. zeamais* uses host cues
340 to aid in food location whilst the bostrichids *R. dominica* and *P. truncatus* do not. Unlike
341 Trematerra *et al.* (2013), we did not find a difference between males and females in their
342 responses to maize odours but this could be attributed to a difference in the strain of insect, or
343 precise volatile composition of the maize varieties used. Further research to understand this
344 better is indicated.

345
346 We add to the findings on odour mediated behaviours the novel result that *P. truncatus* and *R.*
347 *dominica* are also not chromotactic: we found no evidence of colour-mediated host-finding in
348 these species, further supporting the above hypothesis that *P. truncatus* and *R. dominica* locate
349 food by chance and then attract conspecifics with aggregation pheromone. We argue that it is
350 precisely this evolutionary heritage of random host finding and reliance on aggregation
351 pheromone, that best explains why *P. truncatus* and also *R. dominica* are seemingly unaffected
352 by any host odours or colour cues.

353
354 We show that the response of *S. zeamais* to host odour was enhanced by the presence of some
355 colour stimuli. *S. zeamais* orientated towards quadrants containing any colour stimuli in
356 preference to white paper. These results could not be accounted for either by a negative
357 phototactic response causing orientation towards darker areas of the arena, or a repellency effect
358 of optical brighteners found in commercially-available printer paper, suggesting that the
359 chromatic composition of the stimuli were the cause of the observed results. The weevils were
360 most responsive to yellow (in this case, yellow including UV reflectance (Fig. 2)). This may
361 resemble the colour of ripe grain (wheat, maize) and, therefore, have adaptive significance, or
362 could be a function of the neurophysiology, as is the case with aphids' probing responses when
363 moving between differently-coloured areas (Döring & Chittka 2007). Pollen beetles exhibit a
364 similar preference for yellow stimuli as a result of their green-blue colour opponency (Döring *et al.*
365 *et al.* 2012). Whether or not this preference extends to UV-absorbing yellow shades, which can be
366 easily discriminated from UV-reflecting yellow in other insects previously tested (Menzel *et al.*
367 1988; Menzel *et al.* 1989; Dyer & Chittka 2004; Döring & Skorupski 2007; Döring *et al.* 2012)
368 needs to be investigated.

369
370 *S. zeamais* showed differences between males and females in the strength of colour preferences,
371 unlike the two bostrichid species. It is not possible to determine from the current data whether
372 this is a difference in physiological capability (e.g. males having different photoreceptors) or
373 processing (females and males perceiving the same cues but responding differently to them). It
374 may be that females are better-adapted for locating food, favouring responses to yellow and
375 green cues, while males are better-adapted for finding mates and a blue preference aids
376 dispersal.

377

378 The results of this study show that colour cues are detected by *S. zeamais* and influence their
379 behaviour. This has significant implications for the development of novel control **or,**
380 **particularly,** monitoring strategies and also for experimental procedures on storage pests. If
381 visual stimuli can affect the responses of insects, this must be controlled for in all experiments
382 investigating other factors. Equally, when investigating control strategies such as insecticide-
383 treated bags or pheromone lures, the effect of colour should be considered.

384
385 The use of yellow material may serve to enhance the effectiveness of mass-traps **for monitoring**
386 **(or perhaps, but less importantly, direct control)** of *S. zeamais* in cereal stores. **There is still**
387 **considerable scope to refine and develop monitoring traps for specific storage pests, and this**
388 **species' responses to odour and colour cues makes it particularly amenable to such methods.**
389 **Odour and colour cues could potentially** be incorporated in low-cost traps for deployment in
390 poor rural areas in developing countries. Similarly, avoiding attractive colours of materials for
391 grain storage facilities and equipment, e.g. promoting blue or white bags over yellow ones, may
392 offer additional protection for stores. Focus now should be on optimizing the combination of
393 colour and odour, possibly also including pheromone lures, to obtain the most attractive
394 assemblage of cues.

395

396 **Acknowledgements**

397

398 This work was supported by a grant from the University of Greenwich Higher Education
399 Funding Council for England fund and the European Union's African-Caribbean-Pacific funded
400 ADAPPT project (grant number FED/2009/217064). We thank Natalie Morley, Charles
401 Whitfield, Simon Springate and Dudley Farman for technical assistance.

402

403 **References**

404

405 Arnold, S.E.J., Stevenson, P.C. & Belmain, S.R. (2012) Odour-mediated orientation of beetles is
406 influenced by age, sex and morph. *PLOS ONE*, **7**, e49071.

407 Barak, A.V. & Burkholder, W.E. (1985) A versatile and effective trap for detecting and
408 monitoring stored-product Coleoptera. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **12**, 207-
409 218.

- 410 Bashir, T., Birkinshaw, L.A., Hall, D.R. & Hodges, R.J. (2001) Host odours enhance the
411 responses of adult *Rhizopertha dominica* to male-produced aggregation pheromone.
412 *Entomologia Experimentalis Et Applicata*, **101**, 273-280.
- 413 Briscoe, A.D. & Chittka, L. (2001) The evolution of color vision in insects. *Annual Review of*
414 *Entomology*, **46**, 471-510.
- 415 Campbell, J.F. (2012) Attraction of walking *Tribolium castaneum* adults to traps. *Journal of*
416 *Stored Products Research*, **51**, 11-22.
- 417 Chittka, L. & Kevan, P. (2005) Flower colour as advertisement. *Practical pollination biology*
418 (eds A. Dafni, P.G. Kevan & B.C. Husband), pp. 157-196. Enviroquest Ltd., Cambridge,
419 ON.
- 420 Chittka, L. & Raine, N.E. (2006) Recognition of flowers by pollinators. *Current Opinion in*
421 *Plant Biology*, **9**, 428-435.
- 422 Collins, L.E. & Chambers, J. (2003) The I-SPY Insect Indicator: An effective trap for the
423 detection of insect pests in empty stores and on flat surfaces in the cereal and food
424 trades. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, **39**, 277-292.
- 425 Crombie, A. (1941) On oviposition, olfactory conditioning and host selection in *Rhizopertha*
426 *dominica* Fab. (Insecta, Coleoptera). *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **18**, 62-78.
- 427 Dobie, P., Haines, C.P., Hodges, R.J., Pevett, P.F. & Rees, D.P. (1991) *Insects and arachnids*
428 *of tropical stored products: their biology and identification*, 2 edn. Natural Resources
429 Institute.
- 430 Dowdy, A.K., Howard, R.W., Seitz, L.K. & McGaughey, W.H. (1993) Response of
431 *Rhizopertha dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) to its aggregation pheromone and
432 wheat volatiles. *Environmental Entomology*, **22**, 965-970.
- 433 Dyer, A.G. & Chittka, L. (2004) Fine colour discrimination requires differential conditioning in
434 bumblebees. *Naturwissenschaften*, **91**, 224-227.
- 435 Döring, T.F. & Chittka, L. (2007) Visual ecology of aphids—a critical review on the role of
436 colours in host finding. *Arthropod-Plant Interactions*, **1**, 3-16.
- 437 Döring, T.F., Skellern, M., Watts, N. & Cook, S.M. (2012) Colour choice behaviour in the
438 pollen beetle *Meligethes aeneus* (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae). *Physiological Entomology*,
439 **37**, 360-378.
- 440 Döring, T.F. & Skorupski, P. (2007) Host and non-host leaves in the colour space of the
441 Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Entomologia Generalis*, **29**, 81-95.
- 442 Edde, P.A. & Phillips, T.W. (2006) Potential host affinities for the lesser grain borer,
443 *Rhizopertha dominica*: behavioral responses to host odors and pheromones and

- 444 reproductive ability on non-grain hosts. *Entomologia Experimentalis Et Applicata*, **119**,
445 255-263.
- 446 Fadamiro, H.Y., Gudrups, I. & Hodges, R.J. (1998) Upwind flight of *Prostephanus truncatus* is
447 mediated by aggregation pheromone but not food volatiles. *Journal of Stored Products*
448 *Research*, **34**, 151-158.
- 449 Green, C.H. (1986) Effects of colours and synthetic odours on the attraction of *Glossina*
450 *pallidipes* and *G. morsitans morsitans* to traps and screens. *Physiological Entomology*,
451 **11**, 411-421.
- 452 Green, C.H. & Cosens, D. (1983) Spectral responses of the tsetse fly, *Glossina morsitans*
453 *morsitans*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, **29**, 795-800.
- 454 Hodges, R.J., Addo, S., Farman, D.I. & Hall, D.R. (2004) Optimising pheromone lures and
455 trapping methodology for *Prostephanus truncatus* (Horn) (Coleoptera : Bostrichidae).
456 *Journal of Stored Products Research*, **40**, 439-449.
- 457 Jayasekara, T.K., Stevenson, P.C., Hall, D.R. & Belmain, S.R. (2005) Effect of volatile
458 constituents from *Securidaca longepedunculata* on insect pests of stored grain. *Journal*
459 *of Chemical Ecology*, **31**, 303-313.
- 460 Johnson, S.D. & Midgley, J.J. (2001) Pollination by monkey beetles (Scarabaeidae: Hopliini):
461 Do color and dark centers of flowers influence alighting behavior? *Environmental*
462 *Entomology*, **30**, 861-868.
- 463 Kennedy, J.S., Booth, C.O. & Kershaw, W.J.S. (1961) Host finding by aphids in the field.
464 *Annals of Applied Biology*, **49**, 1-21.
- 465 Likhayo, P.W. & Hodges, R.J. (2000) Field monitoring *Sitophilus zeamais* and *Sitophilus oryzae*
466 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) using refuge and flight traps baited with synthetic
467 pheromone and cracked wheat. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, **36**, 341-353.
- 468 Lindh, J.M., Goswami, P., Blackburn, R.S., Arnold, S.E.J., Vale, G.A., Lehane, M.J. & Torr,
469 S.J. (2012) Optimizing the colour and fabric of targets for the control of the tsetse fly
470 *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes*. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, **6**.
- 471 Lunau, K. & Maier, E.J. (1995) Innate colour preferences of flower visitors. *Journal of*
472 *Comparative Physiology A: Neuroethology, Sensory, Neural, and Behavioral*
473 *Physiology*, **177**, 1-19.
- 474 Martínez-Harms, J., Vorobyev, M., Schorn, J., Shmida, A., Keasar, T., Homberg, U.,
475 Schmeling, F. & Menzel, R. (2012) Evidence of red sensitive photoreceptors in
476 *Pygopleurus israelitus* (Glaphyridae: Coleoptera) and its implications for beetle

- 477 pollination in the southeast Mediterranean. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A:*
478 *Neuroethology, Sensory, Neural, and Behavioral Physiology*, **198**, 451-463.
- 479 Menzel, R., Steinmann, E., Desouza, J. & Backhaus, W. (1988) Spectral sensitivity of
480 photoreceptors and color vision in the solitary bee, *Osmia rufa*. *Journal of Experimental*
481 *Biology*, **136**, 35-52.
- 482 Menzel, R., Ventura, D.F., Werner, A., Joaquim, L.C.M. & Backhaus, W. (1989) Spectral
483 sensitivity of single photoreceptors and color vision in the stingless bee, *Melipona*
484 *quadrifasciata*. *Journal of Comparative Physiology a-Sensory Neural and Behavioral*
485 *Physiology*, **166**, 151-164.
- 486 Morante, J. & Desplan, C. (2008) The color-vision circuit in the medulla of *Drosophila*. *Current*
487 *Biology*, **18**, 553-565.
- 488 Nguyen, D.T. (2008) Effects of starvation period on the locomotory response of *Rhyzopertha*
489 *dominica* (F.). *Journal of Stored Products Research*, **44**, 100-102.
- 490 Nguyen, D.T., Hodges, R.J. & Belmain, S.R. (2008) Do walking *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.)
491 locate cereal hosts by chance? *Journal of Stored Products Research*, **44**, 90-99.
- 492 Noldus, L.P.J.J., Spink, A.J. & Tegelenbosch, R.A.J. (2001) EthoVision: A versatile video
493 tracking system for automation of behavioral experiments. *Behavior Research Methods*
494 *Instruments & Computers*, **33**, 398-414.
- 495 Otálora-Luna, F. & Dickens, J.C. (2011) Spectral preference and temporal modulation of photic
496 orientation by Colorado potato beetle on a servosphere. *Entomologia Experimentalis et*
497 *Applicata*, **138**, 93-103.
- 498 Raffa, K.F., Phillips, T.W. & Salom, S.M. (1993) Strategies and mechanisms of host
499 colonization by bark beetles. *Interactions among bark beetles, pathogens, and conifers*
500 *in North Amer Forests* (eds T.O. Schowalter & G. Filip), pp. 103-128. Academic Press,
501 New York, USA.
- 502 Reza, A.M.S. & Parween, S. (2006) Differential preference of colored surface in *Tribolium*
503 *castaneum* (Herbst). *Invertebrate Survival Journal*, **3**, 84-88.
- 504 Schlyter, F., Birgersson, G., Byers, J., Löfqvist, J. & Bergström, G. (1987) Field response of
505 spruce bark beetle, *Ips typographus*, to aggregation pheromone candidates. *Journal of*
506 *Chemical Ecology*, **13**, 701-716.
- 507 Shires, S.W. & McCarthy, S. (1976) A character for sexing live adults of *Prostephanus*
508 *truncatus* (Horn) (Bostrichidae. Coleoptera). *Journal of Stored Products Research*, **12**,
509 273-275.

- 510 Torr, S.J., Mangwiro, T.N.C. & Hall, D.R. (2006) The effects of host physiology on the
 511 attraction of tsetse (Diptera: Glossinidae) and *Stomoxys* (Diptera: Muscidae) to cattle.
 512 *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, **96**, 71-84.
- 513 Trematerra, P., Lupi, C. & Athanassiou, C. (2013) Does natal habitat preference modulate cereal
 514 kernel preferences in the rice weevil? *Arthropod-Plant Interactions*, **7**, 287-297.
- 515 Ukeh, D.A., Birkett, M.A., Bruce, T.J.A., Allan, E.J., Pickett, J.A. & Mordue, A.J. (2010)
 516 Behavioural responses of the maize weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais*, to host (stored-grain)
 517 and non-host plant volatiles. *Pest Management Science*, **66**, 44-50.
- 518 Ukeh, D.A., Udo, I.A. & Ogban, E.I. (2008) Trapping of stored-product insects using flight
 519 traps outside traditional African storage granaries. *Journal of Food Agriculture &*
 520 *Environment*, **6**, 399-401.
- 521 Ukeh, D.A. & Umoetok, S.B.A. (2007) Effects of host and non-hosts plant volatiles on the
 522 behaviour of the Lesser Grain Borer, *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fab.). *Journal of*
 523 *Entomology*, **4**, 435-443.
- 524 Ukeh, D.A., Woodcock, C.M., Pickett, J.A. & Birkett, M.A. (2012) Identification of host
 525 kairomones from maize, *Zea mays*, for the maize weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais*. *J Chem*
 526 *Ecol*, **38**, 1402-1409.
- 527 Van Kleunen, M., Nänni, I., Donaldson, J.S. & Manning, J.C. (2007) The role of beetle marks
 528 and flower colour on visitation by monkey beetles (Hopliini) in the Greater Cape Floral
 529 Region, South Africa. *Annals of Botany*, **100**, 1483-1489.

530

531 **Figure legends**

532

533 **Fig. 1 | Olfactometer, showing the stimulus administration via airflow through one of the**
 534 **four arms.** The pump draws air from the central chamber, and thus through each of the arms
 535 with an odour stimulus attached. Flow rate was checked regularly, ensuring that it was 200
 536 ml/min through each arm (800 ml/min through the central hole). A gas-washing bottle
 537 connected to a charcoal filter was attached to each of the four arms of the olfactometer.

538

539 **Fig. 2 | Spectral reflectance curves for (a) the colour stimuli for the main experiment and**
 540 **the control cream stimulus and (b) the stimuli containing optical brighteners: white and**
 541 **grey, and (c) photographs of samples of the stimuli.** Spectral reflectance values were
 542 measured using an Avantes AvaSpec-2048 spectrophotometer with an AvaLight-DH-D-BAL

543 Deuterium-Halogen light source, relative to a BaSO₄ white standard. B = blue, G = green, Y =
544 yellow, Wh = white, Gy = grey, C = cream.

545

546 **Fig. 3 | Colour and odour preferences of *Sitophilus zeamais* when presented with maize**
547 **odours and green, blue or yellow coloured areas on the arena floor.** Yellow was
548 significantly attractive in combination with maize odour. Bars show mean \pm SEM. N = blue: 34,
549 yellow: 30, green: 30.

550

551 **Fig. 4 | Colour and odour preferences of *Rhyzopertha dominica* when presented with maize**
552 **odours and green, blue or yellow coloured areas on the arena floor.** No significant odour or
553 colour preferences were observed. Bars show mean \pm SEM. N = blue: 25, yellow: 25, green: 25.

554

555 **Fig. 5 | Colour and odour preferences of *Prostephanus truncatus* when presented with**
556 **maize odours and green, blue or yellow coloured areas on the arena floor.** No significant
557 odour or colour preferences were observed. Bars show mean \pm SEM. N = blue: 25, yellow: 25,
558 green: 25.

559

560 **Fig. 6 | Control results (mean \pm SEM) for *S. zeamais* presented with (a) yellow versus**
561 **cream floor covers and (b) white versus grey floor covers.** Yellow remains significantly
562 attractive, whilst the insects showed no preference for white or grey, indicating that
563 chromaticity, rather than overall reflectance, is responsible for the behaviour. N = yellow/cream:
564 15, white/grey: 15.

565

566

567

568