

1 **Commentary Plant and Soil 2014**

2

3 **Is xylem sap calcium responsible for reducing stomatal conductance after soil**
4 **liming?**

5

6 **Christopher J. Atkinson**

7 Received:

8

9 **Keywords** calcium ions . minerals . transpiration stream . xylem sap .

10

11 C.J. Atkinson

12 Natural Resources Institute

13 University of Greenwich

14 Medway Campus, Central Avenue, Chatham Maritime,

15 Kent, ME4 4TB, UK

16 e-mail: c.j.atkinson@gre.ac.uk

17

18 **Abstract**

19 Understanding the regulation of calcium uptake, xylem transport and its impacts on growth and leaf
20 gas exchange is a subject that has received insufficient recent attention. Calcium (Ca) is unique
21 within the group of key elements required for plant growth in that it also has a role in cellular
22 signalling via regulation of changes in its cytoplasmic concentration. Its mobility, within the plant, is
23 however somewhat constricted by its chemistry and cellular signalling role, and its adsorptive
24 capacity within the apoplast and the xylem. Supply and demand for Ca is achieved by a homeostatic
25 balance which if perturbed can cause a number of distinctive physiological conditions, often related
26 to Ca deficiency. In this issue Rothwell and Dodd present experiments with bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*)
27 and pea (*Pisum sativum*) plants grown in a field soil exposed to the processes of soil liming
28 (application of Ca carbonate (CaCO₃)). Given that there is evidence of free Ca in the xylem sap
29 altering stomatal conductance it is reasonable to ask the question does liming elevate Ca in the
30 transpiration stream which may explain the observed reduced growth which they hypothesise is due
31 to Ca-induced stomatal closure. They show that liming doubled soil exchangeable Ca, reduced
32 stomatal conductance and shoot biomass in both species compared with unlimed controls.
33 However, xylem sap Ca concentration increased only in bean. Interestingly, the same was not true
34 for the pea where the root xylem sap concentration remained unchanged despite an increase in soil

35 available Ca. Given that stomatal conductance decreased in both species, but in response to a lime-
36 induced increase in xylem sap Ca in only one; this questions the role of Ca in inducing stomatal
37 closure. They propose that their data suggest that as yet unidentified antitranspirant causes
38 stomatal closure in both species not the increase in xylem sap Ca *per se*.

39

40 **Commentary**

41

42 *Calcium a multitasking element*

43

44 Trying to understand how Ca ions (Ca^{2+}) move within the transpiration stream is a problem that has
45 received various levels of attention over many years. The processes which determine the flux and
46 distribution of ions from roots can have a particular importance in determining development,
47 growth and the physiological performance of the shoot (Gilroy et al 1993, White and Broadley 2003,
48 Karley and White 2009, Gilliham et al 2011, Hawkesford et al 2012). The flux of Ca ions, for example,
49 within the xylem and its delivery to aboveground organs, in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) fruits,
50 is critical in determining pericarp development and the production of commercially acceptable fruits
51 (Guichard et al 2001, Suzuki et al 2003). The supply of Ca^{2+} to shoot apices can alter cell division and
52 expansion by influencing cell and vacuole osmotic content and cell wall formation (Hawkesford et al
53 2012). Calcium ions also aid in maintaining cellular stability and membrane integrity and are involved
54 in stress perception signalling response cascades (Suzuki et al 2003, White and Broadley 2003,
55 McAinsh and Pittman 2008, Kudla et al 2010), and more recently have been shown to have a
56 regulatory function within the nucleus (Mazars et al 2009). The role of Ca in generating changes in
57 stomatal aperture is also well recognised (Mansfield et al 1990) and Ca flux in the xylem has been
58 implicated as a regulator of transpiration (Atkinson et al 1989, 1992, Atkinson 1991). The dual role of
59 Ca^{2+} in providing a nutritional substrate required for growth, as well as, acting in a quantitative
60 signalling response element appears paradoxical, but this duality is achieved through tight
61 cytoplasmic regulation of Ca concentration and sub-cellular partitioning of Ca to vacuoles and in
62 some cases specific cell types (idioblasts) which store insoluble Ca salts [e.g. Ca oxalate] as well as
63 the apoplast (Hirschi 2004, Volk et al 2008, Franceschi and Nakata 2005, Helper 2005, He et al 2011,
64 Gilliham et al 2011). While clearly cytoplasmic Ca status is at the core of a number of specific stress
65 induced Ca signalling systems cascades further elucidation of these biochemical and molecular
66 events should facilitate knowledge on how to manipulate these processes (Nakata and McConn
67 2007, McAinsh and Pittman 2008, Kudla et al 2010, Dodd et al 2010). This may be particularly
68 relevant for practical crop strategies designed to reduce food waste by increasing shelf-life (see
69 suggestions of Park et al 2005).

70

71 *Mobility, partitioning and homeostasis*

72

73 The mobility of Ca^{2+} in the plant is known to be low. Many species, but not all, have suberized cell
74 walls within the Casparian band of the root system which restricts radial water and apoplastic solute
75 movement into, and out, of the root stele (Clarkson 1984, Moore et al 2002). Solutes, like Ca, are in
76 essence forced into a passage involving the cytoplasm, plasmodesmata and aquaporins, which has
77 both challenges and consequences with respect to the achievable rate of cellular Ca flux. The
78 potential limitations in symplastic cell to cell diffusion of Ca requires that the supply of free Ca is
79 maintained but cytoplasmic concentrations are kept at μM levels to avoid precipitation of Ca
80 phosphates and cell death. Therefore the entry of Ca into the cytoplasm has to be as tightly
81 controlled as does its cytosolic removal (White and Broadley 2003, Gilliam et al 2011). We are now
82 beginning to acquire a molecular understanding of the regulation of membrane transporters which
83 determine Ca partitioning at the cellular level (de Freitas et al 2011). Subsequent movement of Ca
84 within the apoplast and the xylem is slowed due to its divalent ability to bond (cation ion exchange
85 capacity CEC), for example, with anionic charges on substances such as pectates, phospholipids and
86 carboxyl groups in cell membranes and walls (Ferguson and Bollard 1976, White 2001, see also the
87 references within Gilliam et al 2011). This process is described by the isotopic data recorded for
88 calcium and magnesium exchange with the surrounding tissues as sap moves up the xylem (Metzner
89 et al 2010). Limited mobility of Ca is a unique characteristic among the key plant nutritional
90 elements required for growth. It can lead to the irreversible binding of Ca^{2+} (and other cations) to
91 the negatively charged inner surfaces of functional xylem cells, retarding the rate of ion distribution.
92 While limitations in the rate of cytoplasmic movement support suggestions that Ca^{2+} show little
93 redistribution (phloem-fed tissues) over any significant distance within the plant (Karley and White
94 2009). Upon xylem delivery, whether initially or subsequently when in the cytoplasm it appears that
95 Ca sequestration predominates; appearing extra-cellularly in the apoplast (He et al 2012), or vacuole
96 (as salts of phosphoric, oxalic or phytic acids) within idioblasts, or extra cellular (Webb 1999,
97 Franceschi and Nakata 2005, Volk et al 2008, Hawkesford et al 2012). Despite clear increases in
98 insoluble Ca in leaves in response to increased Ca supply, the relationship between Ca supply and
99 oxalate formation and the different forms of Ca oxalate (soluble and insoluble) does not appear
100 simple and may show leaf ontogenic change (Zindler-Frank et al 2001). It is equally apparent that not
101 all species show Ca sequestration which is based on, either Ca oxalate, or even the formation of
102 insoluble Ca salts (see Hawkesford et al 2012).

103

104 The success of Ca homeostasis is clearly apparent from tissue cytoplasmic concentrations of
105 Ca (100-200 nM) that are maintained against a three to four-fold higher (1 to 10 mM) external
106 concentration within the rhizosphere (Gilroy et al 1993, Karley and White 2009). The complexity of
107 cellular Ca homeostasis is achieved by an integrated array of membrane bound transport proteins,
108 calmodulin-binding, P-ATPases and Ca specific ion channels all of which can modulate Ca uptake to
109 meet demand (Gilroy et al 1993, Miedema et al. 2001, White and Broadley 2003, Franceschi and
110 Nakata 2005, McAinsh and Pittman 2008, Volk et al 2008, Karely and White 2009, Kudla et al 2010,
111 Dodd et al 2010, Gilliham et al 2011). Homeostasis can also be shown to be closely linked with
112 apoplastic, extracellular water flow, and transpiration, where cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} are implicated in the
113 regulation of water flow via aquaporins (see Gilliham et al 2011). These authors review the
114 importance of how water flow varies with species, organs, ontogeny and their growing environment
115 and its influence on Ca flow. For example, ABA whole plant spray treatments reduced Ca deficiency
116 in tomato by increasing sap flow and Ca^{2+} movement into the fruit (de Freitas et al 2014).

117

118 *Supply and demand*

119

120 Breakdown, or limitations, in the xylem supply of Ca^{2+} , can have particularly important and dynamic
121 consequences on the growth rate of rapidly expanding tissues. The condition known as blossom end
122 rot (BER) is just one of several Ca deficiency derived physiological conditions seen in fruits such as
123 tomato (Bangerth 1979, Adams and Ho 1992, White and Broadley 2003, Ho and White 2005, Karley
124 and White 2009). It is also often the case that the tissues most at risk from suffering an imbalance in
125 their Ca supply and demand are those where transpiration rates are generally lower than other
126 competing aboveground organs. Inferences such as this lead to suggestions that it is the
127 transpiration rate that is a critical determinant in the quantitative delivery of Ca^{2+} to the shoot, along
128 with control over the proportional allocation of Ca^{2+} to various organs and tissues, because these
129 tissues have different transpiration rates (Karley and White 2009), e.g. the low transpiration of inner
130 leafy rosette regions of many of the Brassica family. Increasing leaf sap flow artificially through the
131 application of ABA can increase Ca movement which reduces the incidence of BER (de Freitas et al
132 2014). There is however evidence that transpirational water movement is not always a universal
133 determinant of Ca movement. In some cases it appears that water transport and Ca movement
134 become uncoupled and can explain the non-uniform distribution of Ca in some leaves (Atkinson
135 1991, Kerton et al 2009, Metzner et al 2010). The notion that Ca allocation to plant organs is
136 influenced by differences in transpiration rate is supported by the appearance, initially, of BER in
137 specific tissues regions or organs associated with low transpiration (Ho and White 2005, de Freitas et

138 al 2014). What is less clear is a functional link between below average tissue concentrations of free
139 Ca^{2+} in BER expressing tissues relative to the total organs Ca content (Petersen and Willumsen 1992),
140 combined with a lack of sequential evidence demonstrating BER cause and effect (Nonami et al.
141 1995). Low transpiration rates, induced by decreases in the vapour pressure gradient (leaf to air),
142 can be linked to Ca deficiency symptoms (Holder and Cockshull 1990, Kerton et al 2009). The
143 consequences of this, at least with tomato, are that plasma membranes show distinctive signs of
144 cellular Ca precipitation when grown under conditions known to induce BER (Suzuki et al 2003).
145 These precipitates are located in parenchyma cells close to tracheids and the vascular bundles.
146 While the transpiration rate *per se* may not directly influence the loading of Ca in the transpiration
147 stream, the transpirational flux will determine the xylem sap concentration and in turn its shoot
148 delivery rate as factor of loading rate multiplied by transpiration flux.

149
150 It is apparent, commercially, that cellular Ca concentration has an important influence on fruit
151 texture and the avoidance of disorders such as bitter pit in apple (Nielsen et al 2005). Despite the
152 application of post-harvest 'remedial' treatment by dipping fruit (Ca cuticular entry and movement
153 by apoplastic diffusion) in Ca based products to reduce the occurrence of bitter pit in-store,
154 considerable attention is given to Ca supplementation during fruit growth. It is clear that this
155 exogenous source of Ca is present within fruit tissues post-harvest, but there is also evidence that
156 endogenous Ca uptake declines with fruit development. Many studies, but not all, imply that fruit Ca
157 content is determined early in the growth cycle and once beyond the cell division phase Ca uptake
158 can decline and the rapidly expanding fruit induces the cellular Ca concentration to decline (Quinlan
159 1969, see review by Saure 2005). The explanation often proposed for this change in response to
160 endogenous root-derived Ca is that the fruit xylem transport system becomes non-functional
161 (Drazeta et al 2004). The consensus is that supplementary Ca sprays, to avoid deficiency during fruit
162 growth, require application to the fruit (direct uptake via trichomes and stomata on the fruit
163 epidermis) because of the absence of Ca transport from leaves. Saure (2005) suggests that the
164 problem with xylem Ca delivery in fruit is not having to cope with deficiency in transport channels, or
165 a weak the transpirational driving force, but overcoming the plant's need to limit Ca transport during
166 rapid growth. Again, evidence from both post-harvest application of Ca and uptake during growth
167 suggests that Ca movement within the fruit occurs, but differences in its measured Ca distribution
168 occur primarily due to variation in cell growth patterns and utilisation within the fruit (see Saure
169 2005). This type of variation in Ca partitioning can also be explained by cellular changes in the
170 expression of a $\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{H}^{+}$ tonoplast transporter protein (CAX) (Conn et al 2011, de Freitas et al 2011).
171 In tomato the sCAX1 transporter expressing phenotypes showed increased total fruit Ca and shelf-

172 life, while the occurrence of BER increased (Park et al 2005, de Freitas et al 2011). Increased BER was
173 explained by elevated vacuolar Ca combined with reduced cytosolic and apoplastic Ca, leading to
174 membrane dysfunction and leakage (Conn et al 2011). Controlling CAX expression may facilitate an
175 alternative strategy removing the need for post-harvest chemical treatments to increase shelf-life
176 (Park et al 2005).

177

178 *Calcium soil supply*

179

180 The role that soil Ca status plays in defining the presences of 'indicator' species (calcifuges and
181 calcicoles) within the landscape is a foundation stone in the development of ecophysiological
182 approaches to mechanistically explain species distribution (Bradshaw et al 1958, 1960, Jefferies and
183 Willis 1964, Rorison and Robinson 2006). The effectiveness of this approach has inspired an array of
184 work based on expanding and illuminating how plants cope with varying levels of exposure to Ca,
185 and the impacts of its salts, on many aspects of soil and plant performance (Kinzel 1983). With the
186 finding that free Ca^{2+} are involved in the process of stomatal closure, it has become apparent that Ca
187 may also have a role in influencing whole leaf gas exchange and that this might also be another
188 chapter in the story of explaining species distribution with respect to variation in soil Ca
189 concentrations (De Silva et al 1986, Mansfield et al 1990, Atkinson 1991). However, there has been
190 little attempt to address the question, particularly in agricultural systems where the direct
191 implications for crop management practices change soil available Ca rapidly, as occurs during
192 remedial liming. It is therefore interesting to see in this issue that Rothwell and Dodd (2014) address
193 the question of Ca inputs, via liming, having a direct impact on crop gas exchange. The positive
194 growth and yield responses of field crops to the liming of acidic soil are very well documented (Tang
195 et al 2003, Karaivazoglou et al 2007). It is more challenging to find studies which have recorded
196 direct negative impacts of lime application in agricultural systems and crops which have been linked
197 to the decrease or increase in the availability of other elements such Al, Zn, Mn, B and P (Vickers and
198 Zak 1978, Sumner 1979, Kochian et al 2004), but more recently the focus has been on soil attributes,
199 such as SOM, nitrogen mineralisation and changes in the microflora, and their impact on aspects of
200 the crop, not the direct influence that Ca^{2+} uptake has on the plant (Haynes and Naidu 1998, Kemmit
201 et al 2006, Fageria and Baligar 2008). Rothwell and Dodd (2014) address the question; does liming
202 elevate xylem sap Ca which limits gas exchange by inducing partial stomatal closure and potentially
203 reduces yields. A positive answer to this question has important implications for liming impacts on
204 crop productivity as managing soil pH is a vital component, in acid soil, which occurs globally over a
205 large proportion of agricultural land. By understanding the possible negative impacts that a flush of

206 soil Ca might have on the regulation of crop gas exchange we might be able to utilise crops and/or
207 growing systems which are more capable of managing soils with higher Ca concentrations, or crops
208 that have the capacity to restrict/regulate more effectively Ca uptake and translocation in xylem sap.

209

210 *Species differences in response to soil calcium*

211

212 To address this question Rothwell and Dodd experiment with bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) and pea
213 (*Pisum sativum*) grown in a field collected sandy loam soil to which they applied commercially
214 available agricultural lime at 3 g l⁻¹ as Ca carbonate (CaCO₃). This rate of application matches that
215 recommended to achieve a soil pH of around 6.5. These plants were grown with the intention of
216 being suitable for enclosing within pressure chambers to extract xylem sap to measure its Ca
217 concentration. The two sets of plants were cultured in slightly different ways (de-topped or a leaflet
218 *mid-rib incision*) and to facilitate the most appropriate method for sap extraction given the structural
219 differences between bean and pea. Importantly, great care was taken over the sampling of the
220 xylem sap, with sap collection occurring over a range of transpiration rates (sap flows) by application
221 of positive pneumatic pressures (see Rothwell and Dodd in this issues for a full explanation). The sap
222 flows achieved included those which had been determined previously (gravimetrically) to match the
223 *in vivo* transpiration rates of the experimental plants. The reasoning and importance of doing this is
224 vital in determining actual xylem sap concentrations, because we know that if we change the
225 transpirational flow, as we do when invasively cutting the xylem column (detoping sap collection),
226 this at best, temporarily, upsets the existing coupling between xylem cell ion loading and the now
227 non-existent transpirational pull. At worst, it may completely uncouple the delivery of solutes to the
228 shoot. This uncoupling, for example, can lead to an overestimation of the concentrations of a xylem
229 solute because we have removed the transpiration pull (flow), permanently relying on root pressure
230 exudation only (which generally induces a lower flux than daytime transpiration), in the absence of
231 changing the rate at which solutes are loaded into the xylem. This concept is well described and
232 utilised by Jackson and his associated co-workers (Jackson et al 1995). These authors also show how
233 changing the volume flux of the transpiration stream can not only influence solute concentration,
234 but also the mass of solutes which are exported from the root to shoot, which is described by the
235 delivery rate (Else et al 1994). It is apparent in studying the movement of Ca²⁺ within the xylem that
236 we have accurate measures of *in planta* xylem sap concentrations which can be used knowing the
237 transpiration flow to derive shoot Ca delivery rates.

238

239 Using this approach Rothwell and Dodd (2014) showed that compared with unlimed controls, liming
240 reduced shoot biomass in both bean and pea. There are other studies which show this negative
241 response and it is interesting that in these cases it is also a leguminous species, e.g. crown vetch
242 (*Coronilla varia* L.) and alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) and sorghum (*Sorghum sudanense*) respectively
243 (Vickers and Zak 1978, Sumner 1979). The reduction in biomass corresponded with a significant
244 reduction in stomatal conductance and assimilation for both species. Liming itself doubled soil
245 exchangeable Ca which led to a massive increase in xylem sap Ca concentration from 0.9 to 1.7 mM,
246 but only for bean. Interestingly, for pea, root and leaf Ca concentrations remained unchanged
247 despite an increase in soil available Ca. Having collected xylem sap samples in an appropriate
248 manner Rothwell and Dodd (2014) were able to show how an increase in Ca delivery rate was
249 apparent, on liming, with bean, but not with pea. In fact with pea, Ca delivery declined, most likely
250 due to the observed reduction in stomatal conductance restricting transpiration. The authors
251 conclude that there are species differences in their ability to regulate Ca uptake and delivery to the
252 shoot irrespective of the initial differences within the soil. This very much supports earlier
253 suggestions about these species (Atkinson et al 1992). What is interesting and novel about Rothwell
254 and Dodd (2014) is the suggestion of why stomatal conductance declined in pea in the absence of
255 elevated xylem sap Ca. They propose two possible explanations; the first is that stomatal sensitivity
256 to Ca shows species differences, while the second suggests that perhaps the correlative link implied
257 between bean xylem sap Ca concentration and stomatal conductance was not causative. They rule
258 out the differential species sensitivity by showing similar species responses to artificial Ca supply in a
259 detached leaf transpiration assay. It would be interesting to repeat this sensitivity experiment with
260 plants known to respond to lime induced reductions in stomatal conductance using intact attached
261 leaves. Catheter-type applications of a putative stomatal conductance regulator (Ca and ABA) can
262 be effectively introduced into the xylem stream to induce dynamic changes in sap constituents and
263 corresponding reductions in stomatal conductance (Atkinson et al 1990). This 'topical' application of
264 Ca into the leaf mid-rib allows little more than the xylem Ca stream concentration to change for a
265 well-watered leaf. It might, if the Ca were sourced from the CaCO₃ used in the liming treatments to
266 rule out any possible other stomatal closing factors, but such a component naturally occurring seems
267 unlikely. We would expect with agricultural lime in this case "coarse screened limestone" for there
268 to be MgO also present but at >15% (www.aglime.org.uk). They rule out the possibility of inaccuracy
269 in the measurements of ions within the xylem sap, I think correctly, based on the methodology used.
270 They also consider the possibility of concluding that it may well be an alternative substance rather
271 than Ca²⁺ in the xylem stream that cause stomatal closure in bean. This is an interesting hypothesis
272 which Rothwell and Dodd leave us to think about. It could be considered from another perspective,

273 it is clearly not the absence of negative physiological responses in pea to the increase in soil
274 available Ca, but the fact that the xylem sap Ca stomatal signal (concentration or delivery) does not
275 change, as it does with bean. This does not however negate, with pea, that an unidentified
276 antitranspirant is produced on soil liming. However, it is perhaps easier to speculate on what that
277 putative pea signal might be rather than the means of establishing proof. It is well known that
278 intracellular Ca is key component in the signalling pathway that leads to symbiosis with nitrogen-
279 fixing bacteria (see reference within McAinsh and Pittman 2008). It is also well documented that Ca
280 via changes in pH can influence the availability of many; particularly trace metals in the soil (see
281 Tyler and Olsson 2001). Here liming could be seen as factor with a stronger case for removing a
282 positive stomatal opening signal, however, given that soil liming is well documented, for example,
283 for reducing the availability and crop uptake of a number of metals such as Cd, Cu, Ni, Al and Zn this
284 notion seems a rather unlikely explanation of the observed response for pea (Bolan et al 2003). The
285 case for indirect phosphate-induced changes in stomata conductance and growth may not be
286 obvious, but could have some relevance here (Murrmann and Peach 1969, Haynes 1982). For
287 example, a high soil Al content, on liming, can initiate a reduction in available phosphate [which can
288 occur with soils high in Ca] (Vickers and Zak 1978, Sumner 1979). Phosphorus deficiency can induce a
289 decline in stomatal conductance, albeit only at low water potentials and the presence of increased
290 ABA (Radin 1984, Jeschke et al 1997). Similarly, with salt stress, increasing root available Ca can
291 overcome the influence salinity on water uptake (Cabanero et al 2004). Again, with the work of
292 Rothwell and Dodd (2014) it is highly unlikely that the field soil used was highly weathered; that it
293 had a high Al content; there was a deficiency in P availability, or that water deficits were responsible
294 for stomatal closure, and this occurred via a root-derived antitranspirant. Hopefully, the work of
295 Rothwell and Dodd (2014) might stimulate opportunities for revisiting crop Ca management and
296 perhaps shifting our focus towards understanding more about what is going on below ground and
297 the mechanism(s) of how pea achieves its regulation of shoot Ca delivery and the possible
298 involvement of a putative novel antitranspirant. More recent novel approaches undertaken by
299 Metzner et al. (2010) suggest that ion exchange capacity of stem and their parenchymal tissues has
300 may not have been fully appreciated as Ca sources/contributors to xylem sap Ca homeostasis. The
301 structural differences between *Phaseolus* and *Pisum* stems may also have functional effective
302 differences in their capacity to maintain Ca homeostasis in the transpiration stream.

303

304 **Acknowledgements**

305 I thank my colleague Dr Debbie Rees for her comments and suggestions on an earlier draft of this
306 manuscript and those of the reviewer's.

307

308 References

- 309 Adams P, Ho LC (1992) The susceptibility of modern tomato cultivars to blossom-end rot in relation
310 to salinity. *J Hort Sci* 67:827–839
- 311 Ala - Natural liming materials. www.aglime.org.uk/tech/natural_liming_materials.php
- 312 Atkinson CJ (1991) The flux and distribution of xylem sap calcium to adaxial and abaxial epidermal
313 tissue in relation to stomatal behaviour. *J Exp Bot* 42:987-993
- 314 Atkinson CJ (1991) The influence of increasing rhizospheric calcium on the ability of *Lupinus luteus* L.
315 to control water use efficiency. *New Phytol* 119:207-215
- 316 Atkinson CJ, Mansfield TA, Davies WJ (1990) Does calcium in xylem sap regulate stomatal
317 conductance? *New Phytol* 116:19-27
- 318 Atkinson CJ, Mansfield TA, Kean AM, Davies WJ (1989) Control of stomatal aperture by calcium in
319 isolated epidermal tissue and whole leaves of *Commelina communis* L. *New Phytol* 111:9-17
- 320 Atkinson CJ, Ruiz LP, Mansfield TA (1992) Calcium in xylem sap and the regulation of its delivery to
321 the shoot. *J Exp Bot* 43:1315-1324
- 322 Bangerth F (1979) Calcium-related physiological disorders of plants. *Annu Rev Phytopath* 17:97–122
- 323 Bolan NS, Adriano DC, Mani PA, Duraisamy A (2003) Immobilization and phytoavailability of
324 cadmium in variable charge soils. II. Effect of lime addition. *Plant Soil* 251:187–198,
- 325 Bradshaw AD, Lodge RW, Jowett D, Chadwick MJ (1958) Experimental investigations into the mineral
326 nutrition of several grass species. I. Calcium level. *J Ecol* 46:749-57
- 327 Bradshaw AD, Lodge RW, Jowett D, Chadwick MJ (1960) Experimental investigations into the mineral
328 nutrition of several grass species. II. Calcium and pH. *J Ecol* 48:143-50
- 329 Clarkson DT (1984) Calcium transport between tissues and its distribution in the plant. *Plant Cell*
330 *Environ* 7:449-456
- 331 Conn SJ, Gilliam M, Athman S, Schreiber AW, Baumann U, Moller I, Cheng N-H, Stancombe MA,
332 Hirschi KD, Webb AAR, Burton R, Kaiser BN, Tyerman SD, Leigh RA (2011). Cell-specific
333 vacuolar calcium storage mediated by CAX1 regulated apoplastic calcium concentration, gas
334 exchange, and plant productivity in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 23:240-255
- 335 Dodd AN, Kudla J, Sanders D. 2010. The language of calcium signaling. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 61:593-
336 620
- 337 Drazeta L, Lang, A, Hall AJ, Volz RK, Jameson PE (2004) Causes and effects of changes in xylem
338 functionality in apple fruit. *Ann. Bot.* 93:275–282
- 339 De Silva DLR, Cox RC, Hetherington AM, TA Mansfield (1986) The role of abscisic acid and calcium in
340 determining the behaviour of adaxial and abaxial stomata. *New Phytol* 104:41-51
- 341 Else MA, Davies WJ, Whitford PN, Hall KC, Jackson MB. (1994) Concentrations of abscisic acid and
342 other solutes in xylem sap from root systems of tomato and castor-oil plants are affected by
343 the method of sap collection. *J Exp Bot* 45:317-323
- 344 de Freitas ST, Padda M, Wu Q, Park S, Mitcham EJ (2011) Dynamic alternations in cellular and
345 molecular components during blossom-end rot development in tomatoes expressing sCAX1, a
346 constitutively active Ca²⁺/H⁺ antiporter from *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol* 156:844–855
- 347 de Freitas ST, McElrone AJ, Shackel KA, Mitcham EJ (2014) Calcium partitioning and allocation and
348 blossom-end rot development in tomato plants in response to whole-plant and fruit-specific
349 abscisic acid treatments. *J Exp Bot* 65: 235-247
- 350 Fageria NK, Baligar VC (2008) Ameliorating soil acidity of tropical oxisols by liming for sustainable
351 crop production. *Adv Agron* 99:345-399
- 352 Ferguson IB, Bollard EG (1976) The movement of calcium in woody stems. *Ann Bot* 40:1057-1065
- 353 Franceschi VR, Nakata PA (2005) Calcium oxalate in plants: formation and function. *Annu Rev Plant*
354 *Biol* 56:41-71

355 Gilliham M, Dayod M, Hocking BJ, Xu B, Conn SJ, Kaiser BN, Leigh RA, Tyerman SD (2011) Calcium
356 delivery and storage in plant leaves: exploring the link with water flow. *J Exp Bot* 62:2233-
357 2250

358 Gilroy S, Bethke PC, Jones RL (1993) Calcium homeostasis in plants. *J Cell Sci* 106:453-462

359 Guichard S, Bertin N, Leonardi C, Gary C (2001) Tomato fruit quality in relation to water and carbon
360 fluxes. *Agronomie* 21:385–392

361 Hawkesford M, Horst W, Kichey T, Lambers H, Schjoerring J, Skrumsager Møller I, White P (2012)
362 Chapter 6: Functions of Macronutrients. In: Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants,
363 Third Edition, pp. 135-189. Marschner P, ed. Academic Press, London. ISBN 978-0-12-384905-
364 2.

365 Haynes RJ (1982) Effects of liming on phosphate availability in acid soils. A critical review. *Plant Soil*
366 68:289-308.

367 Haynes RJ, Naidu R (1998) Influence of lime, fertilizer and manure applications on soil organic matter
368 content and soil physical conditions: a review. *Nut Cycl Agro* 51:123–137

369 He H, Bleby TM, Veneklaas EJ, Lambers H, Kuo J (2012) Precipitation of calcium, magnesium,
370 strontium and barium in tissues of four *Acacia* species (Leguminosae: Mimosoideae). *Plos One*
371 7:e41563

372 Helper PK (2005) Calcium: A central regulator of plant growth and development. *The Plant Cell*
373 17:2142:215

374 Hirschi KD (2004) The calcium conundrum. Both versatile nutrient and specific signal. *Plant Physiol*
375 136:2438-2442

376 Ho LC, White PJ (2005) Cellular hypothesis for the induction of blossom-end rot in tomato fruit. *Ann*
377 *Bot* 95:571–581

378 Holder R, Cockshull KE (1990) Effects of humidity on the growth and yield of glasshouse tomatoes. *J*
379 *Hort Sci* 65:31-39

380 Jackson MB, Davies WJ, Else MA (1996) Pressure-flow relationships, xylem solutes and root hydraulic
381 conductance in flooded tomato plants. *Ann Bot* 77:17-24

382 Jeschke WD, Peuke AD, Pate JS, and Hartung W (1997) Transport, synthesis and catabolism of
383 abscisic acid (ABA) in intact plants of castor bean (*Ricinus communis* L.) under phosphate
384 deficiency and moderate salinity. *J Exp Bot* 48:1737-1747

385 Jefferies RL, Willis AJ (1963) studies on the calcicole-calcifuge habit II. The influence of calcium on
386 the growth and establishment of four species in soil and sand cultures. *J Ecol* 52:691-707

387 Karaivazoglou NA, Tsotsolis NC, Tsadilas CD (2007) Influence of liming and form of nitrogen fertilizer
388 on nutrient uptake, growth, yield, and quality of Virginia (flue-cured) tobacco. *Field Crops* 100:
389 Karley AJ and White PJ (2009). Moving cationic minerals to edible tissues: potassium, magnesium,
390 calcium. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 12:291-298

391 Kerton M, Newbury HJ, Hand D, Pritchard J (2009) Accumulation of calcium in the centre of leaves of
392 coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) is due to an uncoupling of water and ion transport. *J Exp*
393 *Bot* 60:227-235

394 Kinzel H (1983) Influence of limestone, silicates and soil pH on vegetation. In: *Physiological Plant*
395 *Ecology III*, Edited Lange OL, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg

396 Kochian LV, Hoekenga OA, Piñeros MA (2004) How do crop plants tolerate acid soils? Mechanisms of
397 aluminum tolerance and phosphorous efficiency. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 55, 459-493

398 Kudla J, Batistic O, Hashimoto K (2010) Calcium signals: The lead currency of plant information
399 processing. *The Plant Cell* 22:541-563

400 Mansfield TA, Hetherington AM, Atkinson CJ (1990) Some aspects of stomatal physiology. *Annu Rev*
401 *Physiol Plant Mol Biol* 41:55-75

402 Mazars C, Bourque S, Mithöfer A, Pugin A, Ranjeva R (2009) Calcium homeostasis in plant cell nuclei.
403 *New Phytol* 181:261–274

404 McAinsh MR, Pittman JK (2009) Shaping the calcium signature. *New Phytol* 181:275-294

405 Metzner R, Thorpe MR, Breuer U, Blümmer P, Schurr U, Schneider HU, Schroeder WH (2010)
406 Contrasting dynamics of water and mineral nutrients in stems shown by stable isotope tracers
407 and cryo-SIMS. *Plant Cell Environ* 33:1393–1407
408 Miedema H, Bothwell JHF, Brownlee C, Davies JM (2001) Calcium uptake by plant cells: channels and
409 pumps acting in concert. *Trends Plant Sci* 6:514–519
410 Moore CA, Bowen HC, Scarse-Field S, Knight MR, White PJ (2002) The disposition of suberin lamellae
411 determines the magnitude of cytosolic Ca^{2+} elevations in root endodermal cells subject to
412 cooling. *Plant Journal* 30:457–466
413 Murrmann RP, Peech M (1969) Effect of pH on labile and soluble phosphate in soils. *Agron J* 33:205-
414 210
415 Nakata PA, McConn MM (2007) Calcium oxalate content affects the nutritional availability of calcium
416 from *Medicago truncatula* leaves. *Plant Science* 172:958-961
417 Neilsen G, Neilsen D, Dong S, Toivonen P (2005). Application of CaCl_2 sprays earlier in the season
418 may reduce bitter pit incidence in ‘Braeburn’ apple. *HortScience* 40:1850-1853
419 Nonami H, Fukuyama T, Yamamoto M, Yang L, Hashimoto Y (1995) Blossom-end rot of tomato plants
420 may not be directly caused by calcium deficiency. *Acta Hort* 396:107–114
421 Park S, Cheng NH, Pittman JK, Yoo KS, Park J, Smith RH, Hirschi, KD (2005) Increased calcium levels
422 and prolonged shelf life in tomatoes expressing Arabidopsis $\text{H}^+/\text{Ca}^{2+}$ transporters. *Plant Physiol*
423 139:1194-1206
424 Petersen KK, Willumsen J (1992) Effects of root zone warming and season on blossom-end rot and
425 chemical composition of tomato fruit. *Tidsskr Planteavl* 96: 489–498
426 Quinlan, J.D., 1969. Chemical composition of developing and shed fruits of Laxton’s Fortune apple. *J*
427 *Hort Sci* 44:97–106
428 Radin JW (1984) Stomatal responses to water stress and to abscisic acid in phosphorus-deficient
429 cotton plants. *Plant Physiol* 76:392-394
430 Rorison IH, Robinson D (2006) Calcium as an environmental variable. *Plant Cell Environ* 7:381-390
431 Saure MC (2005) Calcium translocation to fleshy fruit: its mechanism and endogenous control.
432 *Scientia Hort* 105:65–89
433 Sumner ME (1978) Response of alfalfa and sorghum to lime and P on highly weathered soils. *Agron J*
434 71:763-766
435 Suzuki K, Shono M, Egawa Y (2003) Localization of calcium in the pericarp cells of tomato fruits
436 during the development of blossom-end rot. *Protoplasma* 222:149–156
437 Tang C, Rengel Z, Diatloff E, Gazey C (2003). Responses of wheat and barley to liming on a sandy soil
438 with subsoil acidity. *Field Crops* 80:235-244
439 Tyler G, Olsson T (2001) Plant uptake of major and minor mineral elements as influenced by soil
440 acidity and liming. *Plant Soil* 230:307–321
441 Vickers JC, Zak JM (1978) Effects of pH, P, and Al on the growth and chemical composition of
442 Crownvetch. *Agon J* 70:748-751
443 Volk GM, Lynch-Holm VJ, Kostman TA, Goss LJ, Franceschi VR (2008). The role of druse and raphide
444 calcium oxalate crystals in tissue calcium regulation in *Pistia stratiotes* leaves. *Plant Biol* 4:34-
445 35
446 Webb MA (1999) Cell-mediated crystallization of calcium oxalate in plants. *The Plant Cell* 11:751–
447 761
448 White PJ (2001) The pathways of calcium movement to the xylem. *J Exp Bot* 52:891–899
449 White PJ, Broadley MR (2003) Calcium in plants. *Ann Bot* 92:487-511
450 Zindler-Frank E, Hönow R, Hesse A (2001) Calcium and oxalate content of the leaves of *Phaseolus*
451 *vulgaris* at different calcium supply in relation to calcium oxalate crystal formation. *Plant*
452 *Physiol* 158:139–144